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Abstract

Popliteal pterygium syndrome (PPS) is a rare autosomal dominant disorder, thought to occur with an incidence of approximately 1 in 300 000 live births. The main clinical manifestations are popliteal webbing, cleft lip, cleft palate, lower lip pits, syndactyly, and genital and nail anomalies. This report describes the clinical features in two families with PPS and one isolated case, showing the range of anomalies found both within and between families. PPS has some features in common with Van der Woude syndrome (VWS), also inherited as an autosomal dominant condition, with cleft lip/palate and, more distinctively, lower lip pits. Although the gene for VWS has not yet been identified, it has been localised to within 1.6 cM in the region 1q32-41. To determine whether PPS and VWS represent allelic forms of the same gene, three families were genotyped for markers flanking and within the critical region. A multipoint lod score of 2.7 was obtained, with no evidence of recombination, supporting the hypothesis that these two disorders are allelic.

Table 1 The phenotypes of the individual family members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family number</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject number</td>
<td>I. 1</td>
<td>I. 2</td>
<td>I. 1</td>
<td>I. 1</td>
<td>I. 1</td>
<td>I. 1</td>
<td>I. 1</td>
<td>I. 1</td>
<td>I. 1</td>
<td>I. 1</td>
<td>I. 1</td>
<td>I. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral cleft</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleft lip</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleft palate</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower lip pits</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral synchiae</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thin upper lip</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankyloblepharon</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craniofacial</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head syndactyly</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toe syndactyly</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popliteal web</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triangular overgrowth over big toe</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral tarsal synostosis</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genitalia</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoplastic labia majora/minora</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal pubic hair</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Popliteal web, with a characteristic pyramidal

tlated parents. II.3 was born with a right sided

mouth was small with a thin upper lip and unu-

down the inner aspect of the upper thigh. Her

involved with absence of the labia majora and

syndactyly of toes 2-4. The genitalia were

soft tissue was

flexion at an angle of approximately 90°

extension at the knees, which were held in fixed

risk if divided surgically. The webbing prevented

had been managed conservatively with regular

had resulted in some peroneal

nerve damage, with abnormal sensation and

cooling in the foot. His oldest brother, II.1,

treated surgically. This did not pre-

vent him from having a very active life. There

was no cleft lip or palate in either of these men.

Although neither of their parents was known to

have clefts or popliteal webbing, their father

was thought to have slightly unusual speech

and his brother was said to have toe syndactyly.

No further information is available on these

subjects, but this suggests that I.2 may have

been a gene carrier.

Two out of three sisters of the proband were

also affected. III.1 has mild 2/3 toe syndactyly

and a repaired cleft of the soft palate. The

youngest sister, III.4, was born with a unilateral

cleft lip and palate (UCLP), syndactyly of fin-

gers 2/3/4/5 on both hands (fig 2D), and mini-

mal popliteal webbing bilaterally.

FAMILY 2

The proband (II.2, fig 1, family 2) was born at

term to healthy, unrelated parents, following a

pregnancy complicated by hyperemesis treated

with an anti-emetic (name unknown). Birth

weight was 2950 g. At birth a number of

anomalies were identified, including multiple

oral synechiae, unilateral cleft lip and palate,

popliteal web extending from the heels to the

genitalia, bifid scrotum, bilateral talipes equino-

varus, and syndactyly of toes 2/3/4/5 on the right

folding of tissue over the first toenail (fig 2C).

The web had been corrected surgically, al-

though this had resulted in some peroneal

nerve damage, with abnormal sensation and

sweating in the foot.

FAMILY I

The proband initially presented to the genetics

clinic at the age of 28 years, requesting precon-

ceptional counselling (fig 1, family 1, III.2). A
diagnosis of popliteal pterygium syndrome (PPS)

had previously been made. There was a family

history of PPS, with her father, paternal

uncle, and two of her three sisters also affected.

On examination she was found to be quite

severely affected, with extensive popliteal webs

bilaterally, extending from the ischial tuberosi-
ties to the Achilles tendons (fig 2A, B). These

had been managed conservatively with regular

physiotherapy, as vascular and nervous tissue

were considered to be at

risk if divided surgically. The webbing prevented

extension at the knees, which were held in fixed

grew at an angle of approximately 90°. Despite

this she was mobile. A fibrous cord was palpable

in the web. A pyramidal wedge of soft tissue was

seen overlying the first toenails bilaterally, with

syndactyly of toes 2-4. The genitalia were

involved with absence of the labia majora and

abnormal distribution of pubic hair extending
down the inner aspect of the upper thigh. Her

mouth was small with a thin upper lip and unus-

usual inward slanting of the lower teeth. No lower

lip pits were noted. A submucous cleft palate

was present which had not resulted in significant

speech problems. There were no abnormalities

of the upper limbs.

