New problems in testing for Huntington's disease: the issue of intermediate and reduced penetrance alleles

EDITOR—Huntington's disease (HD) is an autosomal dominant, progressive, incurable neurodegenerative disorder, characterised by chorea, changes in personality, mood, and behaviour, and dementia. Because the mean age at onset is 40 years (range 5 to 70 years), the risk for a healthy young adult with an affected parent will remain nearly 50%, making decisions about the future and family planning difficult.\(^1\) After the localisation of the gene to chromosome 4p16.3 in 1983, predictive testing by linkage analysis became available for subjects at risk for HD and was shown to have potential benefits.\(^1\,\,2\) When the mutation in the HD gene was identified in 1993,\(^3\) predictive testing became technically simpler, reliable, and available for everyone at risk. HD, like other neurodegenerative disorders (for example, SCA1, 2, 3, 6, and 7, DRPLA, and SBMA), is caused by an expanded CAG repeat. The wild type allele has six to 35 copies but affected subjects have between 36 and 121 repeats.\(^4\) The HD and normal range have quite distinct peaks but the tails of both curves are close to each other. As in SCA1 and 2 (and as opposed to SCA3 and 6, SBMA, and DRPLA), there is no gap between normal and disease ranges in HD and reduced penetrance is found in the lower range of the disease repeat. The normal repeat segregates simply and stably as a polymorphic locus, but the disease repeat size tends to increase and occasionally decrease in successive generations.\(^5\) The limits of the CAG repeat size in the HD gene have been redefined,\(^6\) based on the total number of normal and symptomatic subjects assessed. A normal repeat, with less than 27 repeats, has never been associated with a HD phenotype, nor has it shown instability resulting in a HD allele in offspring.\(^6\,\,7\,\,8\,\,9\) 10,\,11 12,\,13

An intermediate repeat, with 27 to 35 repeats, has not been associated with a HD phenotype,\(^6\)\,\,7\,\,14 but has shown instability\(^6\,\,8\,\,9\,\,14\,\,15\) and is found in 1.5% to 1.9%\(^7\) of the general population. For offspring of an intermediate allele carrier, there is a risk of inheriting more than 26 repeats and a small risk that transmission might result in a HD allele with or without penetrance.\(^6\,\,10\,\,11\,\,12\) The risk of expansion depends on the sex of the transmitting person, the repeat size, the level of mosaicism in sperm, if the intermediate allele is on a HD haplotype or if it is identified in a new mutation family or in the general population, and other, as yet unknown factors.\(^10\,\,11\,\,12\,\,15\) Risk estimates for expansion of an intermediate allele to 36 or more repeats in the offspring of male carriers vary between 2 and 10%.\(^10\,\,14\,\,15\) Expansions of intermediate alleles to 36 or more repeats in female carriers of intermediate alleles has not been described; their risk seems to be much smaller than for male carriers. Expansions of intermediate alleles into the pathological range have also been described in Friedreich's ataxia,\(^14\) myotonic dystrophy,\(^15\) SCA7,\(^17\) and fragile X syndrome.\(^14\,\,24\)

A disease repeat with reduced penetrance, with 36 to 39 repeats, has been associated with clinically and pathologically confirmed HD, although the HD phenotype is not always penetrant, with increasing penetrance possibly associated with increasing repeat length.\(^6\,\,7\,\,25\,\,26\) Penetrance of 36 to 39 repeat alleles may be higher in persons with confirmed ancestry of HD than those from collateral branches with new mutations.\(^7\) Penetrance is also dependent on the expression of the disease in the parent with the expanded repeat, but reliable empirical penetrance risks are not yet available.

