A genotype-phenotype correlation for GJB2 (connexin 26) deafness


Introduciton: Mutations in GJB2 are the most common cause of non-syndromic autosomal recessive hearing impairment, ranging from mild to profound. Mutation analysis of this gene is widely available as a genetic diagnostic test.

Objective: To assess a possible genotype-phenotype correlation for GJB2.

Design: Retrospective analysis of audiometric data from people with hearing impairment, segregating two GJB2 mutations.

Subjects: Two hundred and seventy seven unrelated patients with hearing impairment who were seen at the ENT departments of local and university hospitals from Italy, Belgium, Spain, and the United States, who harboured bi-allelic GJB2 mutations.

Results: We found that 35delG homozygotes have significantly more hearing impairment, compared with 35delG/non-35delG compound heterozygotes. People with two non-35delG mutations have even less hearing impairment. We observed a similar gradient of hearing impairment when we categorised mutations as inactivating (that is, stop mutations or frame shifts) or non-inactivating (that is, missense mutations). We demonstrated that certain mutation combinations (including the combination of 35delG with the missense mutations L90P, V37I, or the splice-site mutation IVS1+1G>A, and the V37I/V37I genotype) are associated with significantly less hearing impairment compared with 35delG homozygous genotypes.

Conclusions: This study is the first large systematic analysis indicating that the GJB2 genotype has a major impact on the degree of hearing impairment, and identifying mild genotypes. Furthermore, this study shows that it will be possible to refine this correlation and extend it to additional genotypes. These data will be useful in evaluating habilitation options for people with GJB2 related deafness.
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Methods

Clinical evaluation

Clinical data were derived from patients from Belgium, Italy, Spain, and the United States, who tested positively for bi-allelic GJB2 mutations in routine diagnostic testing. People with hearing impairment underwent otoscopic examinations and pure tone audiometry with a diagnostic audiometer in a

Abbreviations: ASPCR, allele-specific polymerase chain reaction; HL, hearing loss; ISO, International Standards Organization; PTA, pure tone average; SSCP, single strand conformational polymorphism
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the non-coding exon of GJB2 using MDE gel electrophoresis; samples were sequenced if identified, the non-coding exon of GJB2.

In Italian children aged between 6 months and 2 years, individual binaural tone threshold was obtained by visual reinforcement audiometry, and confirmed with click evoked auditory brainstem response (ABR) also called brainstem evoked response audiometry.

American and Belgian children below the age of 2 were tested by conditioned oriented reflex audiometry, auditory brainstem response, or pure tone audiometry. There were no Spanish children below the age of 2 in our study sample.

GJB2 mutation analysis

Various methods were used for mutation analysis of the GJB2 gene. For the Italian samples, GJB2 mutation screening was performed by single strand conformational polymorphism (SSCP) under various conditions followed by DNA sequencing. The Belgian samples were prescreened for 35delG. No further testing was done on people identified as 35delG homozygotes. If only one mutant allele was detected, the entire coding region of GJB2 was sequenced. Samples from Spanish patients were screened first for the 35delG mutation. In heterozygotes, heteroduplex analysis of the open reading frame, splice sites and promoter of GJB2 was completed, followed by sequencing of any DNA fragments showing abnormal gel-migration patterns. If heteroduplex analysis was normal, the entire open reading frame, splice sites and promoter of GJB2 were sequenced.

For the samples from American patients, the initial screen was an allele-specific polymerase chain reaction (ASPCR) assay to detect the presence of the 35delG allele variant using previously described primers. In people heterozygous for the 35delG allele, the coding sequence of GJB2 (exon 2) was screened for other allele variants by SSCP using MDE gels (FMC BioProducts, Rockland, ME). All abnormal band shifts were sequenced; if no abnormal band shifts were identified, the non-coding exon of GJB2 (exon 2) was sequenced. In cases in which the initial ASPCR screen failed to demonstrate a 35delG allele, exon 2 was screened by SSCP, again using MDE gel electrophoresis; samples were sequenced if shifts were observed. If a single coding mutation was detected, the non-coding exon of GJB2 (exon 1) was sequenced. Mutations that were listed as non-syndromic deafness mutations on the Connexin deafness homepage were all considered to be pathogenic. For sequence variants that were not described previously or for variants with an uncertain pathogenic state, the evolutionary conservation between Homo sapiens, Mus musculus, Cavia porcellus, and Ovis aries was calculated using the ConSeq web server.

