## Supplementary information

Lakeman et al. Clinical applicability of the Polygenic Risk Score for breast cancer risk prediction in familial cases.

Supplementary methods

Study cohorts
HEBON
The HEBON study ${ }^{1}$ (initiated in 1999) is an ongoing nationwide retrospective cohort study among breast cancer families with prospective follow up. Participants were invited after visiting one of the Clinical Genetic Centers in the Netherlands for breast and/or ovarian cancer counselling. Participants were asked to fill in a questionnaire about lifestyle, family history and risk factors for breast cancer. Linkage with the nationwide cancer and pathology registries is possible for follow up.

Additional selection criteria for HEBON participants included:

- At least two breast cancer cases in a family with available DNA samples
- Breast cancer diagnosis below the age of 60 years and a positive family history:
- One first degree family member with breast cancer diagnosis below the age of 50 OR
- Two first or second-degree family members with breast cancer diagnosis below the age of 60


## ABCS-F and RBCS

The ABCS $-F^{2}$ and $R B C S^{3}$ case-cohorts included also breast cancer cases who visited the Clinical Genetic Centres of the Netherlands Cancer Institute in Amsterdam or the Erasmus Medical Center in Rotterdam, respectively. No additional selection criteria were used for ABCS-F and RBCS cases. 151 individuals from the ABCS-F study and 469 individuals from the RBCS study are included in the HEBON study as well and shown as HEBON cases in Table 1.

## Quality control procedure

For the 2,179 breast cancer cases without a BRCA1/2 pathogenic variant that were genotyped with the GSA array, quality control was performed with Plink version 1.9, which excluded 8,408 SNPs with a call rate below $95 \%$. Another 712 SNPs were removed because of a deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in controls at $\mathrm{P}<1 \times 10^{-12}$. In total, 124 individuals were excluded of which 62 individuals with a call rate below 95\%, 7 individuals because they were genotypically not female or the gender was uncertain, and 17 individuals because of a sample swab. After population stratification analysis, 28 individuals were excluded because of non-European genotype (>3 SD).

Imputation pedigrees
In total, 3,492 pedigrees were collected for this study. These pedigrees consisted of 202,680 individuals (49\% female) of which 12,785 individuals were affected with breast cancer. If the age of breast cancer diagnosis for a family member was not known ( $n=1,272$ ), a conditional average age was estimated given the age at last
follow up of the individual and the breast cancer incidence in the Netherlands. Furthermore, for all affected individuals with breast cancer, ovarian cancer, prostate cancer or pancreatic cancer the year of birth was imputed, if this was not yet available, based on the year of birth of the closest relative ( 25 year difference for parents and children, average for siblings). If the age of last follow up was not known, this age was calculated based on the date of the last update of a pedigree and the year of birth.

Supplementary figures


## Figure S1: Flow scheme of the selection procedure

Breast cancer cases were selected from the ABCS, HEBON and RBCS studies. Details of the quality control procedure are described above. Absolute lifetime risks were calculated for all included cases ( $\mathrm{N}=3,918$ ). To exclude overlap of cases with the development dataset for the PRS $_{313}{ }^{4}$, only 1,968 cases were included in the association analyses. For the majority of cases gene panel information was available. For cases of whom we did not have informed consent to report the clinical relevant results, only pseudo anonymized information about pathogenic variants in ATM, CHEK2, and PALB2 was available ( $\mathrm{N}=549$ ). For the cases with informed consent, the number of pathogenic variants and missense variants are shown in Table S3.
${ }^{a}$ carriers of a pathogenic variant or family member of a carrier of a pathogenic variant in BRCA1 or BRCA2.
Abbreviations: BCAC, Breast Cancer Association Consortium; BRIDGES, Breast cancer Risk after Diagnostic GEne Sequencing; PRS, Polygenic Risk Score.


- Population controls - In situ breast carcinoma - Two breast tumours


## Figure S2: Density curves of the PRS $_{313}$

Distribution of the $\mathrm{PRS}_{313}$ in the included 3,474 population controls (grey line) and 3,918 and 1,968 breast cancer cases (red line) in the total and subset cohort respectively. For the invasiveness figure, 3 cases were excluded for which invasiveness for the first and/or second breast tumour was unknown. In the total cohort 3,653 and 262 cases were included with invasive (purple line) and in situ (pink line) breast cancer respectively. For the subset cohort this was 1,703 and 262 . In the right figure, 719 and 327 breast cancer cases with a second breast tumour (blue line) were included in the total and subset cohort respectively.

