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ABSTRACT

Background Chromosome 15q24 microdeletion syndrome is a rare genomic disorder characterised by intellectual disability, growth retardation, unusual facial morphology and other anomalies. To date, 20 patients have been reported; 18 have had detailed breakpoint analysis.

Aim To further delineate the features of the 15q24 microdeletion syndrome, the clinical and molecular characterisation of fifteen patients with deletions in the 15q24 region was performed, nearly doubling the number of reported patients.

Methods Breakpoints were characterised using a custom, high-density array comparative hybridisation platform, and detailed phenotype information was collected for each patient.

Results Nine distinct deletions with different breakpoints ranging in size from 266 kb to 3.75 Mb were identified. The majority of breakpoints lie within segmental duplication (SD) blocks. Low sequence identity and large intervals of unique sequence between SD blocks likely contribute to the rarity of 15q24 deletions, which occur 8–10 times less frequently than 1q21 or 1q13 microdeletions in our series. Two small, atypical deletions were identified within the region that help delineate the critical region for the core phenotype in the 15q24 microdeletion syndrome.

Conclusion The molecular characterisation of these patients suggests that the core cognitive features of the 15q24 microdeletion syndrome, including developmental delays and severe speech problems, are largely due to deletion of genes in a 1.1–Mb critical region. However, genes just distal to the critical region also play an important role in cognition and in the development of characteristic facial features associated with 15q24 deletions. Clearly, deletions in the 15q24 region are variable in size and extent. Knowledge of the breakpoints and size of deletion combined with the natural history and medical problems of our patients provide insights that will inform management guidelines. Based on common phenotypic features, all patients with 15q24 microdeletions should receive a thorough neurodevelopmental evaluation, physical, occupational and speech therapies, and regular audiologic and ophthalmologic screening.

INTRODUCTION

The introduction of genome-wide approaches to identify deletions and duplications throughout the human genome has facilitated the discovery of numerous novel causes for intellectual disability (ID), autism, and other developmental disorders.1 2 In the clinical work-up of undiagnosed intellectual disability, array comparative genomic hybridisation (aCGH) has the ability to make a diagnosis in 10–30% of cases. Recently, an international consensus has been reached that chromosomal microarray should be a first-tier clinical diagnostic test for individuals with developmental disabilities or congenital anomalies.3 It has also been shown that in many patients abnormal chromosomal microarray testing influences medical care by precipitating specialty referral, diagnostic imaging, or specific laboratory testing.4 For patients with well known classical microdeletion syndromes such as 22q11.2 deletion syndrome, Prader-Willi syndrome and Williams syndrome, extensive data exist on clinical features observed in patients, and management guidelines have been developed. For newly discovered microdeletion/duplication syndromes, case reports and family support groups such as Unique, the Rare Chromosome Disorder Support Group (http://www.rarechromo.org), offer limited resources. Systematic characterisation of newly reported patients provides much needed information for clinicians and patients.

Recurrent microdeletion of chromosome 15q24 was described as a new genomic disorder after identification of patients with overlapping deletions, intellectual disability and similar clinical features.5 The 15q24 region is a complex genomic region with at least five segmental duplication (SD) blocks, also known as low copy repeats. These SD blocks, referred to as breakpoints A, B, C, D, and E, have varying amounts and degrees of sequence similarity to one another and can facilitate non-allelic homologous recombination (NAHR) at meiosis, leading to deletion of the intervening sequence. NAHR between different SD blocks can lead to deletions of various sizes and with different breakpoints. To date, 18 patients with 15q24 deletions and detailed breakpoint analysis have been described in the medical literature.6–17 A characteristic 15q24
phenotype has been delineated with major features that include growth retardation, microcephaly, dysmorphic facial features, genital anomalies, and digital anomalies. Here we report clinical and molecular data for 15 patients with deletions in the 15q24 region, nearly doubling the number of reported patients. Among these, there are nine distinct deletions with different breakpoints, and two patients carry small, atypical deletions within the region that help delineate the critical region for core phenotypes in the 15q24 microdeletion syndrome. Finally, we offer recommendations for evaluation and management of patients with 15q24 deletion syndrome.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS
Study subjects
Fifteen individuals (10 males and five females) with a 15q24 deletion were included in this study. Fourteen cases were initially ascertained based on clinical aCGH or single nucleotide polymorphism microarray analysis. The deletion in patient 15 was initially identified using a custom bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) array as previously described. Clinical information and facial photographs were obtained from the referring clinicians or families. Several of the patients met through the ‘Unique’ support group and shared information between themselves and their clinicians. This study was approved by institutional review boards at the University of Washington, Rhode Island Hospital, and Spokane.

