SHORT REPORT

The development of atypical haemolytic-uraemic syndrome is influenced by susceptibility factors in factor H and membrane cofactor protein: evidence from two independent cohorts

V Fremeaux-Bacchi, E J Kemp, J A Goodship, M-A Dragon-Durey, L Strain, C Loirat, H-W Deng, T H J Goodship

J Med Genet 2005;42:852-856. doi: 10.1136/jmg.2005.030783

Background: In both familial and sporadic atypical haemolytic-uraemic syndrome (aHUS), mutations have been reported in regulators of the alternative complement pathway including factor H (CFH), membrane cofactor protein (MCP), and the serine protease factor I (IF). A characteristic feature of both MCP and CFH associated HUS is reduced penetrance and variable inheritance; one possible explanation for this is that functional changes in complement proteins act as modifiers.

Objective: To examine single nucleotide polymorphisms in both *CFH* and *MCP* genes in two large cohorts of HUS patients (Newcastle and Paris).

Results: In both cohorts there was an association with HUS for both *CFH* and *MCP* alleles. *CFH* and *MCP* haplotypes were also significantly different in HUS patients compared with controls.

Conclusions: This study suggests that there are naturally occurring susceptibility factors in *CFH* and *MCP* for the development of atypical HUS.

aemolytic-uraemic syndrome (HUS) is characterised by the triad of thrombocytopenia, Coomb's test negative microangiopathic haemolytic anaemia, and acute renal failure.12 HUS is classified as either (D+) when it is associated with a preceding diarrhoeal illness which in most is cause by infection with E coli O157 or less commonly as non-diarrhoeal associated (D-) (also called "atypical", hence aHUS). aHUS may be sporadic or familial. In both types, mutations have been reported in regulators of the complement pathway including factor H (CFH), membrane cofactor protein (MCP, CD46),3-11 and the serine protease factor I (IF).12 Such mutations result in impaired protection of host surfaces against complement activation13 14 and it is likely that they predispose to rather than directly cause a thrombotic microangiopathy. In this situation endothelial activation secondary to injury is maintained by excessive complement activation.15 A characteristic feature of both MCP and CFH associated HUS is variable penetrance and inheritance. The penetrance of the disease phenotype in our panels of families is approximately 50%. One possible explanation for this is that functional variants in complement proteins act as modifiers. In support of this is the finding of an association between CFH single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and aHUS,16 and a recent study which identified a specific SNP haplotype block spanning MCP which is overrepresented in aHUS patients.¹⁷ To extend these observations we have examined CFH and MCP SNPs in two cohorts of aHUS patients.

METHODS

A clinical diagnosis of aHUS was made in all the patients included in this study. Appropriate ethics approval was given for both cohorts to be studied and all subjects gave informed consent. There were 75 patients in the Newcastle cohort and 77 in the Paris cohort, some of whom have been reported previously.^{3 5 6 9 11 12 18-24} Of the 75 patients in the Newcastle cohort there were four families in which more than one family member was included in the cohort. In three families there were two siblings included and in one there were again four families in which more than one family member was included in the cohort. In three families there were two siblings included and in one there were two siblings included and in one there were three siblings.

One hundred locally recruited normal controls were studied for the Newcastle cohort and 84 for the Paris cohort. Both cohorts and their respective control populations were predominantly white (Newcastle cohort 65/75; Paris cohort 74/77).

The SNPs analysed in the Newcastle cohort were CFH (c.−257C→T. promoter; c.2089A \rightarrow G, synonymous; c.2881G→T, E963D) and MCP (IVS12+638A→G; c.2232T→C, 3' UTR). DNA was prepared from peripheral blood according to standard procedures. Regions of DNA containing the SNPs of interest were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Genotyping was carried out through a primer extension reaction, using the ABI PRISM SNaPshot ddNTP primer extension kit (Applied Biosystems, Courtaboeuf, France) and for subsequent detection we employed an ABI PRISM 3100 genetic analyser (Applied

Abbreviations: aHUS, atypical haemolytic-uraemic syndrome; CFH, complement factor H; HUS, haemolytic-uraemic syndrome; MCP, membrane cofactor protein; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism

PCR			SNaPshot reaction	ction		
	Primer sequence (5'→3')		Anneding		Pooled prin	er length
SNP	Forward	Reverse	temp (C)	Primer sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	conc (h/M) (bp)	(dq)
CFH c. −257 C→T	TCTTTACCTTCTCAATATCCAGC	ACTCCTGTGAAAAGCATCATTAG	09	(6A)GGGTTTATGAAATCCAGAGGATAT	0.3	30
CFH c.2089 A→G	TATATIGTAAAACAGACAATTTAACC	ATACAAAATACAAAAGTTTTGACAAG	27	CTAATGAAGGGACCTAATAAAATTCA	0.67	26
CFH c.2881 G→T	TAAATTTATGAGTTAGTGAAACCTG	TGGTACCACTTACACTTTGAATG	27	TTCCTTGTAAATCTCCACCTGA	0.04	22
MCP c. IVS1 2+638 A→G	CCCAATTTGGTGTCTTTTCA	TCTGTGTTCCAGGATTCATTC	54	(19A)GCTGAGAGGGGTTAGATCT	0.1	38
MCP c.2232 T→C	TAATTICAGAATCAGATGCATCC	TCAAAACCACCTACTTTTAGAGG	59	(13A)CGGAGTTCTTTGGGAAAAC	0.2	34

Biosystems). SNaPshot reactions were carried out on pooled PCR products according to the manufacturer's instructions, using pooled SNaPshot primers at the concentrations described in table 1. Primer sequences and conditions for PCR and SNaPshot analysis are also shown in table 1. In addition, ambiguous SNPs were verified by direct sequencing using DYEnamic ET dye terminator cycle sequencing (Amersham, UK), as described by the manufacturer.

The SNPs analysed in the Paris cohort were CFH $(c.-257C \rightarrow T.$ promoter; c.2089A→G, c.2881G \rightarrow T, E963D) and MCP (c.-547A \rightarrow G, promoter; c. $-261A \rightarrow G$, promoter; IVS8+23T $\rightarrow G$; IVS9-78G $\rightarrow A$; c.2232T-C, 3' UTR). DNA was extracted from whole blood using the proteinase K/phenol method.25 Genomic DNA was amplified using the primer sequences and conditions shown in table 2.11 PCR products were purified using Multiscreen plates according to the manufacturer's instructions (Millipore, Molsheim, France). Direct DNA sequencing of the purified PCR products was then carried out by the Dye terminator cycle sequencing method (Applied Biosystems, Courtaboeuf, France) using a 96 capillary Sequencer 3700. Sequence analyses were done using Sequencher software (Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA).

The NCBI SNP ID numbers, in parentheses, are *CFH* $-257C \rightarrow T$ (rs3753394), *CFH* c.2089A $\rightarrow G$ (rs3753396), CFH c.2881G $\rightarrow T$ (rs1065489), MCP-547A $\rightarrow G$ (rs2796267), MCP c.IVS8+23T $\rightarrow G$ (rs2724374), MCP c.IVS9-78G $\rightarrow A$ (rs1962149), MCP c.IVS12+638 (rs859705), and MCP c.2232T $\rightarrow C$ (rs7144).

The differences in genotype frequency between HUS patients in the two cohorts and the respective control individuals were tested using either χ^2 , or if there were less than five observations in a cell, Fisher's exact test. As the SNPs are not assorting independently it would not be appropriate to apply a Bonferroni correction equal to the total number of SNPs studied in each cohort. Haplotype analysis (FUGUE) showed that there were three *CFH* and two *MCP* haplotypes with a frequency greater than 10% in both cohorts. We therefore applied a Bonferroni correction of (0.05/5) giving a significance value of 0.01.

Comparison of haplotypes was undertaken using FUGUE-CC (Goncalo Abecasis, Center for Statistical Genetics, University of Michigan, USA). This allows significance to be computed by analysis of random permutations of the data.

RESULTS

The genotype and allele frequency for the two cohorts is shown in table 3. In both cohorts there was an association between *CFH* alleles and HUS. The same *CFH* SNPs were analysed in both cohorts. There was also an association between *MCP* alleles and HUS in both cohorts. The results for the one SNP (c.2232T \rightarrow C) analysed in both cohorts showed a strong association (p<0.001) in the Paris cohort but failed to reach a significance value of <0.01 in the Newcastle cohort (p = 0.012).

