Novel role for non-homologous end joining in the formation of double minutes in methotrexate-resistant colon cancer cells

Xiangning Meng, Xiuying Qi, Huanhuan Guo, Mengdi Cai, Chunxiang Li, Jing Zhu, Feng Chen, Huan Guo, Jie Li, Yuzhen Zhao, Peng Liu, Xueyuan Jia, Jingui Yu, Chunyu Zhang, Wenjing Sun, Yang Yu, Yan Jin, Jing Bai, Mingrong Wang, Jesua Rosales, Ki-Young Lee, Songbin Fu

ABSTRACT
Background Gene amplification is a frequent manifestation of genomic instability that plays a role in tumour progression and development of drug resistance. It is manifested cytogenetically as extrachromosomal double minutes (DMs) or intrachromosomal homogeneously staining regions (HSRs). To better understand the molecular mechanism by which HSRs and DMs are formed and how they relate to the development of methotrexate (MTX) resistance, we used two model systems of MTX-resistant HT-29 colon cancer cell lines harbouring amplified DHFR primarily in (i) HSRs and (ii) DMs.
Results In DM-containing cells, we found increased expression of non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) proteins. Depletion or inhibition of DNA-PKcs, a key NHEJ protein, caused decreased DHFR amplification, disappearance of DMs, increased formation of micronuclei or nuclear buds, which correlated with the elimination of DHFR, and increased sensitivity to MTX. These findings indicate for the first time that NHEJ plays a specific role in DM formation, and that increased MTX sensitivity of DM-containing cells depleted of DNA-PKcs results from DHFR elimination. Conversely, in HSR-containing cells, we found no significant change in the expression of NHEJ proteins. Depletion of DNA-PKcs had no effect on DHFR amplification and resulted in only a modest increase in sensitivity to MTX. Interestingly, both DM-containing and HSR-containing cells exhibited decreased proliferation upon DNA-PKcs depletion.
Conclusions We demonstrate a novel specific role for NHEJ in the formation of DMs, but not HSRs, in MTX-resistant cells, and that NHEJ may be targeted for the treatment of MTX-resistant colon cancer.

INTRODUCTION
The amplification of oncogenes or drug resistance genes plays a pivotal role in malignant transformation. Cytogenetic studies have identified two major topographical structures of amplified DNA segments: extrachromosomal DNA (double minutes, DMs) and intrachromosomal DNA (homogeneously staining regions, HSRs). However, the underlying molecular mechanism for their formation remains unclear. A large body of evidence points to free double-strand DNA breaks (DSBs) as key intermediates in the process that leads to gene amplification. The Saccharomyces cerevisiae I-SceI endonuclease system and DSB-inducing agents have been proven to be crucial in providing support for the role of DSBs in initiating gene amplification. In addition, increased frequency of gene amplification in Chinese hamster cells treated with γ-rays, hypoxia or clastogenic drugs supports a correlation between DSBs and gene amplification.

Non-homologous end joining (NHEJ), one of the major DSB repair mechanisms, can restore the original sequence at the break or generate chromosomal aberrations by ligation of the DNA ends. This process often results in the loss of nucleotides, rendering NHEJ prone to errors. The key proteins involved in NHEJ include DNA-PKcs, KU70 and KU86, among which DNA-PKcs has been shown to be the central player. NHEJ-deficient cells are characterised by increased sensitivity to DNA-damaging agents, chromosomal instability, gene amplification and predisposition to cancer. Previous reports have also shown that cells lacking DNA-PKcs are radiosensitive and defective in their ability to repair DSBs. Conversely, increased level of DNA-PKcs was observed in adriamycin-resistant cells. Adriamycin-resistant cells are known to exhibit MDR1 amplification, raising the possibility that the highly expressed DNA-PKcs may contribute to gene amplification in drug-resistant cells. There is evidence that NHEJ is involved in junction formation between amplicon microhomologies during gene amplification. However, the role of NHEJ in the formation of DMs and HSRs relative to drug resistance in cancer cells remains to be investigated.

