Mutation analysis in the MECP2 gene and genetic counselling for Rett syndrome


We looked for pathogenic MECP2 mutations in 11 families with an index case affected by Rett syndrome (RTT), together with a sib or other relative affected by RTT or a less specific developmental disturbance. In one family, we detected the same MECP2 mutation in two affected sisters and their unaffected mother, who was subsequently shown to have skewed X chromosome inactivation. In five families, one affected subject was found to have a MECP2 mutation, but the other relative in whom a diagnosis of RTT was suspected did not carry this or any other detectable MECP2 mutation. In the remaining five families, no MECP2 mutation was detected in any subject. These results suggest that familial RTT is rare and may be underdiagnosed. Furthermore, and despite the small probability of recurrence, many relatives of females with the usual, sporadic RTT may seek genetic testing to clarify their situation.

Rett syndrome (RTT) is a neurodevelopmental disorder that mostly affects females and is usually sporadic. It has therefore often been considered to be an X linked dominant condition with male lethality, although it is now recognised that de novo mutations in RTT occur predominantly on the paternally derived X chromosome and this could lead to similar observations.1,4 It is an important cause of profound mental handicap in girls, accounting for up to 10% of all cases. The diagnosis is currently based upon the clinical criteria proposed by the Rett Syndrome Working Group in 1988.2 The major criteria are: (1) normal pre- and perinatal period and apparently normal development for the first 6 months of life, (2) a period of regression occurring at 6 months to 3 years of age, (3) deceleration in head growth, (4) acquisition of stereotypical hand movements, and (5) eventual profound developmental delay. In addition, there are a number of supportive criteria that can help in making the diagnosis, including irregularities of ventilation, epilepsy, scoliosis, growth retardation, and short fourth metatarsal bones.6,7

A number of less typical RTT phenotypes have been described.8 Early onset without any normal development has been described as a "congenital variant" form and cases with persisting speech as a "preserved speech variant".9,10 A variety of phenotypes have been described in males associated with MECP2 mutations, including severe encephalopathy sometimes accompanied by polymicrogyria.11 Where a possible case of RTT already exists in a family, atypical RTT is often considered in the differential diagnosis of a relative with disabilities. This raises important questions for the genetic counselling provided for such families.

The methyl CpG binding protein 2 gene, MECP2, encodes a global transcriptional silencer and was recently identified as the gene defective in RTT.12 Mutations in MECP2 so far identified in association with RTT are thought to cause loss of function of the protein and therefore a generalised derepression of transcription. Many groups have undertaken mutation analysis, using a variety of molecular techniques, in both classically and non-classically affected females. Mutation detection in classically affected females varies from 46% to 80%.13-21 MECP2 mutations therefore account for the majority of mutations in classically affected females and this suggests that another major RTT locus is unlikely. In atypical cases of RTT, the rate of mutation detection is lower, suggesting that other aetiologies are responsible for at least some of the cases.22

Approximately 1% of all RTT cases are thought to be familial and clinically unaffected carrier mothers have been reported, some with either extreme skewing of X inactivation or presumed gonadal mosaicism11,18,23-25 (personal communications, S Budden 19.10.2000, S Whatley 13.11.2001, Raffaele et al, submitted for publication). It is now possible to investigate further those families in whom RTT was thought to be familial by molecular genetic analysis of MECP2. We studied 10 families in which two subjects had classical or atypical RTT, to determine the role of mutations in the coding region of MECP2 in apparently familial RTT.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

DNA samples were collected from 21 affected subjects belonging to 11 families in which two members had clinical features strongly suggestive of classical or atypical RTT. Samples were also collected from the unaffected sister in one family and from the mothers of affected subjects when appropriate. Mutation analysis in members of families 1, 2, 3, and 4 has been reported previously.26 Where linkage studies have also been reported, we refer to these in the text.

Clinical details were collected by clinical examination, from the case notes, and from the clinicians involved with each patient. Specific information was collected regarding the major diagnostic criteria, to establish the presence or absence of (1) a period of normality, (2) a period of regression, (3) deceleration in head growth, (4) stereotypical hand movements, and (5) profound developmental delay. Unless
otherwise stated, all relevant metabolic and neurological investigations have been undertaken to exclude an alternative diagnosis. For this study, females that met four out of five of the major criteria and at least two out of six of the supportive criteria were considered to have definite RTT, as well as those females who met all five of the major criteria.