Abbreviations: BC, Breast Cancer; PRS, Polygenic Risk Score.


Figure S3: Density curves of the ER-positive and ER-negative PRS ${ }_{313}$
Distribution of the ER-negative (left figures) and ER-positive (right figures) PRS ${ }_{313}$ for cases with an ER-negative (purple line) and ER-positive (orange line) first breast tumour. As a reference, the distribution of these PRS in population controls are shown as well (grey line). In the total cohort, 1,755 and 488 breast cancer cases are included with a first ER-positve and ER-negative breast tumour respectively. For the subset cohort this was 927 and 213 respectively.

Abbreviations: ER, Estrogen Receptor; PRS, Polygenic Risk Score


Figure S4: Difference in breast cancer lifetime risk score calculated by BOADICEA
Boxplot of the difference in breast cancer lifetime risk between the basic calculation in BOADICEA and after including the $\mathrm{PRS}_{313}$. The basic calculation included birth year, gene panel results and for cases a pedigree of their family in addition. Non-carriers are the group of which we know that they do not have a pathogenic variant in ATM, CHEK2 and PALB2 in addition to BRCA1/2.

Abbreviations: BOADICEA, Breast and Ovarian Analysis of Disease Incidence and Carrier Estimation Algorithm;
PV, Pathogenic Variant.


Figure S5. Distribution of breast cancer lifetime risk after including the PRS $_{313}$
Density plots of the distribution in breast cancer lifetime risk calculated with BOADICEA including birth cohort, gene panel results, pedigree-based family history for cases and the $\mathrm{PRS}_{313}$.

Abbreviations: BOADICEA, Breast and Ovarian Analysis of Disease Incidence and Carrier Estimation Algorithm;
PV, Pathogenic Variant; PRS, Polygenic Risk Score


Figure S6. Correlation plot between de BOADICEAFH and the PRS 313
For all included breast cancer cases $(N=3,918)$, the individual BOADICEAFH (polygenic load) is plotted against the PRS $_{313}$. BOADICEA $A_{\text {FH }}$ was calculated with BOADICEA based on the pedigree without inclusion of the PRS $_{313}$. Abbreviations: BOADICEA, Breast and Ovarian Analysis of Disease Incidence and Carrier Estimation Algorithm; FH, Family History; PRS, Polygenic Risk Score.


Figure S7: PRS $_{313}$ distribution by quartiles of BOADICEA ${ }_{\text {FH }}$
The PRS $_{313}$ distribution for all included cases $(N=3,918)$ separated by quartiles of the individual BOADICEAFH (polygenic load). BOADICEAFH was calculated with BOADICEA based on the pedigree without inclusion of the PRS $_{313}$.

Abbreviations: BOADICEA, Breast and Ovarian Analysis of Disease Incidence and Carrier Estimation Algorithm; FH, Family History; PRS, Polygenic Risk Score.


## Figure S8: Association between the PRS $_{313}$ and breast cancer

Visualisation of the effect sizes and $95 \%$ confidence intervals of the association between the $\mathrm{PRS}_{313}$ and breast cancer. The corresponding OR and included breast cancer cases are shown in Table 3. Abbreviations: BC, Breast Cancer; OR, Odds Ratio; PRS, Polygenic Risk Score


Figure S9: Association between the PRS and breast cancer by percentiles of the PRS 313
Plot of the effect size of the association between the continuous PRS $_{313}$ (grey line) and breast cancer and the categorical PRS $_{313}$ (blue dots) and breast cancer. Corresponding OR and 95\% confidence intervals are shown in Table 3.

Abbreviations: CI, Confidence Interval; OR, Odds Ratio; PRS, Polygenic Risk Score.