A disease repeat, with 40 or more repeats, is associated with Huntington pathology. Higher repeat sizes are associated with a younger age at onset.\(^27\)

This evolving knowledge about genotype-phenotype correlation in HD has made genetic counselling in a small number more complicated. We have observed unexpected test results, specific psychological problems like feelings of guilt and reproach, and uncertainties about the risk for HD for test applicants, their offspring, and relatives. Consequently, new ethical questions have arisen. The international guidelines\(^28\) do not yet address these new problems. Also, genetic diagnostic services are in the process of collecting and reporting their experience with expansions of intermediate alleles into the pathological range in HD and other trinucleotide repeat diseases. Here, we present selected cases, illustrating the new problems encountered in the test procedures of different categories of subjects with repeats between 27 and 39 and the number of subjects observed in these categories. The resulting uncertainties in risk and prediction are outlined and provisional guidelines for counselling carriers of intermediate and reduced penetrance alleles are formulated.

In The Netherlands the estimated prevalence of HD is 6.5:100 000, based on the number of living affected subjects, recorded at the Leiden Roster for HD. Together, at least 3115 subjects at 50% risk were registered. Test applicants were counselled in the Departments of Clinical Genetics in Leiden and Rotterdam between 1993 and 1998. A structured predictive testing procedure for HD, according to the international guidelines,\(^28\) was introduced in 1987 in Leiden\(^29\) and after 1993 in the other Departments of Clinical Genetics. In counselling, we used approximate risk figures for intermediate allele carriers based on the best estimate of <1% to develop HD and ≤1% risk for expansion to more than 36 repeats for children of female carriers and 5% for male carriers. DNA analysis for HD in The Netherlands is centralised in Leiden. Differences were analysed using a chi-square test and p=0.05 was the criterion for statistical significance. In our series, we could discern five categories of subjects with intermediate or reduced penetrance alleles (table 1), depending on the family structure, test results, test indication, and status of the test applicant, each with its own counselling issues, as shown in the selected case descriptions (fig 1).

Category I. Symptomatic test applicants inheriting a reduced penetrance allele on the HD haplotype. A man requested testing for HD because he wished to inform his daughter as she had entered adulthood. His mother had died at the age of 53 years, having possibly had HD related symptoms. Neuropathological study of her brain did not confirm HD, nor did later re-evaluation when her brother, with onset of HD at 43 years and a reduced penetrance repeat (39), died at the age of 56. His maternal grandparents and father had died young of unrelated causes. The man showed alleles with 28 and 37 repeats. It was concluded that the man had
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a risk of developing HD with the possibility of reduced penetrance, and that his daughter, in addition to the 50% risk for the reduced penetrance allele, had a risk of inheriting the (possibly expanded) intermediate allele.

Category 2. (A)symptomatic test applicants inheriting an intermediate or reduced penetrance allele from unaFFECTed parent (no family history). Three sibs applied for predictive testing to relieve the uncertainty about their genetic status. Their father and dead grandfather were diagnosed with HD. Because of a poor relationship, the applicants did not discuss the test with their mother. The mother showed psychiatric symptoms, but no chorea; she had no known family history of HD. All three applicants showed one allele well within the affected range together with a reduced penetrance allele (36 repeats). This indicated that the mother might be affected, but she refused either a neurological

Table 1. Frequencies and mean repeat length (*) of intermediate (IA) and reduced penetrance (RP) alleles in symptomatic (n=659) and predictive (n=442) test applicants in Leiden and Rotterdam (1993–1998) in the five different categories of subjects with intermediate or reduced penetrance alleles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test outcome</th>
<th>(1) Symptomatic test applicants inheriting RP allele on HD haplotype</th>
<th>(2) (A)symptomatic test applicants inheriting IARP allele from unaFFECTed parent (no family history)</th>
<th>(3) Asymptomatic test applicants inheriting IARP allele on HD haplotype</th>
<th>(4) Asymptomatic test applicants who are relatives of newly identified HD patient (no family history)</th>
<th>(5) Asymptomatic partners (no family history) of carriers, tested as a control for prenatal testing</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IA allele (with disease allele) in symptomatic subject</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>17 (29)*</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP allele in symptomatic subject</td>
<td>16 (39)*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (34)*</td>
<td>6 (32)*</td>
<td>2 (29)*</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA allele in asymptomatic subject</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>16 (29)*</td>
<td>2 (34)*</td>
<td>6 (32)*</td>
<td>2 (29)*</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP allele in asymptomatic subject</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>3 (36)*</td>
<td>9 (36)*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>36 (39)*</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Pedigrees of test applicants for Huntington’s disease in the five different categories of subjects with 27–39 repeats. (The numbers in the pedigrees refer to the CAG repeat sizes in the HD gene.)
assessments or DNA analysis. The applicants realised that
the risk for present and future children might be higher
than 50%. The two applicants with children decided to
refrain from having further children. The one without chil-
dren is considering prenatal diagnosis, accepting a fetus
with 36 repeats, hoping for the possibility of reduced pen-
etrance. The applicants might be homozygous, which does
not affect the clinical features or age at onset.30 There is
also a risk for relatives from the “unaffected” family from
the mother.