Her father, II.3, was the third child of unre-
lated parents. II.3 was born with a right sided

popliteal web, with a characteristic pyramidal

Figure 1 Pedigrees of families 1-5, showing the haplotypes for pedigrees 1, 2, 4, and 5. Filled symbols represent affected

subjects.
foot (fig 3A, B). He underwent multiple operations over the first 12 years of life. There were no other medical problems and his developmental progress was normal. Although he does have some physical limitations he now walks well and is fully mobile. His first child (III.1) was found to have a unilateral cleft lip and palate at the time of detailed anomaly scan at 19 weeks’ gestation, which was confirmed postnatally. Multiple oral synechiae were also present, which restricted jaw movement and required surgical release. Ankyloblepharon was noted (fig 3C). No other physical abnormalities, in particular of the lower limbs and genitalia, were identified. He developed bilateral cysts of the lower lip over the first year of life, which resulted in excess drooling and have been surgically excised on several occasions (fig 3D). Developmental progress has been normal, other than speech difficulties related to the cleft.

The second child (III.2) was born following an unremarkable pregnancy. Serial antenatal ultrasound scanning had shown no abnormality. A cleft palate, oral synechiae, and hypoplastic scrotum were seen on postnatal examination. Other than release of the oral frenulae and repair of the cleft palate, the child has had no subsequent medical problems and continues to make good developmental progress.

Although there was no clinical evidence that either parent of II.2 was a gene carrier, several more distant relatives of I.1 were thought to have had feeding problems and possibly a cleft palate.

FAMILY 3

II.3 (fig 1, family 3) was referred to the genetics clinic at the age of 21 for genetic counselling. She had a history of cleft palate and microform cleft lip. The diagnosis of Van der Woude syndrome had been considered because of the presence of bilateral lower lip pits (fig 4). There was no relevant family history. Her parents are healthy and unrelated. She was born at 33 weeks’ gestation with a birth weight of 1400 g following a pregnancy initially complicated by first trimester hyperemesis (treated with Debendox) and then Rh incompatibility requiring several in utero blood transfusions and an exchange transfusion at 24 hours of age. At this time it was noted that she had tight tendons extending down in the popliteal region bilaterally and was unable to extend her legs fully. Her Achilles
tendons were also noted to be tight. Surgical release of the tendons was performed at the age of 18 months. When seen in the clinic the repaired cleft palate was noted and lower lip pits seen bilaterally. There were no other dysmorphic features. Minimal skin syndactyly of digits 2/3/4 on the left hand was present. Linear thickening of the tissues extending from the upper leg to the foot was seen dorsally, more extensive on the left side. She was able to extend both knees fully. The left big toenail was smaller than the right. She had a double left second toenail. The labia majora were absent. Pubic hair was noted to extend onto the inner upper thighs, particularly on the left side. The diagnosis of popliteal pterygium syndrome was made. No features of the syndrome were noted in either parent on examination.

**FAMILY 4**

In this family, I.1 had oral synechiae at birth, a unilateral cleft lip and palate with lower lip pits, popliteal pterygia, and cryptorchidism. Minimal syndactyly of toes 2/3/4 was present. II.1 was born with a bilateral cleft of the lip and palate, lower lip pits, oral synechiae, and ankyloblepharon. Popliteal pterygia were present. The scrotum was absent, although the testes were palpable. Syndactyly of toes 2/3 and partial syndactyly of toes 3/4 was noted. The sister of II.1, II.2, was also born with a bilateral cleft of the lip and palate, lower lip pits, popliteal pterygia, hypoplasia of the labia majora, and syndactyly of toes 2/3/4.

**FAMILY 5**

The clinical details of this family have previously been published.

### Linkage studies

To test whether PPS and VWS represent allelic forms of the same gene, evidence of linkage was sought between markers at the VWS locus on 1q32 and families with PPS.

