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Abstract
Neurofibromatosis type I (NF1) is an autosomal dominant disorder affecting 1 in 3000 people. The NF1 gene is located on chromosome 17q11.2, spans 350 kb of genomic DNA, and contains 60 exons. A major phenotypic feature of the disease is the widespread occurrence of benign dermal and plexiform neurofibromas. Genetic and biochemical data support the hypothesis that NF1 acts as a tumour suppressor gene. Molecular analysis of a number of NF1 specific tumours has shown the inactivation of both NF1 alleles during tumourigenesis, in accordance with Knudson's "two hit" hypothesis. We have studied 82 tumours from 45 NF1 patients. Two separate strategies were used in this study to search for the somatic changes involved in the formation of NF1 tumours. First, evidence of loss of heterozygosity (LOH) of the NF1 gene region was investigated, and, second, a screen for the presence of sequence alterations was conducted on a large panel of DNA derived from matched blood/tumour pairs. In this study, the largest of its kind to date, we found that 12% of the tumours (10/82) exhibited LOH; previous studies have detected LOH in 3-36% of the neurofibromas examined. In addition, an SSCP/HA mutation screen identified five novel NF1 germline and two somatic mutations. In a plexiform neurofibroma from an NF1 patient, mutations in both NF1 alleles have been characterised.
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Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) is a common autosomal disorder affecting approximately 1 in 3000 people. The NF1 gene, located at 17q11.2, has 60 exons spanning approximately 350 kb of genomic DNA.1-4 The NF1 gene product, neurofibromin, is structurally and functionally related to the GTPase activating protein (GAP) family. This includes the yeast IRA1 and IRA2 genes, which are known to downregulate p21 activity.5 The most highly conserved region of neurofibromin, the NF1-GAP related domain (NF1-GRD), is encoded by exons 20-27a of the NF1 gene. A major phenotypic feature of NF1 is the widespread development of multiple neurofibromas.6 These are benign tumours derived from the connective tissue of nerve sheaths, particularly the endoneurium. Dermal neurofibromas represent discrete focal lesions of the nerve sheath, composed predominantly of Schwann cells and fibroblasts, but in addition contain axons, perineurial cells, mast cells, and extracellular matrix. Plexiform neurofibromas are usually more extensive as their growth occurs along the length of a nerve and may involve multiple fascicles. Histopathology indicates that plexiform neurofibromas usually contain multiple cell types typical of neurofibromas, but also contain a greatly expanded extracellular matrix.7 Although similar to dermal neurofibromas, plexiform neurofibromas do have the potential to become malignant.

Almost all reported NF1 mutations are predicted to lead to neurofibromatosis by the direct inactivation of the gene; this property coupled with its known role in downregulating the ras pathway has led to the hypothesis that NF1 is a tumour suppressor gene.8 Knudson's "two hit" hypothesis of tumourigenesis requires the biallelic inactivation of a specific gene for it to be considered as a tumour suppressor.9 Studies on a number of tumour suppressor genes have shown that the second (somatic) inactivating mutation often results from the loss of the chromosomal region containing the suppressor gene. The resultant hemizygosity for such a chromosomal region can be monitored by screening the patient's DNA with polymorphic markers from within the deleted region. Thus, a search for evidence of loss of heterozygosity (LOH) within a particular gene region should identify such deletions.

There have been few reports identifying the biallelic inactivation of the NF1 gene in a tumour. An initial study by Coleman et al. showed LOH in more than 36% (8/22) of the dermal neurofibromas they analysed from five unrelated NF1 patients, while Serra et al. found LOH in only 25% of the 60 neurofibromas they screened. In direct contrast, however, a recent study by Daschner et al. found little evidence for any LOH across the entire NF1 gene region. Only 2.6% of the 38 neurofibromas they examined showed a deletion.10

In this study, we have identified LOH in 12% of 82 neurofibromas screened from 45 patients using a panel of 13 markers. We also report the first case in which both the germline and somatic NF1 mutations have been identified in DNA from a plexiform neurofibroma. A somatic nonsense mutation was detected in exon 16 (R816X) on the normal NF1 allele and the constitutional sequence alteration was subsequently found to be a lesion in the obligate donor splice site GT dinucleotides (IVS4+1G→A) of intron 4.
Materials and methods

PATIENTS
Patients were diagnosed as being affected by NF1 according to the diagnostic criteria formulated by the National Institute of Health Consensus Conference (NIH CC) 1987.

TUMOURS
Our tumour panel includes DNA isolated from 82 NF1 specific tumours (74 dermal neurofibromas, three plexiform neurofibromas, two pilocytic astrocytomas, and three schwannomas) from 45 NF1 patients. The corresponding lymphocyte DNA was also available from these patients. Plexiform neurofibromas were sent from clinics with specialist interest in neurofibromatosis.