Category 3. Asymptomatic test applicants inheriting inter-
mediate or reduced penetrance allele on HD haplotype. A young
female requested predictive testing because she wanted to
know her genetic status before starting a family. A maternal
grandparent had pathologically confirmed HD, her family
was known in the Leiden Roster, and a disease repeat was
found in a distant relative. Her mother did not want to dis-
cuss HD, because she found it a disgrace to the family and
refused testing. The daughter described the mother as
probably affected. DNA analysis in the daughter showed a
normal (17) and an intermediate (34) repeat. The father
showed normal alleles. We mentioned a risk of <1% for the
applicant to develop HD and a risk of about 1% for expa-
sion into a HD allele for her future children. The applicant
was relieved she had not inherited a disease repeat, but
decided to use the option of prenatal diagnosis. She
intended to terminate a pregnancy if ≥36 repeats were
identified. The prenatal test in her first pregnancy resulted
in normal repeats.

Category 4. Asymptomatic test applicants who are relatives of
newly identified HD patient (no family history). An adult
woman had symptoms of HD and was found to have a dis-
ease repeat. Her parents were healthy (73 and 78 years)
and the father showed an intermediate (33 repeats) allele.
The patient’s brother and sister, unexpectedly confronted
with a possible risk for themselves and their offspring,
applied for testing. Both of them showed an intermediate
allele of 33 and 34 repeats, respectively, derived from their
father. Their personal risk of developing HD was estimated
as <1%, and the risk for children of the siblings approxi-
mately <1% and of the brother 5%. Prenatal testing was
discussed with them. We suggested that they should inform
relatives of the result and related consequences. To date, we
have not, however, received any requests for information
or counselling.

Category 5. Asymptomatic partners (no family history) of
carriers, tested as a control for prenatal diagnosis. A couple
with one parent carrier of HD (43 repeats) applied for prenatal
diagnosis. DNA analysis was also done on the healthy
partner as a control (maternal contamination or homozy-
gosity). The fetus showed a normal and an intermediate
(31) repeat, the latter inherited from the healthy partner.
The risk of the fetus developing HD is small. In future
pregnancies of this couple or of the unborn child, there is a
risk of expansion of the repeat in a disease allele. Also, there
is a risk for relatives of the partner with the intermediate
allele.