Molecular studies
Refinement of the 15q24 deletion intervals in 15/15 cases for which DNA was available was conducted using a custom high density oligonucleotide array with 7450 probes in the 15q24 region (hg18, chr15: 69,000,000–77,000,000) with an average probe spacing of 1074 bp. When possible, parent-of-origin studies were performed using microsatellite markers within the deleted region.

RESULTS
Identification of novel 15q24 deletions
We report 15 patients with deletions in the 15q24 region (table 1, figure 1). Deletions range from 266 kb to 3.75 Mb in size. The majority of deletions have both breakpoints in one of the SD blocks in this region of chromosome 15. We have adopted the nomenclature put forth by El-Hattab and colleagues5 for the SD blocks in the region and will refer to them as breakpoints A–E (figure 1, table 1). Four patients have nearly identical 2.6 Mb deletions with breakpoints in A and C, and four patients have 3.1 Mb deletions with breakpoints in A and D. In addition, we identified deletions with breakpoints in B and D (n=1), B and E (n=1), and C and D (n=1). Four patients have atypical deletions with only one or no breakpoints within segmental duplications (figure 1, table 1).

Atypical deletions
We identified four atypical deletions that have not yet been reported in the literature. Patient 1 has a large de novo deletion with both breakpoints in unique sequence. The proximal breakpoint in this patient is in unique sequence proximal to breakpoint A, and the distal breakpoint lies between B and C. The deletion carried by patient 11 has a proximal breakpoint in B and distal breakpoint that is distal to D. The smallest deletion we detected was a de novo 266 kb deletion in patient 15 that involves only five genes (COMMD4, NEIL1, MAN2C1, SIN3A, and PTPN9) within the region between breakpoints C and D. Sequencing the breakpoints of this small deletion revealed that it likely occurred by Alu-Alu recombination (figure 2). Finally, we identified one severely affected patient (patient 15) with a clinical diagnosis of Johanson-Blizzard syndrome who has a 470 kb atypical distal 15q24 deletion that is within the region between D and E but has breakpoints in unique sequence. The significance of this deletion is unclear, and parents were unavailable to determine inheritance. In addition, patient 14 has a small, de novo deletion with breakpoints at C and D (chr15: 73.38–73.88 Mb); although both breakpoints are in SD blocks, this deletion has not been previously reported to our knowledge.

Clinical details of the study subjects
We were able to obtain clinical information for all 15 patients (table 2, figure 3). In order to assess for possible genotype–phenotype correlations, we grouped patients according to deletion size and location. We will first discuss features in patients whose deletion includes the proposed critical region between breakpoints B–C (patients 2–12). We will then consider patients whose deletion includes only the region between breakpoints C–D (patient 15 and 14). Finally, we consider clinical features in patient 1, whose deletion involves only the proximal 300 kb of the B–C region. Because the inheritance—and therefore clinical significance—of the deletion in patient 15 is not known, we will not discuss this patient further.

Deletions involving region B–C
Eleven patients had deletions including the 1.1 Mb proposed critical region between breakpoints B–C. The subjects were diagnosed from immediately after birth to young adulthood. Most were born full term after uncomplicated pregnancies. In the newborn period, several had feeding difficulties or failure to thrive. One patient was born with Pierre Robin sequence and required prolonged neonatal intensive care unit stay.

In terms of development, the majority of patients had motor delays. For eight patients who reported the age at which independent walking was achieved, this milestone was achieved between 18 and 33 months (average 26 months). Ten of 11 patients had significant expressive speech delay with three described as non-verbal. Intellectual disability ranged from mild to severe. Dysmorphic facial features included high anterior hairline, prominent forehead, and epicanthal folds. Four patients had deep-set eyes. Digital anomalies included short fifth fingers with fourth finger camptodactyly in one patient and thenar hypoplasia in another. Three patients were described as having long fingers.