In order to perform mutation analysis, the complete coding region (exons 2, 3, and 4), the flanking intronic splice site sequences, and the 5′UTR within exon 2 of MECP2 were amplified as three non-overlapping fragments, as previously described. Manual PCR product sequencing for exons 2 and 3 using the ThermoSequenase cycle sequencing kit (Amersham) and automated PCR product sequencing for exon 4 using the ThermoSequenase fluorescence cycle sequencing kit (Amersham) was carried out as previously described. Every patient was sequenced for all three fragments and mutations were confirmed on both strands.

X inactivation status was assessed by PCR amplification of the androgen receptor (AR) locus, incorporating the trinucleotide polymorphic repeat in exon 1 and the 5′ methylation sites. This method was originally described by Allen et al., who reported the polymorphic repeat to have a heterozygosity of 90%. The original method has been modified to use fluorescent technology. The primers used were as stated in the original paper, but the forward primer was labelled with the fluorescent dye ABI-FAM, and PCR controls were included with each batch of test samples (blank/no DNA, random controls, and controls with skewed X inactivation). For each sample two 10 μl reactions were prepared. In reaction 1, 500 ng DNA were incubated at 37°C overnight with 1 μl restriction enzyme buffer, 0.5 μl (10 U) HpaII, 0.5 μl (10 U) HhaI (all Kramel Biotech) and dH2O. Reaction 2 serves as an undigested enzyme buffer, 0.5 μl (10 U) HpaII, 0.5 μl (10 U) HhaI and dH2O. Reaction 2 was used as an undigested control allowing zyosity to be determined; 500 ng DNA was diluted with dH2O and 2.5 μl of DNA from each reaction tube was independently amplified using standard PCR conditions (25 μl reaction volume, 1.5 mmol/l MgCl2). Cycle conditions were as in Allen et al., except 25 cycles. Denatured PCR products were electrophoresed and separated on a 4.2% 12 cm long, 0.2 mm thick, polyacrylamide gel. This was run for 2 hours on an ABI DNA Sequencer (PE-ABI, UK), using a GS500 internal size standard (manufacturer’s instructions). Analysis uses ABI Genescan and Genotyper software packages (manufacturer’s instructions). Signal output was assessed by eye. Two signals in an undigested track represent heterozygosity for the AR polymorphic repeat. If the corresponding digested track also had two signals of similar intensity, then the female was reported as having random X inactivation, if there was only one signal (or the second was negligible) then the female was reported to have skewed X inactivation.

RESULTS
Family 1 (fig 1)
I.4 fulfills the diagnostic criteria for classical RTT. At the age of 17 years she was able to walk alone, grasp objects for a brief period of time, and understand one or two words. She was found to carry the R133C missense mutation. X inactivation studies on peripheral blood leucocytes showed a random pattern of X inactivation. Her younger sister, I.5, also fulfilled the criteria for classical RTT. At the age of 15 years she could walk alone and feed with a spoon. She had no speech but could understand simple commands. She also carried the R133C mutation and showed random X inactivation. The girls’ mother, I.2, did not have RTT. She completed her education to the age of 21 years and worked as a teacher. She was found to have the R133C mutation in her peripheral blood leucocytes. X inactivation studies show that she has skewing of X chromosome inactivation with apparently complete inactivation of the mutation bearing X chromosome in her leucocytes.

This family has been reported previously and it is important to note that I.2 and I.4 have disordered area cycle metabolism and that I.4, and to a lesser degree I.5, show evidence of abnormal metabolism of carbohydrates with fasting hypoglycaemia and hyperlactataemia. The origin of the mutation in this family appears to be on the maternal grandfather’s X chromosome, with the haplotype for markers DXS348, DXS1193, and DXS15 in Xq28 being inherited through the mother by both affected girls (family 8 in Xiang et al’).

Family 2
II.1, the older of two sisters with developmental problems, fulfilled the diagnostic criteria for classical RTT. A missense mutation, S134C, was identified in peripheral blood leucocytes. The younger sister, II.2, did not fulfill the criteria for classical RTT. Between 7 to 11 months there was some concern that her development was slowing. She lost social interest and verbalised much less than previously. Following this she made good developmental progress and by 4 years attended a mainstream school. She did not have a detectable mutation in the MECP2 coding region.

