ELECTRONIC LETTER

Survival in trisomy 13 and trisomy 18 cases ascertained from population based registers

C M Brewer, S H Holloway, D H Stone, A D Carothers, D R FitzPatrick

J Med Genet 2002;**39**:e54 (<http://www.jmedgenet.com/cgi/content/full/39/9/e54>)

Although long term survivors are well documented, infants with the autosomal trisomies 18 (Edwards syndrome) or 13 (Patau syndrome) usually die in the first few days or weeks of life. Accurate estimates of life expectancy are few, particularly in the case of trisomy 13. There have been six population surveys of survival in trisomy 18, comprising 430 unselected cases.¹⁻⁶ In contrast there have been only two such studies of trisomy 13 involving 35 cases.^{4,7} A reliable estimate of survival time is important when counselling parents following a pre- or postnatal diagnosis. The fact that a significant proportion of infants succumb within the first 24 hours is a major contributing factor to the short median survival time. Given this fact, a means of revising the estimate of survival when an infant is already several days old is important. Using information available on infants with trisomy 13 or 18 born in Scotland from 1974 to 1997, we have calculated median survival times in this population and prepared revised figures which take into account continued survival.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Cases were ascertained from two sources, the Scottish Trisomy Register 1989-1997 and the Glasgow Register of Congenital Anomalies 1974-1989. The Scottish Trisomy Register was established in 1989 and is a database of autosomal trisomies in Scotland; information is collected from the Scottish service laboratories and includes name, date of birth, type of sample, karyotype, and whether liveborn.^{8,9} Dates of death are ascertained separately. The Glasgow Register of Congenital Anomalies is a multisource, population based congenital anomaly database of offspring of mothers resident in the Greater Glasgow Health Board area. Diagnostic validation by trained registry workers is performed on all notified cases. Only liveborn, non-mosaic free trisomies, both pre- and postnatally ascertained, were included in this study. These two sources provide non-overlapping data covering the 24 years from 1974 to 1997 and a check was carried out to ensure that no case was included twice. Median survival for all liveborn infants was calculated (SPSS for Windows version 6.1) and survival curves for the Scottish Trisomy Register and the Glasgow Register of Congenital Anomalies were estimated separately and compared using the Logrank Test. In addition, the Cox proportional hazards model was used to investigate whether there was an association between year of birth and survival for either trisomy. Revised survival times were calculated for infants still alive at 1, 2, 7, 14, and 30 days after excluding those who had already died.

RESULTS

Trisomy 13

There were 26 live births with full trisomy 13 in the Scottish Trisomy Register. In four cases, details were incomplete and dates of death could not be ascertained, so these have been excluded from further analysis. There were 10 cases in the Glasgow Register of Congenital Anomalies, giving a total of 32 cases for analysis. The median survival time was 8.5 days

(range 1-412 days). Kaplan-Meier survival curves were plotted for the two datasets separately but no significant difference was found using the Logrank test (data not shown). Since the two databases cover non-overlapping periods, these results indicate there has been no significant secular change in survival during the period of the study. This was further confirmed by the lack of a significant association between year of birth and survival using the Cox Proportional Hazards model. The cases were therefore pooled. Proportions of infants surviving a given time period by time already survived are given in table 1.

Trisomy 18

For infants with trisomy 18, data were complete in 59 out of 63 cases recorded in the Scottish Trisomy Register and in all 25 cases recorded in the Glasgow Register of Congenital Anomalies giving a total of 84 cases for analysis. The median survival was 6 days (range 1-975 days). Kaplan-Meier survival curves were plotted for the two datasets separately, but no significant difference was found using the Logrank test. Using the Cox proportional hazards model, no significant association was found between year of birth and survival. Thus, over the period of study there has been no change in survival in this condition. The cases were therefore pooled. Proportions of infants surviving a given time period by time already survived are given in table 1.

