8p23 duplication reconsidered: is it a true euchromatic variant with no clinical manifestation?
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Multiple patients with rearrangements of the short arm of 8p23.1 have been reported, including inverted and tandem duplications of 8p, deletions of 8p23, pericentric inversions (p23q22), and isolated duplications of 8p23. The clinical significance of duplication of 8p23.1 remains controversial. Krasikov et al, Williams et al, Barber et al, and O’Malley and Storto together have reported 29 patients in 13 kindreds with duplication of 8p23.1, the vast majority (27/29) of whom were phenotypically normal. One case was reported to be a developmentally delayed 18 month old male and one patient underwent cytogenetic analysis because of short stature. In most of these families (9/13), duplication of 8p23.1 was an incidental finding identified during prenatal diagnosis either for advanced maternal age or indicated by a previous child with an unrelated chromosomal abnormality. Two of the remaining cases had a history of spontaneous miscarriages. In many cases there has been no long term follow up to examine the possibility of developmental delay or other problems that may arise after the neonatal period. Recently, Engelen et al reported a 34 year old healthy and developmentally normal man with duplication of 8p23.1-p23.3 who underwent a cytogenetic examination because of oligozoospermia. Based on his otherwise normal phenotype, they consider this duplication to be a clinically innocuous rearrangement, but could not exclude a relationship between the oligozoospermia and the duplication. Gibbons et al reported on a mother and her two daughters with dup 8p23.1. All three had minimal pathology (the mother had bilateral clinodactyly but no other dysmorphic features, and the daughters had smallish heads and mild facial dysmorphism) and normal development. FISH analysis with 8p23.1 YAC HTY3020 showed an apparent duplication.

Others, however, have found that duplication of 8p23.1 can be associated with significant pathology. Kennedy et al studied a 16 year old female patient with congenital heart defects accompanied by otherwise normal development. Karyotypic analysis showed dup 8p23.1. The patient’s father has an isolated right aortic arch and is mosaic for the 8p23.1 duplication. Kondoh et al reported a patient with dup 8p23.1 and features of Coffin-Lowry syndrome (CLS), including moderate mental retardation along with multiple physical abnormalities. Analysis of the CLS gene, RSK2, showed no mutations. The clinical findings in this patient, including mental retardation, hypotonia, and short stature, are similar to features described in our patients.

CASE REPORTS

Case 1

The male proband was born at term to a 28 year old, G1 P0 mother by vaginal delivery. Birth weight was 2591g. At birth, hypertelorism, thick lips, a protruding tongue, and bilateral inguinal hernia were noted. Subsequently hypotonia and speech and cognitive delay were identified. At 4 years 10 months of age, he was functioning at a three year level. The medical history was significant for frequent upper respiratory infections. The feeding history was normal. An x ray of his forearm showed radioulnar synostosis with congenital dislocation of the radial head. High resolution karyotype showed a 8p23.1 duplication. The maternal karyotype was normal and the paternal karyotype showed the same duplication 8p23.1. His father was, however, reportedly normal with no history of developmental delay, although he was not formally evaluated by a geneticist.

Case 2

This male proband was ascertained as a newborn with cyanotic congenital heart disease. He was born to a G2 P1 TAB1, 16 year old mother at 40 weeks’ gestation by vaginal delivery following an uncomplicated pregnancy. Birth weight, length, and head circumference were at the 75th centile, and Apgar scores were 7 at one minute and 8 at five minutes. Physical examination showed no strikingly abnormal facies, a barrel chest with bilateral rales on auscultation, and a single heart sound with holosystolic murmurs and a prominent S3 gallop. Cardiac catheterization subsequently confirmed atrial septal defect, endocardial fibroelastosis, and congestive heart failure. Mild organomegaly was also noted. Given the grave outcome of such cardiac defects, treatment was withheld and the proband was placed in hospice care and died a few days later. A karyotype showed 8p23.1 duplication. Chromosome analyses were not performed on the parents of this child.

