ELECTRONIC LETTER

DTDST mutations are not a frequent cause of idiopathic talipes equinovarus (club foot)

L Bonafé, S H Blanton, A Scott, S Broussard, C A Wise, A Superti-Furga, J T Hecht

J Med Genet 2002;39:e20 (<http://www.jmgjnl.com/cgi/content/full/39/4/e20>)

Idiopathic talipes equinovarus (ITEV) or isolated club foot deformity is a common birth defect having an average birth prevalence of 1 per 1000 live births.^{1,2} However, the birth prevalence of ITEV varies among different populations, ranging from 0.39-7 per 1000 live births, with the highest rate in the Hawaiian and Maori populations.³⁻⁵ ITEV occurs more frequently in males and the skewed 2:1 ratio is consistent across all ethnic groups.^{1-4,7} Bilateral deformity is seen in more than half of the cases regardless of race and unilateral defects occur more often on the right side.⁸

ITEV is an isolated congenital deformity of the foot and lower leg occurring when the foot is plantar flexed and inverted.⁹ ITEV is just one of the many types of foot deformities that are referred to as club foot. Club foot is often used loosely to describe a variety of different abnormalities that are morphologically similar but aetiologically distinct.⁸ Both metatarsus adductus and talipes calcaneovalgus are considered mild and usually self-correcting abnormalities.⁹ While many refer to these mild conditions as club foot, talipes equinovarus (TEV) is suggested to be the only true club foot and generally requires serial manipulations and castings, followed by one or more surgical procedure(s).^{10,11} Whereas TEV is part of many genetic syndromes, ITEV occurs as an isolated birth defect.¹²

Epidemiological studies have attempted to define the aetiology of ITEV and none has shown a significant association with socioeconomic factors or teratogenic exposures in non-Hispanic white, Hawaiian, African American, and Oriental populations.^{2,7,13,14} Theories surrounding the aetiology of ITEV can be grouped into several categories: extrinsic prenatal influences, intrinsic anatomical factors, and genetic factors. The oldest theory of ITEV causation is intrauterine mechanical compression. Although the intrauterine compression theory has been supported through centuries, no studies have validated this claim.^{2,13,14} Support for intrinsic anatomical factors comes from dissections of 8-21 week fetal feet in which abnormalities have been identified in some¹⁵⁻¹⁷ but not in other studies.¹⁸

Evidence for a genetic aetiology comes from a twin study that showed a monozygotic twin concordance rate for ITEV of 32.5% compared to a 2.9% rate in dizygotic twins.¹⁹ Complex segregation analyses of ITEV pedigrees from different populations provide the strongest support for a genetic aetiology with some suggesting Mendelian and others multifactorial inheritance.^{2,6,11,14,20-24}

A recent report suggested homozygosity for the R279W mutation in the sulphate transporter gene (*DTDST*) (*SLC26A2*) as the aetiology of ITEV in two sibships.²⁵ Homozygosity for mutations in the *DTDST* gene causes a spectrum of disorders including mild multiple epiphyseal dysplasia, diastrophic dysplasia, achondrogenesis type 1B, and atelosteogenesis type II.²⁶ Severe, recalcitrant TEV is commonly observed in diastrophic dysplasia, while subjects with the mildest *DTDST* disorder, recessive multiple epiphyseal dysplasia, may have club foot as the sole manifestation at birth. As part of our ITEV linkage study we have tested for linkage and association to the *DTDST* gene and the R279W mutation.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Probands with ITEV were ascertained at Shriners Hospital for Children in Houston and Scottish Rite Hospital for Children, Dallas, Texas. Subjects were excluded from the study if they had TEV associated with other anomalies or syndromes. All cases of ITEV were interviewed and the diagnosis was confirmed either by examination or by review of medical records. Two generation pedigrees were collected on all participants and the probands were recorded as having a positive or negative family history. This information was used in the analysis. For probands without a family history of ITEV, blood samples for DNA were obtained from only the nuclear family (triad). For those with a family history, blood samples were obtained from all of the relatives. DNA was made using GenePure kit (Gentra, Minneapolis, MN).

