Developmental enamel defects in tuberous sclerosis: a clinical genetic marker?
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Abstract

Ten probands with tuberous sclerosis (TS) and 20 first degree relatives were examined for evidence of pitted enamel hypoplasia; 100% of TS patients had pitting, compared to 65% of relatives and 72% of controls. We found that 70% of TS cases had more than 14 pits per person compared with only 5% of relatives and 4% of controls; 85% of relatives and 84% of controls had fewer than six pits per person. Our results confirm that significantly increased numbers of dental enamel pits are found in persons with TS compared to controls. These results suggest that examination for the presence or absence of dental enamel pits is not a useful screening test for first degree relatives to detect otherwise unsuspected subjects with tuberous sclerosis. However, the lack of pits in first degree relatives in our study is probably largely because none of the relatives appeared to carry the TS gene.
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Tuberous sclerosis (TS) is an autosomal dominant disorder characterised by hamartoma formation in many organs. The prevalence of the disease is about 1 per 10 000. Genetic linkage analysis studies have indicated that approximately half of all TS families show linkage to chromosome 9q34 and half to chromosome 16p13. Positional cloning efforts are now under way in several centres to attempt to find the TSC1 gene. The TSC2 gene on chromosome 16 has recently been isolated.1 Seventy percent of cases appear to arise as new mutations, but this rate may be falsely high as the manifestations of the disease may be subtle. There are a few families with documented non-penetrance.3,4 Several families have been described in which two affected children were born to parents who appeared to be completely normal after thorough evaluation. The more clinical or special tests which are carried out on apparently unaffected first degree relatives, the more likely that some abnormality will be detected. Previous studies have established that dental enamel pitting is common in TS.4,5 This study was performed to assess the value of dental examination as a screening test for first degree relatives of apparent sporadic TS cases.

Patients and methods

Thirty-one subjects from 11 families of TS patients participated, comprising 11 TS cases, 16 parents, and four sibs. All probands fulfilled the diagnostic criteria for definite TS and none of the relatives had any features to suggest a diagnosis of TS.6 Ten TS patients underwent dental examination, the remaining child being uncooperative. Both parents were available for examination in seven families. Six parents were not examined because they were unavailable for examination, edentulous, or had died. The median age of the TS cases was 24 years (range 5-37) and of the first degree relatives 41 years (range 8-65). Twenty-five controls, median age 23 years (range 21-31), all of whom were young adult dentists, dental students, or dental nurses, also participated in the dental part of the study. Any teeth which had been restored or crowned were excluded. Permanent and deciduous teeth were examined. TS patients and their first degree relatives also underwent full skin examination (including Wood’s light examination) and renal ultrasound.

The technique used in the dental examination was modified from that of Mlynarczyk.5 All dental examinations were carried out by the same registered dental hygienist using a dental chair, dental light, and a sharp dental explorer. The labial surfaces of the 12 anterior teeth (incisors and canines) were given a standard dental prophylaxis, following which a disclosing solution (stain) was applied using a sponge. The teeth were dried using a cotton roll. The teeth were explored carefully with the probe.
Pits were detected visually and confirmed if they would “catch and keep” the probe from moving across the surface of the tooth. Each examination (excluding prophylaxis and staining) took approximately five minutes. The first 30 examinations were observed by one of the authors, a dentist, to ensure standardisation.

Statistical analysis was carried out using Poisson regression and results were expressed as (incidence) relative risks (IRR).

Results
All 10 TS patients showed pitting (mean 1.27 per tooth) compared with 65% of first degree relatives (13 of 20, mean 0.30 per tooth) and 72% of controls (18 of 25, mean 0.24 per tooth) (table 1). Although pits were common in controls, the majority (84%) had fewer than six pits, whereas 70% of probands had more than 15 pits (fig 1). TS patients had an increased number of pits for each tooth type compared to controls and relatives (table 2). One parent was found to have 25 pits and one control had 16 pits. Cutaneous examination of both and renal ultrasound of the parent showed no other features of TS. Some pits were relatively large and easily visible to the naked eye while other, smaller pits were detectable only with staining and probing. Both large, visible pits and small pits were detectable in all three groups.

Results were analysed by status (case, relative, or control) and by tooth type (maxillary central incisor, etc). TS patients were found to have significantly increased numbers of dental enamel pits when compared with controls (IRR=2.7, p<0.0005) and when compared with relatives (IRR=2.3, p=0.0005).

