Prenatal diagnosis by FISH of a 22q11 deletion in two families
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Abstract
We report on prenatal diagnosis by FISH of a sporadic 22q11 deletion associated with DiGeorge syndrome (DGS) in two fetuses after an obstetric ultrasonographic examination detected cardiac anomalies, an interrupted aortic arch in case 1 and tetralogy of Fallot in case 2. The parents decided to terminate the pregnancies. At necropsy, fetal examination showed characteristic facial dysmorphism associated with congenital malformations, confirming full DGS in both fetuses. In addition to the 22q11 deletion, trisomy X was found in the second fetus and a reciprocal balanced translocation t(11;22)(q23;q11) was found in the clinically normal father of case 1. These findings highlight the importance of performing traditional cytogenetic analysis and FISH in pregnancies with a high risk of having a deletion.
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DiGeorge syndrome (DGS), an aetiology heterogeneous developmental field defect of the third and fourth pharyngeal pouches, is characterised by hypoplasia or aplasia of the thymus and parathyroid glands, a conotruncal heart defect, and varying craniofacial dysmorphism.1 DGS is frequently associated with a chromosome 22 abnormality. Unbalanced translocations which result in monosomy of 22pter-22q11 and interstitial deletions have mapped the DGS region to 22q11.2.3 Molecular studies using probes for various loci in the 22q11 region have detected submicroscopic deletions in more than 90% of DGS cases without visible cytogenetic abnormalities.4

Deletions within 22q11 are associated with a wide variety of birth defects embraced by the acronym CATCH 22,5 including Sprintzen syndrome (velocardiofacial syndrome), conotruncal congenital heart disease, and DGS at the more severe end of the clinical spectrum. This haploinsufficiency of 22q11 is a relatively frequent cause of birth defect (1/5000 live births).6 Fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) using unique sequence DNA probes is an efficient, quick, and direct method for detection of 22q11 microdeletions.

We report on prenatal diagnosis by FISH of a 22q11 deletion associated with DGS in two families. Both of these cases are sporadic. Moreover, in the first case, a reciprocal balanced translocation t(11;22)(q23;q11) was shown in the father's karyotype; in the second case trisomy X was associated with a 22q11 deletion in the fetus.

Materials and methods
KARYOTYPING AND FISH
Fetal blood samples were obtained for karyotype analysis and FISH. Cells were harvested from cultures of phytohaemagglutinin stimulated lymphocytes and spread onto slides for the production of G banded or R banded chromosomes.

FISH of metaphase chromosomes using digoxigenin labelled cosmid probes D22S75 (N25, ONCOR) from the DGS chromosome region was carried out basically according to Pinkel et al.7 This probe was premixed with a control cosmid (D22S39) in 22q13.3 facilitating chromosome identification.

Case reports
CASE 1
A 31 year old, nulliparous woman, who had had a previous miscarriage at 8 weeks of gestation, was seen for fetal echocardiography at 23 weeks of gestation after an obstetric ultrasonographic examination detected cardiac anomalies and absence of the thymus. Fetal echocardiography showed an interrupted aortic arch (IAA) associated with a membranous ventricular septal defect. The possibility of DGS was discussed because of its strong association with IAA and absence of the thymus. A fetal blood sample was obtained for karyotype determination. A 46,XX karyotype with a 22q11 deletion detected by FISH was found, consistent with the DG phenotype and provided a prenatal diagnosis of DGS (fig 1). The serum calcium level was determined and showed hypocalcaemia (66 mg/l, normal fetal
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CASE 1

Fetal blood was obtained for karyotype analysis from a fetus whose mother and father were 27 and 40 years of age, respectively. Prenatal ultrasound examination at 23 weeks showed tetralogy of Fallot (TF).

The fetus was found to have a 47,XXX karyotype and a 22q11 deletion detected by FISH. Neither the serum calcium level nor the lymphocyte population was evaluated in this case. The TF associated with a 47,XXX karyotype and a 22q11 deletion had obvious implications for genetic counselling and risk assessment. Following counselling the pregnancy was terminated.

At necropsy, the fetus had facial dysmorphic features including a broad nose, downward slanting palpebral fissures with hypertelorism, a small mouth, microstomia, micrognathia, rounded, posteriorly rotated ears with absent ear lobes, and facial hypertrichosis (fig 3). TF was associated with a complete absence of the thymus, vertebral anomalies, and a left talipes equinovarus deformity.

The parental karyotypes were both normal. Deletion 22q11 was excluded by FISH.

Discussion

Very few data are available concerning the prognosis for fetuses diagnosed prenatally as having a 22q11 deletion. To the best of our knowledge only three cases of prenatally
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Diagnosed DGS with a 22q11 deletion have been published.