DNA EXTRACTION
Tumour samples were transported in tissue culture medium and contaminating tissues, including skin, fat, and hair, was carefully dissected away under the supervision of a pathologist.

DNA was extracted by incubating tumour tissue for 1.5 hours at 65°C in 10% SDS, tissue extraction buffer (5 mmol/l NaCl, 1 mol/l Tris, 0.5 mol/l EDTA, 10% SDS) and proteinase K. Phenol/chloroform extraction was carried out and the DNA precipitated by ethanol and 3 mol/l sodium acetate. Constitutional DNA was extracted from peripheral blood cells by conventional methods.

PCR
Amplification of the DNA was carried out in a 12.5 µl volume containing 10 ng DNA, 12 pmol/l of each primer, 1.5 mmol/l MgCl2, 1.25 mmol/l each dNTP, 1 U Taq polymerase, and buffer (Qiagen). Reactions were heated to 94°C for five minutes and then subjected to 35 cycles at 94°C for 30 seconds, 50-58°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 30 seconds, with a final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes. The NF1 primers used were as previously published.

LOH STUDIES
A panel of 13 markers (eight intragenic and five extragenic to NF1) were used to screen the panel of 45 tumour and blood pairs (table 1) to examine LOH in tumour tissue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>T11</th>
<th>T14</th>
<th>T15</th>
<th>T19</th>
<th>T25</th>
<th>T33.1</th>
<th>T33.2</th>
<th>T68.1</th>
<th>T68.2</th>
<th>T68.3</th>
<th>Marker reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UT172</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHII202</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF1- E5 RFLP (exon 5)</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF1- exon 13</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF1- EV120 (intron 27b)</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF1- 24.8 (intron 27b)</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF1- 28.4 (intron 27b)</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF1- 53.0 (intron 38)</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF1- Ral RFLP (intron 39)</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF1- Pa3 RFLP (intron 41)</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y C3</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EW207-17cen-q12</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D17S250 (mfd 15)</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
<td>$\bullet$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HETERODUPLEX ANALYSIS (HA)
A total of 15-20 ng of amplified DNA (two PCR products were pooled to increase sample throughput) was mixed with 1 µl 0.1 mol/l EDTA, heated to 94°C for three minutes, and slowly cooled to 40°C over 40 minutes to allow heteroduplex formation. The reannealed product was loaded onto 24 cm x 33 cm x 0.8 mm gels and electrophoresed at approximately 5 W/hour until the marker dye front was at least 15 cm from the origin.

SINGLE STRANDED CONFORMATION POLYMORPHISM (SSCP) ANALYSIS
Two µl of PCR product (8-10 ng DNA) was diluted 1:5 with loading buffer (95% formamide, 10 mmol/l NaOH, 0.25% bromophenol blue, 0.25% xylene cyanol) and heated to 94°C for three minutes. The product was loaded immediately onto 0.5 x MDE (Flowgen) gels and electrophoresed at room temperature for 50-150 Watt hours depending on the product size.

SILVER STAINING
Bands were visualised by silver staining. Gels were soaked in 0.1% silver nitrate for five minutes, rinsed briefly in distilled water, and then soaked in developing solution (1.5% sodium hydroxide, 0.01% sodium borohydrde, and 0.15% formaldehyde) until bands appeared (five to 15 minutes). The bands were fixed by soaking in 0.75% sodium carbonate for two to five minutes and then rinsed briefly in water. The gel was transferred onto 3MM Whatmann paper and dried at room temperature. Aberrant bands were identified by their differing migration patterns.

SEQUENCING
Exons were amplified by cycle sequencing for 35 cycles using the Thermo Sequenase cycle sequencing kit from Amersham. Primers were labelled using $[^3P]dATP$ (Amersham) and T4 polynucleotide kinase (Promega). Approximately 3 µl (12-20 ng) product was diluted 1:1 with loading buffer and run on polyacrylamide gels for 1.5-3 hours at 75 W/hour.

Results
Evidence for LOH of the NF1 region was investigated in the 45 tumour/blood pairs...
A panel of eight intragenic and five extragenic DNA polymorphic markers was used. Ten out of 82 (12%) neurofibromas, isolated from seven separate patients, have shown evidence for LOH (fig 1). The end points of these deletions have not been defined and the constitutional NF1 mutations have still to be identified.

Exons 16-40 (29 exons) of the NF1 gene were targeted for investigation. This conserved region of the gene exhibits extended homology with the yeast IRA1 and IRA2 genes and is still the only domain of the protein to which any function has been ascribed and is involved in the downregulation of the p21 ras oncogene.7 The majority of exons (28/29) encompassing this region were screened in this study. Owing to problems associated with the variable quality and quantity of genomic DNA isolated from some tumours, approximately 1-5% of DNA samples proved to be refractory to mutational analysis. Five novel germline mutations and two somatic sequence alterations were found following the SSCP or HA mutational screen (table 2).