Family 3
III.1 and III.2 are a maternal aunt and niece. The niece, III.2, meets the criteria for classical RTT. She has been shown to have a truncating mutation, R294X, of the MECP2 gene. The maternal aunt, III.1, was described as having a history of regression but has moderate developmental delay. She sat at 6 months, had a number of words at 13 months, and walked at 18 months. At 27 months she became withdrawn but maintained her hand function. She maintained a higher level of hand function and language skills than would be expected in RTT. She had demonstrable deceleration in head growth and also had developed generalised seizures and stereotypical hand movements. She does not have a mutation in the MECP2 coding region detectable by comprehensive sequence analysis. Linkage analyses were performed with microsatellite markers on Xq28 but were not contributory.

Family 4 (fig 2)
IV.2 has a clinical diagnosis of classical RTT. The P225R mutation in the MECP2 gene was identified in peripheral blood leucocytes. Her second cousin once removed and related through a male relative, IV.3, fulfilled the diagnostic criteria for classical RTT. While she was hypotonic in the neonatal period, her development appeared otherwise normal until 4 months of age when progress ceased. Slow developmental progress was re-established from 18 months onwards. Scoliosis was noted at 12 months and seizures began at 3 years, from which time she lost some skills including hand use, mobility, and communication skills. At the age of 6 years she was able to walk with an unsteady gait and also to finger feed. She had occasional hand wringing when concentrating. She does not have a mutation in the coding region of the MECP2 gene.
IV.1, the healthy sister of IV.2, presented to her local genetics department in her first pregnancy requesting prenatal diagnosis. Mutation analysis of her leucocyte DNA and that of her parents was undertaken for the P225R mutation. Neither IV.1 nor her mother carried the mutation in their peripheral blood leucocytes. IV.1 did not proceed with prenatal diagnosis and subsequently delivered a healthy boy.

Family 5
V.I, the eldest of two sisters with developmental difficulties, fulfilled the diagnostic criteria for RTT although she has a higher level of skills than is usual. She was born following a normal pregnancy. Slow development became apparent by 10 months of age, when she began to scream for long periods of time. At 6 years she developed generalised seizures and scoliosis and she remains profoundly disabled.

The younger sister, V.2, was born following a normal pregnancy. There was no period of regression but slow developmental progress was apparent by 11 months. By 3 years she was able to walk with callipers and by 6 years was able to finger feed but had no speech. She wrings her hands in the midline and frequently places them in her mouth. She displayed bruxism and had short episodes of absences. A clinical diagnosis of atypical RTT was thought likely. These girls share all informative markers from a panel of Xq28 microsatellites (family 6 in Webb et al29) but no mutation was detected in the MECP2 coding region in either child.

Family 6
VI.1, the elder of two sisters, fulfils the criteria for classical RTT. At the age of 33 years, she was able to walk with support. She had no appropriate speech and little understanding. She had occasional stereotypical hand movements and only limited hand use. The younger sister, VI.2, also fulfilled the criteria for classical RTT. At the age of 26 years she was unable to walk and had severe truncal ataxia, absent speech, and a mild scoliosis. Both sisters share the same maternal allele for DXS15 and for some other microsatellite markers in distal Xq28 (family 6 in Xiang et al,28 family 3 in Webb et al29) although neither had a detectable mutation in the coding region of the MECP2 gene.

Family 7
VII.1, the older of two sisters with severe developmental problems, had apparently normal development in the first year of life. At 2 years of age she was noted to have severe expressive speech delay and her developmental progress ceased. There was no evidence of regression. Although she no longer used her hands in play she was able to use a spoon and cup. There was no clear evidence of deceleration in head growth. At the age of 19 years she was able to walk but with a very crouched gait and she was still able to use a spoon and cup. VII.2, her younger sister, had no clear regression period and no documented deceleration in head growth. She had developed occasional hand stereotypical movements, but maintains relatively good hand use.

Both sisters have non-specific, dysmorphic facial features and, although they show some features of RTT, neither was considered to fulfil the diagnostic criteria for RTT. The sisters share their maternal Xq28 haplotype from DXS994 (in Xq27) to DXYS154 (Xqter), shown to be of grandmaternal origin (family 5 in Webb et al29), but neither sister has a detectable mutation in the MECP2 coding region.

Family 8
VIII.1 is the older of two sisters with developmental problems. She does not fulfil the diagnostic criteria for classical RTT but is thought to have a congenital form of the condition.10 She appeared well until about 4 weeks when she developed feeding difficulties and hyperventilation. Over the next few months her head growth decelerated. From 2 years she developed stereotypical hand movements, respiratory irregularities, teeth grinding, and epilepsy.

