Features of DiGeorge syndrome and CHARGE association in five patients
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Abstract

We report on five patients presenting with features of two congenital disorders, DiGeorge syndrome (DGS) and CHARGE association. CHARGE association is usually sporadic and its origin is as yet unknown. Conversely, more than 90% of DGS patients are monosomic for the 22q11.2 chromosomal region. In each of the five patients, both cytogenetic and molecular analysis for the 22q11.2 region were normal. In view of the broad clinical spectrum and the likely genetic heterogeneity of both disorders, these cases are consistent with the extended phenotype of either DGS without 22q11.2 deletion or CHARGE association, especially as several features of CHARGE association have been reported in rare patients with 22q11.2 deletion associated phenotypes. On the other hand, these could be novel cases of an independent association involving a complex defect of neural crest cells originating from the pharyngeal pouches.

Keywords: DiGeorge syndrome; CHARGE association; chromosome 22q11.2; chromosome 10p

DiGeorge syndrome (DGS) is a frequent developmental disorder involving hypoplasia or aplasia of the thymus and parathyroids, facial dysmorphic features, and conotruncal cardiac malformation.1-7 The vast majority of DGS patients are monosomic for the 22q11.2 chromosomal region.8-13 On the other hand, CHARGE association is a rare multiple congenital malformation characterised by coloboma, heart malformation, choanal atresia, retardation of physical and mental development, genital hypoplasia, and ear anomalies/deafness.14-17 While CHARGE association is usually a sporadic event of unknown origin, rare familial cases or chromosomal anomalies have been reported.14-18-21 However, the vast majority of CHARGE patients do not have a 22q11.1.2 deletion (Theophile et al, submitted). Here, we report on five patients with characteristic anomalies of both DGS and CHARGE association. In view of the broad clinical spectrum and the genetic heterogeneity of both disorders, these cases could be consistent with the extended phenotype of either DGS or CHARGE association without a 22q11.2 deletion. Conversely, they might represent a novel multiple congenital anomalies/mental retardation (MCA/MR) syndrome involving an early embryonic defect of the neural crest and mesodermal derivatives of the third and fourth pharyngeal pouches.

Case reports

Inclusion criteria for DGS were the presence of at least three of the major features: (1) thymic hypoplasia or aplasia, (2) hypocalcaemia or hypoplasia of the parathyroids or both, (3) conotruncal cardiac malformation, and (4) dysmorphic features including short palpebral fissures, broad nasal bridge, small mouth, small, low set ears, and micrognathia. Inclusion criteria for the CHARGE association were at least four of the major features, namely, retinal coloboma, choanal atresia, retardation of physical and mental development or cerebral anomaly, genital hypoplasia in males, and ear anomalies/deafness. Velopharyngeal insufficiency, dysmorphic features (square face, shallow orbital ridges), and cranial nerve palsies were considered accessory criteria.22

PATIENT 1

Patient 1 was a boy born at term with full blown DGS including tetralogy of Fallot with atrial septal defect, thymic hypoplasia (fig 1) confirmed at necropsy, hypocalcaemia (1.67 mmol/l, normal range 2.2-2.6 mmol/l), a broad nasal bridge, and microretrognathia. T cell lymphocyte count was normal. He also had features of the CHARGE association including unilateral retinal coloboma, partial choanal atresia, small and low set ears with an absent lobe, square face, and severe feeding difficulties with poor sucking and velopharyngeal insufficiency. Other features included right renal agenesis, syndactyly of the second and third fingers, malposition of the toes, and agenesis of the 12th ribs. He died at 17 days of age.
PATIENT 2
Patient 2 was a boy born at term with DGS, including truncus arteriosus and ventricular septal defect, thymic hypoplasia confirmed during cardiac surgery, hypocalcaemia (1.39 mmol/l), broad nasal bridge, and small mouth (fig 2A). He also had CHARGE association with left retinal coloboma, right choanal atresia, genital hypoplasia with micropenis, small, undescended testes, and reduced testostere (0.08 ng/ml, normal range 1-2 ng/ml). The ears were small, low set, and asymmetrical with an absent lobe (fig 2B) and deafness confirmed by auditory evoked potentials. Unilateral facial nerve palsy and micrognathia were also observed. Additional features were a posterior cleft palate, proximally set thumbs, and malposition of the toes. Cardiac repair was performed at the age of 1 month, followed by gastrostomy. At the age of 19 months, length and weight were –2 SD and head circumference –1.5 SD. He had retarded psychomotor development and cerebral CT scan showed cortical atrophy.

Table 1 Clinical features in five patients with both DGS and CHARGE association

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypoplastic or absent thymus</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypocalcaemia</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac anomaly</td>
<td>TOF +</td>
<td>TAC +</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>HA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal coloboma</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choanal atresia</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth retardation</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental retardation</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genital anomaly</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deafness</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velopharyngeal insufficiency</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal anomaly</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal limb abnormalities</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOF: tetralogy of Fallot; ASD: atrial septal defect; TAC: ventricular septal defect; BA: bicuspid aorta; HA: hypoplastic aortic arch; ND: not determined.

