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Truncating mutations in TAF4B and ZMYND15 causing recessive azoospermia
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ABSTRACT

Background Azoospermia is the absence of a measurable level of spermatozoa in the semen. It affects approximately 1% of all men, and the genetic basis of the majority of idiopathic cases is unknown. We investigated two unrelated consanguineous families with idiopathic azoospermia. In family 1, there were three azoospermic brothers and one oligozoospermic brother; and in family 2, there were three azoospermic brothers. Tests biopsy in the brothers in family 2 had led to the diagnosis of maturation arrest in the spermatid stage.

Methods Candidate disease loci were found via linkage mapping using data from single nucleotide polymorphism genome scans. Exome sequencing was applied to find the variants at the loci.

Results We identified two candidate loci in each family and homozygous truncating mutations p.R611X in TAF4B in family 1 and p.K507fs*3 in ZMYND15 in family 2. We did not detect any mutations in these genes in a cohort of 45 azoospermic and 15 family 2, there were three azoospermic brothers. Tests biopsy in the brothers in family 2 had led to the diagnosis of maturation arrest in the spermatid stage.

Conclusions Both genes are known to have roles in spermatogenesis in mice but neither has been studied in humans. To our knowledge, they are the first genes identified for recessive idiopathic spermatogenic failure in men. Assuming that recessive genes for isolated azoospermia are as numerous in men as in mice, each gene is possibly responsible for only a small fraction of all cases.

INTRODUCTION

Azoospermia is the absence of a measurable amount of sperm in the ejaculate, and oligozoospermia is the term used when the number of sperm in the ejaculate is < 15 million/mL.1 2 Azoospermia affects approximately 1% of all men and can be caused by either a physical blockage in the genital tract, known as obstructive azoospermia, or spermatogenic failure, known as non-obstructive azoospermia. Isolated azoospermia results mostly from spermatogenic failure, some in pretesticular form as a result of mutations in genes related to hormones. The testicular form of isolated spermatogenic failure accounts for approximately 20% of cases of male infertility in populations of European ancestry.3 Identifying genetic factors for idiopathic male infertility will provide valuable insights into the aetiology and aid the development of targeted treatments. Several genes have been identified as responsible for the condition. The best-known gene defects are heterogeneous microdeletions at Yq11, which may involve several genes within the azoospermia factor (AZF) region;4 such microdeletions account for approximately 13% of all azoospermic or severely oligozoospermic men.5 6 Most, but not all, men carrying AZF mutations are affected. In addition, dominant mutations were identified in a few genes on the autosomes by candidate gene approach, that is, screening infertile men for mutations in genes assessed to have roles in spermatogenesis. Two of nineteen azoospermic men with sperm maturation arrest were found to carry the same truncating mutation in SYCP3 (SPGF4, MIM 270960).7 Missense mutations in NR5A1 were identified in 7 of 315 men with idiopathic spermatogenetic failure (SPGF8, MIM 613957).8 Splicing and missense mutations in KLHL10 were identified in 7 of 556 men tested (SPFG11; MIM 615081).9 CFTR has a special place among the male infertility genes because both gene mutations and polymorphisms can lead either to obstructive azoospermia due to congenital bilateral absence of the vas deferens (CBAVD, MIM 277180) or non-obstructive azoospermia.10 Variants in several other genes have been shown to be associated with an increased risk of idiopathic spermatogenetic failure, including MTHFR,11 SHBG,12 FSFR13 and YBX2,14 15 as well as TAF7L on the X-chromosome.16 Despite the many genes known to contribute to isolated testicular spermatogenetic failure, the total contribution of these genes to the condition is very small. Most of the remaining cases likely have genetic causes as well. We report here the results of a genetic study in two unrelated consanguineous families. By linkage mapping and exome sequencing analysis, we identified truncating mutations in two different genes with mouse orthologs known to have roles in spermatogenesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Families and subjects

Two unrelated families were the subjects of the search for azoospermia genes (figure 1). Family 1 was from a village where inbreeding was common, and the parents were first cousins. All four infertile brothers were available for linkage analysis and one unaffected brother for mutation testing only. None of the infertile brothers agreed to diagnostic testicular biopsy, or testicular sperm extraction (TESE) or testicular sperm aspiration (TESA) for assisted reproduction. They have been married for 23–37 years with no children except that the oligospermic brother has one child (4-years old). The unaffected brother has nine children.
In family 2, the parents were highly consanguineous, both first and second cousins, and consanguinity was reported for all marriages in previous generations. All three affected brothers, the unaffected brother, sister and mother were available for the genetic study. The affected brothers have been married for 20–26 years with no children conceived naturally. In one brother, TESA had resulted in twins, but positive results were not achieved in the others.