Neurologically, several patients had hypotonia. Seven of 11 patients in this group had had a brain MRI; six had normal results. One patient had subtle abnormalities in the frontal lobe.

Table 1
Frequency of 15q24 deletions with different breakpoints

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breakpoints</th>
<th>Deletion size</th>
<th>N (this study)</th>
<th>N (literature)6 8 10–14</th>
<th>Total N</th>
<th>Size, identity of flanking SDs*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A–C</td>
<td>2.6 Mb</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25 kb, &gt;98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A–D</td>
<td>3.1 Mb</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21 kb, 94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B–D</td>
<td>1.7 Mb</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B–E</td>
<td>3.8 Mb</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42 kb, &gt;95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C–D</td>
<td>500 kb</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27 kb, &gt;93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on NCBI Build 36/hg18, the size and percentage identity of the longest stretch of directly oriented, highly homologous sequence is listed.

Figure 1  High density array comparative genomic hybridisation (aCGH) data for the 15q24 region (NCBI Build 36, chr15:69,500,000–76,500,000 shown) for 13 new patients. Breakpoints are labelled as breakpoints A through E as described in the text. For each individual, deviations of probe log₂ ratios from zero are depicted by vertical grey/black lines, with those exceeding a threshold of 1.5 standard deviations from the mean probe ratio coloured green and red to represent relative gains (duplications) and losses (deletions), respectively. Genes are depicted in blue below the aCGH data. The red bars represent the deletions for two patients (9 and 10) for which additional DNA was unavailable as well as previously published cases. The breakpoints are as described in clinical reports (patients 9 or 10) or within the publications noted.
Two patients had reported at least one seizure. Three patients were diagnosed with autism. Of note, seven patients in this group had eye or vision abnormalities including strabismus \( (n=3) \), blindness \( (n=1) \), anisocoria \( (n=2) \), and coloboma \( (n=1) \). Four patients suffered from hearing loss, and six reported recurrent ear infections, ear effusions or ear tubes.

Cardiac evaluations were performed in seven patients. One patient had pulmonic stenosis, and another had a patent foramen ovale, patent ductus arteriosus, and peripheral pulmonic stenosis. Though genital abnormalities have been commonly reported in patients with 15q24 deletions, only 1/8 males with deletions involving region B−C had hypospadias. One female patient had an imperforate anus. A few of the patients had endocrine problems. One patient had delayed puberty and insulin dependent diabetes, and another patient had acanthosis nigricans. Two patients had obesity later in life.

Deletions involving only region C−D

Two patients were found to have small, previously unreported deletions between breakpoints C and D. As described above (Atypical deletions), patient 13 has a de novo 266 kb deletion that involves only five genes. Patient 14 has a de novo 500 kb deletion with breakpoints in the flanking SDs. Both of these patients have borderline to mild intellectual disability with IQ scores of 65 and 75, respectively. In addition, although patient 13 did not speak until age 4, both patients now have reasonable speech and communication skills. Interestingly, both patients have dysmorphic features similar to patients with deletions of the B−C region including large forehead, and both have short fifth fingers.

**Patient 1: proximal deletion**

Patient 1 has a 2.57 Mb deletion that begins proximal to BP-A and extends only 300 kb into the 1.1 Mb critical region. She presented with mild motor delays, an IQ of 47 at 15 years of age, dysmorphic features (figure 3), periventricular nodular heterotopia, and brachydactyly type E. The brachydactyly was not present in other family members, suggesting that one or more genes in the large, proximal deletion may be responsible.

**Inheritance**

In 11 cases, parents were available for analysis, and in each case the deletion was de novo. In four cases, we were unable to determine inheritance. In addition, we determined that the deletion originated on the maternal chromosome in three cases. We were unable to determine parent of origin for the remaining cases.