Gene dosage depends on factors that regulate both gene amplification and gene elimination. Micronuclei (MNs) are derived from chromosomal fragments or whole chromosomes that lag behind during anaphase and nuclear division. Nuclear buds (NBUDs) are characterised by the same morphology as MNs, with the exception that they are connected to the nucleus by a stalk of nucleoplasmic material. Previous studies have shown that MNs can be formed via a budding process following exposure to γ-irradiation. On the other hand, amplified DNA can be eliminated by DNA synthesis inhibitors such as hydroxyurea.
In this study, we used methotrexate (MTX)-resistant HT-29 human colon cancer cells to study the mechanism involved in the formation of DMs and HSRs relative to MTX resistance. We show evidence that NHEJ is differentially involved in the formation of DMs and HSRs in the resistance of cancer to MTX.

METHODS

Cell lines and cell culture

HT-29 colon cancer cells were purchased from the Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China) and were authenticated by the Beijing Micrord Genetics (Beijing, China) using short tandem repeat analysis in 2011. DM-containing and HSR-containing cells were generated by continuous culture of parental HT-29 cells in dulbecco’s modified eagle medium DMEM containing high glucose (Gibco BRL, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA) and supplemented with MTX (Calbiochem Biochemicals, Darmstadt, Germany). All cell lines were maintained in the presence of 15% fetal calf serum (Gibco BRL). The DNA-PK inhibitor, NU7026 (Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC, Missouri, USA), was added to the medium at a final concentration of 10 μM for 5 days.

Antibodies

The antibodies used and their sources are as follows: DHFR mouse monoclonal antibody was from Abnova, Taipei, Taiwan; KU86 goat polyclonal and DNA-PKcs rabbit polyclonal antibodies were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Texas, USA; KU70 mouse monoclonal antibody was from Abcam, Cambridge, UK; phospho-Ser139 H2AX mouse monoclonal antibody was from Millipore, Massachusetts, USA; glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) mouse monoclonal antibody was from Kang Chen Bio-tech, Shanghai, China and the CF488 goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) was from Biotium, California, USA.

Stable shRNA transfection

The shRNA sequences were inserted into the plasmid vector pSUPER.retro.puro (Oligoengine, Washington, USA) to construct the recombinant plasmid. The shRNA sequences for DNA-PKcs were as follows: shDNA-PKcs-Sir+:: 5'-GA TCCCAAAAATCCTGTCTTGGCCAGATGATCCCTG- GCCAAGAGAGTTGGTTTTTTTTTTTT-3'; shDNA-PKcs-Sir-:: 5'-A GCTTTAAAAAATCCTGTCTTGGCCAGATGATCCCTG- CCTGCCAGAAGGTTATTTGGG-3'. MTX-resistant HT-29 cells were transfected with recombinant plasmid pSUPER-DNA-PKcs and control vector pSUPER-control using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, California, USA), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Cells were plated at a density of 3×10^5 cells/well in 6-well plates and grown until 80% confluence. Cells were then transfected with 4 μg of plasmid per well. Puromycin was added to medium to a concentration of 0.5 μg/mL (HSR-containing cells) or 0.3 μg/mL (DM-containing cells) at 24 h post-transfection to select stable clones.

Real-time PCR

Genomic DNA was extracted using QIAmp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Dusseldorf, Germany), following manufacturer’s protocol. Real-time PCR was performed using the Light Cycler 480 SYBR Green Kit (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Samples were centrifuged at 14 000 rpm for 40 min at 4°C. The supernatants were resolved by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore) and subjected to immunoblotting. GAPDH was used for normalisation.

Immunofluorescence

Cells on coverslips were cultured in serum-free medium for 24 h to achieve cell-cycle synchronisation, and then were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min. Fixed cells were treated with blocking buffer (2.5% bovine serum albumin, 0.2 M glycine, 0.1% Triton X-100) at 37°C for 30 min, followed by incubation with phosphoSer139-H2AX antibody overnight at 4°C. Slides were then washed with PBS and incubated with the CF488 anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody at 37°C for 30 min. Following incubation, slides were washed with PBS, and chromosomes were counterstained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Images were obtained using a Leica DM5000B microscope (Leica Microsystems, Solms, Germany).

Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization

Metaphase spreads from Colcemid (Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC)-arrested cells were prepared according to standard cytogenetic methods. The BAC clones, PR11-90A9, PR11-27F9, PR11-42H4 and PR11-95715 (BAC PAC Resources Center, California, USA), were extracted using Genopure Plasmid Midi Kit (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and labelled with Cy3-dUTP, Cy5-dUTP or Green-dUTP using a BioPrime DNA Labelling System Kit (Invitrogen). The template of whole chromosome 5 painting probe, kindly provided by Dr Hong Chen (Institute of Basical Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College), was labelled with fluorescein isothiocyanate-deoxyuridine triphosphate (FITC-dUTP). The slides with inter-phase or metaphase spreads were treated with RNase for 40 min at 37°C and then washed in 2× saline sodium citrate (SSC) for 3 min. After dehydration through an ethanol series, the slides were incubated with pepsin for 15 min at 37°C and washed in 1× PBS for 5 min. The cells were fixed in 1% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, then washed in 1× PBS for 5 min. Following dehydration, the slides were treated in 70% formamide for 3 min at 75°C and subsequently washed twice in pre-chilled 2× SSC for 3 min. After dehydration, the cells were hybridised with labelled probes, mounted with rubber cement and incubated overnight at 37°C. The slides were then immersed in 44°C prewarmed 50% formamide for 15 min and subsequently washed twice in 2× SSC for 3 min. Following dehydration, the slides were counterstained with DAPI and mounted. The images were obtained using a fluorescent microscope equipped with the MetaMorph Imaging System (Universal Imaging Corporation).
Proliferation assay
Cells were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 800 cells/well. CellTiter 96 AQone Solution One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (Promea, Wisconsin, USA) was used to measure the cell viability during a 5-day time course according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The absorbance at 490 nm was measured daily using a microplate reader (Tecan Austria GmbH, Grödig Austria). Three independent experiments (n=3) were performed.

Drug sensitivity assay
Cells were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 4500 cells/well and incubated for 72–96 h in the presence of MTX. Cell viability was measured using CellTiter 96 AQone Solution Cell Proliferation Assay as described above. The absorbance at 490 nm was measured using a microplate reader (Tecan) to calculate IC_{50} values (n=3).

Statistical analysis
At least three independent experiments were performed for each variable. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyse differences in mean variables in control and DNA-PKcs knockdown clones. \textit{Ridit} test was used to assess differences in the \(\gamma\)H2AX signals. \(\chi^2\) analysis was used to assess differences in total MNs and NBUDs as well as signal-positive MNs and NBUDs formation rates. \(p<0.05\) was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Increased DSBs and levels of NHEJ-associated proteins are associated with the development of DM-containing MTX-resistant HT-29 cells
We have generated two HT-29 cell lines that are resistant to MTX, which selects cells that harbour amplified \textit{DHFR}: HT-29/10^{-5} mol/L MTX, which exhibits amplified \textit{DHFR} primarily in the form of HSRs, and HT-29/10^{-4} mol/L, which exhibits amplified \textit{DHFR} primarily in the form of DMS. Generation of these lines has been reported previously.\(^{20-22}\) In this study, we initially sought to examine the existence of DSBs in these cells through analysis of \(\gamma\)H2AX foci by immunocytochemistry. Cells were categorised into four different groups based on the number of \(\gamma\)H2AX foci in the nucleus: no foci, 1–15 foci, 16–30 foci and >30 foci (\textit{figure 1A}). Using the \textit{Ridit} test, we found that \(\gamma\)H2AX foci formation was significantly increased in both the HSR-containing cells (HT-29/10^{-5} mol/L MTX) (\(p<0.05\)) and the DM-containing cells (HT-29/10^{-4} mol/L MTX) (\(p<0.05\)) compared with parental cells (\textit{figure 1B}). This indicates that MTX-induced formation of DMS and HSRs in HT-29 cells is associated with increased DSBs.

KU70, KU86 and DNA-PKcs are key proteins involved in NHEJ. Thus, we examined whether expression of these proteins is altered in DM-containing and HSR-containing HT-29 cells. By immunoblot analysis, we found that HSR-containing cells showed no noticeable change in levels of these proteins (\textit{figure 1C}). However, the DM-containing cells showed significant increase in DNA-PKcs expression (\textit{figure 1C}, right panel). KU70 and KU86 expression was also increased but did not reach significance. This suggests that the NHEJ contributes to the development of DM-containing but not HSR-containing MTX-resistant HT-29 cells.