Since the *DTDST* gene does not have an intragenic short tandem repeat marker, two tightly linked flanking markers, D5S1507 and D5S1469, were tested.²⁷ These markers were PCR amplified at an annealing temperature of 55°C and genotyped using the Gelcode silver stain system to visualise the alleles.²⁸

The genotyping data were analysed using the TDT option of GENEHUNTER.²⁹ The flanking markers were analysed together and individually. Families were grouped first by ethnicity and then by the presence or absence of a family history of ITEV; p values were evaluated using a permutation test. For this, the transmitted and non-transmitted alleles are switched at random in 50% of the data. This is done at a specified number of times (1000 in this case) and the number of times that a p value of the same level or less is obtained is recorded.

Analysis of the R279W mutation was performed following the procedure of Superti-Furga *et al.*³⁰ The coding sequence of the *DTDST* gene, as well as the region containing the IVS1+2T>C mutation, were amplified in a set of 10 overlapping fragments.²⁶

RESULTS

One hundred and twenty-five ITEV probands and their parents were genotyped for D5S1507 and 155 for D5S1469. Linkage and association results obtained with GENEHUNTER for D5S1507 were not significant. Results for D5S1469 showed that in all groups, except for the Hispanic familial group, the 4 allele was transmitted nearly twice as often as not and yielded a slightly significant p value (table 1). However, the permutation test to determine the robustness of the p values indicates that these were not significant.

None of the known pathogenic mutations were found in the DNA from 10 ITEV probands who received the 4 allele of D5S1469. Sequencing of the whole coding region excluded the presence of any new, previously unknown mutations.

Abbreviations: ITEV, isolated talipes equinovarus; TEV, talipes equinovarus; MED, multiple epiphyseal dysplasia

Table 1 D5S1469 TDT results

Population	Allele	Transmitted	Not transmitted	p value	Permutation*
All	4	70	49	0.05	161
Hispanic					
Sporadic	4	24	13	0.05	203
Non-Hispanic					
White all	4	39	26	0.06	125
White familial	4	23	12	0.06	131

*Number of times out of 1000 that the simulation had a maximum result as high as in the initial data set.

DNA samples from 207 probands were tested for the R279W mutations and two probands, 6448 and 7517, showed heterozygous mutations. Proband 6448 had severe, bilateral ITEV that was treated by serial casting and surgical correction. Family history was negative for ITEV and neither parent had the R279W mutation. Proband 7517 had a right ITEV that also required surgical correction after serial casting. His mother has the R279W mutation and he has a positive family history of ITEV in a maternal cousin. DNA samples from the other family members were not available for testing.

DISCUSSION

Homozygotes for the mild R279W mutation in *DTDST* may present with ITEV as the only clinical abnormality at birth, although their later clinical history shows additional abnormalities typical of multiple epiphyseal dysplasia.^{30,31} Also, Huber *et al*²⁵ recently suggested that “apparently isolated clubfoot” may be a presenting sign of MED. These observations led us to test the hypothesis that the *DTDST* gene may play a role in the causation of ITEV. Testing for linkage and association to the *DTDST* gene in a cohort of subjects with ITEV gave positive results. Although this was not significant, we pursued sequencing of the gene and mutational analysis. Ten probands with a positive family history and receiving the “4” allele were sequenced and no alterations in the coding region were identified. Mutation screening detected two heterozygotes, one who had inherited the change and the other who occurred as a new mutation. Interestingly, the inherited R279W mutation was in a family in which ITEV had previously occurred in a relative. However, the R279W mutation occurs in 1% of a control sample²⁶ and the results of this study found the same frequency. This suggests that the R279W mutation is not aetiological.