In families with more than one affected sibling, we considered genotypic and audiometric data from only one randomly chosen deaf individual per family. All people segregating the M34T mutation were excluded because the pathogenic nature of this mutation is not clear.

Statistical analysis

To analyze whether the degree of hearing impairment was randomly distributed across the various 35delG/non-35delG and inactivating/non-inactivating genotypes (35delG/35delG, 35delG/non-35delG, non-35delG/non-35delG; inactivating/ inactivating, inactivating/non-inactivating, non-inactivating/ non-inactivating), \( \chi^2 \) testing was performed using the hearing impairment classification of mild, moderate, severe, and profound. Missense mutations and 3 bp deletions resulting in a deletion of an amino acid were considered as non-inactivating; splice site mutations, insertions, nonsense mutations, duplications and deletions of more than 3 bp were considered as inactivating mutations. Subsequently, the 3 × 4 contingency tables were reduced to the appropriate 2 × 2 contingency table and Fisher’s exact probability testing was performed to analyze whether certain classes of hearing impairment occurred more often in a specific genotype category than would be expected by chance alone.

Comparisons by hearing impairment classification between several specific mutation combinations (with \( n \geq 2 \) observations) were made using the \( \chi^2 \) test. Pairwise comparisons between subgroups were performed using Fisher’s exact probability test. Therefore, the pure tone average of thresholds at 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 kHz (PTA0.5–4 kHz) was dichotomized around the highest value observed in the 35delG/non-35delG or non-35delG/non-35delG genotype class. The level of significance used was \( p = 0.05 \).

RESULTS

GJB2 mutation spectrum

This study was conducted on 277 unrelated patients with prelingual hearing impairment with bi-allelic GJB2 mutations, who were seen at the ear, nose, and throat departments of local and university hospitals (30 Belgian, 131 Italian, 42 Spanish, and 74 American patients). The mutations in these patients comprise seven different homozygous genotypes and 50 different compound heterozygous genotypes. The changes include missense mutations, small deletions and insertions, nonsense mutations, one duplication, and one splice site mutation. Of the homozygous genotypes, five are expected to lead to an absent or truncated protein (anticipated null allele or inactivating mutation). In 16 out of 50 compound heterozygous genotypes, both changes are null alleles. The inactivating/non-inactivating and non-inactivating/non-inactivating mutation combinations represent 29 and 5 out of 50 compound heterozygous genotypes, respectively. When comparing the total study sample, 78% of the patients (216/277) inherited two inactivating mutations, 19% (53/277) inherited an inactivating and a non-inactivating mutation, and 3% (8/277) inherited two non-inactivating mutations.

Sixty percent of our total sample were homozygous for 35delG, with this mutation accounting for 75.5% (418/554) of all mutant alleles. Homozygosity for 35delG was least frequent in the American sample (34/74, 46%) and most frequent in the Belgian sample (22/30, 73%). In the Spanish and Italian samples, 55% (23/42) and 66% (87/131) of patients, respectively, were 35delG homozygotes. The high percentage in the Belgian sample may reflect a selection bias since people were first screened for 35delG and mutation analysis of the complete coding region was performed only if a single 35delG allele was detected.

