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Abstract
Mosaicism introduces wide variability into the clinical expression of numerical and unbalanced structural chromosomal abnormalities. The phenotypic range of variability of 45,X/46,XY mosaicism extends from Turner syndrome to mixed gonadal dysgenesis to normal males. The specific phenotype is primarily dependent on the chromosomal constitution of the developing gonad. Similar phenotypic variability is observed with mosaicism for 45,X and a second cell line with an abnormal sex chromosome. This report describes a patient with Turner syndrome and a patient with mixed gonadal dysgenesis who have identical karyotypes, namely 45,X/46,XY,idic(Y)(p11.2). While mosaicism alone might have accounted for the phenotypic differences, by PCR analysis the Turner syndrome patient was SRY and ZFY negative and the mixed gonadal dysgenesis patient was SRY and ZFY positive.
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Structurally abnormal Y chromosomes are often seen in mosaicism with a 45,X cell line. The associated phenotype displays wide variability. Similarly, mosaicism for 45,X/46,XY exhibits wide variability ranging from Turner syndrome to mixed gonadal dysgenesis to normal males. With the latter karyotype it is apparent that the phenotypic diversity is primarily dependent on the dominant cell line present in the developing gonad; that is, if the predominant cell line is 45,X the phenotype is Turner syndrome, if the predominant cell line is 46,XY the phenotype is that of a male, and if both cell lines are well represented in the gonad, the result is mixed gonadal dysgenesis. With 45,X/46,XY mosaicism, the frequency of 45,X and 46,XY cells in peripheral leucocytes often does not correlate with the phenotype. In cases with 45,X and mosaicism for a second cell line with a structurally abnormal Y chromosome, another mechanism for phenotypic diversity is possible, that is, the presence or absence of the sex determining gene, SRY, on the structurally abnormal Y chromosome. This report describes two patients with a similar karyotype, namely 45,X/46,X,idic(Y) (p11.2). One patient presented with Turner syndrome, the other with mixed gonadal dysgenesis. Molecular analysis of the abnormal Y chromosome suggests that despite the karyotypic similarity of the abnormal Y, molecular differences explain the differences from the observed phenotypes.

Case reports
Case 1 is a 54 year old male referred to the genetics clinic for evaluation of ambiguous external genitalia. He had been seen by the referring physician (JF) because of headache and hypertension.

He was the third born child in a sibship of six delivered at home. The attending physician had signed the birth certificate designating the infant a male and this was never later questioned by the family. No medical records are available from his childhood, but the family reports that he was delayed in his development and shorter than his peers.

At 6 years of age he entered public school but as he was unable to learn he was withdrawn from school and had no further formal education. As a teenager he remained short and experienced no secondary sexual development. He was said to have enjoyed good general health until his hypertension prompted medical evaluation at 54 years of age.

His physical examination showed a height of 140 cm, weight of 42.5 kg, and blood pressure of 165/83 mm Hg. This obviously short and obese man spoke only in single words. He had a paucity of body hair with no beard and a female escutcheon. In addition to his obesity he had a modest degree of gynaecomastia. Femoral pulses were full and equal. The external genitalia comprised a 2 cm phallus with redundant ventral foreskin. The glans contained the external urethral meatus. The labia, scrotal folds were fused with minimal rugation and hyperpigmentation. No gonadal structures were palpable. At the base of the phallus, there was a 2 mm orifice that was not probed.

Case 2 is a 13 year old female referred to the paediatric endocrine clinic for initial evaluation of short stature. She began to deviate from the growth curve at approximately 2 years of age and showed further slowing of her growth rate at 6 years of age. She had no serious illnesses and was on no medication. On her initial visit she expressed greater concern about her lack of secondary sexual development than her short stature.

Physical examination showed a height of 134.5 cm which was well below the 5th centile on a standard growth chart, but at the 75th centile on a Turner syndrome growth chart. Weight was 32 kg (slightly below the 5th centile) and blood pressure was 107/64 mm Hg. She did not have webbing of her neck, a low posterior hairline, or other stigma of Turner syndrome. The cardiac examination was normal and there was no peripheral oedema. Her metacarpals were of normal
longevity regardless of the many cell lines results in mixed gonadal dysgenesis, and apparently normal males. It is clear that the sexual determination in mosaic subjects is primarily dependent on the dominant cell type in the undifferentiated gonad, that is, 45,X leads to Turner syndrome, 46,XY to a male phenotype, and the presence of both cell lines results in mixed gonadal dysgenesis. Kocova et al. and Sugarman et al. have reported cases with a streak gonad on one side that was 45,X and negative for Y DNA by FISH and PCR, while the other gonad contained testicular elements and was positive for Y DNA by FISH and PCR. Fugimoto et al. reported monozygotic twins discordant for sex with 45,X/46,XY mosaicism.