## Supplementary tables

Table S1: common low risk variants included in the PRS $_{313}$ (large Excel file)
This table is partly published before by Mavaddat et al. ${ }^{4}$ We added the imputation quality in this study

Table S2: Descriptives of the standardised PRS ${ }_{313}$

| Group | Total cohort |  | Family-based cases - subset ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Mean PRS 313 | SD PRS 313 | N | Mean PRS 313 | SD PRS 313 |
| All cases | 3,918 | 0.71 | 0.96 | 1,968 | 0.64 | 0.88 |
| Invasive cases ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3,653 | 0.73 | 0.96 | 1,703 | 0.65 | 0.86 |
| In situ only cases ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 262 | 0.56 | 0.96 | 262 | 0.56 | 0.96 |
| 1 breast tumour | 3,199 | 0.66 | 0.95 | 1,641 | 0.60 | 0.87 |
| 2 breast tumours | 719 | 0.95 | 1.01 | 327 | 0.83 | 0.90 |
| Population controls | 3,474 | 0 | 1.03 | NA | NA | NA |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Invasive first or second tumour
${ }^{b}$ no invasive first or second tumour
${ }^{c}$ Cases included in the association analyses which were not part of the development dataset for the $\mathrm{PRS}_{313}$ as described in Mavaddat et al. ${ }^{4}$
Abbreviations: N, Number; NA, Not Applicable; PRS, Polygenic Risk Score

Table S3: Descriptives of the standardised ER-positive and ER-negative PRS ${ }_{313}$

| Group | PRS | Total cohort |  |  |  | Family-based cases - subset $^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{N}$ | Mean PRS | SD PRS | $\mathbf{N}$ | Mean PRS | SD PRS |
| ER-positive BC | ER-positive PRS | 1,755 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 927 | 0.68 | 0.86 |
| ER-negative BC | ER-positive PRS | 488 | 0.43 | 0.98 | 213 | 0.51 | 0.85 |
| ER-positive BC | ER-negative PRS | 1,755 | 0.76 | 0.93 | 927 | 0.66 | 0.85 |
| ER-negative BC | ER-negative PRS | 488 | 0.46 | 0.97 | 213 | 0.52 | 0.85 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Invasive first or second tumour
${ }^{b}$ no invasive first or second tumour
${ }^{c}$ Cases included in the association analyses which were not part of the development dataset for the PRS 313 as described in Mavaddat et al. ${ }^{4}$
Abbreviations: N, Number; NA, Not Applicable; PRS, Polygenic Risk Score

Table S4: Truncating variants in BRIDGES gene panel

| Gene | Cases, $\mathrm{N}=2,037^{\text {a }}$ |  | Controls, $\mathrm{N}=2,584{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | OR | 95\% CI | $P$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% |  |  |  |
| ABRAXAS1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | NA | NA | NA |
| AKT1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | NA | NA | NA |
| ATM | 36 | 1.8 | 9 | 0.3 | 5.15 | 2.42-12.18 | $1.00 \times 10^{-06}$ |
| BARD1 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1.27 | 0.02-99.55 | 1.00 |
| BRCA1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| BRCA2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| BRE | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | NA | NA | NA |
| BRIP1 | 4 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.2 | 1.01 | 0.20-4.72 | 1.00 |
| CDH1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | NA | NA | NA |
| CHEK2 | 131 | 6.4 | 31 | 1.2 | 5.66 | 3.78-8.70 | $<2.00 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| c.1100delC ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 130 |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EPCAM | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | NA | NA | NA |
| FANCC | 5 | 0.2 | 8 | 0.3 | 0.79 | 0.20-2.75 | 0.80 |
| FANCM | 14 | 0.7 | 16 | 0.6 | 1.11 | 0.50-2.44 | 0.90 |
| GEN1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | NA | NA | NA |
| MEN1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | NA | NA | NA |
| MLH1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | NA | NA | NA |
| MRE11A | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 | 0.42 | 0.01-5.27 | 0.60 |
| MSH2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | NA | NA | NA |
| MSH6 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | NA | NA | NA |
| MUTYH | 3 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.22-22.81 | 0.70 |
| NBN | 2 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 0.85 | 0.07-7.39 | 1,00 |
| NF1 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | NA | NA | NA |
| PALB2 | $12^{\text {c }}$ | 0.6 | 7 | 0.3 | 2.18 | 0.79-6.55 | 0.10 |
| PIK3CA | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | NA | NA | NA |
| PMS2 | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.63 | 0.01-12.19 | 1.00 |
| PTEN | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1.27 | 0.02-99.55 | 1.00 |
| RAD50 | 4 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.3 | 0.72 | 0.16-2.85 | 0.80 |
| RAD51C | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | NA | NA | NA |
| RAD51D | 5 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | NA | NA | NA |
| RECQL | 2 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 0.85 | 0.07-7.39 | 1.00 |
| RINT1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | NA | NA | NA |
| STK11 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | NA | NA | NA |
| TP53 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | NA | NA | NA |
| XRCC2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | NA | NA | NA |
| Total | 227 | 11.1 | 105 | 4.1 | - | - | - |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cases and controls were included in the analyses described by Dorling et al. ${ }^{5}$
bof which 6 homozygous in cases and 1 homozygous in controls
${ }^{\text {c In }}$ addition to inclusion criteria for truncating variants in BRIDGES, 4 PALB2 truncating variants in the last exon were added.
Abbreviations: CI, Confidence Interval; N, Number; NA, Not Applicable; OR, Odds Ratio.