**DISCUSSION**

The 15q24 microdeletion syndrome is a newly characterised microdeletion syndrome. We performed an extensive clinical and molecular characterisation of 15 patients. In the majority of cases the microdeletion was initially identified by clinical aCGH performed because of multiple congenital anomalies and/or intellectual disability. Of these, eight patients were identified at Signature Genomic Laboratories between November 2007 and December 2009. During this period, 21 820 patients were evaluated.
Table 2  Clinical features of patients with deletions of 15q24

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Coord (Mb)</th>
<th>Breakpoints</th>
<th>Size (# genes)</th>
<th>Inheritance</th>
<th>Age at diagnosis</th>
<th>Growth</th>
<th>Motor development</th>
<th>Cognitive</th>
<th>Speech</th>
<th>Face</th>
<th>Eyes</th>
<th>Ears</th>
<th>Brain, neurologic exam</th>
<th>Psychiatric</th>
<th>Cardiac</th>
<th>GI/GU</th>
<th>Skeletal</th>
<th>Skin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>70.06−72.43</td>
<td>A–C</td>
<td>2.37 Mb (33 genes)</td>
<td>De novo (maternal)</td>
<td>29 years</td>
<td>Wt 90%</td>
<td>Mild delay</td>
<td>IQ 47 at 15 y</td>
<td>Significant expressive speech delay</td>
<td>Prominent philtrum, high palate, retraction</td>
<td>Strabismus, R amblyopia, high hyperopia</td>
<td>Low-set, dysplastic</td>
<td>MRI—3 small lesions of periventricular nodular heterotopia; one seizure at 25 years, EEG with paroxysmal activity R central region</td>
<td>Impulsivity, ADHD</td>
<td>Normal echo</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Lumbar lordosis, pes planus</td>
<td>Hypopigmented macules on abdomen and neck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70.73−73.33</td>
<td>A–C</td>
<td>2.60 Mb (45 genes)</td>
<td>De novo</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>Wt 50−75%</td>
<td>Moderate delay</td>
<td>Mod to severe ID</td>
<td>2 words at 7 years</td>
<td>Broad mouth, broad nasal tip</td>
<td>Chorioretinal coloboma, microphthalmia</td>
<td>PE tubes</td>
<td>MRI normal</td>
<td>MRI at 34 m showed subtle closed lip schizencephaly or grey matter heterotopia in the frontal lobe</td>
<td>Minimal eye contact</td>
<td>Normal echo, ECG, bradycardia during seizures</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Lumbar lordosis, pes planus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70.73−73.33</td>
<td>A–C</td>
<td>2.60 Mb (45 genes)</td>
<td>De novo</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>Wt 95%</td>
<td>Moderate delay</td>
<td>Mod to severe ID</td>
<td>20 words at 32 m</td>
<td>Small mandible, widely spaced eyes</td>
<td>Pseudo esotropia, L exotopia</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>MRI normal, hypotonia with brisk reflexes, normal strength, wide based gait</td>
<td>Autistic disorder; poor social awareness</td>
<td>Normal echo, ECG</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Lumbar lordosis, pes planus</td>
<td>Hypopigmented macules on abdomen and neck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>70.73−73.33</td>
<td>A–C</td>
<td>2.60 Mb (45 genes)</td>
<td>De novo</td>
<td>12 years</td>
<td>Wt 95%</td>
<td>Prominent nasal bridge, full nasal tip, wide mouth, upslanting palpebral fissures</td>
<td>Non-dysmorphic</td>
<td>0 words at 5 years</td>
<td>Prominent nasolabial groove</td>
<td>Strabismus</td>
<td>PE tubes</td>
<td>MRI at 34 m showed subtle closed lip schizencephaly or grey matter heterotopia in the frontal lobe</td>
<td>Minimal eye contact</td>
<td>Normal echo, ECG, bradycardia during seizures</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Lumbar lordosis, pes planus</td>
<td>Hypopigmented macules on abdomen and neck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>70.73−73.33</td>
<td>A–C</td>
<td>3.16 Mb (&gt;50 genes)</td>
<td>De novo</td>
<td>30 months</td>
<td>Wt 79%</td>
<td>Moderate delay</td>
<td>Moderate ID</td>
<td>Non-verbal</td>
<td>Long slender, large mouth</td>
<td>Exotropia</td>
<td>Large fleshy earlobes, PE tubes</td>
<td>MRI at 34 m showed subtle closed lip schizencephaly or grey matter heterotopia in the frontal lobe</td>
<td>Minimal eye contact</td>