Case 3

All three children in the family, proband 1 (male), proband 2 (female), and proband 3 (male), were evaluated in the Genetics Clinic because of developmental delay. Proband 1 was born to a G2 P2, 37 year old mother at 40 weeks of gestation with a birth weight on the 5th centile. He was noted to have a 1st degree hypospadias at birth. Physical examination performed at 9 years of age showed short stature, midface hypoplasia, bilateral upswipe, upward slanting palpebral fissures, a long, thin nose, high palate, retroglossia, prominent medial incisors, and short fifth fingers and toes. Medical history was complicated by strabismus and latent nystagmus but otherwise normal vision. He was admitted to the Child Psychiatric Unit of The Children’s Hospital, Denver, CO, because of visual and auditory hallucinations, where a diagnosis of pervasive developmental disorder was made. His IQ was 50. His karyotype was normal, 46,XY. Other examination for his developmental delay included thyroid profile, lead level, carnitine, serum amino acid urine organic acids, brain imaging, and fragile X analysis, all of which were normal.

Probands 2 and 3 were born two years later following an uneventful twin pregnancy with birth weights on the 5th centile. Amniocentesis had indicated normal karyotypes. Proband 2 had micronystagmus and optic nerve hypoplasia and a normal brain CT scan. She had mild to moderate developmental delays but good verbal skills and was very sociable. Physical examination at 6 years of age showed height and weight below the 5th centile, midface hypoplasia with a long, straight nose, high arched palate, and a systolic murmur over the left upper
sternal boarder. She was re-evaluated at the age of 10. She can participate in a regular classroom setting, but feels more comfortable in a special education classroom setting. Her facial features remained unchanged. She no longer had a murmur and her vision was within the normal range.

Proband 3 had frequent ear infections, asthma, strabismus, chronic constipation, and sleep disturbance. He was admitted to the Child Psychiatric Unit for aggressive behaviour and psychotic-like symptoms. He had global developmental delay more severe than observed in his twin sister. He had poor speech skills but relatively normal motor skills. An EEG and a brain CT scan were both normal. Physical examination showed a full upper lip with a broad columella, small teeth, broad nasal tip with a slight notch, a slightly asymmetrical chest with a mild parasternal protrusion, short bilateral fifth fingers, and webbing of the second and third toes. External rotation of the feet was observed while he walked, and a large mouth and fair skin were noted. He also showed autistic tendencies, such as hand flapping, gaze aversion, and self-destructive behaviour. When he was re-evaluated at 10 years of age, he had developed minimal speech and still had autistic-like features. Methylation analysis for Angelman syndrome was normal.

Table 1  Karyotypes and clinical findings of the patients and family members with dup 8p23.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Karyotype</th>
<th>Dev delay</th>
<th>Cardiac</th>
<th>Short stature</th>
<th>Hypotonia</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46,XY,dup(8)(p23.1)p23.1pat</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46,XY,del(15)(q11q13)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46,XY,del(15)(q11q13)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46,XY,del(15)(q11q13)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46,XY,del(15)(q11q13)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46,XY,del(15)(q11q13)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46,XY,del(15)(q11q13)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46,XY,del(15)(q11q13)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Karyotyping of the twins showed duplication of 8p23.1. The family history is significant for the mother with the same duplication 8p23.1 who has reported 13 miscarriages. Her first child died at 2 hours of age with unknown aetiology. Her only three live born children were described as above. She also has nine brothers and four sisters. One brother was reported to have died at less than 1 year of age and one within five days of birth. No information on the causes of death was available. She graduated from high school and has worked as a nursing aid. On brief examination, she had short stature, long big toes, and an external deviation of the second toes and short fifth toes. She was otherwise healthy. Information about the father was limited.

