Prader-Willi syndrome in a child with mosaic trisomy 15 and mosaic triplo-X: a molecular analysis
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Abstract
A 3.3 year old girl with Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS) and mosaicism for two aneuploidies, 47,XXX and 47,XX,+15, is presented. The triplo-X cell line was found in white blood cells and fibroblasts, the trisomy 15 cell line in 50% of the fibroblasts. Using methylation studies of the PWS critical region and by polymorphic microsatellite analysis, the existence of uniparental maternal disomy for chromosome 15 was shown in white blood cells. This provided a molecular explanation for the PWS in this child. In fibroblasts, an additional paternal allele was detected for markers on chromosome 15, which is in agreement with the presence of mosaicism for trisomy 15 in these cells. This example provides direct evidence for trisomic rescue by reduction to disomy as a possible basis for PWS. Whereas the trisomy 15 was caused by a maternal meiosis I error, the triplo-X resulted from a postzygotic gain of a maternal X chromosome, as shown by the finding of two identical paternal X chromosomes in the 47,XXX cell line. Because the triplo-X and the trisomy 15 were present in different cell lines, gain of an X chromosome occurred either in the same cell division as the trisomy 15 rescue or shortly before or after.

Case report
This girl is the first child of healthy, unrelated parents. The mother has five older, healthy children from two previous marriages. At the time of delivery, the mother was 42 years and the father 40 years old. During pregnancy, fetal movements were reduced, but there was no polyhydramnios. Birth weight at a gestational age of 38 weeks was 2140 g (10th centile=2400 g), length 41 cm (10th centile=45 cm), head circumference 31.5 cm (10th centile). The neonatal clinical findings have been reported previously. During infancy, severe hypotonia persisted. Nasogastric tube feeding was necessary until the age of 5 months, while poor feeding persisted until about 3 years of age. A perimembranous ventricular septal defect (VSD) was present, which had closed spontaneously by the age of 6 months. She has a cleft palate. The hands are short, there is obesity. The hands and feet are short; hand length is 7.4 cm (50th centile for 3 months), finger length is 3.3 cm (50th centile for 9
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Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS) is commonly caused by the absence of a paternal contribution for imprinted genes in chromosome 15q11, either through a deletion in the paternal chromosome 15q11 or through the presence of two maternal chromosomes 15, a condition called maternal uniparental disomy (UPD). One mechanism leading to maternal UPD for chromosome 15 (UPD15 mat) is the rescue of a trisomic embryo, with two maternal chromosomes 15, through the loss of the paternal chromosome 15. Several patients with PWS and mosaicism for trisomy 15 have been documented. The same mechanism has also been described for UPD involving other chromosomes, for example, in UPD2 mat, UPD9 mat, UPD11pat, and UPD16 mat. The finding of maternal heterodisomy for chromosome 15 in the majority of UPD15 mat cases, as well as a correlation with advanced maternal age, provide additional indirect evidence to support trisomy rescue as a mechanism leading to UPD in PWS.

We present a patient with features of PWS who has mosaicism for trisomy 15 and for triplo-X, present in different cell lines. This is the first patient with trisomy 15 surviving beyond infancy on whom clinical follow-up is provided. Molecular studies were undertaken to trace the events that led to this complex chromosomal aberration.

1. Nasogastric tube feeding was necessary until the age of 5 months, while poor feeding persisted until about 3 years of age. A perimembranous ventricular septal defect (VSD) was present, which had closed spontaneously by the age of 6 months. She has a cleft palate. The hands are short, there is obesity. The hands and feet are short; hand length is 7.4 cm (50th centile for 3 months), finger length is 3.3 cm (50th centile for 9

8. Several patients with PWS and mosaicism for trisomy 15 have been documented. The same mechanism has also been described for UPD involving other chromosomes, for example, in UPD2 mat, UPD9 mat, UPD11pat, and UPD16 mat. The finding of maternal heterodisomy for chromosome 15 in the majority of UPD15 mat cases, as well as a correlation with advanced maternal age, provide additional indirect evidence to support trisomy rescue as a mechanism leading to UPD in PWS.

9. We present a patient with features of PWS who has mosaicism for trisomy 15 and for triplo-X, present in different cell lines. This is the first patient with trisomy 15 surviving beyond infancy on whom clinical follow-up is provided. Molecular studies were undertaken to trace the events that led to this complex chromosomal aberration.