Audiometric analysis of patients with bi-allelic GJB2 mutations

Genotype and hearing impairment distribution in bi-allelic GJB2 patients

Fig 1 shows the distribution of the classes of hearing impairment in the various 35delG/non-35delG and inactivating/non-inactivating genotype categories. In the 35delG/35delG genotype category, 63% (105/166) showed profound hearing impairment and 29% (47/166) were severely affected. Mild and moderate hearing impairment
Comparison of threshold values between specific GJB2 genotypes

To determine whether sample differences existed between people with a similar mutation combination, PTA levels were compared between different countries. We found no significant difference between people from different countries in either the 35delG/35delG group (χ² test) or the inactivating/inactivating mutation combination group (χ² test, data not shown). The threshold measurements of all 35delG homozygotes were therefore combined into one group to compare thresholds of people with different specific mutation combinations. The P5 (lower 5th centile of the 35delG/35delG patients) and P10 (lower 10th centile of the 35delG/35delG patients) PTA levels for the combined group were at ~60 and 70 dB HL, respectively (data not shown). As illustrated by fig 2, patients with 35delG/delE120, 35delG/IVS1+1G>A, 35delG/L90P, 35delG/V37I, or V37I/V37I genotypes clearly showed significantly less severe hearing impairment with respect to 35delG homozygotes (Fisher’s exact test). Remarkably, it was possible to show that some genotypes that occurred only once were also associated with significantly less severe hearing impairment compared to 35delG homozygotes (313del14/313del14, W24X/313del14, L90P/V95M, R184P/IVS1+1G>A, L90P/V153L, V63M/D159N, Y65X/L90V, V37I/R143W; fig 2). No significant differences were found between 35delG homozygotes and people with a 35delG/E147K, 35delG/313del14, 35delG/W24X, 35delG/V95M, 35delG/W77R, 35delG/E47X, 35delG/167delT, or 35delG/R184P mutation combination (fig 3). Although the genotypes 35delG/167delT and 35delG/R184P showed no significant difference with 35delG homozygotes when dichotomised around the highest value (fig 3), they did show a significant excess of cases with relatively mild hearing impairment when dichotomised around another value (respectively p = 0.006 and p = 0.014 when dichotomising around 51.25 dB for 35delG/167delT and 70 dB for 35delG/R184P). This is probably the consequence of the relatively wide variation in the degree of hearing impairment for these two genotypes. There was no substantial difference in thresholds between people with the same mutation combination coming from different countries (data not shown).

Comparison of threshold values between the various 35delG/non-35delG and inactivating/non-inactivating genotypes with exclusion of genotypes that cause significantly less severe hearing impairment

Because some specific genotypes were associated with significantly less severe hearing impairment with respect to 35delG homozygotes, the statistically significant differences we noted in fig 1 could reflect the presence of less severe mutation combinations within specific subgroups. To assess this possibility, we repeated the analyses pertaining to fig 1, after excluding all the genotypes that had been found to be significantly less severe in comparison to 35delG/35delG (fig 2). After exclusion, it appeared that hearing impairment overall was still not randomly distributed across the various categories of 35delG/non-35delG (χ² 6.98 (df 2)) and inactivating/non-inactivating (χ² 19.61 (df 2)) genotypes. Despite this finding, Fisher’s exact probability testing indicated that each of the different 35delG/non-35delG genotypes separately did not occur more frequently in combination with certain classes of hearing impairment. Inactivating/non-inactivating and non-inactivating/non-inactivating genotypes, however, were still significantly associated with...
moderate hearing impairment, while all other significant associations indicated in fig 1B disappeared (fig 4).

Analysis of progression in bi-allelic GJB2 patients
The threshold distribution in each nationality was similar (data not shown), as were age distributions across countries (median ages, 4–7 years; range, 0–67 years). We performed linear regression analysis of thresholds on age and found no significant age effect on threshold with only one exception—the Italian patients showed slight but significantly less severe hearing impairment with increasing age (data not shown). Because it can be difficult to reliably assess pure tone thresholds in very young children, we repeated regression analysis of the Italian sample after excluding patients aged <2 years and found the threshold-age effect no longer significant.

Figure 2  Binaural PTA0.5–4 kHz (dB HL) for 35delG homozygous patients compared with subgroups of patients with specific testable GJB2 genotypes that showed significantly less severe hearing impairment in comparison to 35delG homozygotes in Fisher’s exact probability testing. The number of observations (n), the median value (dB HL) and the p value are indicated for each subgroup.