Case 4
The male proband was first seen at 3 years of age for evaluation of developmental delay and hypotonia. Genetic evaluation and high resolution karyotype showed 46,XY,dup(8)(p23.1)p23.1,del(15)(q11q13) and clinical constellation consistent with Prader-Willi syndrome. The maternal karyotype was normal and the paternal karyotype showed 46,XY,dup(8)(p23.1)p23.1. The father had atrial fibrillation but otherwise was reportedly healthy. Physical examination of the proband showed classic features of Prader-Willi syndrome. FISH showed deletion of **GABR3** and SNRPN DNA sequences, consistent with the diagnosis of Prader-Willi syndrome.

Case 5
This male proband was ascertained as a newborn with hypotonia, feeding problems, and developmental delay. Cardiac evaluation and high resolution karyotype showed 46,XY,dup(8)(p23.1)p23.1,del(15)(q11q13) and clinical constellation consistent with Prader-Willi syndrome. The maternal karyotype was normal and the paternal karyotype showed 46,XY,dup(8)(p23.1)p23.1. The father had atrial fibrillation but otherwise was reportedly healthy. Physical examination of the proband showed classic features of Prader-Willi syndrome. FISH showed deletion of **GABR3** and SNRPN DNA sequences, consistent with the diagnosis of Prader-Willi syndrome.

Case 6
This male proband was first seen at 3 months of age because of severe hypotonia. He was born AGA at term to a G3 P2, 28 year old mother. He had an early history of feeding problems, and was diagnosed with moderate gastro-oesophageal reflux with G tube placement with Nissen. An MRI at 3 weeks of age and a muscle biopsy at 1 month of age were normal. Urine amino acids showed slightly increased cystine levels, and a carnitine profile showed slightly increased carnitine esters. Quantitative serum amino acids, thyroid profile, lactate and pyruvate,
VLCFA, and acyl carnitine profile were normal. Chromosome analysis showed a duplication of 8p23.1. Physical examination showed tactile defensiveness, widely spaced eyes, downward slanting palpebral fissures, right ptosis, folded auricle and low set, posteriorly rotated ears, broad nasal bridge, high arched palate, short neck, mild 2-3 cutaneous toe syndactyly, retrognathia, and decreased reflexes. He has frequent episodes of pneumonia requiring hospitalisation and recurrent otitis media, along with a history of developmental delay. His two older sibs have normal chromosomes and are reported to be in good health and developmentally appropriate. Parental chromosomes are reported to be normal. Family history was negative for birth defects and multiple miscarriages.

**Case 7**
This 32 year old male proband born to a 26 year old mother was first ascertained through the orthopaedic clinic at 18 years of age, and was shown to exhibit profound mental retardation (cerebral palsy), seizure disorder, muscle weakness, and severe scoliosis. He was ambulatory with abnormal gait and was non-verbal. Record review indicates that he also had facial dysmorphism, small teeth, and gum hypertrophy, and a diagnosis of CHARGE association was suggested. The patient, however, was never formally evaluated by a clinical geneticist. High resolution karyotype showed 8p23 duplication in both the proband and his mother. No additional history was available on the parents.

**Case 8**
This 4 year 1 month old boy was first evaluated in the clinic with inherited duplication of chromosome 8p23.1 (mat) and autistic behaviour. He was the product of a twin pregnancy to a 33 year old, G1 P0-1 LC 0-2 mother via in vitro fertilisation. Pregnancy was complicated by premature labour. The proband was twin B, delivered vaginally with breech presentation, and weighed 1616 g (10%) with Apgar scores of 6 at one minute and 8 at five minutes. He required NICU care for five weeks. Gaze avoidance and tactile defensiveness were noted as early as 3 months of age, as well as a limited tolerance to new foods and different textures. Cytogenetic analysis showed duplication of chromosome 8, band 23.1. FISH for 15q duplication, FMR1 analysis for fragile X syndrome, and thyroid studies were normal. Parental chromosome analysis showed that the proband’s mother, who was healthy and phenotypically normal, also had the same 8p23.1 duplication. A maternal grandfather, maternal uncle, and maternal cousin were reported to have sensory integration disorders, but no chromosome analysis has been undertaken. Physical examination showed an alert boy with major tactile defensiveness. His height was 99 cm (10th-25th centile), weight was 13.6 kg (3rd-10th centile), and head circumference was 49 cm (35-50%). He has normal facial features and normal general paediatric examination. Autistic and rocking behaviour were observed.