DISCUSSION

The median survival times (MST) calculated in this survey are broadly similar to those of previous population studies. Our

Key points

- Using clinical and cytogenetic data from 32 cases of trisomy 13 and 84 cases of trisomy 18 born between 1974-1997, we have calculated median survival periods together with revised figures for those still alive at 1, 2, 7, 14, and 30 days.
- The median survival for trisomy 13 was 8.5 days and for trisomy 18 was 6 days. As expected, there is a trend for increased survival at 1 week and 1 month for both trisomy 13 and trisomy 18 infants who survived the first 48 hours. For both trisomies the probability of survival beyond 1 year is small and does not change substantially in infants who have already survived up to one month.
- We have found the revised survival times particularly useful in counselling parents of newly diagnosed infants when the child has already exceeded the overall median life expectancy.
- This is the largest unselected group studied to date and is only the third such survey of trisomy 13.

Table 1 Proportions of infants, who have survived a given number of days, by total length of survival. For example, if counselling the parents of an infant with trisomy 13 who has survived for 14 days then there is a 60% (35 to 85% CI) chance that the infant will survive to the age of 1 month and a 7% (0 to 19% CI) chance that they will survive for 1 year. The revised median survival times with confidence intervals that do not overlap with those calculated at birth are marked with an asterisk

Time already survived	Observed length of survival (95% confidence limits)			
	1 day	1 week	1 month	1 year
Trisomy 13				
Birth	75% (60 to 90)	50% (33 to 67)	28% (13 to 44)	3% (0 to 9)
1 day		67% (48 to 86)	38% (18 to 57)	4% (0 to 12)
2 days		76% (58 to 94)	43% (22 to 64)	5% (0 to 14)
7 days			56% (32 to 81)	6% (0 to 18)
14 days			60% (35 to 85)	7% (0 to 19)
30 days				11% (0 to 32)
Trisomy 18				
Birth	88% (81 to 95)	43% (32 to 53)	25% (16 to 34)	2% (0 to 6)
1 day		49% (37 to 60)	28% (18 to 39)	3% (0 to 6)
2 days		58% (46 to 70)	34% (22 to 46)	3% (0 to 8)
7 days			58% (42 to 74)*	6% (0 to 13)
14 days			88% (74 to 100)*	8% (0 to 19)
30 days				10% (0 to 22)

MST of 8.5 days in trisomy 13 is longer than that reported by Wyllie *et al*⁷ (4 days) and by Goldstein and Nielsen⁴ (2.5 days). Our MST in trisomy 18 (6 days) is remarkably similar to the other population based studies. Several other studies using selected populations have also been reported. Weber *et al*¹ found that 98% survived the first day and 8% were still alive at one year based on 192 cases collected from published reports and personal communications. This might be explained by the fact that in the 1960s the diagnosis of chromosome abnormalities was often made much later, by which time many affected babies would have died. Magenis *et al*¹⁰ used data on trisomy 13 obtained from their own laboratory records, published cases, and from questionnaires to other laboratories and found that 28%, 44%, and 86% had died by the end of the first week, month, and year, respectively, but they did not calculate median survival. Taylor¹¹ reported MST of 89 and 70 days in trisomy 13 and 18 respectively based on data from a population study of 27 cases of each trisomy in south east England combined with 47 trisomy 13 and 126 trisomy 18 published cases. The MSTs reported by Hodes *et al*¹² (74 days for trisomy 13 and 35 days for trisomy 18) are likely to be biased since these cases were ascertained through a tertiary referral centre and a number of cases may have died before transfer. Similarly, Redheehran *et al*¹³ recognise likely ascertainment bias in the 19 cases of trisomy 13 in which they calculated MST.

Our data probably represent near complete ascertainment of the two trisomies in the Scottish population 1989-1997 and the Glaswegian population 1974-1989. The only information known to be missing concerns four cases of each trisomy diagnosed in the same cytogenetics laboratory where, for administrative reasons during a short period of the study, patient names were not notified making it impossible to ascertain dates of death. However, there is no reason to suppose that survival in these particular cases was any different from that in the rest of the cohort.

Although median survival is useful in counselling, our estimates that take into account the survival of the infant to date should prove particularly helpful to parents. In this study, the percentage of deaths which occurred in the first 24 hours was 25% for trisomy 13 and 12% for trisomy 18. The revised figures show a trend for increased survival at 1 week and 1 month for trisomy 13 infants who survive this period, but only in trisomy 18 infants who survive 48 hours. For both trisomies the prob-

ability of survival beyond 1 year is small and does not change substantially in infants who have already survived up to 1 month.