Figure 3  This shows the same as fig 2, but now including the subgroup of patients with specific testable GJB2 genotypes who did not show significantly less severe hearing impairment in comparison to 35delG homozygotes in Fisher’s exact probability testing. The number of observations (n), the median value (dB HL) and the p value are indicated for each subgroup. The PTA0.5–4 kHz values were dichotomised as described. Note that 35delG/167delT and 35delG/R184P show an excess of patients with remarkably mild degrees of hearing impairment.
DISCUSSION
Mutations in GJB2 account for up to 50% of prelingual recessive non-syndromic deafness in the white population. Screening of this gene is relatively easy, thereby allowing molecular diagnosis for non-syndromic deafness. In the present study, we investigated the audiological characteristics in a large number of GJB2 hearing-impaired people originating from Belgium, Italy, Spain, and the United States, to delineate potential genotype-phenotype correlations. Several interesting features emerged. Although hearing loss in people with two GJB2 allele variants ranged from mild to profound, the non-random distribution pattern of different categories of hearing impairment over the various 35delG/non-35delG (fig 1A) and inactivating/non-inactivating genotypes (fig 1B) suggested a correlation between the type of mutation and the severity of hearing impairment. Statistical analysis indeed confirmed that certain classes of hearing impairment occurred significantly more often in association with certain genotypes than would be expected by chance alone. As no significant difference existed in the degree of hearing impairment between people with similar mutation combinations originating from different countries, hearing thresholds in people with similar GJB2 genotypes were combined to analyze the effect of specific mutation combinations. Interestingly, 35delG homozygotes showed significantly more hearing impairment with respect to 35delG/delE120, 35delG/IVS1+1G>A, 35delG/L90P, 35delG/V37I, and V37L/V37I genotypes (fig 2). A significant difference was also seen with a number of mutation combinations that occurred only in a single patient (313del14/313del14, W24X/313del14, 190P/V95M, R184P/IVS1+1G>A, L90P/V153I, V63M/D159N, Y65X/L90V, V37L/R143W; fig 2). However, as the latter findings are based on a single patient, they should be interpreted cautiously and should be corroborated by additional data. The genotypes involving V37I and V153I raise questions. The V37I variant has been reported as a mutation and as a polymorphism, and it seems to be relatively frequent in the Asian population. It was noted that the prevalence in the Asian hearing loss population is lower than expected based on the carrier-frequency. Therefore, Bason et al suggested that this discrepancy is caused by a distortion of the V37I frequency in the control population, a selection bias towards individuals with more severe hearing impairment, decreased penetrance, or the fact that V37I is a polymorphism but not a mutation. Also the pathogenic state of V153I is uncertain. It is listed as a rare polymorphism, and valine at position 153 shows an average conservation between Homo sapiens, Mus musculus, Cavia porcellus, and Ovis aries. However, Nomura et al reported that this variant may represent a mild recessive mutation and we detected it only once in 1348 Italian individuals who were screened for GJB2. If V37I and V153I are polymorphisms, it is not surprising that the hearing impairment of patients with a 35delG/V37I, V37I/V37I and L90P/V153I genotype was significantly less than that of 35delG homozygous patients. However, as we demonstrate in the present study that the phenotypic variability of GJB2 patients can largely be attributed to their genotype, it is possible that V37I and V153I are pathogenic variants leading to a mild phenotype.

A compilation of audiological data from previous studies confirms to some extent that specific relevant GJB2 genotypes are associated with relatively mild hearing impairment (for references see table 1). Like the present study, Janecke et al found that the distribution of homozygotes for truncating GJB2 mutations and other genotypes among the categories of hearing impairment differed significantly. In addition, they reported that the distribution of genotypes with and without L90P differed significantly. They concluded that the L90P mutation in trans with any other recessive mutation is predominately associated with mild or moderate hearing impairment, thereby corroborating our results. Although their findings were variable, they suggested that hearing impairment in people cosegregating the 35delG/L90P genotype is progressive and may even be associated with episodes of sudden sensorineural hearing loss. Regression analysis on our 9 35delG/L90P compound heterozygotes (aged 1–35) failed to find significant progression (data not shown), not supporting the findings of Janecke et al, and none of these people reported episodes of sudden sensorineural hearing loss. Also Denoyelle et al reported that the distributions of compound heterozygotes and 35delG homozygotes among the hearing-loss subgroups differed significantly, which is in