**METHODS**
High resolution cytogenetic studies were performed using phytohaemagglutinin stimulated, methotrexate synchronised lymphocyte G band preparations. Karyotypes were prepared from photomicrographs or digital computer images. The karyotypes for each patient are described in table 1 using ISCN 1995.

### Table 2  Summary of clinical findings in patients with dup 8p23.1

| Developmental delay or history of developmental delay | 6/9 (67%) | I.1, III.2, III.3, IV.1*, VI.1, VII.1, VIII.1 |
| Short stature | 3/8 (38%) | II.1, III.2, IV.1* |
| Cardiac anomalies | 4/10 | II.1, III.2, IV.2, V.1 |
| Hypotonia | 2/7 (29%) | I.1, VI.1, VII.1, IV.1* |
| Autistic and PDD spectrum | 2/9 (22%) | III.3, VIII.1 |
| Other birth defects | 7/12 | I.1, II.1, III.2, III.3, IV.1*, VI.1, VII.1 |

*Also has a deletion of chromosome 15 and features of Prader-Willi syndrome.

**Figure 1**
Duplications within band 8p23.1 are shown by G band analysis for each proband and/or family member. The image for case I shows the chromosome 8 homologues with duplication 8p23.1 in the father. Cases IIIa and IIIb are the male and female twin probands. Cases VIIIa and VIIIb show the karyotypes of the proband and his mother.
RESULTS

We have used standard cytogenetic analysis to identify 14 subjects in eight kindreds as carriers of a duplication of 8p23.1. In fig 1, duplications within band 8p23.1 are shown by G band analysis for each proband and/or family member. In each case, band 8p23.1 is significantly larger in one homologue, as indicated by the arrow. A summary of clinical findings and karyotypes in these cases is shown in table 1, and the pedigrees are presented in fig 2. In our eight kindreds, there were five cases of affected parent-child pairs, 2 de novo cases, and one case in which parental chromosomes were not analysed. Of the 14 dup 8p23.1 cases (including both probands and family members carrying the dup 8p23.1), 10 are males and four are females. Because case IV.1 also has a deletion of chromosome 15, and his features are consistent with Prader-Willi syndrome, his information was not included in the percentage. However, to be complete, he is listed in table 2 with an asterisk. The following numbers represent the percentage of patients exhibiting a specific feature where it could be ascertained from either direct physical examination or from the patient’s medical records, including the normal subjects (table 2). The most common feature of our cases is developmental delay, which is found in 6/9 (67%) of cases. The second most prominent feature includes some type of cardiac dysfunction in 4/10 (40%) of cases, and serious cardiac malformations in 2/10 (20%) of cases. One of these cases (III.2) was initially described as having a systolic murmur that had resolved upon re-examination at the age of 10. In another case (IV.2), atrial fibrillation was noted in the father of a proband (IV.1). Two cases (II.1 and V.1) were noted to have significant cardiac abnormalities, which contributed to their deaths in the neonatal period. Short stature is the next most common feature and is found in 3/8 (38%) cases. Hypotonia was found in 2/7 (29%) of cases in which it could be ascertained. Autistic-like features were noted in 2/9 (22%). Both cases represent male probands born to mothers carrying the 8p23.1 duplication. Several other birth defects were noted in individual cases, including ulnar synostosis, craniosynostosis, organomegaly, microcephaly, seizures, scoliosis, and gastro-oesophageal reflux, and multiple miscarriages.