10. Nasogastric tube feeding was necessary until the age of 5 months, while poor feeding persisted until about 3 years of age. A perimembranous ventricular septal defect (VSD) was present, which had closed spontaneously by the age of 6 months. She has a cleft palate. The hands are short, there is obesity. The hands and feet are short; hand length is 7.4 cm (50th centile for 3 months), finger length is 3.3 cm (50th centile for 9

11. Several patients with PWS and mosaicism for trisomy 15 have been documented. The same mechanism has also been described for UPD involving other chromosomes, for example, in UPD2 mat, UPD9 mat, UPD11pat, and UPD16 mat. The finding of maternal heterodisomy for chromosome 15 in the majority of UPD15 mat cases, as well as a correlation with advanced maternal age, provide additional indirect evidence to support trisomy rescue as a mechanism leading to UPD in PWS.

12. We present a patient with features of PWS who has mosaicism for trisomy 15 and for triplo-X, present in different cell lines. This is the first patient with trisomy 15 surviving beyond infancy on whom clinical follow-up is provided. Molecular studies were undertaken to trace the events that led to this complex chromosomal aberration.
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Figure 1 Clinical features of the patient at the age of 3.3 years. (A) Note the small hands, with clinodactyly of the fifth fingers, strabismus, poor facial expression, and downturned mouth. There is no truncal obesity. (B) Detail of the facial features.

months), and foot length is 10 cm. There is clinodactyly of the fifth fingers. There are bilateral epicantthic folds and internal strabismus of the left eye. The saliva is sticky. The external genitalia are small and the anus is anteriorly placed.

Methods Routine Giemsa and T banded chromosomes were obtained from peripheral blood lymphocytes and cultured skin fibroblasts.

DNA was extracted by standard methods from peripheral white blood cells (WBC) from the patient and her parents, and from cultured skin fibroblasts from the patient. Analysis of the methylation pattern in the Prader-Willi critical region on chromosome 15q11 was done by Southern blot analysis, using the probe PW71 after digestion with HindIII and HpaII. The following probes were used to analyse restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) on the X chromosome: p1.8 (Bgl II digestion), p114.12 and XJ1.2 (Bcl I digestion), XJ2.3 and pERT 87.15 (TagI digestion). Data collection and densitometric analysis was done using a PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics).

Analysis of microsatellite markers mapping to chromosome 15 and the X chromosome was performed by means of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The relative order of the markers for chromosome 15 was: 15pter-D15S1210, D15S122, D15S165, APW, D15S123, FES, D15S107, D15S120-15qter. For the X chromosome, the relative order of analysed markers was: Xpter-DXS996, DXS999, DXS989, DMD49, DXS1068, DXS1003, DXS991, AR, DXS98, DXS990, DXS178, DXS1001, DXS425, HPRT, DXS984, DXS1227, DXS1193, factor 8-Xqter. Oligonucleotide primer sequences and map information were obtained from GDB and from Gyapay et al. One of each primer pair was fluorescently labelled (FITC, fluorescein-isothiocyanate). Genomic DNA (300 ng) was used for 30 cycles in an amplification in 50 μl of PCR mix containing 200 μmol/l dNTPs, 0.5 μmol/l each primer, and 1 U Taq polymerase (Perkin-Elmer). Cycling conditions were: one minute at 94°C, one minute at 55°C, and one minute at 72°C. All PCR products were electrophoresed on an ALF DNA sequencer (Pharmacia Biotech) and analysed using Fragment Manager software (Pharmacia Biotech). Using the described PCR conditions, the signal intensities could be interpreted in terms of number and dose of alleles.

X inactivation was measured by Southern blot analysis of a methylation sensitive RFLP at the PGK locus. Twelve μg of genomic DNA was digested with PstI and BstXI, after which the mixture was divided into two: one sample was digested further with HpaII and the other was not. Both samples were loaded onto 0.8% agarose in adjacent lanes, blotted, and the filter was hybridised with the pSPT/PGK probe, as described. The ratio of the 1.05 and 0.9 kb fragment was determined by densitometry.

Results

Karyotype analysis on peripheral WBC from two different blood samples showed a 47,XXX karyotype in all 35 cells examined. Primary skin fibroblast cultures showed 47,XXX in 15 and 47,XX,+15 in 16 cells. No cells with other karyotypic anomalies were detected.