Intermediate alleles (3.9%) and reduced penetrance alleles (2.5%) were encountered in 71 of 1101 persons,
affected and unaffected, from 42 different families. The
frequency of intermediate (together with a disease/reduced
penetrance allele) and reduced penetrance alleles in our
symptomatic population (n=659) is 2.6% and 2.4%, respec-
tively, and the frequency of intermediate and reduced
penetrance alleles in asymptomatic test applicants
(n=442) is 5.8% and 2.7%, respectively. The frequency of
intermediate alleles (3.9%) is significantly higher
(p=0.002-0.004) than previously reported (1.5-1.9%)6 13 in
the general population, because the intermediate allele
range has increased (currently 27 to 35 repeats as opposed
to 30 to 35 or 29 to 35 repeats) and also intermediate
alleles of relatives of newly identified patients (4th
category) who became predictive test applicants are
included in our series. Intermediate alleles (mean repeat
length 29) in asymptomatic and symptomatic (besides a
disease allele) test applicants, inherited from the unaffected
family branch, were most frequent (46%). Reduced
penetrance alleles in symptomatic test applicants (mean
repeat length 39) were also quite frequent (22%). No
symptomatic test applicants with an intermediate allele
only were detected. Categories 4 and 5 were infrequently
encountered (11%) and only intermediate alleles were
found (table 1). Fourteen of the 43 intermediate test
results (33%) were smaller than 30 CAG repeats and ana-
lysed before 1998, when the limit of the intermediate
repeat was considered 30 repeats. These carriers were not
informed when the limit was set at 27 repeats. Only a few
unrelated adult children of parents without a family
history and a repeat between 27 and 39 (n=3), unaffected
parents of children carrying more than 27 repeats without
a family history (n=6), and sibs of newly identified patients
(n=8) requested testing, but no other relatives (for
example, sibs or nieces/nephews of the unaffected parent
with an intermediate or reduced penetrance allele). Only
one female carrier of an intermediate allele on the HD
haplotype requested a prenatal test.

Since the identification of the mutation leading to HD,
DNA analysis has been useful for confirming the clinical
diagnosis and for predictive and prenatal testing. However,
in asymptomatic subjects, the size of the CAG repeat,
through its natural property of variability in transmission,
may in a few percent be of a class where a straightforward
prediction of the phenotype or future disease is not possi-
ble. The cases described (fig 1) illustrate the counselling
problems associated with an intermediate or reduced pen-
etrance allele.

Identification of HD disease status (by family history,
pathology, and DNA data) of relatives in a family is of
paramount importance. Family members who may have
(had) physical or mental complaints that went unnoticed
because they were not admitted to hospital, under medical
surveillance, or misdiagnosed, should be identified.

Sometimes it is necessary to perform additional investi-
gations (for example, DNA analysis, neurological/psi-
chiatric examination) in the parent without a family
history for interpretation of an intermediate or reduced
penetrance allele found in the applicant. Knowing whether
there are HD patients, (a)symptomatic carriers of reduced
penetrance alleles, or carriers of intermediate alleles in the
family may have implications for counselling.

It is important to inform applicants in pre-test sessions
about repeat lengths, the possible uncertainties in a few
percent of predictive, diagnostic, or prenatal tests related
to the nature of the repeat mechanism, and the change of
intermediate or reduced penetrance alleles. Although it is
possible in pre-test sessions to explore the desire to know
these uncertain test results, it can be debated whether in
the complex process of decision making the discussion
about risks for expansion and penetrance should be
allowed.

The limits of the different categories of the repeat size
have changed in time,1 18 25 so normal results may have
changed to intermediate, intermediate to reduced pen-
etrance, and disease to reduced penetrance alleles.
Furthermore, the initial analysis included a CCG repeat
downstream, which was later proven to be polymorphic.11
This may have had consequences for those carrying
borderline sized repeats. In addition, the data currently
available do not yet allow us to provide exact penetrance
risk figures for those who received a disease or an interme-
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Table 2  Provisional additional guidelines for counselling (possible) carriers of intermediate and reduced penetrance alleles of Huntington’s disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-test information</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family history (neurological/psychiatric examination, DNA data, pathology)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four ranges of repeat sizes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chance of unexpected test results</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertainties about risk of expansion of intermediate alleles and penetrance of disease alleles with reduced penetrance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference of intermediate test result for sons and daughters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chance of additional investigation of the unaffected parent without a family history for interpretation of the intermediate or reduced penetrance allele found in the applicant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration of the wish to know an intermediate or reduced penetrance allele test result</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disclosure of repeat size if CAG repeat size is between 27 and 39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offering the possibility of information and predictive testing to relatives with repeats between 27 and 39 (after adjusting to the awareness of what it means to be at risk)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussing the possibility of prenatal testing with carriers with repeats between 27 and 39 because of the as yet unknown risk of expansion and penetrance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological support in decision making, before and after testing for applicant and relatives if they so wish</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intermediate allele (especially those without a family history), which we now call reduced penetrance alleles. Nor are we able to give exact risk figures for expansions to those (especially those without a family history) who received a normal test result, which we now call intermediate alleles. In these “minimal risk” situations, for example, when unaffected parents or partners without a family history are involved, it might be wise to refrain from providing information or retesting.