<td>Normal echo, ECG, bradycardia during seizures</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Lumbar lordosis, pes planus</td>
<td>Hypopigmented macules on abdomen and neck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>70.73−73.89</td>
<td>A–D</td>
<td>3.16 Mb (&gt;50 genes)</td>
<td>De novo (maternal)</td>
<td>33 months</td>
<td>Wt 25%</td>
<td>Mild delay</td>
<td>Global developmental delay</td>
<td>Babble, babbles, raspberries</td>
<td>Prominent philtrum, high palate, retraction</td>
<td>Legally blind, L 20/540, R 20/80</td>
<td>Moderate SNHL</td>
<td>MRI of brain, spine normal; L temporal lobe epilepsy with generalisation</td>
<td>Happy personality</td>
<td>Normal echo, ECG</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Lumbar lordosis, pes planus</td>
<td>Hypopigmented macules on abdomen and neck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>70.73−73.89</td>
<td>A–D</td>
<td>3.16 Mb (&gt;50 genes)</td>
<td>De novo</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Wt 10%</td>
<td>Mild delay</td>
<td>Global developmental delay</td>
<td>Few words at 2 years, lost with increased seizure activity; some gestures</td>
<td>Prominent philtrum, high palate, retraction</td>
<td>Myopia, R astigmatism</td>
<td>Prone to ear infections, PE tubes</td>
<td>MRI normal</td>
<td>Happy personality, affectonate</td>
<td>Normal echo, ECG</td>
<td>Normal echo, ECG</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Lumbar lordosis, pes planus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>70.73−73.89</td>
<td>A–D</td>
<td>3.16 Mb (&gt;50 genes)</td>
<td>De novo</td>
<td>30 months</td>
<td>Wt &lt;3%</td>
<td>Mild delay</td>
<td>Global developmental delay</td>
<td>Babble, babbles, raspberries</td>
<td>Prominent philtrum, high palate, retraction</td>
<td>Myopia, R astigmatism</td>
<td>Prone to ear infections, PE tubes</td>
<td>MRI normal</td>
<td>Happy personality, affectonate</td>
<td>Normal echo, ECG</td>
<td>Normal echo, ECG</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Lumbar lordosis, pes planus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11†</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>Previously published</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coord (Mb)</td>
<td>70.73–73.74*</td>
<td>72.22–73.81*</td>
<td>72.20–74.04</td>
<td>72.20–75.95</td>
<td>73.32–73.59</td>
<td>73.38–73.88</td>
<td>75.12–75.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakpoints</td>
<td>A–D</td>
<td>B–D</td>
<td>B-unique</td>
<td>B–E</td>
<td>Atypical</td>
<td>C–D</td>
<td>Atypical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (# genes)</td>
<td>3.01 Mb (&gt;50 genes)</td>
<td>1.59 Mb (36 genes)</td>
<td>1.84 Mb (40 genes)</td>
<td>3.75 Mb (&gt;50 genes)</td>
<td>266 kb (5 genes)</td>
<td>500 kb (11 genes)</td>
<td>480 kb (4 genes)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inheritance</td>
<td>De novo</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>De novo (maternal)</td>
<td>De novo</td>
<td>De novo</td>
<td>De novo</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>18 years</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>30 months</td>
<td>20 years</td>
<td>9.5 years</td>
<td>24 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>Wt 75%</td>
<td>Ht 50%</td>
<td>Wt 50–75%</td>
<td>Ht 25–50%</td>
<td>OFC 90–97%</td>
<td>Wt &gt;95%</td>
<td>Ht 3%</td>
<td>OFC 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor development</td>
<td>Moderate delays; walked at 24 m</td>
<td>Mild delay; walked at 24 m</td>
<td>Mild delay; walked at 24 m</td>
<td>Mild delay; walked at 24 m</td>
<td>IQ 65</td>
<td>IQ 73</td>
<td>Severe ID</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive</td>
<td>Mild to moderate ID</td>
<td>Moderate global developmental delay</td>
<td>IQ 65</td>
<td>IQ 73</td>
<td>Severe ID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech</td>
<td>Non-verbal</td>
<td>Speaks in sentences</td>
<td>&lt;12 words at 6 years</td>
<td>Some sounds, signs</td>
<td>First words at 4 years, reasonable speech after</td>
<td>First words at 1 year</td>
<td>Non-verbal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face</td>