An exclusively maternal methylation pattern in the PWS locus on chromosome 15q11 was observed in the WBC using probes PW71 and DN34 (results not shown). In skin fibroblasts a combination of a maternal and paternal pattern was detected. To distinguish between a deletion in 15q11 and UPD15 mat, eight polymorphic microsatellite markers distributed along chromosome 15 were analysed in both the parents (WBC) and the patient (WBC and fibroblasts). For three fully informative markers, D15S123, FES, and D15S107, only probe DN34 after digestion with EcoRI and HpaII. The following probes were used to analyse restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) on the X chromosome: p1.8 (Bgl II digestion), p114.12 and XJ1.2 (Bcl I digestion), XJ2.3 and pERT 87.15 (TagI digestion). Data collection and densitometric analysis was done using a PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics).
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maternal alleles were detected in the patient’s WBC, indicating the presence of maternal uniparental disomy for chromosome 15 in these cells (fig 2, table 1). The results of markers D15S210 and D15S120 are consistent with this. In the patient’s fibroblasts, an allele of paternal origin was detected for six markers (D15S210, D15S165, D15S123, FES, D15S107, and D15S120) (table 1, fig 2). The dosage of the paternal allele was lower compared to the maternal alleles, which is consistent with the observed mosaicism for trisomy 15. Two different maternal alleles were detected for five informative markers distributed along chromosome 15: D15S210, D15S122, D15S123, D15S107, and D15S120. For the other three markers, the mother was homozygous and therefore not informative. All data were fully compatible with the presence of maternal heterodisomy for chromosome 15 and, in the trisomic fibroblasts, the presence of a paternal chromosome 15.

To determine the parental origin of the additional X chromosome, 18 polymorphic microsatellite markers and five RFLPs on the X chromosome were analysed, both in the parents (WBC) and the patient (WBC and fibroblasts). Maternal heterodisomy for the X chromosome was excluded by the absence of inheritance of two different maternal alleles for 11 informative microsatellite markers (results not shown). For four informative RFLPs, the maternal allele was present in a double dose compared to the paternal allele (fig 3, results for other markers not shown). Also, for eight informative microsatellite markers, the results were consistent with a double dose of the maternal allele compared to the paternal allele (results not shown). The dose difference between the maternal and paternal alleles was less pronounced in fibroblasts, which is again fully consistent with the presence of a triplo-X karyotype in only half of the fibroblasts. This is evidence for a postzygotic event, with gain of a maternal X chromosome.

X chromosome counting is an early step in the process of X inactivation. The X inactivation pattern in this patient was studied as a means to determine the timing of this postzygotic event leading to X chromosome duplication. After digestion with a methylation sensitive restriction enzyme, the unmethylated allele(s) representing the active X chromosome(s) disappear. The father was hemizygous for the 0.9 kb allele detected with the PGK probe, whereas the mother was homozygous for the 1.05 kb allele (fig 4). In the patient X inactivation in peripheral WBC carrying the triplo-X, both the maternal and paternal X chromosomes underwent inactivation in a fraction of cells (fig 4, lane 6). Dosage analysis by densitometry showed that after digestion with a methylation sensitive restriction enzyme (fig 4, lane 6), the signal is reduced to two thirds for both alleles, suggesting that one active X chromosome is present in these cells.
Discussion

The clinical features in the present patient fit the diagnosis of PWS according to the criteria of Holm et al.13 UPD15 mat was shown in WBC, both by means of methylation analysis at the PWS critical region and by microsatellite analysis, consistent with this diagnosis. However, her phenotype was atypical, as the severity of, for instance, the mental and growth retardation and feeding difficulties was much more pronounced. Also, additional findings not commonly observed in PWS were present, including a heart defect, facial dysmorphism, and vesicoureteral reflux. These features can be attributed to the presence of a mosaic trisomy 15, found in 50% of the skin fibroblasts. Only a few liveborn patients with mosaic trisomy 15 have been reported and most die early in infancy, but nevertheless a specific phenotype is emerging, as reviewed by Milunsky et al.21 The most consistent findings are severe hypotonia with feeding difficulties, typical craniofacial dysmorphism, mild skeletal anomalies, and congenital heart disease.22 The severe hypotonia could possibly be attributed to the concomitant UPD15 mat, but more cases need to be studied to delineate the phenotype of trisomy 15 mosaicism. Truncal obesity is not yet present in our patient at the age of 3.3 years, unlike many other PWS patients at this age. This could be explained by the mosaicism for UPD15 mat, which is expected to result in a milder phenotype. Alternatively, the mosaic trisomy 15 could cause failure to thrive.