A cohort of 45 unrelated azoospermic men and 15 oligozoospermic men were analysed for mutations in three candidate genes. Population control samples were screened for the three candidate mutations; these samples were randomly selected from the old, unrelated DNA collection in our laboratory at Boğaziçi University and used anonymously. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. The Boğaziçi University Institutional Review Board for Research with Human Participants approved the study protocol.

Clinical investigations
Participating members of family 1 and the cohort of infertile men were clinically investigated at Dicle University Medical Faculty Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics and Urology Department. The clinical findings for the cohort were reported previously.\(^{17}\) All subjects underwent an andrologic investigation that included medical history, physical examination and measurement of hormone levels. Serum concentrations of follicle stimulating hormone (FSH), luteinising hormone and testosterone were measured by electrochemiluminescence immunoassay using Elecsys 1010 (Roche Diagnostics, Germany). Semen analysis was performed according to the WHO guidelines\(^3\) with a computer-assisted semen analysis (CASA) system (SM-CMA), and azoospermia was confirmed by semen analysis (sperm concentration, motility and morphology) under a light microscope according to the WHO guidelines.\(^3\) A diagnosis of non-obstructive azoospermia was based on clinical findings of small testicles plus an increased FSH level. Clinical evaluations for family 2 were based on medical records.

Genotyping and linkage analysis
DNA samples from the four affected brothers in family 1 were subjected to a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genome scan using the Illumina Human 610 Quad BeadChip and five samples (the mother, three affected sons and the unaffected son) from the members of family 2 using the Illumina Human 370 Quad. High parental consanguinity prompted us to assume recessive inheritance in both families. Because the original pedigrees exceeded the capacity of the programme, simplified pedigrees were used that assumed first cousin parents as the only consanguinity. The Allegro v1.2c program in the easyLINKAGE package V.5.08 was used for parametric multipoint logarithm of the odds (LOD) score calculations. We assumed full penetrance and a disease frequency of 0.0001, and selected markers at 0.07 cM intervals in sets of 50. Subsequently, a detailed linkage analysis that included all markers was performed at the loci that yielded relatively high LOD scores. Further, online tool HomozygosityMapper (http://www.homozygositymapper.org) was used to detect shared homozygosity regions >100 kb in the affected brothers. In family 2, regions of >100 SNPs, where the unaffected brother shared the homozygosity or where homozygosity, was due to deduced non-informative paternal genotypes were excluded.

Haplotypes were constructed using Allegro. SNP data were formatted on Excel sheets to confirm shared homozygosity in affected brothers. At the loci where azoospermic brothers were homozygous for haplotypes that were possibly identical by descent (IBD) in family 2 for which LOD scores did not reach significance, microsatellite markers were used to investigate descent from a recent common ancestor. The Hg19/GRCh37. p5 map was used throughout the study.

Exome sequencing analysis
DNA samples from one azoospermic man (405) in each family were subjected to exome sequencing. The captured exome library was created using an Illumina TruSeq Capture kit for family 1 and Agilent SureSelect Target Enrichment System for family 2 and sequenced on Illumina HiSeq2000 platforms for both families. Raw reads generated by sequencing were aligned to the reference genome using BWA-0.5.9, and variant calling was performed with SAMtools-0.1.14. The output variants (SNPs and indels) within regions where shared homozygosity was assessed as IBD in affected brothers (and in family 2, but not in unaffected brother) were considered. Those that were reported in the dbSNP and 1000 Genomes databases with frequencies ≥0.05 (so that a not-so-rare variant was not missed), alternative depth <60% of total depth, not validated by inspection of sequence reads by BamView, or found in other in-laboratory exome sequencing results were filtered out. The remaining novel/rare variants that were deduced to affect protein structure