Huber *et al*²⁵ reported homozygosity for the R279W gene in two pairs of sibs with apparently isolated clubfoot (ITEV). The clinical descriptions suggest that these subjects do not have “apparent” ITEV as each affected person had additional malformations consistent with a diagnosis of autosomal recessive mild multiple epiphyseal dysplasia.²⁶ As Huber *et al*²⁵ suggested, the “apparent” diagnosis of ITEV had to be revised when the additional features of recessive MED were identified.

None of our probands, selected for having only ITEV, was homozygous for R279W. Moreover, heterozygous R279W mutations have not been reported to cause a pattern of malformations, specifically ITEV, in parents of children with diastrophic dysplasia. Alterations in the coding region were not identified in 10 probands with ITEV and a positive family history of ITEV, suggesting that this gene does not play an important aetiological role in these subjects. Altogether, these results suggest that the R279W mutation is no more frequent in this population of ITEV probands than in controls.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank all of the families that participated in this study. We are grateful to Maria Gutierrez and Syed Hashimi for collection of pedigrees and DNA samples and for database management. We thank

Allan Ward for technical assistance. This work was supported by grant 15951 from Shriners Hospital for children to JTH and by grant 31-57272.99 from the Swiss National Science Foundation to LB and AS-F.

Authors' affiliations

L Bonafé, A Superti-Furga, University Children's Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland
S H Blanton, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA
A Scott, J T Hecht, Shriners Hospital for Children, Houston, TX, USA
S Broussard, J T Hecht, University of Texas Medical School, Houston, TX, USA
C A Wise, Texas Scottish Rite Hospital, Dallas, TX, USA

Correspondence to: Dr J T Hecht, University of Texas Medical School, Houston, TX, USA; Jacqueline.T.Hecht@uth.tmc.edu

REFERENCES

- 1 **Wynne-Davies R**. Family studies and the cause of congenital clubfoot. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1964;**46**:445-52.
- 2 **Wynne-Davies R**. Genetic and environmental factors in the etiology of talipes equinovarus. *Clin Orthop* 1972;**84**:9-13.
- 3 **Cartledge I**. Observations on the epidemiology of clubfoot in Polynesian and Caucasian populations. *J Med Genet* 1984;**21**:290-2.
- 4 **Chung CS, Nemecheck RW, Larsen IJ, Ching GH**. Genetic and epidemiologic studies of clubfoot in Hawaii: general and medical considerations. *Hum Hered* 1969;**19**:321-42.
- 5 **Beals RK**. Club foot in the Maori: a genetic study of 50 kindreds. *N Z Med J* 1978;**88**:144-6.
- 6 **Wynne-Davies R**. Family studies and the aetiology of club foot. *J Med Genet* 1965;**2**:227-32.
- 7 **Chung CS, Myrianthopoulos NC**. Racial and prenatal factors in major congenital malformations. *Am J Hum Genet* 1968;**20**:44-60.
- 8 **DeValentine S, Blakeslee T**. *Congenital talipes equinovarus. Foot and ankle disorders in children*. New York: Churchill Livingstone, 1992.
- 9 **Dvaric DM, Kuivila TE, Roberts JM**. Congenital clubfoot: etiology, pathoanatomy, pathogenesis, and the changing spectrum of early management. *Orthop Clin North Am* 1989;**20**:641-7.
- 10 **Bleck E**. Club foot. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 1993;**35**:927-31.
- 11 **Porter RW**. Clubfoot: congenital talipes equinovarus. *J R Coll Surg Edinb* 1995;**40**:66-71.
- 12 **Jones KL**. *Smith's recognizable patterns of human malformations*. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders, 1997.
- 13 **Ching GH, Chung CS, Nemecheck RW**. Genetic and epidemiological studies of clubfoot in Hawaii: ascertainment and incidence. *Am J Hum Genet* 1969;**21**:566-80.
- 14 **Carter CO**. The inheritance of common congenital malformations. *Prog Med Genet* 1965;**5**:59-84.
- 15 **Hootnick DR, Levinsohn EM, Crider RJ, Packard DS**. Congenital arterial malformations associated with clubfoot. *Clin Orthop* 1982;**167**:160-3.
- 16 **Hootnick DR, Packard DR, Levinsohn EM, Wladis A**. A vascular hypothesis for the etiology of clubfoot. In: *The clubfoot*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- 17 **Greider TD, Siff SJ, Gerson P, Donovan NM**. Arteriography in clubfoot. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1982;**64**:837.
- 18 **Muir L, Laliotis N, Kutty P, Klenerman L**. Absence of dorsalis pedis pulse in parents of children with clubfeet. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1995;**77**:114-16.
- 19 **Idelberger K**. Die Ergebnisse der Zwillingsforschung beim angeborenen Klumpfuß. *Verh Dtsch Orthop Ges* 1939;**33**:272.
- 20 **Palmer RW, Conneally PM, Pao LY**. Studies on the inheritance of idiopathic talipes equinovarus. *Orthop Clin North Am* 1974;**5**:99-106.
- 21 **Yang H, Chung CS, Nemecheck RW**. A genetic analysis of clubfoot in Hawaii. *Genet Epidemiol* 1987;**4**:299-306.
- 22 **Wang J, Palmer RM, Chung CS**. The role of major gene in clubfoot. *Am J Hum Genet* 1988;**42**:772-6.
- 23 **Rebbeck TR, Dietz FR, Murray JC, Buetow KH**. A single-gene explanation for the probability of having idiopathic talipes equinovarus. *Am J Hum Genet* 1993;**53**:1051-63.

