Adaptor protein complex-4 (AP-4) deficiency causes a novel autosomal recessive cerebral palsy syndrome with microcephaly and intellectual disability
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ABSTRACT

Background Cerebral palsy is a heterogeneous group of neurodevelopmental brain disorders resulting in motor and posture impairments often associated with cognitive, sensorial, and behavioural disturbances. Hypoxic–ischaemic injury, long considered the most frequent causative factor, accounts for fewer than 10% of cases, whereas a growing body of evidence suggests that diverse genetic abnormalities likely play a major role.

Methods and results This report describes an autosomal recessive form of spastic tetraplegic cerebral palsy with profound intellectual disability, microcephaly, epilepsy and white matter loss in a consanguineous family resulting from a homozygous deletion involving AP4E1, one of the four subunits of the adaptor protein complex-4 (AP-4), identified by chromosomal microarray analysis.

Conclusion These findings, along with previous reports of human and mouse mutations in other members of the complex, indicate that disruption of any one of the four subunits of AP-4 causes dysfunction of the entire complex, leading to a distinct ‘AP-4 deficiency syndrome’.

INTRODUCTION

Cerebral palsy (CP) is a heterogeneous group of neurodevelopmental brain disorders resulting in motor and posture impairments often associated with epilepsy and disturbances of cognition, behaviour, sensation, and communication. CP is classified according to the characteristics of the movement disorder observed (spastic, ataxic, dystonic, or athetoid) and the extremities involved (monoplegia, hemiplegia, diplegia, or tetraplegia). With a prevalence of 2–3/1000 births and an estimated 750 000 or more affected American children and adults, CP is the most common physical disability of childhood.1

Although the aetiology of CP has been attributed to a variety of factors, the specific mechanism underlying most cases remains unknown. Despite earlier assumptions, CP turns out to be only rarely a result of birth asphyxia, as indicated by large population based controlled studies. Conversely, as many as ~50% of idiopathic CP cases in children born at term are now thought to be caused by genetic factors.2 Support for genetic causes includes the identification of specific genetic abnormalities, such as mutations in ANKRD15, GAD1 and PROC segregating with the disease in CP pedigrees,3–5 and the high prevalence of congenital anomalies in CP patients (15%),6 of which microcephaly and hydrocephaly are the most common. Additional evidence for genetic contributions comes from twin studies,7 the 2.5-fold increased rate of CP in consanguineous families,8 familial clustering,9 and a paternal age effect.10

CLINICAL EVALUATION

We evaluated a Palestinian–Jordanian consanguineous family with two siblings affected by an idiopathic neurological condition described as cerebral palsy (figure 1A). This study was approved by our institutional review board and written informed consent was obtained. Both probands were evaluated by a clinical geneticist, a neurologist/epileptologist, and a neuropsychologist, all of whom acquired a detailed clinical history, reviewed previous medical records, and performed comprehensive evaluations. The clinical findings are summarised in table 1.

Patient IV-4 was born after a full term pregnancy and uneventful labour and delivery. At birth she presented with microcephaly and hypotonia that progressed to spastic tetraplegia with hyperreflexia and generalised hypotonia by the first year of life. She never developed speech or independent walking and has been wheelchair-bound since 5 years of age, when she also started experiencing generalised tonic–clonic seizures. Examination at age 25 showed microcephaly (~3 SD), profoundly impaired cognitive ability, deficient adaptive skills (age equivalents <1 year of age), drooling, and outbursts of stereotypic laughter. Dysmorphic features included bitemporal narrowing, long narrow face with prominent pointed chin, downslanting palpebral fissures, long nose with wide nasal ridge, short philtrum with everted full upper vermilion, prominent antehelix of the ears, and facial hypotonia. A brain MRI revealed ventriculomegaly, cortical and cerebellar atrophy, reduced hippocampal volume, and diffuse white matter loss that was more pronounced in the frontal region and corpus callosum (supplementary figure 1). An electroencephalogram (EEG) showed generalised theta slowing of the background, consistent with a diffuse cerebral dysfunction.