The allele frequency in those with and without known mutations in *CFH*, *MCP*, and *IF* is shown in table 4. For *CFH* in the Newcastle cohort c.–257T c.2089G, c.2881T were associated with atypical HUS in those not known to have a mutation but not in those known to have a mutation. For *CFH* in the Paris cohort c.–257T c.2089G, c.2881T were associated with atypical HUS only in those known to have a mutation. For *MCP* the association was present in both those with and those without an identified mutation for all the SNPs in the Paris cohort. In the Newcastle cohort c.IVS12+638A was associated with atypical HUS in those without a mutation.

The haplotypes generated by FUGUE are shown in tables 5–7. For both the Newcastle and the Paris cohort there was a

Table 2 Primer sequences and conditions for direct sequencing (polymerase chain reaction; Paris cohort)

	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Annealing temp		
SNP	Forward	Reverse	(°C)	
CFH -257 C→T	GGGGTTTTCTGGGATGTAATA	GTGATTAGTGCAGGAAAGAAC	60	
CFH c.2089 A→G	TTGATCAAATGCTTGCCTCAG	TATATCTCCACAGGTACTCTC	60	
CFH c.2881 G→T	TAGACAGACAGACACCAGAA	ACCACTTACACTTTGAATGA	57	
MCP −547A→G	GCAAAGGGCAAATTACCTTAG	ACCCCTCAGGGTTAGTTTTAT	62	
MCP −261A→G	ATAAAACTAACCCTGAGGGGT	CCTTTTTCTTGCTAAGCCCT	60	
MCP c.IVS8+23 T→G	CCAAGTGGTTGATCTTCTAAC	ATGGCTATACAAATGTCCTCC	60	
MCP c.IVS9-78 G→A	GGGGAGGAAGAAGATTA	CTATGTTTGGGCACCTCATAA	60	
MCP c. 2232 T→C	GTGTTCGGTGATTTCAGAAAG	TAAGGAGGAGAAAAACAC	55	

significant difference for both CFH and MCP haplotype frequency in the HUS patients and controls.

The genotype and haplotype results for both cohorts are internally consistent in that an increase in frequency of the rarer allele and haplotype for both MCP and CFH was associated with HUS.

DISCUSSION

In this study we showed a significant difference in two independent cohorts of atypical HUS patients in both allele frequency and haplotypes for two complement regulatory genes, *CFH* and *MCP*. Mutations in both these genes have been described in aHUS patients. However, the inheritance

Table 3 Genotype and allele frequency Controls HUS Allele frequency Allele frequency Genotypes Genotypes 1/2 2/2 1/1 1/2 2/2 1/1 p Value Newcastle cohort 0.003 CFH -257C→T CFH c 2089A→G < 0.00117 0.006 CFH c.2881G→T MCP c.IVS12+638G→A 75 0.001 MCP c.2232T→C 0.012 Paris cohort CFH -257C→T < 0.001 CFH c.2089A \rightarrow G < 0.001 CFH c.2881G→T < 0.001 77 $MCP - 547A \rightarrow G$ < 0.001 MCP-261A→G < 0.001 MCP c.IVS8+23T→G < 0.001 MCP c.IVS9-78G→A 77 < 0.001 MCP c.2232T→C < 0.001

CFH, complement factor H; HUS, haemolytic-uraemic syndrome; MCP, membrane cofactor protein.

Table 4 Allele frequency in patients with and without known mutations in CFH, MCP and IF HUS - mutation negative HUS - mutation positive allele Control allele frequency allele frequency frequency p Value p Value Newcastle cohort (n = 22 mutation positive) CFH -257C→T 0.001 0.38 CFH c.2089A→G < 0.001 0.019 CFH c.2881G→T 0.002 0.52 0.038 MCP c.IVS12+638G→A 0.001 MCP c.2232T→C 0.018 0.14 Paris cohort (n = 39 mutation positive) 0.012 < 0.001 CFH -257C→T 76 CFH c.2089A→G 0.182 0.001 0.143 < 0.001 CFH c.2881G→T 37 $MCP - 547A \rightarrow G$ 58 0.005 0.001 MCP-261A→G 0.005 < 0.001 MCP c.IVS8+23T→G < 0.001 < 0.001 MCP c.IVS9-78G→A 0.001 0.001 MCP c.2232T \rightarrow C 0.006 0.001 CFH, complement factor H; HUS, haemolytic-uraemic syndrome; MCP, membrane cofactor protein.