---

**Figure 1** Partial pedigrees of the families. +, individuals included in genome scans; *, individual who underwent mutation testing. The oligospermic brother is shown in grey.
(missense, truncating and splicing variants) were considered and prioritised with respect to severity of the mutation, gene function, expression in relevant tissues and evidence in animal models. Predicted severity of missense/splicing and truncating mutations were investigated in silico using online tools PolyPhen, MutPred, SIFT and Mutation Taster. Candidate variants that were assessed to possibly contribute to the condition were validated by Sanger sequencing, and inheritance in other family members was investigated.

Mutational analysis
The infertile cohort was screened for mutations in the coding regions of TAF4B, ZMYND15 and SPAG7 using either single-strand conformational polymorphism assay on polyacrylamide gels or high-resolution melting curve analysis on the LightCycler 480 system (Roche Applied Science, Germany). The sizes of the PCR products for the analyses were <280 bp. A population control panel of 120 individuals was similarly screened for the mutations in each of the genes identified in the study families, which corresponded to a power of 80% to detect a normal sequence variant with a frequency of 0.01.18

RESULTS
All affected subjects had normal male karyotype and no microdeletions in the AZF region at Yq11. Serum levels were within normal ranges for luteinising hormone, prolactin and testosterone, but the FSH level was increased. Semen analysis revealed azoospermia.

Clinical findings
Family 1
Four of the eight brothers had reduced sperm levels, three with azoospermia and one with oligoazospermia (figure 1). The sperm count in the oligoazoospermic brother was 6 million/mL, and sperm morphology was normal.

Family 2
The three azoospermic brothers had undergone thorough clinical investigation, and the available medical records showed a similar clinical phenotype in all. The results of a bilateral testis biopsy led to the diagnosis of spermatogenesis arrest or, more specifically, maturation arrest in the spermatid stage. There were spermatogoniums and spermatocytes in phase I and phase II but very few spermatids and no spermiums in the lumen of germ epithelia. Tubulus membranes were partly normal and partly thickened, to the greatest extent in 403. Thickening was so excessive in some regions that the tubules were blocked.

Genetic analyses
The results of the multipoint LOD score calculations are presented in online supplementary figure S1, the candidate loci in table 1, the haplotypes at candidate loci in online supplementary table S1 and the candidate gene variants in online supplementary table S2.

Family 1
Multipoint LOD scores reached 3.01 at 4p16 for the assumed pedigree (see online supplementary figure S1), and haplotype analysis revealed a shared homozygosity region of 14 Mb in the affected brothers (see online supplementary table S1). At 18p11.21–18q21.1, the locus with the next highest score (2.98), shared homozygosity region was 0.23 Mb. A third region at 7q22.1 yielded a score of 2.80, where shared homozygosity was 0.44 Mb. A more detailed linkage analysis using all markers yielded a maximum LOD score of 3.01 at all three loci (table 1). Haplotype analysis showed that the haplotypes were compatible with IBD from a recent common ancestor at those loci but not at the other three loci that yielded scores >2 (see online supplementary table S1). It seemed that two crossovers in patient 407 at 18q11.11 resulted in a small region (0.23 Mb) of homozygosity. Noticing many such small regions of homozygosity throughout a region of 30.19 Mb at 18p11.21–q12.3 and hypothesising that it could be any of those regions where the putative crossovers occurred, we detected all those small regions using online tool Homozygosity Mapper. A similar situation was noted in a 35.15 Mb region at 7q11.21–22.1, where the maximal LOD score was 2.8 only for a small region (0.44 Mb). Also, a 0.39 Mb region at 5p15.31 where linkage analysis did not yield a significant LOD score was found by Homozygosity Mapper.