Mosaicism involving an abnormal Y chromosome is associated with a similar pattern of wide phenotypic diversity. It is clear from studies with transgenic mice that the presence of sry alone is sufficient to initiate testicular development. Thus, the presence or absence of SRY on the abnormal Y chromosome introduces another factor leading to phenotypic diversity. Mendez et al. described the clinical, cytogenetic, endocrinological, and histopathological findings in 16 patients with mixed gonadal dysgenesis. All but one had genital ambiguity and 10 had features of Turner syndrome. While 45,X/46,XY was the most commonly observed karyotype, four patients were mosaic for 45,X and a cell line with a dicentric Y chromosome. They suggested that further knowledge of the status of the testis determining factor in these patients was necessary for an understanding of the basis for the wide variability characteristic of this disorder.

In each of the patients described in this report, a single, apparently normal 46,XY cell was found. This suggests that the karyotype of the zygote was 46,XY with an early mitotic error generating the idic(Y) that subsequently was lost from most cells generating the 45,X cell line. In our experience, DNA of a 46,XY male diluted 1 to 1000 with DNA of a 46,XX female will allow amplification of Y DNA, such as SRY and ZFY. However, such amplification was not observed with DNA from case 2. The presence of one cell in each patient with two idic(Y) chromosomes is considered to be phenotypically insignificant and probably reflects mitotic instability of cells with a structurally abnormal Y chromosome. The Turner syndrome patient described here was unambiguously female despite the presence of an idic(Y) chromosome, presumably because the abnormal Y chromosome did not contain SRY. The mixed gonadal dysgenesis patient’s phenotype is more like that of a partially virilised female with Turner syndrome. This presumably resulted from gonadal mosaicism for cells bearing the idic(Y) chromosome that contained the SRY gene.

In patients with Turner syndrome or mixed gonadal dysgenesis the presence of a normal or abnormal Y chromosome raises concerns about the potential for gonadoblastoma.
Among the large series of patients with Y chromosome aneuploidy reported by Hsu\(^6\) were 44 cases with a dicentric Y chromosome. Of these, 42 occurred in mosaicism with 45,X and two were apparently non-mosaic. Fourteen of these patients were clinically called males and none developed gonadoblastoma. Among 18 patients with Turner syndrome and 12 patients with genital ambiguity, four had gonadoblastomas.

With mosaicism for 45,X with either 46,XY or a cell line with a structurally abnormal Y, the presence of SRY may be protective against gonadoblastoma given near normal testicular development and a male phenotype. With dysgenetic gonads and a Turner syndrome or mixed gonadal dysgenesis phenotype, gonadoblastoma is more likely to occur. Studies by Tsuchiya et al., Petrovic et al., and Salo et al. suggest that the gonadoblastoma susceptibility region of the Y chromosome is a 1-2 Mb region near the Y centromere. It is not clear whether this region near the Y chromosome centromere is on Yp or Yq. The detection of Y centromeric DNA either by FISH or PCR in these patients should serve as an adequate indication for removal of the dysgenetic gonadal tissue. In patients with a Turner syndrome phenotype, the presence of genital ambiguity should be assumed probably to represent gonadal mosaicism. The absence of genital ambiguity in a patient with Turner syndrome is not a reliable indication of the lack of a second Y bearing cell line and risk for gonadoblastoma. Bisat et al.\(^8\) reported such a patient whose lymphocytes were 45,X and SRY negative but whose gonadal tissue was SRY positive. We have observed a female with classical features of Turner syndrome and no genital ambiguity whose lymphocytes were 50% 45,X and 50% 46,XY who, at 5 years of age, was found by laparoscopic surgery to have bilateral gonadoblastomas. While the two patients with idic(Y) described in this report were phenotypically different, presumably reflecting the presence and absence of SRY, both are considered as being at increased risk for gonadoblastoma.