Table 5 Factor H haplotypes for both cohorts Newcastle **Paris** -257C→T c.2089A→G c.2881 $G \rightarrow T$ HUS (%) Controls (%) **HUS (%)** Controls (%) 47.98 60.21 53.09 78.52 17.06 15.27 11.83 5.41 2 2 272 5 65 0 0 2 2 0.25 0.26 0 0.60 2 0.48 4.41 0 1 60 2 6.34 4.16 0 2 4 78 0.941.62 1 24 25.59 2 6.93 28.81 14.23

Newcastle: log likelihood ratio = 19.65. Permutations with higher ratio 0/1000. Paris: log likelihood ratio = 15.64. Permutations with higher ratio 779/10 000.

.IVS12+638G→A	c.2232T→C	HUS (%)	Controls (%)
	1	41.90	53.05
2	1	2.10	4.52
	2	2.09	8.91
2	2	53.91	33.52

and penetrance seen with mutations in both these is variable. For instance in two families with the same MCP mutation (S206P), only homozygotes are affected in one whereas in the other heterozygotes are affected.9 Moreover, the series reported to date show that the penetrance of CFH associated HUS is approximately 50%. This suggests that other factors are modifying the inheritance and penetrance. Both CFH and MCP belong to a cluster of genes located at 1q32 which are involved in complement regulation, the so called RCA (regulators of complement activation) cluster. Other members of this group include decay accelerating factor (DAF). complement receptor 1 (CR1), C4 binding protein (C4BP), and five factor H related proteins (FHR1-5). To date mutations have only been found in CFH and MCP but it is possible that genetic variability in these other regulators could be acting as modifiers for the development of HUS.

Caprioli *et al* have previously reported that the *CFH* alleles $-257\mathrm{T}$, c. 2089G, and c. 2881T are significantly more common in patients with atypical HUS. The results from both the Newcastle and Paris cohorts support this observation. 16 They found that this was true both for patients with a CFH mutation and for those without. In the Newcastle cohort we found that $-257\mathrm{T}$ c.2089G , and c.2881T were more

frequent in those without known mutations in CFH, MCP, and IF. In the Paris cohort, the reverse was seen in that -257T, c. 2089G, and c. 2881T were more frequent in those with mutations. Caution must therefore be exercised in interpreting subgroup analysis such as this where numbers may be inadequate. It is not yet known whether $c.-257C\rightarrow T$ or c.2881G→T are functionally significant. c.2089A→G is a synonymous change. c. – 257T is located in a putative NF – κB binding sequence of the CFH promoter²⁶ and it is known that CFH expression is upregulated by interferon γ , providing a possible link. c.2881G→T changes a glutamate to an aspartate in CCP16 of CFH. We have now not only confirmed Caprioli's observation but also extended it in two independent cohorts to show that genetic variability in MCP is also associated with atypical HUS. This confirms the recent finding by Esparza-Godilla et al that a specific SNP haplotype block which includes MCP was associated with aHUS. 17 In the Paris cohort this strong association was present in both those with and those without known mutations in CFH, MCP, and IF. In contrast, the association was only seen in those with known mutations in the study of Esparza-Godilla. This discrepancy emphasises the need to be cautious in interpreting such data. In all, six MCP SNPs were examined in the two

-547A→G	-261A→G	$c.IVS8{+}23T{\rightarrow}G$	c.IVS9-78G \rightarrow A	c.2232T→C	HUS (%)	Controls (%
1	1	1	1	1	30.38	50.85
1	1	1	1	2	1.97	0.00
1	1	1	2	2	0.00	2.02
1	1	2	1	1	0.68	0.89
1	1	2	2	1	0.65	0.00
1	2	1	1	2	0.67	1.28
1	2	1	1	1	2.58	3.16
1	2	1	2	2	2.68	4.96
1	2	1	2	1	0.00	0.83
2	1	1	1	1	6.58	8.82
2	1	2	1	1	0.00	0.77
2	2	1	2	2	8.37	8.65
2	2	1	2	1	0.67	0.00
2	2	2	2	2	43.45	17.13
2	2	2	2	1	1.32	0.60

cohorts; of these three are intronic, two are in the promoter, and one is in the 3' UTR. It is possible that the promoter SNPs or the 3' UTR SNP are functionally significant. In support of this is the recent study from Esparza-Gordillo which showed that MCP -261G disrupts a potentially functional CBF-1/ RBP-Jk binding site. Transient transfection showed that this was associated with a 25% lower transcriptional activity.17 It is also possible that a combination of factors within the haplotype block results in a functional effect. Alternatively, these markers may simply be surrogates for another untested SNP in the vicinity.