It might be expected that advances in prenatal diagnosis and neonatal intensive care would have affected life expectancy. For example, an increase in the use of prenatal diagnosis will result in a lower rate of affected livebirths generally, but may selectively reduce the numbers with significant malformations, since these are more likely to be detected by prenatal ultrasound scan and subsequent karyotyping. This might result in a longer median survival.² Similarly, advances in neonatal intensive care and paediatric surgery for infants born with malformations might also prolong survival. However, our results, together with those of other authors since the 1960s, suggest there has been no significant change in survival over the last 20 years. This may be explained by the fact that the most common reported cause of death in both conditions is central apnoea,^{6,7} which is difficult to prevent even with modern neonatal intensive care. The most important limitation of this study is that we did not have accurate information on the exact pattern of malformations in the individual cases. We could, therefore, not correlate the presence of severe heart or brain malformations with the timing of early neonatal deaths.

Authors' affiliations

C M Brewer, South Western Regional Genetics Service - Devon and Cornwall, Royal Devon & Exeter Hospital, Exeter EX2 5DW, UK

S H Holloway, D R FitzPatrick, South-East Scotland Clinical Genetics Services, Molecular Medicine Centre, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, UK

D H Stone, Paediatric Epidemiology and Community Health (PEACH) Unit, University of Glasgow, Royal Hospital for Sick Children, Yorkhill, Glasgow G3 8SJ, UK

A D Carothers, D R FitzPatrick, MRC Human Genetics Unit, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, UK

Correspondence to: Dr D R FitzPatrick, MRC Human Genetics Unit, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, UK; david.fitzpatrick@hgu.mrc.ac.uk

REFERENCES

- 1 Weber W. Survival and the sex ratio in trisomy 17-18. *Am J Hum Genet* 1967;19:369-77.
- 2 Carter PE, Pearn JH, Bell J, Martin N, Anderson NG. Life tables for use in genetic counselling and clinical paediatrics. *Clin Genet* 1985;27:59-61.

- 3 **Young ID**, Cook JP, Mehta L. Changing demography of trisomy 18. *Arch Dis Child* 1986;**61**:1035-45.
- 4 **Goldstein H**, Nielsen KG. Rates and survival of individuals with trisomy 13 and 18. *Clin Genet* 1988;**34**:366-72.
- 5 **Root S**, Carey JC. Survival in trisomy 18. *Am J Med Genet* 1994;**49**:170-4.
- 6 **Embleton ND**, Wyllie JP, Wright MJ, Burn J, Hunter S. Natural history of trisomy 18. *Arch Dis Child* 1996;**75**:F38-41.
- 7 **Wyllie JP**, Wright MJ, Burn J, Hunter S. Natural history of trisomy 13. *Arch Dis Child* 1994;**71**:343-5.
- 8 **Carothers AD**. A cytogenetic register of trisomies in Scotland: results of the first 2 years (1989, 1990). *Clin Genet* 1994;**46**:405-9.
- 9 **Carothers AD**, Boyd E, Lowther G, Ellis PM, Couzin DA, Faed MJW, Robb A. Trends in prenatal diagnosis of Down syndrome and other autosomal trisomies in Scotland 1990 to 1994, with associated cytogenetic and epidemiological findings. *Genet Epidemiol* 1999;**16**:179-90.
- 10 **Magenis RE**, Hecht F, Milham S. Trisomy 13 (D) syndrome: studies on parental age, sex ratio and survival. *J Pediatr* 1968;**73**:222-8.
- 11 **Taylor AI**. Autosomal trisomy syndromes: a detailed study of 27 cases of Edwards' syndrome and 27 cases of Patau's syndrome. *J Med Genet* 1968;**5**:227-42.
- 12 **Hodes ME**, Cole J, Palmer CG, Reed T. Clinical experience with trisomies 18 and 13. *J Med Genet* 1978;**15**:48-60.
- 13 **Redheendran R**, Neu RL, Bannerman RM. Long survival in trisomy 13 syndrome: 21 cases including prolonged survival in two patients 11 and 19 years old. *Am J Med Genet* 1981;**8**:167-72.