<td>High anterior hairline, full lips, epicanthus, flared nasal media</td>
<td>Thick medial eyebrows, bilateral epicanthus, retrorotragia</td>
<td>Bifidophypharyngeal, broad forehead, flared medial eyebrows</td>
<td>Round face, flared medial eyebrows</td>
<td>Telecanthus, bilateral epicanthus</td>
<td>Low anterior hairline, broad nasal tip, smooth philtrum, narrow palpebral fissures, bilateral epicanthus, flat zygomatic arches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyes</td>
<td>Normal exam</td>
<td>Normal vision</td>
<td>Anisocoria, normal vision</td>
<td>Normal vision</td>
<td>Normal exam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ears</td>
<td>Low-set ears, PE tubes</td>
<td>Thick antverted lobes, R profound and L progressive SNHL</td>
<td>Cup-shaped ears, PE tubes; moderate HL in one twin</td>
<td>PE tubes</td>
<td>Profound SNHL</td>
<td>13/18 ear malformations</td>
<td>4/18 hearing loss</td>
<td>5/16 recurrent infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain, neurologic exam</td>
<td>MRI brain normal; hypotonic</td>
<td>MRI normal; tethered cord</td>
<td>Normal exam aside from delays</td>
<td>MRI normal; hypotonic</td>
<td>MRI—colpocephaly, mild dilatation of lateral ventricles, hypoplastic CC; normal EEG</td>
<td>Normal exam aside from delays and behaviour</td>
<td>7/18 abnormal MRI</td>
<td>10/18 hypotonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric</td>
<td>Autism</td>
<td>Food seeking behaviour</td>
<td>Obsessive compulsive behaviours</td>
<td>Poor attention, possible Asperger syndrome</td>
<td>Aggression, self stimulatory behaviour</td>
<td>4/18 ADHD, hyperactivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac</td>
<td>Normal ECG</td>
<td>Pulmonic stenosis</td>
<td>Constipation, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease</td>
<td>Cryptorchidism, hypospadias, bilateral inguinal hernias</td>
<td>6/18 hypospadias</td>
<td>5/16 microphthalm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI/GU</td>
<td>Normal renal US, mild hypospadias</td>
<td>Mild kyphoscoliosis, camptodactyly of 4th fingers, pronounced 5th finger brachydactyly with bilateral shortening of 5th middle phalanges, absent epiphyses, broad great toes with L hallux valgus</td>
<td>Small fingers, pes planus</td>
<td>Hyperextensible joints, short 5th metacarpals, mild cutaneous syndactyly of toes 2–3–4, small 5th toenails</td>
<td>Bilateral short 5th fingers, bone age delayed 1 year</td>
<td>Proximally placed thumbs, short 5th fingers, mild camptodactyly of fingers 3–4, broad feet with short phalanges, disproportionate short stature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skeletal</td>
<td>Thanar hypoplasia</td>
<td>Mild kyphoscoliosis, camptodactyly of 4th fingers, pronounced 5th finger brachydactyly with bilateral shortening of 5th middle phalanges, absent epiphyses, broad great toes with L hallux valgus</td>
<td>Small fingers, pes planus</td>
<td>Hyperextensible joints, short 5th metacarpals, mild cutaneous syndactyly of toes 2–3–4, small 5th toenails</td>
<td>Bilateral short 5th fingers, bone age delayed 1 year</td>
<td>Proximally placed thumbs, short 5th fingers, mild camptodactyly of fingers 3–4, broad feet with short phalanges, disproportionate short stature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>Acanthosis nigricans</td>
<td>2 CALs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*From clinical report—DNA unavailable for high-density array.
†One of monozygotic twins who both have the same 15q24 deletion and share the majority of clinical features listed.
This suggests that, despite a genomic architecture that predicts recurrent rearrangement, deletions in the region account for only 3–4/10,000 cases that are sent for clinical aCGH studies. In comparison, the rate at which recurrent deletions of 15q13 or 1q21 were identified during the same time period was eightfold to 10-fold higher. The larger interval of unique sequence between SD blocks (2.6–3.8 Mb compared to 1.3–1.5 Mb for 1q21 and 15q13) and the lower sequence identity between SD blocks in direct orientation likely contribute to the lower frequency of 15q24 deletion events.