Patient IV-5 was born at term after an unremarkable pregnancy and normal labour and delivery. Postnatally he presented with microcephaly and hypotonia, and by the first year of life developmental delay was evident. He acquired some...
Figure 1  Pedigree and 15q21.2 deletion region. (A) Pedigree structure of the family. Deletion status is shown for available individuals and represented with |–|, homozygous deletion; |+|, heterozygous deletion; and |+|,+|, non-deleted. Subject III-1 was not available; however, he was described as healthy and is inferred to be a carrier of the deleted allele, indicated by parenthesis. (B) Chromosome 15q21.2 schematic showing the deletion found in our patients, depicted as a horizontal red line. Vertical black bars represent probes from the whole genome 44K array and the tiling 60K array used to fine map the deletion breakpoints. The two genes involved are SPPL2A and AP4E1. (C) Array comparative genomic hybridisation (CGH) results of patient IV-5 used to represent the deletion in both patients. The x-axis displays the genomic position of the probes and the y-axis displays the log2 ratios of the patient sample hybridised against a normal control. Each dot represents a single probe; normal copy number probes are coloured in black and deleted probes in green. The deleted region is highlighted in green. (D) Metaphase fluorescent in situ hybridisation (FISH) results for chromosome 15. The probe within the deleted region is labelled in red; the control probe, located in the centromeric region of chromosome 15, is labelled in green. Top panel, normal FISH results from the younger sibling (IV-6); middle panel, heterozygous deletion identified in the mother and older sister (III-2, IV-3); bottom panel, homozygous deletion found in both probands (IV-4, IV-5).

METHODS AND RESULTS

We performed clinical chromosomal microarray analysis, a first-tier diagnostic cytogenetic test for individuals with developmental disabilities and/or congenital anomalies,12 on genomic DNA from both patients using a custom designed oligonucleotide array on a 4x44K platform, according to standard protocols (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, USA). The array combines both backbone coverage across the whole genome (probes spaced on average every 75 kb) and targeted coverage of clinically relevant regions (a minimum of 10 probes per gene or region, as described in Baldwin et al13). Data analysis was performed using Feature Extraction (version 10.5.1.1) and DNA Analytics (Version 4.0.81) software (Agilent Technologies). We identified a 139 kb (minimum) to 222 kb (maximum) homozygous deletion of chromosome 15q21.2 that includes the 5’ end of two genes: AP4E1 (adaptor related protein complex-4, epsilon-1) and SPPL2A (signal peptide peptidase-like-2A). To define the deletion breakpoints better, we developed a 60K high resolution array that targets the region around these two genes with 2175 oligonucleotides (probes spaced every 22 base pairs) and used this array to define the size of the deleted region to 192 kb (chr15:48835480-49028171; hg18 genome assembly, figure 1B, C). The results were confirmed by fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) analysis performed on metaphase spreads using bacterial artificial chromosome clone probes as previously described.13 The probe within the deleted interval was RP11-147B19, and the control probe outside the region was D15Z1, corresponding to the centromeric region of chromosome 15 (figure 1D).

We next undertook additional studies to establish the inheritance pattern of the disorder. FISH analysis on the mother revealed that she is a heterozygous carrier of the deletion. Since a paternal sample was not available, we performed SNRPN methylation analysis on both probands using methylation sensitive PCR according to standard methods14 and confirmed the presence of both methylated and unmethylated alleles, consistent with biallelic inheritance for chromosome 15. Therefore, the father is inferred to be a carrier of this deletion. Additional FISH analysis was also carried out on other unaffected family members (figure 1A).

DISCUSSION

The deletion includes the AP4E1 gene, encoding the ε subunit of the adaptor protein complex-4 (AP-4), a heterotetramer composed of four subunits encoded by different genes: AP4E1, AP4B1, AP4M1, and AP4S1. There are four members of the AP-complex family that mediate the sorting of integral membrane proteins: AP-1, AP-2, AP-3, and AP-4, each comprising two large subunits (γ, α, δ or ε and β1-4), one medium subunit (μ1-4), and one small subunit (σ1-4).15 AP-4 is ubiquitously expressed in neurons throughout the embryologic and postnatal developmental stages.16 17 It interacts with δ2 and α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) glutamate receptors to selectively transport them from the trans-Golgi network to the postsynaptic somatodendritic domain.17 Additionally, AP-4 mediates the transport of amyloid precursor protein (APP) from the trans-Golgi network to endosomes. Disruption of the APP-AP-4 interaction enhances γ-secretase-catalysed cleavage of APP.
to amyloid-β peptide, making AP-4 deficiency a potential risk factor for Alzheimer’s disease.15

The SPPL2A gene, also included in the deleted region, encodes a member of the signal peptide peptidase-like family of intramembrane cleaving proteases thought to play a role in immune regulation.19 Although we cannot completely rule out a potential pathogenic role for SPPL2A, or that its deletion might contribute to the phenotype, we propose that AP4E1 deficiency is the primary underlying genetic mechanism responsible for the clinical findings observed in our patients. Support for AP4E1 as the causative gene comes from a recent report of a homozygous mutation in AP4E1 causing an autosomal recessive form of spastic CP in five siblings from a Moroccan inbred sibship.11 Interestingly, the affected individuals share many clinical features with our family (table 1). They presented with infantile hypotonia that progressed to spastic tetraplegia, hypertonia and hyperreflexia by the first year of life, microcephaly, severe intellectual disability, stereotypic laughter, and drooling. Neuroimaging revealed ventriculomegaly and white matter loss. Since the facial phenotype was unavailable, we could not confirm whether the craniofacial dysmorphic features described in our patients are also present in the affected members of this family.