### Methods

The critical VWS region lies in the region flanked by the polymorphic markers D1S205 and D1S491. The marker D1S3753 lies between these markers. PCR reactions were performed using fluorescently labelled primers in a 5 µl volume (0.5 µl dNTPs, 0.5 µl PCR buffer (containing 10 mmol/l Tris-HCl, 50 mmol/l KCl, pH 8.3), 0.5 µl MgCl₂, buffer (2.5 mmol/l MgCl₂), 0.125 µl each of both forward and reverse primers, and 0.25 µl Taq polymerase). The PCR cycle consisted of 30 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 54°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 2 min. The PCR products were run on an ABI 3730 capillary sequencer and analyzed using GeneScan software.
and reverse primers for markers D1S205, D1S491, and D1S3753 respectively, 2.5 µl DNA (12.5 ng DNA), 0.7 µl H2O, and 0.05 µl of AmpliTaq Gold. Initial denaturing at 94°C was carried out for 10 minutes, followed by 20 cycles of 92°C for 30 seconds, 55°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 30 seconds, with a final extension step for 10 minutes at 72°C.

The PCR products for each DNA sample were pooled, then 1.2 µl of this pooled product was added to 1.2 µl of a loading buffer mix, containing deionised formamide with blue dye and a ROX size standard. The mixture was denatured for three minutes before loading onto a polyacrylamide gel and electrophoresed on an ABI 377 analyser, using Genescan and Genotyper software. Linkage analysis was carried out using the parametric function of Genehunter.10

Results

The genotypes of the subjects for the markers D1S205, D1S491, and D1S3753 are shown on the pedigrees in fig 1. No recombinants are seen. Using the lod score function of Genehunter, with a fully penetrant dominant model, the maximum lod score obtained was 2.7, where the status of I.1, family 2, is given as unknown. If the status of this subject is given as affected, then the maximum lod score obtained is 3.0. These results are consistent with linkage between PPS and 1q32 (VWS locus).

Discussion

The families described show both the intra- and interfamilial variation in the clinical phenotype of the popliteal pterygium syndrome. In family 1, the popliteal webbing varied from severe webbing leading to a flexion deformity of approximately 90° at both knees in one subject to the presence of a fibrous cord, not affecting function, in another. The clefting anomaly also varied from a submucous cleft to bilateral cleft lip and palate, although no gene carrier in this family had lower lip pits. The severity of the clefting did not correlate with the severity of the popliteal anomaly. In family 2, ankyloblepharon, oral synchiae, and lower lip pits were prominent features.

Counselling issues are important. PPS is inherited as an autosomal dominant condition with variable expression, although most cases are sporadic. It is important that this syndrome is recognised and included in the differential diagnosis of both orofacial clefting with lower lip pits and syndromes with pterygia. Differentiating between VWS and PPS can sometimes be difficult owing to the overlapping phenotype. Cases with VWS should be examined carefully to exclude abnormality of the lower limbs. The diagnosis of PPS is often made only when a severe case with many of the phenotypic features presents. Diagnosis is important in terms of genetic counselling. Before starting a family, II.3 (family 1) and his wife had requested a genetic consultation. They were told that the condition had most likely been inherited as an autosomal recessive trait and the offspring risk was therefore small. The cleft palate and mild 2/3 toe syndactyly in their first child were not thought to be related. The diagnosis of PPS was made after the birth of the second, more severely affected child.

Appropriate therapy includes surgical management of the popliteal web, cleft lip and palate surgery, and electrolysis therapy for unwanted pubic hair. Surgical correction should be approached with caution as vessels and nerves run in the free edge of the web and may thus be compromised during such a procedure. Unwanted extension of pubic hair onto the inner thigh was mentioned by several affected females. Antenatal USS may identify some of the associated anomalies.

Various hypotheses have been put forward to try and explain the underlying pathogenesis. These have included a primary microvascular abnormality with associated oedema leading to disturbance of epithelial tissues, resulting in adhesion formation,11 excessive epithelial growth leading to fusion and secondary mesenchymal involvement,12 a primary collagen defect,13 or a loss of programmed cell death.14 Both genetic and histopathological studies will help to elucidate the underlying nature of the syndrome.

Although we present preliminary linkage studies, further work with linkage to the Van der Woude locus on chromosome 1q32, further families need to be studied to establish linkage. However the study supports the theory that these disorders are allelic. Once the gene has been identified and mutations detected, the pathological and molecular basis for PPS and VWS will become evident.
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