The 15q24 region contains several clusters of segmental duplications that are thought to facilitate non-allelic homologous recombination resulting in recurrent deletion.8 16 The deletions in our series of patients, which ranged from 266 kb to 3.75 Mb, support this assertion. Eleven of the 15 deletions had both breakpoints in segmental duplications flanking the deleted region. Three patients had deletions with both breakpoints in unique sequence. One deletion had one breakpoint in unique sequence and the other in a segmental duplication. The most common deletions in our series, found in four patients each, were the 2.6 Mb deletion between breakpoints A and C and the 3.1 Mb deletion between breakpoints A and D (table 1, figure 1).

Evaluation of previously reported deletions suggest that the deletion between breakpoints A and D occurs most frequently and accounts for about one third of deletions in the region (10/32 reported; table 1). Deletions between A and C and between B and E occur with approximately equal frequency, accounting for 15–20% of deletions. The breakpoint combinations are consistent with an NAHR mechanism between directly oriented segmental duplications. Breakpoints A and C share a ~25 kb directly oriented sequence block with >98% sequence identity. Similarly, breakpoints A and D share a ~21 kb directly oriented sequence block with 94% identity. Breakpoints B and E share a 42 kb stretch of >95% identity, and C and D share a 27 kb stretch of >93% identity. Conversely, there are no large stretches of highly homologous and directly oriented repeats between blocks A and B, A and E, B and C, or B and D, suggesting the deletions are much less likely to occur via NAHR between these blocks.

Our analysis of the clinical features in our series of patients with 15q24 deletions is largely consistent with previous reports.6 8 9 11 12 14 Common features, present in more than 50% of the patients and that should prompt consideration of this diagnosis, include dysmorphic facial features, including...
a prominent forehead, high anterior hairline, prominent nasal bridge, and micrognathia. Other features include deep-set eyes, strabismus, or other ocular anomalies. Short metacarpals and proximally placed thumbs may also be a clue to diagnosis. A history of failure to thrive and developmental delay is typical. Severe speech delay or absence of speech is a consistent feature in patients with typical deletions. Diaphragmatic hernia has been reported in some patients with the deletion,11 12 but no patients in our series reported this. Similarly, we found only 1/8 males with hypospadias.

It is important to note that we identified nine distinct deletions with different breakpoints in 15 patients. In fact, the deletions in patients 1–5 have no overlap with the deletions in patients 13, 14, and 15. Combining the deletions in our series with those in the literature, 28% of reported deletions are ‘private’ mutations seen in a single patient. The vast majority of reported deletions include the region between breakpoints B and C and most also include the C–D region. We identified two patients with small, atypical deletions that lie within the region between breakpoints C and D. Notably, both of these patients had only mild or borderline ID, with IQ scores of 65 and 75. Unlike the majority of patients with larger deletions, both have developed reasonable speech. This suggests that the severity of the core cognitive deficits of the 15q24 microdeletion syndrome are due to deletion of one or more genes with the 1.1 Mb critical region between breakpoints B and C containing 24 RefSeq genes. However, given the delays and dysmorphic features in our two atypical cases, some or all of the eight genes between C and D, and indeed the five genes deleted in case 15, must be important for normal development and behaviour. Furthermore, review of photographs for patients with deletions that do not include the region between C and D (patients 13 and 14 from this study, patient 3 in Andrieux et al and McInnes et al12) suggest that their facial features are slightly less striking. This would suggest that some of the genes in the C–D region are important for the syndromic facies described for 15q24 deletions. On the other hand, we found that patients with deletions including the BP B–C region were more likely to have eye abnormalities. In addition, cardiac features and seizures were noted in several patients with deletions including the B–C region, but not in patients 1, 15 or 14, whose deletions do not include the critical region.

Based on common features of our patients, we feel that certain management recommendations can be made. Since there is a high incidence of eye and ear anomalies, ophthalmological evaluation and audiology evaluation should be routine referrals. Additional screening for genitourinary and cardiac anomalies should be considered. A formal developmental evaluation and careful screening for autism and related entities could help diagnose these potential problems early and initiate targeted services. Families should be counselled to observe closely for seizures, since there appears to be an increased risk in these patients. The families of our patients have reported that support group information is especially helpful to them.

In conclusion, the molecular and clinical characterisation of 15 individuals with the 15q24 microdeletion syndrome further defines the phenotypic features associated with this novel syndrome and provides further insight into the critical region for core features of this syndrome.
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