Table S5: Missense variants in BRIDGES gene panel

| Gene | Cases; $\mathrm{N}=2,038{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Controls, $\mathrm{N}=2,584^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | P/LP ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | P/LP ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| ABRAXAS1 | 3 | NA | 5 | NA |
| AKT1 | 2 | NA | 6 | NA |
| ATM | 121 | 5 | 113 | 4 |
| BARD1 | 25 | 0 | 26 | 0 |
| BRCA1 | 42 | NA | 49 | NA |
| BRCA2 | 109 | NA | 127 | NA |
| BRE | 0 | NA | 0 | NA |
| BRIP1 | 34 | NA | 41 | NA |
| CDH1 | 26 | NA | 28 | NA |
| CHEK2 | 64 | 8 | 34 | 2 |
| EPCAM | 9 | NA | 18 | NA |
| FANCC | 28 | NA | 23 | NA |
| FANCM | 64 | NA | 62 | NA |
| GEN1 | 38 | NA | 32 | NA |
| MEN1 | 4 | NA | 2 | NA |
| MLH1 | 19 | NA | 21 | NA |
| MRE11A | 16 | NA | 19 | NA |
| MSH2 | 42 | NA | 56 | NA |
| MSH6 | 51 | NA | 52 | NA |
| MUTYH | 28 | NA | 33 | NA |
| NBN | 35 | NA | 23 | NA |
| NF1 | 30 | NA | 34 | NA |
| PALB2 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0 |
| PIK3CA | 6 | NA | 10 | NA |
| PMS2 | 37 | NA | 28 | NA |
| PTEN | 3 | NA | 7 | NA |
| RAD50 | 50 | NA | 46 | NA |
| RAD51C | 9 | 1 | 9 | 0 |
| RAD51D | 6 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| RECQL | 16 | NA | 20 | NA |
| RINT1 | 39 | NA | 47 | NA |
| STK11 | 0 | NA | 1 | NA |
| TP53 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 0 |
| XRCC2 | 6 | NA | 13 | NA |
| Total | 999 | 18 | 1,028 | 6 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cases and controls were included in the analyses described by Dorling et al. ${ }^{5}$
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Total number of missense variants detected, not corrected for individuals who carry more than one missense variant in a single gene.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ For genes in which pathogenic variants are associated with breast cancer ${ }^{5}$, missense variant interpretation was performed by using the ClinVar database ${ }^{6}$.
Abbreviations: N, Number; NA, Not Applicable; P, Pathogenic; LP, Likely Pathogenic.