![Figure 4](http://jmg.bmj.com/) This shows the same as fig 1, but now with exclusion of specific genotypes that are associated with significantly less severe hearing impairment compared to the 35delG homozygous genotype as indicated in fig 2. The number of patients is indicated on the y axis. $x^2$ testing indicated that hearing impairment classes were non-randomly distributed across the different 35delG/non-35delG and the inactivating/non-inactivating genotypes. For both genotype categories, a reduced contingency table was constructed, combining the mild and moderate category as well as the 35delG/non-35delG and non-35delG/non-35delG genotypes or the inactivating/non-inactivating and the non-inactivating/non-inactivating categories. Asterisks indicate significantly high relative frequency according to the Fisher’s exact probability test.
line with our findings. However, they included several affected individuals from certain families, which can lead to a potential bias, due to a shared genetic background. The main difference with the current study is that they only made a classification based on the number of 35delG alleles and were not able to identify specific GJB2 genotypes that are associated with a remarkably mild phenotype. Based on their results they concluded that the hearing loss due to 35delG could not be predicted, even within families. In the study of Cohn et al., 167delT homozygotes were significantly more severely affected than 35delG homozygotes. Also, in this study multiple affected individuals from certain families were taken into account. In addition, they concluded that hearing impairment is very variable and suggested that other factors modify the phenotypic effects of GJB2 mutations. Considering previous publications on the GJB2 phenotype, some reports have given some evidence of a genotype-phenotype correlation for GJB2, but these studies are limited compared to the current study.

In contrast to the above listed genotypes leading to mild hearing impairment, no significant differences could be detected between 35delG homozygotes and 35delG/313del14, 35delG/R143W, 35delG/E147K, 35delG/W24X, 35delG/A95M, 35delG/W77R, 35delG/E47X, 35delG/167delT or 35delG/R184P compound heterozygous genotypes (fig 3). These findings have also been confirmed by other studies to some extent (table 1), and the combined data indicate that GJB2 related hearing impairment is mostly determined by the specific mutation combination. Variation in hearing impairment within each genotype category suggests that environmental factors or modifier genes, or both, are also involved but their contribution appears to be less important.

The table 1 shows that some GJB2 genotypes are associated with significantly less severe hearing impairment compared with 35delG homozygous mutations suggests that the significant differences between the different 35delG/non-35delG and inactivating/non-inactivating categories (fig 1) are mainly due to the presence of milder genotypes in some subgroups. We confirmed this subgroup effect by repeating our comparisons with the exclusion of these mild mutation combinations. After exclusion, most but not all of the significant differences had disappeared (fig 4). Based on these results, we believe that it is very likely that the inactivating/non-inactivating and non-inactivating/non-inactivating categories still included remarkably mild mutations after the present exclusion. In table 2, we have listed all genotypes that were detected in single people with moderate hearing impairment and PTA0.5–4 kHz >57.5 dB. These genotypes are not included in fig 2 because Fisher’s exact probability testing only produced significant results between our group of 35delG homozygotes and single genotypes if the latter category was present in people with PTA0.5–4 kHz <57.5 dB. Nevertheless, these genotypes might eventually be labelled as significantly less severe compared to the 35delG/35delG genotype if a second person with the same