The exome sequencing output listed in total 88 variants at the candidate loci, and all but two of them were filtered out for various reasons: 66 because they had frequencies ≥0.05, 10 were in intergenic or intronic regions or in ncRNA genes, and 10 in UTR regions. The two remaining variants (see online supplementary table S2) were rare missense NM_153717.2 (EVC):c.1369G>A (p.E457K) at the larger candidate locus 4p16.2 and novel nonsense variant NM_005640.1(TAF4B):c.1831C>T (p.R611X) at 18q11.2 (see online supplementary figure S2). A similar analysis was performed for the three loci with many small regions of shared homozygosity, and 27 variants remained after filtering potentially deleterious variants with allele frequencies <0.05 and alternative depth/total depth ratios >0.6. We investigated whether any of those variants was in a homozygous region, and no new candidates were found. Online tools predicted the variant in EVC as rather benign, and the gene is responsible for recessive skeletal dysplasia (OMIM 225500) and dominant acrofacial bone development (OMIM 193530). In contrast, the other variant is a deleterious, severe mutation in a gene with a role in spermatogenesis in mice. All brothers with compromised fertility were homozygous for it, whereas the unaffected brother tested was heterozygous, and it was not found in the control samples. We concluded that the mutation underlies the disorder in the family.

Family 2
Five loci yielded initial multipoint LOD scores >2 for the assumed pedigree (see online supplementary figure S1), and haplotype analysis did not support IBD in two of them. Detailed analysis at the remaining three loci yielded LOD scores around 2.5 (table 1). At locus 17pter-p22.1, shared homozygosity in the infertile brothers was approximately 7.2 Mb. At 14q11.2–12, the maximal shared homozygosity was approximately 2.8 Mb (see online supplementary table S1). Genotyping with microsatellite markers confirmed possible IBD at both loci. The shared parental haplotype at the remaining locus was very small (<300 kb), indicating that it was likely a common haplotype in the inbred family.19 No other candidate locus possibly IBD in the affected brothers but not in the unaffected one was detected with the online tool used.

The exome sequencing output listed a total of 824 variants at the candidate loci, all but three of which were filtered out for various reasons: 592 because they were in intergenic or intronic regions or in ncRNAs, 110 had alternative depths <60% of the total depth, 15 were in UTR regions, 57 were synonymous, 43 had frequencies ≥0.05, 3 evaluated as false calls after inspection with BamView and 1 was found in other in-laboratory exome sequence samples. Two of the three candidate variants remaining, all at 17p (see online supplementary table S2), were

Non-sense mutation TAF4B p.R611X in exon 9 is deduced to truncate the protein product of the validated isoform by 252 residues (the native isoform has 862 amino acids). The truncated protein lacks the histone fold domain (residues 653–702) that increases the DNA binding activity of TAFs and the TAF12 interaction domain (residues 830–862) that facilitates interaction of the protein with TAF12, which is essential for DNA binding at the core promoters of several genes.  

Mutation ZMYND15 p.K507Sfs*3 in exon 9 is deduced to shift the translational reading frame and lead to premature termination after the synthesis of two non-native amino acids in all three validated protein isoforms; the mutant protein isoforms lack 236 or 244 native residues (reference isoforms have 742, 703 or 750 amino acids). The truncated proteins lack the Pro-rich domain essential for binding of some signal transduction and cytoskeleton proteins.

Studies on mouse knockout models have contributed substantially to the identification of genes involved in spermatogenesis, and human orthologs of several such genes were later shown to be associated with human infertility. TAF4B and ZMYND15 identified here are now added to the list of such genes. TAF4B has the additional feature that knockout female mice are also infertile due to folliculogenesis failure. Future studies could unravel whether defects in the gene also lead to infertility in human females. Male mice deficient in either Taf4b or Zmynd15 exhibit misregulation of several genes required for normal spermatogenesis.