A band shift was identified by heteroduplex analysis in tumour tissue, but not in lymphocyte DNA, from patient T7 (fig 2). This somatic change, identified in a plexiform neurofibroma from patient T7, involved a CGA→TGA nonsense mutation at nucleotide 2446 of exon 16, resulting in the formation of a premature stop codon at residue 816 (fig 3A). The constitutional mutation in this family was a nucleotide substitution at the obligate donor GT dinucleotides (IVS4+1 G→A, intron 4), which was detected in the patient and two affected relatives (fig 3B). RNA was unavailable to confirm splice site abnormalities. A further, novel, somatic change (7168delGA) in table 2. Five germline and two somatic mutations identified following a screen of exons 16-40 using SSCP/HA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient ID</th>
<th>Somatic or germline</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Exon/intron</th>
<th>Restriction site modification</th>
<th>Amino acid substitution/predicted protein changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BL7</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>IVS 4+1</td>
<td>Intron 4</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL22</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>IVS17-2</td>
<td>Intron 17</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL62</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>IVS 4+1</td>
<td>Intron 4</td>
<td>Gain Hpy178III site and lose Hpy188IX</td>
<td>Premature stop at residue 1344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL17</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>IVS 4+1</td>
<td>Intron 4</td>
<td>Gain MboI, DdeI</td>
<td>Premature stop at residue 1758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R816X</td>
<td>Exon 16</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Premature stop at residue 815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T52.1</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>CGA→TGA</td>
<td>Exon 40</td>
<td>Lose Hpy188IX, ApoI</td>
<td>Premature stop at residue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S = somatic, G = germline, T = tumour, Bl = blood.
exon 40 was detected in one of two neurofibromas obtained from the same patient (T52). Although the corresponding blood DNA was not available from this patient, the mutation was assumed to be somatic as it was not detectable in the second tumour, as would be expected if it represented a germline mutation.

Discussion
Mutation analysis of the NF1 gene has so far identified constitutional mutations in 246 unrelated patients (NF1 Genetic Analysis Consortium, February 1999). Approximately 70% of these disease causing sequence alterations are frameshift or nonsense mutations that are all predicted to result in the synthesis of a prematurely truncated neurofibromin protein. To date, despite the extensive investigation of the NF1 gene by a number of different mutation screening methods, disease causing lesions have been identified in less than 40% of patients. The spectrum of NF1 germline mutations includes gross deletions, microdeletions, insertions, and base pair substitutions. The NF1 somatic mutational spectrum is, however, far less well defined, mainly because of the small number of studies carried out and the difficulty in detecting such changes. This study aimed to investigate the possible mutational mechanisms involved in the somatic inactivation of the NF1 gene in various NF1 related tumour tissues.

Searches for evidence of loss of heterozygosity (LOH) of the NF1 gene region have proved successful in identifying potential NF1 deletion mutations in various tumour tissues. Three of these studies have provided evidence for at least some degree of LOH of the NF1 gene region in neurofibromas from NF1 patients. Coleman et al found that 36% (8/22) of the neurofibromas analysed, derived from five unrelated NF1 patients, showed either partial or complete somatic deletions of one chromosome 17, and that these deletions always involved the NF1 region. These results were essentially corroborated in a recent study which showed evidence of LOH in 25% (15/60) of neurofibromas tested from 17 NF1 patients; again the deletions always included the NF1 gene region. In contrast to these reports, showing clear evidence for LOH in more than a third of all neurofibromas analysed, is the recent study from Daschner et al who found LOH in 2.6% of the 38 neurofibromas they investigated. Our study detected a higher level of LOH (12%) in neurofibromas than Daschner et al but it is still significantly less than the previous reports. In none of the above studies were the constitutional mutations identified.

In the present study, DNA was isolated from 82 neurofibromas obtained from 45 unrelated NF1 patients, the largest panel studied to date. Following a screen with a large panel of NF1 intragenic and extragenic polymorphic markers, we identified LOH in 12% (10/82) of the tumour tissues tested. Indeed, in one case, 12 individual neurofibromas were tested from one NF1 patient and none showed any evidence of genomic deletions with any of the markers screened. To date, the constitutional mutations in six out of seven of the NF1 patients that have shown LOH in their tumours have still to be determined.

Some of the reasons for the low mutation detection rate could be because the lesions are too small to identify, the alterations being located in the regions still to be screened, or, possibly, the mutation detection techniques used are not sensitive enough. Thorough SSCP/HA analysis of NF1 exons 16-40 for sequence changes has, to date, only detected two somatic mutations and five novel germline mutations (three deletions and two splice site...
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