Triplication of distal chromosome 10q
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Abstract
We describe a patient with a de novo chromosomal aberration with karyotype 46,XY,10q+, presenting clinical features of partial duplication of distal chromosome 10q. Further studies using microsatellites and FISH showed a triplication of distal chromosome 10q. The rearrangement involved both maternal homologues and the middle chromosomal 10q fragment of the triplication was inverted, similar to previously reported chromosomal triplications. Chromosomal triplications may be more frequent than assumed and may share a common molecular mechanism. (J Med Genet 1999;36:242–245)
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Case report
This patient is the second child of healthy, unrelated parents. He has a healthy brother and the family history is negative with regard to mental retardation or congenital malformations. Fetal movements were reduced during pregnancy. He was born at term, with a birth weight of 3800 g (50th-75th centile), length 50 cm (25th-50th centile), and head circumference 35 cm (25th-50th centile). Mild distal arthrogryposis of the hands was noted at birth. Development was delayed; he walked at the age of 20 months and spoke his first words at 5 years of age. At the age of 11 years 8 months, weight was 35.2 kg (25th-50th centile), length 153 cm (75th-97th centile), and head circumference 53.8 cm (50th-75th centile). There were minor facial anomalies, with midfacial hypoplasia, flattened forehead with a high anterior hairline, central anterior cowlick, and narrow palpebral fissures (fig 1A). Outer canthal distance was 9.3 cm (75th centile) and inner canthal distance 3.1 cm (50th-75th centile). The ears were prominent with poorly folded helices. He had hypertrophic gingiva and ventral placement of the maxillary canine teeth. A large gap was noted between the first and second toes. He was hypotonic with open mouth and reduced facial expression, hypermobile elbow joints, high, arched palate, and lumbar scoliosis. There was distal arthrogryposis of the hands with flexion contractures at the proximal interphalangeal joints of the second to fifth fingers (fig 1B). The thumbs showed absence of flexion at the metacarpophalangeal joints. He has moderate mental retardation, with an intelligence quotient of 46 on the Terman scale, at the age of 5.5 years.

MOLECULAR ANALYSIS
A panel of microsatellite markers (CA repeats) on distal 10q was analysed on DNA extracted from white blood cells from the patient and his parents. The PCR method and analysis of the products have been described before.1 For marker D10S217, three alleles were detected, one from the father and two different alleles...
from the mother. However, one of the maternal alleles was present in a double dose (fig 3). This finding is compatible with a triplication of maternal origin of distal chromosome 10q. For a more proximal marker, D10S537, normal biparental inheritance was shown, indicating that the chromosomal triplication involves a fragment distal to this locus. The patient was homozygous for marker D10S198, but the mother was not, so this locus is probably not included in the triplication. The results obtained with markers D10S590 and D10S212 were also compatible with a chromosomal triplication, but the parental origin of the different alleles could not be resolved with certainty.

To confirm the triplication, FISH was done using probes derived from distal 10q. Three CEPH YACs were selected from the Whitehead Institute/MIT Center for Genome Research contig WC10.18, from distal chromosome 10q, which contains the marker D10S217 (Genbank accession number Z16970). With YAC 915A2, three distinct signals could be detected on the abnormal chromosome 10q, but only one signal on the normal chromosome 10q, confirming the presence of a triplication (fig 4).

To determine the precise orientation of the fragments involved in the triplication, YAC 745C10 (centromeric) and YAC 886D4 (telomeric) were labelled with a different fluorochrome. As shown in fig 5, the data support an inverted orientation of the middle fragment.

By means of the subtelomeric cosmid probe 2136c3 on chromosome 10q, \(^2\) a single signal was detected on the normal chromosome 10 and two on distal chromosome 10q. Interestingly, the proximal signal was consistently more intense than the telomeric signal. However, these interstitial signals never appeared clearly as two distinct signals, not even in interphase nuclei (fig 5C), which is fully compatible with the inverted orientation of the middle chromosomal fragment of the triplication. In addition, this shows that the triplicated fragment includes most of the subtelomeric region of distal chromosome 10q. FISH using a telomere specific probe\(^1\) showed no interstitial signals on the
rearranged chromosome 10q (results not shown).

Discussion
In this patient with a chromosomal triplication of distal 10q, clinical findings characteristic of a duplication of distal 10q were present, including the facial features, joint contractures, and mental retardation. Interestingly, the manifestations in this patient were less severe than in previously described patients, who usually had major internal organ malformations (such as congenital heart defects) and more pronounced mental retardation. This is surprising, since tetrasomy for a chromosomal fragment is expected to result in a more severe phenotype compared to trisomy. An obvious explanation is that in the present patient, the triplicated chromosomal fragment is smaller than the more commonly observed 10q24-qter duplication, and, apparently, the presence of four copies of genes within the distal chromosome 10q region does not further promote the genetic imbalance.

At present, only 10 patients with a constitutional chromosomal triplication have been reported, including chromosome fragments 16q12.1-q12.2, 9p22-pter, 2q37, 5p14-p15.3, 7p21.3-p22, and 15q11-q13. The majority of chromosomal triplications are interstitial, with the exception of the present patient and the patient reported by Batanian et al., who have a triplication of a terminal chromosomal fragment. Our results indicate that the rearrangement was of maternal origin, involved both maternal homologues, and that the middle fragment was inverted. This is similar to the findings in the other patients with a triplication who were studied in detail, suggesting a similar mechanism underlying both interstitial and terminal chromosomal triplications. The precise sequence of events leading to this type of rearrangement is unclear at present, but from the finding that the two maternal chromosomes are implicated, it can be inferred that a meiosis I error is involved, possibly with an inverted duplicated chromosome 10q as an intermediate step.

The most frequently observed inverted duplication involves chromosome 8p and is associated with a deficiency of the telomeric 8p region. The mechanism leading to triplication seems to be different, since in the present case, as well as in the reported patient with a terminal triplication of 8p, no telomeric deletion could be shown by FISH using subtelomeric probes. However, with the currently available techniques, a very small subtelomeric deletion cannot be excluded in the present patient. Alternatively, in the patient with a
terminal triplication of 9p, interstitial telomeric sequences were detected, suggesting the possibility that in the present patient(s) also short interstitial telomeric sequences might be present, undetected by current techniques.

In conclusion, the present observation suggests that patients with small, de novo chromosomal duplications should be studied for the presence of a triplication. Identification and further molecular characterisation of additional patients may lead to more insight into the mechanisms leading to these chromosomal aberrations.
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