Several lines of evidence suggest that disrupting any subunit of AP-4 results in dysfunction of the entire complex. Two unrelated families with homozygous disruptions in different subunits (ε and μ) show extremely similar clinical phenotypes (this report and the family reported by Verkerk et al11). Selective downregulation by antisense RNA confirmed that absence of the μ subunit yields no functional AP-4.17 Data on a third subunit comes from knockout mice generated by the homozygous disruption of the β subunit lacking functional AP-4, resulting in axonal swelling due to mislocalization and accumulation of glutamate receptors in autophagosomes near the axon terminals of Purkinje cells and hippocampal neurons.17 Finally, similar

| Table 1 | Clinical findings in adaptor protein complex-4 (AP-4) deficiency syndrome patients |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Patient | Present study | Verkerk et al 200911 | Patient | Present study | Verkerk et al 200911 | Patient | Present study | Verkerk et al 200911 | Patient | Present study | Verkerk et al 200911 | Patient | Present study | Verkerk et al 200911 | Patient | Present study | Verkerk et al 200911 |
| IV-4 | IV-5 | IV-1 | IV-3 | IV-4 | IV-5* | IV-6 |
| AP-4 subunit disrupted | AP4E1 | AP4E1 | AP4M1 | AP4M1 | AP4M1 | AP4M1 | AP4E1 | AP4E1 | AP4M1 | AP4M1 | AP4M1 | AP4M1 | AP4M1 |
| Sex | F | M | F | M | F | M | M |
| Age at evaluation (years) | 23 | 22 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 22 |
| Early infantile hypotonia | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Spastic tetraplegia | + | + | + | + | + | NA | + |
| Hypertonia | + | + | + | + | + | NA | + |
| Hypermuscular | + | + | + | + | + | NA | + |
| Babinski sign | + | + | + | + | + | NA | + |
| Head circumference | -3 SD | -3 SD | -1 SD | 0 SD | -2 SD | NA | -2.5 SD |
| Severe ID | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Epilepsy | + | + | + | + | + | NA | + |
| Ambulation | + | + | + | + | + | NA | + |
| Normal speech | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Sphincter control | + | + | + | + | + | NA | + |
| Stereotypic laughter | + | + | + | + | + | NA | + |
| Drooling | + | + | + | + | + | NA | + |
| Eye evaluation | Normal | Normal | NA | Normal | NA | NA | Pale optic discs |
| Hearing evaluation | Normal | Normal | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Neuroimaging | MRI: dilated ventricles, cerebellar atrophy, abnormal white matter | MRI: dilated ventricles, prominent cisterns | CT: normal (age 3 months) | MRI: dilated ventricles, cerebellar atrophy, abnormal white matter | MRI: dilated ventricles, cerebellar atrophy, abnormal white matter | MRI: dilated ventricles, cerebellar atrophy, abnormal white matter | MRI: dilated ventricles, cerebellar atrophy, abnormal white matter |

*Patient died at 17 months of age from aspiration pneumonia.
F, female; ID, intellectual disability; M, male; NA, not available; +, present; −, absent.

results have been observed in other members of the AP-complex family: knockdown of the σ subunit of AP-2 results in destabilisation of the entire AP-2,20 and mutations in the β3A and δ subunits of AP-3 lead to drastically reduced levels of all four subunits and no functional AP-3.21 Therefore, we propose that disruption of any one of the four subunits of AP-4 abolishes its function and causes a distinct autosomal recessive disorder that we refer to as ‘AP-4 deficiency syndrome’. It will be interesting to perform comprehensive mutational analysis, including resequencing and high resolution deletion/duplication analysis, of AP4E1, AP4M1, AP4B1, and AP4S1, on other CP individuals and families to determine the frequency of this disorder.

Our findings add to the growing body of evidence for multiple genetic aetiologies for CP and shed light on potential pathogenic mechanisms underlying this condition. As with other developmental brain disorders, such as intellectual disability, autism, and schizophrenia, CP is likely caused by many rare, single gene events. Rapid advances in high throughput technologies, including whole genome sequencing and copy number array analysis, will soon make it feasible to identify rare and private familial mutations in a cost effective way, first in a research setting, and ultimately in a routine, clinical setting.
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