Table 1: The candidate loci where only the affected brothers in the respective families are homozygous for a haplotype possibly IBD from a recent ancestor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>LOD score</th>
<th>Shared patient haplotype</th>
<th>Ends (bp)</th>
<th>Size (Mb)</th>
<th>Shared parental haplotype</th>
<th>Begins (bp)</th>
<th>Ends (bp)</th>
<th>Size (Mb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4p16.2</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>rs4689888 (4 569 460)</td>
<td>rs1779675 (6 449 514)</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>rs4689888 (4 569 460)</td>
<td>rs1400931 (18 752 731)</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7q22.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>rs4263541 (98 830 439)</td>
<td>rs28635067 (99 272 310)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>rs4729320 (96 551 820)</td>
<td>rs6967487 (99 602 191)</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5p15.31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>rs1869893 (8 473 747)</td>
<td>rs17225452 (8 873 310)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>Same as in patients (three different haplotypes)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18q11.1</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>rs2331092 (23 797 853)</td>
<td>rs1609839 (24 027 419)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>rs1295318 (11 643 985)</td>
<td>rs12456229 (75 118 412)</td>
<td>63.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17pter-p22.1</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>pter (0)</td>
<td>rs3744005 (7 193 255)</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>Same as in patients</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14q11.2–12</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>rs4010057 (23 506 110)</td>
<td>rs2332572 (26 341 293)</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>rs8010057 (23 506 110)</td>
<td>rs1952267 (29 889 699)</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7q36.2</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>rs4621714 (153 356 432)</td>
<td>rs10229774 (153 652 188)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>Same as in patients</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*LOD scores obtained after detailed calculations are given in parentheses. IBD, identical by descent; LOD, logarithm of the odds.
exclusively in haploid germ cells.\textsuperscript{22} We found that, in men, the highest expression of validated isoforms 1 and 2 was in testis and much lower in the other tissues tested (see online supplementary figure S3). Yan \textit{et al} found also that \textit{Zmynd15} expression was during late spermatogenesis, and the protein product was a transcriptional repressor essential for spermiogenesis.\textsuperscript{22} \textit{Zmynd15}-deficient mice displayed late spermatogenic disruption. Thus, the phenotype of the brothers with homozygous \textit{ZMYND15} mutation presented here is compatible with \textit{ZMYND15} deficiency.

Male infertility is a common and socially important health issue, and isolated spermatogenetic failure contributes substantially to the condition. The best-known genetic cause of isolated spermatogenetic failure is Yq11 microdeletions, and heterozygous mutations or polymorphisms in a few other genes have been described. Considering that more than 2300 genes are estimated to play a role in human spermatogenesis\textsuperscript{27} and that knockout mouse models indicate that close to 400 genes are involved in spermatogenesis,\textsuperscript{28,29} the number of known genes with roles in monogenic inheritance for spermatogenetic failure in men is very small. Perhaps because most research has been conducted on mainly outbred populations, no recessive genes for idiopathic azoospermia had been identified up to now. We have identified two such genes, but neither seems to be responsible for the condition in any of the 60 idiopathic azoospermic/oligozoospermic subjects who originated from eastern Turkey, a region with a high level of inbreeding. Testing a larger group of men with idiopathic spermatogenetic failure could perhaps identify other causative mutations.

Genetic studies on spermatogenetic failure in man are not simple because the trait is practically lethal since the affected individuals do not reproduce. A very large family is needed to localise a dominant trait, and consanguinity is very helpful in the case of a recessive trait. Perhaps because such families are scarce in western countries where most infertility studies are conducted, the traditional strategy used for gene identification (gene localisation and subsequent candidate gene approach) has not been applied, but rather particular genes have been screened for mutations in infertile men. The two genes we identified for spermatogenetic failure are the first recessive genes identified for this disorder, and, in the near future, the powerful new generation genetic technologies could facilitate the identification of other recessive genes involved in spermatogenesis, as was the case for CATSPER1, which was identified by linkage mapping in two small families with a total of three members with reduced sperm motility, low sperm count and increased abnormally structured spermatozoa.\textsuperscript{30} Nonetheless, our findings that \textit{TAF4B} and \textit{ZMYND15} are responsible for spermatogenetic failure in men can help to unravel the roles of these genes in male fertility and are expected to stimulate new research on the genes. Our findings that none of the presumably infertile men with idiopathic azoospermia we tested carried mutations in any of these genes support the hypothesis that the genes that are responsible for the disorder are numerous and each gene is responsible for only a small fraction of cases. It seems that the challenge for genetic studies on male infertility will persist in the near future.
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