VIII.2 is also thought to have the congenital variant form of the condition. Her developmental profile has been almost identical to that of her sister in that developmental delay was noted from the first few weeks of life. By the age of 5 years she displayed stereotypical hand movements, profound developmental delay, and respiratory dysfunction. These girls have opposite Xq28 haplotypes across the MECP2 gene region (family 4 in Webb et al29) and neither sister has a detectable mutation in the MECP2 coding region.

Family 9
IX.1 is the elder of two maternal half sisters with developmental problems and fulfils our criteria for “definite” RTT although not the full criteria for “classical” RTT. She has developed no speech, has intermittent episodes of breath holding and hyperventilation, and apnoea. An MRI of her brain at 20 months was normal but a CT scan showed calcification, which was thought to be consistent with an intrauterine infection. This has not been confirmed serologically. Her younger half sister, IX.2, had developmental delay first suspected at 9 months and, at the age of 5 years, there was a plateau in her development and some loss of skills. She developed occasional hand wringing in the midline, episodes of hyperventilation, and a scoliosis. Neither of the half sisters has a detectable mutation in the MECP2 coding region.

Family 10
X.1 has developmental problems that fulfil the diagnostic criteria for classical RTT. Her sister X.2 had a clinical course consistent with RTT including breathing abnormalities, seizures, teeth grinding, and cold blue feet. Refractory seizures and pneumonia led to her death in 1979 at the age of 8 years, so that the diagnosis of RTT was never considered during her lifetime. Reference was made to this family before the availability of mutation testing.30–32 Interestingly, the mother has bilateral short fourth metacarpals while X.1 also had a short right metacarpal and a short left metatarsal. Mutation testing has now been completed and has included both parents and her brother but DNA was not available from the dead sister X.2. Only the living affected patient X.1 has a MECP2 mutation, 806delG. She also has the c.1439+10 C→T change, a polymorphism shared with her mother and healthy brother.
**Family 11**

X1.2, the younger of two sisters with developmental problems, fulfilled the diagnostic criteria for classical RTT. A truncating mutation (c.502C>T, R168X) was identified in peripheral blood leukocytes. X1.1, the older sister, did not fulfill the criteria for classical RTT. Infantile spasms started in the first year of life associated with loss of neurodevelopmental skills. The seizure pattern was consistent with Lennox-Gastaut syndrome and severe refractory seizures, including debilitating atonic seizures, persisted. At 13 years of age, she is ambulant but with severe learning difficulties. Speech is restricted to sounds only, although she is able to communicate with Makaton signing. She is able to roll over, sit, and stand with support. She is able to communicate with Makaton signing. The demand for carrier testing in the female relatives of the affected girls, however, is likely to increase. Families in whom a mutation has been identified in the affected girl, and where the mother is shown not to carry the mutation, may still request testing for healthy sisters, because of the remote possibility of mosaicism in one (either) parent. Although a high level of reassurance can be offered without testing, it is understandable that families who have lived with this devastating condition would want as much reassurance as is available. We had requests for carrier testing from two sisters of classically affected girls in this series; in one case the mother was shown to be an unaffected carrier of a MECP2 mutation (family 1) and in the other case (family 4) the mother had previously been shown not to carry the relevant gene mutation. These two sisters of affected girls were shown not to be carriers. We have had several requests to test the healthy sisters of RTT-affected girls with previously proven MECP2 mutations; all have been found not to carry the relevant mutation. It is uncertain how many mutation negative mothers of mutation positive girls with RTT will request prenatal testing in future pregnancies, but this may well be requested on a regular basis.

In conclusion, RTT is a relatively common cause of profound developmental delay in females, but the diagnosis relies upon the accepted clinical diagnostic criteria that are strongly predictive of the presence of a MECP2 mutation. Where a mutation has been detected in an affected female, the chance that a sib or other relative with developmental disturbance will be affected by the same mutation is small. Although the recurrence risks for RTT are low, for the offspring of unaffected sisters of both familial and sporadic cases and for the offspring of the mutation negative mothers of mutation positive affected daughters, we appreciate that many relatives will request genetic carrier testing and some may request prenatal diagnosis even where the risk of recurrence is the risk of mosaicism.
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