Van Hemel et al. described a familial case illustrating the variable clinical expression of the chromosome 22q11 deletion. A 22q11 deletion was found in a child who died two weeks after birth with symptoms of full DGS and truncus arteriosus. This deletion was detected in the physically normal father who had mild learning disabilities and a tendency to depression, as well as in a subsequent pregnancy. Ultrasound studies did not show cardiac or other anomalies in the latter fetus. At birth the boy developed hypocalcaemia and had a moderate T cell defect. Echocardiography showed a right sided aortic arch without intracardiac anomalies.

Puder et al. reported a case of prenatally diagnosed IAA, which led to the detection of segmental monosomy of chromosome 22q11 by FISH in the fetus and in his mother, who had a small ventricular septal defect.

The first case of prenatal detection of a fetus with an interrupted aortic arch and a 22q11 deletion, in the absence of a family history, was reported by Davidson et al. The mother was also found to carry the deletion; she had no cardiac abnormality but was mildly retarded. The pregnancy continued and the prenatal diagnosis was helpful for planning postnatal care. In this family and in others, the deletion was transmitted from the mother to her more severely affected child. The range of phenotypes associated with the 22q11 deletion complicates genetic counselling. Moreover, so far there has been no experience with the prognosis of severely affected subjects who nowadays survive after heart surgery.

Both of our cases were referred for cordocentesis and fetal blood karyotyping analysis in the 23rd week of pregnancy because of detection by ultrasound examination of a cardiac anomaly, an IAA associated with thymic aplasia in the first case and TF in the second.

IAA, truncus arteriosus, unusual cardiovascular lesions, and TF are congenital conotruncal heart diseases commonly found in DGS. The results of recent molecular studies in patients with TF, including isolated TF and syndromic cases, suggest that isolated TF is not associated with a 22q11 deletion, while hemizygosity for 22q11 is present in patients with TF associated with additional cardiovascular or non-cardiac anomalies. Therefore, detection of these cardiac diseases during pregnancy should prompt the investigation of characteristic abnormalities associated with DGS. These associated anomalies are, however, difficult to detect by prenatal diagnosis.

The discovery of a conotruncal heart defect associated with a 22q11 deletion might indicate a severe form of this syndrome, which is known to show great phenotypic variability. The most severely affected patients have severe, life threatening heart defects and die neonatally. The least severely affected patients have only mild facial anomalies and some developmental delay, but no heart defects. However, most of the patients with DGS who have survived infancy have been mildly to moderately retarded.

The presence of a deletion associated with conotruncal heart disease is an important point which has obvious implications for genetic counselling. In both of our cases, necropsy confirmed that all fetuses were severely affected and had multiple congenital anomalies. This study, the first to our knowledge that describes fetal examination of DGS with a 22q11 deletion, has shown that facial dysmorphism is present and recognisable in the fetus and is very similar to that of the newborn.

Furthermore, in our report, karyotype analysis showed a second chromosomal abnormality. In the first case, the father was found to have a balanced translocation t(11;22)(q23;q11). FISH analysis showed D22S75 to be present on the derivative 22 translocation chromosome and to be located centromeric to the translocation breakpoint in 22q11 (fig 4). The presence of a 22q11 deletion in the offspring of t(11;22) carriers has not been reported previously. An attractive hypothesis is that this recurrent translocation in the father may have played a role in the existence of the deletion in the fetus. Structural characteristics of the DNA in the 22q11 region, especially the presence of low copy repeat elements, would favour interstitial deletion with more or less precise breakpoint sites. However, the role of chance cannot be excluded. Published data have shown a higher frequency of deletions of maternal origin (70%). In the present case, determination of the parental origin of the deleted chromosome 22 in the fetus was attempted, but was not informative with the polymorphic marker used. Further endeavours to obtain other polymorphic markers mapping to the DGS deleted region and to continue the investigation into this family are in progress.

When neither parent has a deletion, it is expected that there will be a low risk of having a further child with a 22q11 deletion since gonadal mosaicism cannot be ruled out. In this family, an early first trimester prenatal diagnosis is relevant because of the risk of inheriting an unbalanced translocation derivative in the offspring.

In the second case a triple X karyotype was found and the association of triple X with DGS has never previously been reported either. However, trisomy X is a relatively common aneuploidy and we suggest that this association is likely to be a chance event. The finding of this anomaly might be an indication for prenatal diagnosis in a subsequent pregnancy.

These findings highlight the importance of performing traditional cytogenetic analysis and FISH for suspected DGS during pregnancy when ultrasound studies show a conotruncal cardiac defect. In these cases prenatal diagnosis of a molecular deletion in the DGS critical region of chromosome 22 suggests the existence of associated anomalies and risks of developmental delay. However, counselling remains difficult in view of the clinical variabil-
ity described in DGS, where the phenotype cannot be accurately predicted from the genotype.
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