Our policy with regard to disclosing results is not to communicate the repeat length unless applicants ask for it. In the case of intermediate or reduced penetrance alleles, we are specific about the repeat length, because of the possible consequences for applicants, offspring, and relatives.

In our opinion, adult children of carriers of intermediate repeats can be informed by their parents and the possibility of predictive testing can be offered because of the as yet unknown risk of expansion. It can be asked whether it is morally justified and good medical practice to burden other relatives, especially those families without a HD patient, with these uncertain risks for a disorder with which they are not familiar. On the other hand, not informing might prevent people from making decisions concerning their life and future. Admittedly, the risk of an intermediate allele is much less than that of a HD disease allele, but subjects and families may want to reconsider their risks. It remains to be seen whether and how they cope with the new consideration, information, and situation. Informing relatives of carriers of reduced penetrance alleles (by the carriers) and offering the option of predictive testing is hardly under debate because this risk approximates the risk of a disease allele carrier.

It should be borne in mind that a prenatal test always carries a risk for a pregnancy and that prenatal testing for HD has been used by only a minority of at-risk subjects (2% of those under the age of 50) and asymptomatic disease allele carriers (11%). We are of the opinion that the option of prenatal testing for intermediate allele carriers can be discussed because of the as yet unknown risk of expansion. Prenatal testing for this indication resembles prenatal testing for a chromosomal translocation with a small risk of unbalanced offspring or chromosomal abnormalities in women over 36 years. We have not yet received a request for termination of a fetus carrying an intermediate allele, with a small risk (<1%) of developing HD but with an unknown risk for future generations. Even if the penetrance is considered to be complete, only 1/2 of the at-risk subjects would accept a pregnancy termination for HD. Although no empirical risk figures exist yet, prenatal testing for carriers of reduced penetrance alleles can be an option because of the possibility of penetrance.

Specific psychological problems were seen in subjects who decided to be tested in the hope of relieving their children of the risk of HD and unexpectedly were found to have an intermediate repeat. While receiving a decreased risk themselves, they may have to tell their children that they have not yet escaped HD, as there is still a risk for expansion with a risk for them or the next generations. The parents and children do not share a common fate, as is usual in dominant disorders, which can result in sustained feelings of guilt in the parents and reproach in the children, as in recessive disorders.

Other problems concern the difficulty in coping with the uncertainty about the risk of expansion and penetrance, the difference of an intermediate test result for daughters and sons, and turmoil induced by informing relatives, unaware of a risk, about the uncertain consequences of intermediate and reduced penetrance alleles. Besides this, it is important to realise that it is difficult for most of these participants, who are unexpectedly confronted with a risk for themselves, their offspring, and relatives to live with this uncertainty. It is our experience that most applicants, and especially this group, not familiar with HD and testing, wish to know the result as soon as possible, to restore control over their life. This is an understandable reaction to a far reaching event, but may lead to underestimation of the ramifications of testing. It is therefore recommended that these subjects especially first become fully aware of what it means to be at risk and to adjust to this awareness. Then, testing could be an option.

Wilson Harvey wrote in 1657 “there is no better way to advance to proper practice of medicine than to give our minds to the discovery of the usual law of Nature by careful investigation of rarer forms of disease”, which is particularly pertinent for this repeat expansion disorder. It is a new challenge to carry out this next phase in the Huntington story, because HD has been a kind of paradigm for late onset hereditary diseases. We propose that the international guidelines, not mentioning anything about intermediate and reduced penetrance alleles, should be adapted and that with help of further research and experience these provisional guidelines (table 2) should be improved.
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