The finding in this patient of UPD15 mat in WBC, together with the mosaicism for trisomy 15 in fibroblasts (with a paternal chromosome 15 in 50% of the cells), is compatible with trisomy rescue.22 For all informative markers on chromosome 15, maternal heterodisomy was observed, which is compatible with a non-disjunction event during maternal meiosis I. Theoretically, a meiosis II error can also result in heterozygosity for chromosomal fragments, as a result of crossovers. In meiosis II errors, however, as a rule, homozygosity for the pericentromeric regions is observed, since these regions are excluded from crossovers. The most centromeric marker on chromosome 15q that was informative in the present patient was D15S210 and showed heterozygosity. A meiosis I error is thus most plausible, though one cannot exclude with certainty a meiosis II error with a crossover between marker D15S210 and the centromere. Moreover, a maternal age of 42 years for the present patient is consistent with previous reports in which an increased average maternal age was observed in mothers of patients with UPD15 mat.13,14

There are other mechanisms causing UPD, in each instance also requiring two errors.

In the present patient, a postzygotic gain of a maternal chromosome 15 is ruled out by the presence of maternal heterodisomy. Gamete complementation cannot explain the finding of a trisomy 15 cell line in the present case.

Interestingly, mosaicism for triplo-X was also observed in the present case and two other reported patients with PWS also carried a cell line with aneuploidy for a sex chromosome. The patient reported by Stallard and Sommer24 showed 45,X/47,XY,+15 mosaicism. Butler et al.25 described a female with Prader-Willi syndrome with 47,XXX/46,XX mosaicism and UPD15 mat. In this latter patient, the maternal heterodisomy for chromosome 15 indicates a maternal meiotic error, but the origin of the triplo-X was not investigated. Analysis of polymorphic markers on the X chromosome in the present patient showed the presence of two identical maternal X chromosomes in the triplo-X cell line. This is most likely the result of a postzygotic mitotic error, with mitotic non-disjunction or anaphase lag of two chromatids of a maternal X chromosome. Alternatively, a maternal meiosis II error might also lead to the presence of two identical maternal X chromosomes, but this would implicate the absence of detectable crossovers during meiosis I. This is unusual and therefore less probable. Also, in the event of a meiosis II error, an additional postzygotic correction with the loss of one X chromosome must have occurred to explain the observed mosaicism for 47,XXX/47,XX,+15.

The presence of the triplo-X cell line in all lymphocytes analysed as well as in fibroblasts suggests a correction occurring early during embryonic development, before tissue differentiation. X chromosome inactivation analysis showed that only a single X chromosome is active in the WBC, the other being inactivated. Also, the WBC had a different X inactivation pattern and involved either one of the two maternal and the paternal X chromosomes. Since the cells with the triplo-X must be clonal in origin, the normal X inactivation seen in the present patient can only be explained when X inactivation came after the error leading to the triplo-X. Normal X inactivation

Figure 4 X inactivation analysis by Southern blot of the PGK gene. DNA from WBC from the father (F), mother (M), and patient (P) was digested with PstI and BstXI. DNA in lanes 2, 4, and 6 was further digested with the methylation sensitive restriction enzyme HpaII. The father is hemizygous for the 0.9 kb allele, the mother homozygous for the 1.05 kb allele. After HpaII digestion, the 0.9 kb allele completely disappeared in the father as expected for an unmethylated, active X chromosome. In the patient, X chromosome inactivation is not abnormal, with either the maternal or paternal X chromosomes undergoing X inactivation in a fraction of cells. Dosage analysis by densitometry showed that the dose of inactivated (methylated) X chromosomes (lane 6) versus the dose of all three X chromosomes (lane 5) was 2:3. Therefore, only a single active X chromosome is present in each cell, with the remaining two being inactivated.
occurs after counting of the X chromosomes early in embryonic development. Thus, we provide evidence that the error leading to the triplo-X in this patient occurred before counting of the X chromosomes. Also, abnormal X inactivation does not play a part in the severity of the phenotype of the present child.

In conclusion, the errors leading to the two aneuploidies have occurred in a different cell division, one meiotic and the other postzygotic, and are therefore unrelated. A third error, the loss of a paternal chromosome 15, has also occurred postzygotically. This raises the possibility that both postzygotic errors, trisomy 15 rescue and gain of an X chromosome, are related and might have occurred at the same cell division. If not, in order to explain the observed mosaicism, they certainly must have occurred shortly after each other. It is not possible to distinguish between these possibilities, and more cases need to be studied to answer this question. The further study of all these patients will therefore be particularly interesting.