Inhibition of NHEJ significantly decreases gene amplification in DM-containing MTX-resistant HT-29 cells
As described above, we found that increased DSBs as well as increased levels of the NHEJ-associated proteins, particularly DNA-PKcs, are associated with the development of DM-containing MTX-resistant HT-29 cells that exhibit \textit{DHFR} amplification. We then tested whether inhibition of NHEJ results in decreased gene amplification. To do so, we depleted DNA-PKcs from DM-containing MTX-resistant cells by shRNA transfection and assessed gene amplification by real-time PCR and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analyses. As shown in \textit{figure 2A}, we developed two clones of DM-containing MTX-resistant cells that were efficiently depleted of DNA-PKcs following stable transfection with DNA-PKcs shRNA (\textit{figure 2A}). We then examined whether these cells have impaired NHEJ repair pathway through analysis of their \(\gamma\)H2AX foci levels. We found that DNA-PKcs-depleted cells have significantly (\(p<0.05\), \textit{Ridit} test) increased levels of DSBs (\textit{figure 2B}), indicating that depletion of DNA-PKcs caused impairment of the NHEJ repair pathway in these cells. To examine the effect of DNA-PKcs depletion on gene amplification, real-time PCR for \textit{DHFR} was performed. As shown in \textit{figure 2C}, there was a significant (\(p<0.001\)) decrease in \textit{DHFR} amplification in DNA-PKcs-depleted cells compared with control cells. Consistent with these data, we found that the level of \textit{DHFR} protein was also significantly decreased in cells depleted of DNA-PKcs (\textit{figure 2D}). In addition, FISH analysis using metaphase spreads revealed a dramatic decrease in \textit{DHFR} amplification in cells depleted of DNA-PKcs (\textit{figure 2E}). Interestingly, \textit{DHFR} amplification (red) in the DNA-PKcs knockdown cells was lost in DMS. Instead, \textit{DHFR} amplification occurred in HSRs on chromosome 5 (\textit{figure 2E}, 2nd and 3rd panels) as indicated by colocalisation of \textit{DHFR} amplification with centromere staining (green) of chromosome 5. We also analysed at least 50 karyotypes from each of the control and DNA-PKcs-depleted cells and further found that HSRs became the major cytogenetic manifestation of the amplified \textit{DHFR} in DNA-PKcs knockdown cells (\textit{figure 2F}). These findings support the notion that DNA-PKcs is important in the development of DMS and suggest that NHEJ contributes to \textit{DHFR} amplification through the formation of DMSs.

We then investigated whether inhibition of NHEJ by depleting DNA-PKcs generally reduces the incidence of cytogenetically manifested gene amplifications in MTX-resistant cells. By comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) array, we found that \textit{ZFYVE16}, \textit{MSH3}, \textit{CCNH}, \textit{GLRX} and \textit{CAST} colocalised with \textit{DHFR} within the same amplicon on chromosome 5 in HSR-containing cells but only \textit{ZFYVE16} and \textit{MSH3} showed similar colocalisation in DM-containing cells. \textit{RAD1} and \textit{PLK2} are not amplified on chromosome 5 during the development of MTX resistance, and thus, were used as negative controls (data not shown). Consistent with this finding, FISH analysis showed that \textit{ZFYVE16} and \textit{MSH3} colocalised with \textit{DHFR} within the same amplicon on chromosome 5 in HSR-containing cells but only \textit{ZFYVE16} and \textit{MSH3} showed similar colocalisation in DM-containing cells. However, the amplification of these genes was not affected. Thus, our results suggest that inhibition of NHEJ by knocking down DNA-PKcs reduces gene amplification in DMS of MTX-resistant cells.