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>This study</th>
<th>Previous studies</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35delG/V37F</td>
<td>Mi (3)</td>
<td>Mi, Mo, Se [2], High frequency</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35delG/190P</td>
<td>Mi (4), Mo (5)</td>
<td>Mi, Mo [15], High frequency (2), Se (2), Pr</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35delG/de120</td>
<td>Mo, Se</td>
<td>Se (2), Se to Pr</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35delG/IVS1-1G</td>
<td>Mi, Mo</td>
<td>Mi (2), Mo (4), Se to Pr</td>
<td>32 34 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35delG/W24X</td>
<td>Se, Pr</td>
<td>Mo, Se [2], Pr</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35delG/E47X</td>
<td>Se (6), Pr (4)</td>
<td>Se, Pr [3]</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35delG/167delT</td>
<td>Mo (3), Se (5), Pr (3)</td>
<td>Mo [4], Se (3), Pr (8)</td>
<td>31 34 36 39 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35delG/W77R</td>
<td>Mo, Se, Pr</td>
<td>Mo, Pr (13)</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35delG/V95M</td>
<td>Se (2)</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35delG/R143W</td>
<td>Se to Pr (3), Pr (6)</td>
<td>Se to Pr (3), Pr (6)</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of patients is indicated between brackets in cases where more than one patient with the respective genotype has been reported. Mi, mild; Mo, moderate; Se, severe; Pr, profound.

Table 2 List of mutation combinations in single patients showing moderate hearing impairment. Fisher’s exact probability testing only produced significant results between our group of 35delG homozygotes and single genotypes if the latter category was present in people with PTA0.5–4 kHz <57.5 dB. These genotypes listed below might eventually be labelled as significantly less severe compared to the 35delG/35delG genotype if a second person with the same genotype is found and if both people have PTA0.5–4 kHz ≤ 83 dB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GJB2 genotype</th>
<th>PTA0.5–4 kHz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35delG/V84L</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35delG/M90I</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35delG/S138N*</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35delG/R144W</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35delG/N206S</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W24X/R184P</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R32C/E47X</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V84L/R184P</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T123N/T123N*</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The pathogenic nature of S138N and T123N is not proven. S138N was not described previously, and T123N was reported as a change with an unknown relation to disease. These variants were not found in 100 Belgian (S138N) or 400 American (T123N) control chromosomes respectively. The amino acids involved in both variants are not evolutionary conserved between Homo sapiens, Mus musculus, Cavia porcellus, and Ovis aries.
Table 3  Functional properties of the more frequent GJB2 mutations as described in the literature. The effect on the degree of hearing impairment of these mutations in combination with a 35delG allele is also included

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Effect on hearing impairment (current study)</th>
<th>Functional properties</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35delG</td>
<td>Mild to profound, with most patients showing severe or profound hearing impairment</td>
<td>No expression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVS1-1G&gt;A</td>
<td>Significantly less severe compared with 35delG</td>
<td>Complete loss of channel activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V37I</td>
<td>Significantly less severe compared with 35delG</td>
<td>Complete loss of channel activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV77R</td>
<td>No significant difference compared with 35delG</td>
<td>Conductance similar to wild-type CX26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V84L</td>
<td>Possibly associated with less severe hearing impairment</td>
<td>Conductance similar to wild-type CX26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L90P</td>
<td>Significantly less severe compared with 35delG</td>
<td>Conductance similar to wild-type CX26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V95M</td>
<td>No significant difference compared with 35delG</td>
<td>Complete loss of channel activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delE120</td>
<td>No significant difference compared with 35delG</td>
<td>Complete loss of channel activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R143W</td>
<td>No significant difference compared with 35delG</td>
<td>Complete loss of channel activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R184P</td>
<td>No significant difference compared with 35delG</td>
<td>Complete loss of channel activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In conclusion, our results demonstrate for the first time on a systematic basis that people cosegregating specific GJB2 genotypes have significantly less severe hearing impairment compared with 35delG homozygotes. The delineation of this genotype-phenotype correlation, together with data obtained by large multicentre follow up studies, may be valuable within the framework of universal newborn hearing screening. The purpose of this screening is the early detection and habilitation of children with congenital hearing loss. As GJB2 accounts for up to 50% of non-syndromic recessive hearing impairment, mutation analysis of this gene is routinely carried out in many newborns with hearing impairment in many countries. Children with congenital hearing loss are often referred at the age of 1–3 months for follow up screening and therapeutic intervention.
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