Table 2 Parameters of the five children at birth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestation</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>37 wk</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>33 wk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (g)</td>
<td>2820</td>
<td>3820</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>3410</td>
<td>1680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth length (cm)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth OFC (cm)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father’s age (y)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother’s age (y)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consanguinity</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive family history</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
considered with the finding of bilateral retinal coloboma and severely dysplastic ears. She had no choanal atresia but velopharyngeal insufficiency and hydrocephalus. She died on day 13 with sepsis and hepatic abscess.

Chromosomal investigations
No abnormality was observed in any of the five children using RGH banding karyotyping. Fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) was performed on at least 20 metaphase spreads from blood lymphocytes and lymphoblastoid cells lines. Probes used for FISH were cosmid clones SC11.1 and 48F8, encompassing a 2 Mb DNA fragment in the DGS critical region. In each of the five patients and their parents, the SC11.1 and 48F8 probes were found to be not deleted.

Discussion
Here we report on five patients presenting with features of both DGS and CHARGE association. All patients had at least three of the major features of DGS: conotruncal cardiac malformation, thymic hypoplasia or aplasia, and hypocalcaemia (table 1). In addition, two patients were severely immunodeficient. Patient 3 had some dysmorphic features of DGS, namely broad nasal bridge and short palpebral fissures. None of the five patients had a 22q11.2 deletion which is found in more than 90% of cases with DGS. In addition, each of them had malformations usually found in the CHARGE association, namely coloboma (5/5), choanal atresia (3/5), hypogonadism with micropenis (2/3 boys), deafness (3/3), severe velopharyngeal insufficiency (5/5), and facial palsy (1/5).

Both DGS and CHARGE association have been ascribed to a developmental defect involving structures derived from the third and fourth pharyngeal pouches. In addition, facial anomalies and neonatal brain stem dysfunction have been attributed to a deficiency of neural crest cells derived from the first branchial arch. While only 10% of patients with DGS lack a 22q11.2 deletion, several other chromosomal anomalies have been reported in DGS, in particular monosomy 10p13 and 17p13. These data strongly support genetic heterogeneity of DGS. Moreover, DGS phenocopies have been observed in diabetic, alcoholic, and retinoid embryopathies. In contrast, the origin of CHARGE association remains unknown. The vast majority of cases are sporadic. However, the existence of several familial cases, increased paternal age at birth of the patients, and concordance in monozygotic twins have been reported, indicating that genetic factors are likely to contribute to CHARGE association.

In support of this, a small number of cytogenetic abnormalities have been observed in CHARGE patients including partial trisomy 18, unbalanced 2;18 and 3;22 translocations, duplication of 1q, trisomy 8q, and balanced 6;8 translocation. Thus, the patients reported here may represent a novel disorder or, alternatively, the variable expression of either DGS or CHARGE association. Both syndromes do have a broad

Figure 3 Patient 3. (A) Face: note broad nasal bridge, short palpebral fissures, square face, and shallow orbital ridges. (B) Profile: note small, square ear. (C) Thoracic x ray: note absent thymus.

Figure 4 Patient 4. (A) Face: note square face and shallow orbital ridges. (B) Profile: note severely dysplastic ear.
phenotypic spectrum. However, while cleft palate or limb anomalies have been described in DGS, coloboma and choanal atresia are very rare findings in DGS or 22q11.2 deletion phenotypes. 34–38 Moreover, FISH analysis excluded a 22q11.2 deletion in our patients. Alternatively, the genetic defect in our patients could involve another chromosomal region. However, neither deletion of 10p nor any other chromosomal anomaly was found in the five patients reported here. These patients could also be considered to have CHARGE association. The phenotypic spectrum of CHARGE association is broad 15–17 and some of the rare features of CHARGE were observed in our series, namely limb anomalies (3/5), renal malformation (2/5), and cleft palate (1/5). Finally, these patients might be affected with an as yet undescribed MCA/MR syndrome. In fact, DGS patients with features of CHARGE association have already been reported, suggesting a consistent congenital birth defect. 39–41 Whatever the cause of this syndrome, the absence of a family history in each of the five cases and the increased paternal age in two cases (table 2) favour the role of a de novo mutation or a subtle infraoygenetic chromosomal anomaly. In addition, we cannot exclude the possibility of genetic heterogeneity or phenocopies, and mutation screening should be undertaken in these patients once the molecular bases of DGS and CHARGE association are characterised. Finally, as far as prognosis is concerned, this new MCA/MR syndrome seems closer to CHARGE association than DGS, in particular with respect to severe feeding and respiratory problems. Two patients died during the first months of life and both neurological and psychomotor development were severely impaired in each of the survivors.
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