Inhibition of NHEJ has no effect on gene amplification in HSR-containing MTX-resistant cells
There was no noticeable change in levels of NHEJ-associated proteins (KU70, KU86 and DNA-PKcs) in HSR-containing cells (\textit{figure 1C}). Therefore, we speculated that NHEJ is not involved...
in gene amplification in these cells. To investigate this possibility, we used two clones of HSR-containing MTX-resistant cells that were efficiently depleted of DNA-PKcs (particularly clone 2) following stable transfection with DNA-PKcs shRNA (figure 3A). Analysis for γH2AX foci showed that DNA-PKcs-depleted cells (clone 2) had significantly (p<0.05 by the Ridit test) increased level of unrepaired DSBs compared with control (figure 3B). This indicates that depletion of DNA-PKcs also causes impairment of the NHEJ pathway in HSR-containing cells. However, real-time PCR analysis showed that depletion of DNA-PKcs does not affect DHFR amplification in HSR-containing cells (figure 3C). Immunoblot analysis further showed no difference in DHFR protein level between control and DNA-PKcs-depleted cells (figure 3D). As expected, the cytogenetic manifestation of DHFR amplification was HSRs on chromosome 5 in both control and DNA-PKcs-depleted cells (figure 3E). Therefore, DNA-PKcs depletion has no significant effect on DHFR amplification in HSR-containing cells.

The DNA levels of RAD1, PLK2, ZFYVE16, MSH3, CCNH, GLRX and CAST were then assessed. We found that there were no significant alternations in the levels of these genes in DNA-PKcs knockdown cells compared with control (figure 3F). FISH analysis showed that, as in DMs, ZFYVE16 and MSH3 colocalised with DHFR within the same HSRs (see online supplementary figure S1B). Taken together, these further indicate that inhibition of NHEJ by depletion of DNA-PKcs does not affect gene amplification in HSR-containing cells.

Previously, breakage–fusion–bridge (B/F/B) cycles have been associated with low-copy gene amplification in human cancer cells.23 Consistent with this observation, we found that HSR-containing MTX-resistant cells exhibited mitotically unstable dicentric chromosomes (see online supplementary figure S2A) and nucleoplasmic bridges (see online supplementary figure S2B), which are typical structural features of B/F/B cycles. This suggests that B/F/B cycles may be associated with gene amplification during the formation of HSRs. However,
since inhibition of NHEJ by DNA-PKcs depletion has no effect on gene amplification in HSR-containing cells, it is conceivable that NHEJ does not contribute to the end-to-end fusion of sister chromatids during B/F/B cycles.

Inhibition of NHEJ eliminates the amplified DHFR through MNs and NBUDs in DM-containing MTX-resistant cells

To determine whether the decrease in gene amplification resulting from inhibition of DNA-PKcs can be attributed to elimination via...
MNs and NBUDs, DM-containing cells were treated with NU7026, a specific inhibitor of DNA-PK, and harvested after 1, 3 and 5 days of treatment. FISH analysis of metaphase spreads using BAC containing the DHFR revealed that the DHFR copy number in the 3-day and 5-day treatments was significantly (p<0.05) reduced compared with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)-treated control group (figure 4A). We then counted and classified at least 50 interphase nuclei by FISH analysis. The nuclei were categorised into nuclei with (i) no MNs or NBUDs, (ii) MNs or NBUDs with DHFR signal and (iii) MNs or NBUDs without DHFR signal (figure 4B). The percentages of nuclei belonging to each category are shown in figure 4C. Determination of the formation of MNs and NBUDs by $x^2$ analysis showed a significant (p<0.05) increase in the transient 3-day and 5-day treatment groups compared with the dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)-treated control group. Furthermore, the number of MNs and NBUDs with DHFR was also increased compared with the control group. Since the formation of MNs and NBUDs corresponds to amplified DNA elimination, our data further suggest that inhibition of DNA-PKcs promotes the elimination of amplified DHFR via increases in MNs and NBUDs in DM-containing cells. Interestingly, we observed that stable depletion of DNA-PKcs resulted in a significant (p<0.01) decrease in the formation of MNs and NBUDs (see online supplementary figure S3).