Conclusion

This study emphasises the importance of variability in CFH and MCP as a modifier for the development of atypical HUS. The results suggest that complement regulatory genes in the RCA cluster are acting in a coordinated manner to prevent host cell damage and that perturbations of this network in the face of endothelial injury will lead to a thrombotic microangiopathy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by grants from the National Kidney Research Fund, the Northern Counties Kidney Research Fund, the Peel Medical Research Trust, and the Robin Davies Trust. VF-B was supported by an EMBO short term fellowship.

Authors' affiliations

E J Kemp, J A Goodship, L Strain, T H J Goodship, Institute of Human Genetics, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK V Fremeaux-Bacchi, M-A Dragon-Durey, Service d'Immunologie Biologique, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, Paris, France C Loirat, Service de Nephrologie, Hôpital Robert Debré, Paris, France H-W Deng, Genetics Laboratory, Osteoporosis Research Center, Creighton University Medical Center, Omaha, Nebraska, USA

Competing interests: none declared

Correspondence to: Professor T H J Goodship, The Institute of Human Genetics, Central Parkway, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 3BZ, UK; t.h.j.goodship@ncl.ac.uk

Received 10 January 2005 Revised version received 28 February 2005 Accepted for publication 4 March 2005

REFERENCES

- Moake JL. Thrombotic microangiopathies. N Engl J Med 2002;347:589–600. Richards A, Goodship JA, Goodship THJ. The genetics and pathogenesis of haemolytic uraemic syndrome and thrombotic thromboctyopenic purpura. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens 2002;11:431–5.
- Warwicker P, Goodship THJ, Donne RL, Pirson Y, Nicholls A, Ward RM, Goodship JA, Genetic studies into inherited and sporadic haemolytic uraemic
- syndrome. *Kidney Int* 1998;**53**:836–44.

 4 **Ying L**, Katz Y, Schlesinger M, Carmi R, Shalev H, Haider N, Beck G, Sheffield VC, Landau D. Complement factor H gene mutation associated with autosomal recessive atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. Am J Hum Genet 1999;65:1538-46.
- 5 Buddles MR, Donne RL, Richards A, Goodship J, Goodship TH. Complement factor H gene mutation associated with autosomal recessive atypical hemolytic
- uremic syndrome. Am J Hum Genet 2000;66:1721–2.
 6 Richards A, Buddles MR, Donne RL, Kaplan BS, Kirk E, Venning MC, Tielemans CL, Goodship JA, Goodship THJ. Factor H mutations in hemolytic

- uremic syndrome cluster in exons 18-20, a domain important for host cell recognition. Am J Hum Genet 2001;68:485-90.
- 7 Caprioli J, Bettinaglio P, Zipfel PF, Amadei B, Daina E, Gamba S, Skerka C, Marziliano N, Remuzzi G, Noris M. The molecular basis of familial hemolytic
- uremic syndrome: Mutation analysis of factor H gene reveals a hot spot in short consensus repeat 20. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2001;**12**:297–307.

 8 **Perez-Caballero D**, Gonzalez-Rubio C, Gallardo ME, Vera M, Lopez-Trascasa M, Rodriguez de Cordoba S, Sanchez-Corral P. Clustering of missense mutations in the C-terminal region of factor H in atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. Am J Hum Genet 2001;68:478-84.
- 9 Richards A, Kemp EJ, Liszewski MK, Goodship JA, Lampe AK, Decorte R, Muslumanoglu MH, Kavukcu S, Filler G, Pirson Y, Wen LS, Atkinson JP Goodship TH. Mutations in human complement regulator, membrane cofactor protein (CD46), predispose to development of familial hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Proc Natl Assoc Sci USA* 2003;1**0**0:12966–71.