DISCUSSION

The Cytogenetics Laboratory at the University of Colorado Health Sciences Center performed approximately 14 000 constitutional cytogenetic studies (including peripheral blood, prenatal diagnosis, and tissue samples) in the five year period from 1993 to 1998. Fifty-four patients with an abnormality of chromosome 8 were ascertained. Of these, 12 were shown to have a duplication of 8p involving band 23. Members of families III to VII were ascertained during this time period. More recently, the laboratory has identified an additional patient with an inherited duplication of 8p23.1 (family VIII). It is difficult to ascertain the frequency of interstitial dup 8p23.1 in the population because cases are often difficult to detect without high resolution analysis, and the true incidence may therefore be under-reported. We recognise that ascertainment of our patients is biased since every patient came in with a concern. Two cases (II.1 and V.1) were referred for congenital heart defects, three cases (I.1, IV.1, and VII.1) primarily because of developmental delay/mental retardation, and one

Figure 2 Pedigrees of families.
each for pervasive developmental disorder (II.1), severe hypotonia (VI.1), and autistic-like features (VIII.1). In addition, analysis of family members of probands has led to the identification of several subjects who also carry the dup 8p but have no recognisable phenotypic abnormalities. In family I, the father of the proband was a carrier of the same 8p23 duplication, yet had a normal phenotype. Family II is especially complex. The oldest sib had normal chromosomes, yet had many of the same phenotypic features (short stature, developmental delay, psychiatric disturbances) as his two sibs and mother with dup 8p23 duplication, and the possibility of some other syndromic form of mental retardation in this family must be considered. In family IV, 8p23 duplication was found in conjunction with classical features of Prader-Willi syndrome, which was supported by FISH detection of a chromosome 15 deletion. The proband’s father also had dup 8p23.1 with minimal phenotypic features. In sum, it is difficult to dissect the relationship between the chromosomal anomaly from other genetic and environmental factors, and the question of why some patients with dup 8p23.1 display pathological features while others are phenotypically normal remains unanswered.

There may be several explanations for the differences in phenotypic expression of patients with dup 8p23.1, even within the same family. In some cases, the observed pathology may be unrelated to the duplication. Some of these phenotypic differences may result from differences in the amount and nature of duplicated material, and studies are under way to define the breakpoints and associated aneuploid material in these patients. Because of the complex and unstable nature of this chromosomal region, it is also possible that further rearrangements have occurred in the affected family members, leading to additional aneuploid material. Alternatively, other alleles in the genomes of some subjects may be able to compensate more effectively for the 8p23.1 aneuploidy, or there may be some epigenetic mechanism that increases or decreases susceptibility to the pathological features we have noted. Because of these issues, it is important that additional patients be published, ascertained both through routine prenatal diagnosis and through evaluation of children presenting with medical problems. It is especially critical that these children are followed up to detect any association between 8p23 duplication and long term complications.

Previous studies have implicated the 8p23 band as containing a gene or genes critical for normal cardiac development. Recently, Devriendt et al. have defined an 8p heart defect critical region (8p-HDCR) and suggested the GATA4 transcription factor as a candidate gene, and Pehlivan et al. have shown haploinsufficiency for GATA4 in several patients with interstitial deletions of 8p23.1 and congenital heart disease. Studies by Giglio et al. on 12 new patients, including one with Recombinant 8 syndrome 8p23.1 breakpoint and the region bordered by the olfactory receptor clusters as defined by Giglio et al. indicate that DNA sequences in 8p23.1 contribute to multiple cases of chromosome instability. In addition, these patients represent confirmation of studies that have postulated the presence of genes critical for normal cardiac development in the region. Current studies to define the exact nature of the duplications in our patients will give us greater insight into the nature of the pathology in these patients, and as such, the mechanism of chromosome duplication and the relationship between this region and psychiatric and cardiac disorders.

Importantly, our patients may provide relevant information for genetic counselling concerning the natural history and prognosis for patients with this duplication. We propose that while counselling prenatal cases, some concerns need to be addressed and a detailed examination for such defects as heart anomalies be undertaken before completely reassuring patients that this anomaly is a normal euchromatic variant.
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