Six of the nine TS patients (66%) who underwent renal ultrasound showed multiple bilateral angiomyolipomas and this finding was not seen in any of the 20 first degree relatives. All but one proband showed cutaneous manifestations of TS and none of the first degree relatives had any cutaneous manifestations of TS.

Discussion
Pits were first described by Hoff et al in 1975 in a series of six patients with TS. Since then several studies have shown that dental enamel pits are a common finding in TS (table 3). Roach et al included dental enamel pits as a tertiary feature in the diagnostic criteria for TS. Our finding of 100% prevalence of dental enamel pits in probands correlates with the study by Mlynarczyk.3 Thus dental pits may be the commonest manifestation of TS and therefore a helpful marker in the diagnosis of this disease. In comparison, the second most frequent manifestation of TS is renal angiomyolipoma, detected in 67% of TS patients in this and other studies.10-13

The pathogenesis of pitted enamel hypoplasia in TS is not understood. Previous studies suggest that the pits extend to the amelodentinal junction. The pits appear to result from a reduction in the amount of enamel matrix formed. This may be because of a primary defect in odontoblasts, or in ameloblasts, or may be the result of defective interaction between odontoblasts and ameloblasts.

Dental enamel pits are not specific for TS. They are present in the general population although the exact prevalence is unclear. They are also associated with other abnormalities of amelogenesis, including pitted amelogenesis imperfecta, vitamin D dependent rickets, epidermolysis bullosa dystrophica, pseudohypoparathyroidism, and tricho-dento-osseous syndrome. These conditions are easily differentiated by the presence of additional dental defects such as pulp and root deformities, with the exception of pitted amelogenesis imperfecta. By contrast, the enamel defects in TS are mainly seen on the labial surfaces of the teeth away from the gingivae, in areas that rarely become carious. Water fluoridation may cause

Table 3 Previous studies looking at dental enamel pitting in tuberous sclerosis probands, relatives, and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lypidakis and Lindenbaum*</th>
<th>Mlynarczyk†</th>
<th>Sampson 1992‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>% with pits</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 typical TS</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>21–11 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 atypical TS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>29–11 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatives</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Used no dental stain and a fine probe.
†Used a dental plaque disclosing solution and a dental explorer.
‡Used no stain and a ball end probe.
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dental enamel pits, but the pits seen in our
groups were unlike the typical lesions of
fluorosis and were not associated with other
features such as mottling.

In contrast to previous studies we found a
high prevalence of pits in our controls6,7 (table
3). The variation in reported prevalence is
probably related to differences in examination
technique. Some studies did not use dental
examining facilities,6,7 while it is unclear
whether or not such facilities were used in two
other studies.4,5 One study of TS cases and first
degree relatives assessed pitting on clinical
photographs of teeth.14 Dental probes vary in
physical configuration. The CPITN probe
used in one study is designed for examination
of periodontal pockets rather than teeth.1 It has a
0.5 mm ball end which would not detect
smaller pits and which would be less likely to
“catch and keep” than a sharp dental explorer.
The use of dental disclosing solutions increases
the number of pits detected; only one previous
investigator has used this technique.5 We feel
that our higher rates of dental pitting are most
likely the result of meticulous examination of
the teeth being performed by a single dental
hygienist using appropriate lighting, a dental
plaque disclosing solution or stain, and a sharp
dental explorer.

In the analysis of the dental enamel pit
counts it is important to take the following fac-
tors into account. It is unlikely that analysis of
pit size would be helpful in identifying the
genetic status of unaffected relatives, as both
large and small pits were seen in all three
groups. The mean number of pits per tooth
examined is not a useful measure nor is the
total number of pits detected per person exam-
nined. Tooth type (for example, maxillary,
central incisor, mandibular, canine, etc) is
therefore a factor which may affect the number
of pits present in any individual tooth. Any
analysis which ignores this tooth type factor
will be invalidated by differing numbers of each
tooth type from person to person, given that
not all the people examined will have all 12
anterior teeth present and unrestored. Statisti-
cal analysis using Poisson regression as used in
this study allows incorporation of this tooth
type factor, thus making better use of the data.

In summary, the prevalence of large numbers
of pits in TS patients was striking (70% probands
had >15 pits and 84% of controls had <6 pits).
This study does not answer the
question as to whether asymptomatic first
degree relatives with large numbers of pits have
the TS genotype. Further studies of these sub-
jects with high dental pit counts, when
appropriate genetic testing becomes available,
will determine their potential association with
the TS phenotype.

We wish to thank Dr Alan Kelly from the Department of Com-
unity Health and General Practice, Trinity College Dublin,
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