Table S6: Absolute change in breast cancer lifetime risk after including the PRS $_{313}$

|  | Cases |  |  | Controls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Min | Mean | Max | Min | Mean | Max |
| No gene-test result | 0.0 | 5.0 | 34.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 21.3 |
| Non-carriers | 0.0 | 4.5 | 27.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 22.1 |
| ATM PV carriers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.4 | 8.0 | 19.8 | 2.6 | 5.9 | 9.6 |
| CHEK2 PV carriers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.3 | 8.1 | 29.3 | 0.1 | 5.9 | 20.1 |
| PALB2 PV carriers | 0.7 | 4.4 | 9.8 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 4.8 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Two cases with both a pathogenic variant in CHEK2 and ATM were excluded.
In total, 1,331 cases and 890 controls were included without a gene-test result for PALB2, ATM and CHEK2; 2,369 cases and 2,537 controls in the non-PV carrier group; 167 cases and 31 controls in the CHEK2 PV carrier group; 39 cases and 9 controls in the ATM PV carrier group; 10 cases and 7 controls in the PALB2 PV carrier group.
Abbreviations: Min, Minimum; Max, Maximum; PRS, Polygenic Risk Score; PV, Pathogenic Variant.

| Group | BOADICEA Lifetime risk |  | No gene-test result |  | Non-PV carriers |  | CHEK2 PV carriers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ATM PV carriers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | PALB2 PV carriers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Without PRS ${ }_{313}$ | Including PRS ${ }_{313}$ | N | \% change | N | \% change | N | \% change | N | \% change | N | \% change |
| Cases | <20\% | <20\% | 697 | 30.4 | 1,126 | 30.1 | 3 | 70.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | >20\% | 305 |  | 486 |  | 7 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
|  | >20\% | >20\% | 292 | 11.2 | 605 | 20.1 | 153 | 2.5 | 39 | 0.0 | 10 | 0.0 |
|  |  | <20\% | 37 |  | 152 |  | 4 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
|  | Overall change |  |  | 25.7 |  | 26.9 |  | 6.6 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
|  | Upward change |  |  | 22.9 |  | 20.5 |  | 4.1 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Controls | <20\% | <20\% | 851 | 4.4 | 2,419 | 4.7 | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  |
|  |  | >20\% | 39 |  | 118 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | >20\% | >20\% | NA |  | NA |  | 19 | 38.7 | 8 | 11.1 | 7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | <20\% |  |  |  |  | 12 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |
|  | Overall change |  |  | 4.4 |  | 4.7 |  | 38.7 |  | 11.1 |  | 0.0 |
|  | Upward change |  |  | 4.4 |  | 4.7 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Two cases with both a pathogenic variant in CHEK2 and ATM were excluded.
In total, 1,331 cases and 890 controls were included without a gene-test result (no BRCA1/2 PV); 2,369 cases and 2,537 controls in the non-PV carrier group; 167 cases and 31 controls in the CHEK2 PV carrier group; 39 cases and 9 controls in the ATM PV carrier group; 10 cases and 7 controls in the PALB2 PV carrier group Abbreviations: BOADICEA, the Breast and Ovarian Analysis of Disease Incidence and Carrier Estimation Algorithm; NCCN, the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guideline; PRS, Polygenic Risk Score; PV, Pathogenic Variant.

Table S8: Breast cancer lifetime risk category change based on the NICE guideline

| Group | BOADICEA Lifetime risk |  | No gene-test result |  | Non-PV carriers |  | CHEK2 PV carriers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ATM PV carriers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | PALB2 PV carriers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Without PRS ${ }_{313}$ | Including PRS $_{313}$ | N | \% change | N | \% change | N | \% change | N | \% change | N | \% change |
| Cases | <17\% | <17\% | 478 | 38.5 | 699 | 37.1 | 1 | 0.0 | NA |  | NA |  |
|  |  | >17\% | 299 |  | 413 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17-30\% | 17-30\% | 332 | 34.3 | 799 | 31.5 | 34 | 48.5 | 0 | 100.0 | NA |  |
|  |  | <17\% | 68 | - | 203 | - | 1 | - | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | >30\% | 105 |  | 164 |  | 31 |  | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | >30\% | >30\% | 42 | 14.3 | 65 | 28.6 | 93 | 7.0 | 32 | 5.9 | 10 | 0.0 |
|  |  | <30\% | 67 |  | 26 |  | 7 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  |
|  | Overall change |  |  | 36.0 |  | 34.0 |  | 23.4 |  | 17.9 |  | 0.0 |
|  | Upward change |  |  | 29.0 |  | 24.4 |  | 18.6 |  | 12.8 |  | 0.0 |
| Controls | <17\% | <17\% | 783 | 12.0 | 2,289 | 9.8 | NA |  | NA |  | NA | A |
|  |  | >17\% | 107 | - | 248 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17-30\% | 17-30\% | NA |  | NA |  | 20 | 35.5 | 5 | 44.4 | NA |  |
|  |  | <17\% |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | >30\% |  | - |  |  | 6 |  | 4 |  |  |  |
|  | >30\% | >30\% | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | 7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | <30\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
|  | Overall change |  |  | 12.0 |  | 9.8 |  | 35.5 |  | 44.4 |  | 0.0 |
|  | Upward change |  |  | 12.0 |  | 9.8 |  | 19.4 |  | 44.4 |  | 0.0 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Two cases with both a pathogenic variant in CHEK2 and ATM were excluded.
In total, 1,331 cases and 890 controls were included without a gene-test result; 2,369 cases and 2,537 controls in the non-PV carrier group; 167 cases and 31 controls in the CHEK2 PV carrier group; 39 cases and 9 controls in the ATM PV carrier group; 10 cases and 7 controls in the PALB2 PV carrier group. Abbreviations: BOADICEA, the Breast and Ovarian Analysis of Disease Incidence and Carrier Estimation Algorithm; NICE, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guideline; PRS, Polygenic Risk Score; PV, Pathogenic Variant.