- 24 **de Andrade M**, Barnholtz JS, Amos CI, Lochmiller C, Scott A, Risan M, Hecht JT. Segregation analysis for idiopathic talipes equinovarus in a Texan population. *Am J Med Genet* 1998;**79**:97-102.
- 25 **Huber C**, Odent S, Rumeur S, Padovani P, Penet C, Cormier-Daire V, Munnich A, Le Merrer M. Sulfate transporter gene mutations in apparently isolated clubfoot. *J Med Genet* 2001;**38**:191-2.
- 26 **Rossi A**, Superti-Furga A. Mutations in the diastrophic dysplasia sulfate transporter (DTDST) gene (SLC26A2): 22 novel mutations, mutation review, associated skeletal phenotypes, and diagnostic relevance. *Hum Mutat* 2001;**17**:159-71.
- 27 **Hastbacka J**, de la Chapelle A, Mahtani MM, Clines G, Reeve-Daly MP, Daly M, Hamilton B A, Kusumi K, Trivedi B, Weaver A, Coloma A, Lovett M, Buckler A, Kaitila I, Lander ES. The diastrophic dysplasia gene encodes a novel sulfate transporter: positional cloning by fine-structure linkage disequilibrium mapping. *Cell* 1994;**78**:1073-87.
- 28 **Stein J**, Mulliken JB, Stal S, Gasser DL, Malcolm S, Winter R, Blanton SH, Amos C, Seemanova E, Hecht JT. Nonsyndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate: evidence of linkage to BCL3 in 17 multigenerational families. *Am J Hum Genet* 1995;**57**:257-72.
- 29 **Kruglyak L**, Daly MJ, Reeve-Daly MP, Lander ES. Parametric and nonparametric linkage analysis: a unified multipoint approach. *Am J Hum Genet* 1996;**58**:1347-63.
- 30 **Superti-Furga A**, Neumann L, Riebel T, Eich G, Steinmann B, Spranger J, Kunze J Recessively inherited multiple epiphyseal dysplasia with normal stature, clubfoot and double layered patella caused by a DTDST mutation. *J Med Genet* 1999;**36**:621-4.
- 31 **Superti-Furga A**, Hecht JT, Unger S, Cole W, Hamel B, Bellus G, Classen M, Le Merrer M, Zabel B, Langer L, Spranger J, Cohn D, Sobetzko D. Recessive multiple epiphyseal dysplasia (rMED): phenotype delineation in twelve individuals homozygous for DTDST mutation R279W. *Am J Hum Genet* 2000;**67**(suppl 2):379.