 Noris M, Brioschi S, Caprioli J, Todeschini M, Bresin E, Porrati F, Gamba S,
- Remuzzi G. Familial haemolytic uraemic syndrome and an MCP mutation. Lancet 2003;362:1542-7.
- Dragon-Durey MA, Fremeaux-Bacchi V, Loirat C, Blouin J, Niaudet P, Deschenes G, Coppo P, Herman FW, Weiss L. Heterozygous and homozygous factor H deficiencies associated with hemolytic uremic syndrome or membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis: report and genetic analysis of 16 cases. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2004;**15**:787–95.
- Fremeaux-Bacchi V, Dragon-Durey M, Blouin J, Vigneau C, Kuypers D, Boudailliez B, Loirat C, Rondeau E, Fridman W. Complement factor I: a susceptibility gene for atypical hemolytic-uremic syndrome. J Med Genet
- Sanchez-Corral P, Perez-Caballero D, Huarte O, Simckes AM, Goicoechea E, Lopez-Trascasa M, Rodriguez De Cordoba S. Structural and functional characterization of factor H mutations associated with atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. Am J Hum Genet 2002;71:1285-95.
- Manuelian T, Hellwage J, Meri S, Caprioli J, Noris M, Heinen S, Jozsi M, Neumann HP, Remuzzi G, Zipfel PF. Mutations in factor H reduce binding affinity to C3b and heparin and surface attachment to endothelial cells in hemolytic uremic syndrome. J Clin Invest 2003;111:1181-90.
- Ballermann BJ. Endothelial cell activation. *Kidney Int* 1998;**53**:1810–26.

 Caprioli J. Castelletti F, Bucchioni S, Bettinaglio P, Bresin E, Pianetti G,
 Gamba S, Brioschi S, Daina E, Remuzzi G, Noris M. Complement factor H
 mutations and gene polymorphisms in haemolytic uraemic syndrome: the C257T, the A2089G and the G2881T polymorphisms are strongly associated with the disease. Hum Mol Genet 2003;12:3385-95.
- Esparza-Gordillo J, de Jorge EG, Buil A, Berges LC, Lopez-Trascasa M, Sanchez-Corral P, de Cordoba SR. Predisposition to atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome involves the concurrence of different susceptibility alleles in the Regulators of Complement Activation gene cluster in 1q32. *Hum Mol Genet* 2005;**14**:703–12.
- Perkins SJ, Goodship THJ. Molecular modelling of the C-terminal domains of factor H of human complement. A correlation between haemolytic uraemic syndrome and a predicted heparin binding site. J Mol Biol 2002;**316**:217-24
- Donne RL, Abbs I, Barany P, Elinder CG, Little M, Conlon P, Goodship THJ. Recurrence of hemolytic uremic syndrome after live related renal transplantation associated with subsequent de novo disease in the donor. Am J Kidney Dis 2002;**40**:E22
- Olie KH, Florquin S, Groothoff JW, Verlaak R, Strain L, Goodship TH, Weening JJ, Davin JC. Atypical relapse of hemolytic uremic syndrome after transplantation. Pediatr Nephrol 2004;19:1173-6.
- Filler G, Radhakrishnan S, Strain L, Hill A, Knoll G, Goodship TH. Challenges in the management of infantile factor H associated hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2004;19:908–11.
- Rougier N, Kazatchkine MD, Rougier J-P, Fremeaux-Bacchi V, Blouin J, Deschenes G, Soto B, Baudouin V, Pautard B, Proesmans W, Weiss E, Weiss L. Human complement factor H deficiency associated with hemolytic uremic
- syndrome. J Am Soc Nephrol 1998;9:2318–26.

 Nathanson S, Fremeaux-Bacchi V, Deschenes G. Successful plasma therapy in hemolytic uremic syndrome with factor H deficiency. Pediatr Nephrol 2001:16:554-6
- Dragon-Durey MA, Loirat C, Cloarec S, Macher MA, Blouin J, Nivet H, Weiss L, Fridman WH, Fremeaux-Bacchi V. Anti-Factor H autoantibodies associated with atypical Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome. J Am Soc Nephrol 2004;16:555-63
- Jeanpierre M. A rapid method for the purification of DNA from blood. Nucleic Acids Res 1987;15:9611.
- Warwicker P, Goodship THJ, Goodship JA. Three novel polymorphisms in the human complement factor H gene and promoter region. *Immunogenetics* 1997;**46**:437–8.