| Group | <40 years |  |  | 40-50 years |  | $\geq 50$ years |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BOADICEA LTR | Without PRS 313 | Including PRS313 | Without PRS 313 | Including PRS 313 | Without PRS 313 | Including PRS 313 |
| No gene-test result | <20\% | 403 (87\%) | 305 (66\%) | 377 (74\%) | 257 (50\%) | 222 (62\%) | 172 (48\%) |
|  | 20-30\% | 58 (13\%) | 127 (27\%) | 111 (22\%) | 186(36\%) | 111 (31\%) | 122 (34\%) |
|  | >30\% | 1 (0\%) | 30 (6\%) | 24 (5\%) | 69 (13\%) | 24 (7\%) | 63 (17\%) |
| Non-PV carriers | <20\% | 475 (81\%) | 367 (62\%) | 706 (65\%) | 557 (52\%) | 431 (61\%) | 354 (50\%) |
|  | 20-30\% | 96 (16\%) | 183 (31\%) | 328 (30\%) | 395 (37\%) | 242 (34\%) | 267 (38\%) |
|  | >30\% | 17 (3\%) | 38 (6\%) | 44 (4\%) | 126 (12\%) | 30 (4\%) | 82 (12\%) |
| CHEK2 PV <br> carriers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | <20\% | 4 (8\%) | 3 (6\%) | 4 (5\%) | 1 (1\%) | 2 (4\%) | 3 (7\%) |
|  | 20-30\% | 17 (35\%) | 12 (24\%) | 22 (30\%) | 11 (15\%) | 18 (40\%) | 13 (29\%) |
|  | >30\% | 28 (57\%) | 34 (69\%) | 47 (46\%) | 61 (84\%) | 25 (56\%) | 29 (64\%) |
| ATM PV carriers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | <20\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | 20-30\% | 2 (20\%) | 1 (10\%) | 2 (12\%) | 1 (6\%) | 1 (8\%) | 0 (0\%) |
|  | >30\% | 8 (80\%) | 9 (90\%) | 15 (88\%) | 16 (94\%) | 11 (92\%) | 12 (100\%) |
| PALB2 PV <br> carriers | <20\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | 20-30\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | >30\% | 4 (100\%) | 4 (100\%) | 5 (100\%) | 5 (100\%) | 1 (100\%) | 1 (100\%) |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Two cases with both a pathogenic variant in CHEK2 and ATM were excluded.
In total, 1,331 cases were included without a gene-test result; 2,369 cases in the non-PV carrier group; 167 cases in the CHEK2 PV carrier group; 39 cases in the ATM PV carrier group; 10 cases in the PALB2 PV carrier group.
Abbreviations: BOADICEA, the Breast and Ovarian Analysis of Disease Incidence and Carrier Estimation Algorithm; IKNL, Netherlands Comprehensive Cancer Organisation guideline; LTR, Life Time Risk; PRS, Polygenic Risk Score; PV, Pathogenic Variant.
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