Figure 3  Inhibition of non-homologous end joining has no effect on gene amplification in homogeneously staining region (HSR)-containing methotrexate (MTX)-resistant cells. (A) Immunoblot analysis showing decreased DNA-PKcs level in DNA-PKcs-depleted clones. GAPDH was used as a loading control. (B) The proportion of HSR-containing cells (with and without DNA-PKcs depletion) in each γH2AX foci category is shown. *p<0.05. (C) Amplification of DHFR in HSR-containing cells (with and without DNA-PKcs depletion) was examined by real-time PCR; p<0.05 by ANOVA. (D) Immunoblot for DHFR in HSR-containing cells. GAPDH was used as a loading control. (E) FISH analysis of metaphase spreads of H SR-containing cells using BACs containing human DHFR or centromere of chromosome 5 as probes (red, DHFR; green, centromere of chromosome 5; blue, 4',6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole). (F) Real-time PCR analysis of RAD1, PLK2, ZFYVE16, MSH3, CCNH, GLRX and CAST amplification in HSR-containing control and DNA-PKcs knockdown cells.
Inhibition of NHEJ decreases MTX resistance and proliferation in MTX-resistant cells

As DHFR amplification is the main mechanism that underlies MTX resistance, we examined the effect of DNA-PKcs depletion on the MTX sensitivity of MTX-resistant DM-containing and HSR-containing cells. By MTS assay, we found that DM-containing cells depleted of DNA-PKcs had 4.8-fold to 8.8-fold decrease in IC50 values compared with the control (table 1). However, in HSR-containing cells, depletion of DNA-PKcs resulted only in a onefold to twofold decrease in IC50 values compared with the control (table 1). These results indicate that DNA-PKcs depletion renders higher MTX sensitivity in DM-containing cells compared with HSR-containing cells. We then examined the effect of DNA-PKcs depletion on the proliferation of MTX-resistant DM-containing and HSR-containing cells. As shown in figure 5, DNA-PKcs depletion caused reduced proliferation of both DM-containing (figure 5A) and HSR-containing (figure 5B) cells.

**DISCUSSION**

Cytogenetic studies have identified two types of gene amplification, intrachromosomal HSRs and extrachromosomal DMs. Although these two genetic structures have been suggested to be associated with increased DSBs and subsequent NHEJ repair process, the precise mechanism underlying the formation of HSRs and DMs remains to be investigated. Using a method that has been described previously, we have generated two HT-29 colon cancer cell lines that are resistant to different concentrations of MTX: (i) a 10−5 mol/L resistant line that harbours amplified DHFR primarily in HSRs and (ii) a 10−4 mol/L resistant line that harbours amplified DHFR primarily in DMs. These two cell lines serve as model systems that provided us a unique opportunity to study the molecular mechanism by which HSRs and DMs are formed and how they relate to the development of dose-dependent MTX resistance.

**Table 1** Methotrexate (MTX) sensitivity of double minute (DM)-containing and homogeneously staining region (HSR)-containing cells, with or without DNA-PKcs depletion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell</th>
<th>IC50 (mM)</th>
<th>Fold change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DM-containing control</td>
<td>0.049195</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shDNA-PKcs 1</td>
<td>0.010174</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shDNA-PKcs 2</td>
<td>0.005578</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSR-containing control</td>
<td>0.014116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shDNA-PKcs 1</td>
<td>0.013980</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shDNA-PKcs 2</td>
<td>0.006982</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Initially, we examined whether MTX causes DSBs and whether the two MTX-resistant cell lines exhibit altered levels of NHEJ-associated proteins. We found that γH2AX foci formation increased in both the HSR-containing and DM-containing cells, indicating that MTX-induced formation of \DHFR\-harbouring DMs and HSRs, at least in HT-29 cells, is associated with increased DSBs and genomic instability. Our data are consistent with previous reports by Lorico et al.\cite{24} that MTX causes single-strand DNA breaks (SSBs) and DSBs, and Coquelle et al.\cite{38} proposed that MTX induces the formation of fragile sites and triggers gene amplification. We also found that HSR-containing cells showed no significant change in levels of the key NHEJ proteins, but DM-containing cells showed an increase in expression of the major NHEJ protein, DNA-PKcs, suggesting that NHEJ contributes to the development of DM-containing but not HSR-containing MTX-resistant HT-29 colon cancer cells. This may indicate differential roles of NHEJ at different stages of MTX resistance, which cytogenetically manifests as HSRs and DMs.

To investigate the differential roles of NHEJ on amplification of genes in MTX-resistant cancer cells harbouring HSRs or DMs, we analysed the effect of depleting DNA-PKcs in these cells. We demonstrated that \DHFR\ amplification was decreased in DM-containing cells and that the predominant cytogenetic manifestation changed from DMs to HSRs, whereas no change was observed in HSR-containing cells, suggesting that NHEJ contributes to the formation of DMs but not HSRs. Indeed, depletion of DNA-PKcs specifically reduced the amplification of MSH3 and ZFYVE16, which colocalise with \DHFR\ in DM-containing cells.

B/F/B cycles, which are assumed to be the primary mechanism for the formation of HSRs,\cite{23, 25–29} are triggered by a DSB. Thus, our observation that dicentric chromosome 5 and nucleoplasmic bridges exist in HSR-containing cells suggests that DSBs generated by MTX may lead to enhanced occurrence of B/F/B cycles and subsequently, the formation of HSRs harbouring \DHFR\. However, alternative mechanisms for HSR formation remain to be investigated such as the potential involvement of HR-dependent pathways\cite{30–33} when DNA-PKcs is compromised.

Different mechanisms have also been implicated in the formation of extrachromosomal DMs. For example, breakage and recombination at stalled replication forks can generate circular DNA.\cite{34, 35} DNA segments can also be excised from the chromosome (looping out mechanism) during G1 or G2 and circularise giving rise to extrachromosomal elements.\cite{36–38} In addition, genomic fragments resulting from chromosome shattering (chromothripsis) can be assembled into DMs.\cite{39, 40} In all these cases, DSBs are produced and must be repaired to generate circular elements. Previously, Storlazzi et al.\cite{38} found nucleotide microhomologies in amplicon junctions in DMs that have been shown to be used in the fusion of amplicon ends.\cite{41} This suggests that DNA circularisation in DMs is mediated by an abnormal NHEJ repair pathway. In the current study, we have demonstrated increased expression of NHEJ proteins in DM-containing cells, and that depletion of DNA-PKcs in these cells caused a decrease in \DHFR\ amplification and disappearance of DMs. These findings indicate a crucial role for NHEJ in the formation of DMs in MTX-resistant cells. Thus, while previous DNA sequencing analysis has implicated NHEJ in the formation of DMs,\cite{38, 41} in the current study, we describe for the first time the involvement of the NHEJ pathway in the formation of DMs. We propose that NHEJ, which is known to play a critical role in DNA repair,\cite{42} is involved in the later stage (ie, formation of DMs) but not in the early stage (ie, formation of HSRs) of gene amplification.

Previous reports have shown that DMs may undergo selective elimination from cells if DNA damage in DMs is induced by low concentrations of a replication inhibitor such as hydroxyurea or gemcitabine hydrochloride (GEM) or by low-dose radiation therapy.\cite{19, 43–45} Here, we demonstrate for the first time that \NU7026\, a DNA-PK inhibitor, can inhibit DSB repair in chromosomes and DMs and can contribute to a transient increase in the formation of NBUDs or MNs which correlates with amplified DNA elimination. Interestingly, we observed that stable depletion of DNA-PKcs in DM-containing cells resulted in decreased number of NBUDs and MNs. This may reflect decreased number of DMs in these cells compared with \NU7026\-treated cells and thus, have decreased demand for the formation of NBUDs and MNs to eliminate DMs. Nonetheless, our data support the requirement for DNA-PKcs and thus, NHEJ in the formation of DMs.

In DM-containing cells, we also found that depletion of DNA-PKcs resulted in considerably increased sensitivity to MTX. This is consistent with previous reports indicating that inhibition of DNA-PKcs can confer increased sensitivity to DNA-damaging agents.\cite{46, 47} Conversely, HSR-containing cells showed only a modest increase in sensitivity to MTX following DNA-PKcs depletion. Interestingly, both DM-containing and HSR-containing cells exhibited decreased proliferation upon DNA-PKcs depletion. This is supported by data presented by An et al.\cite{48} showing decreased proliferation of DM-containing cells with silenced DNA-PKcs. We presume that in
DM-containing cells, increased sensitivity to MTX following inhibition or depletion of DNA-PKcs is a result of DHFR elimination and reduced proliferation. However, the fact that DNA-PKcs depletion has no effect on DHFR dosage in HSR-containing cells suggests the differential involvement of NHEJ in MTX-resistant cancers. Together, we provide insight on the potential for NHEJ as a target in the development of personalised medicine.
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