Identification of carriers of Duchenne/Becker muscular dystrophy by a novel method based on detection of junction fragments in the dystrophin gene
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Abstract
We developed a Southern blotting based method that uses rare cutting restriction endonucleases and electrophoresis of single stranded DNA to detect junction fragments resulting from the rearranged dystrophin gene. By conventional Southern blot hybridisation, no junction fragments were detected in 27 unrelated patients with Duchenne (DMD) or Becker (BMD) muscular dystrophy, who had 20 deletions and seven duplications in the dystrophin gene. With our new method, junction fragments were detected in 21 of these 27 patients. When the junction fragments were used as markers, five carriers were unequivocally diagnosed among six females from two families of DMD/BMD patients. This novel method allows simple and definitive identification of carriers with risk factors for DMD/BMD without using quantitative Southern blot hybridisation.
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Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD), a lethal muscle wasting disease, is allelic with Becker muscular dystrophy (BMD), a clinically similar but milder form of myopathy.1 Both DMD and BMD are X linked recessive disorders characterised by frequent de novo mutations of the dystrophin gene. Studies using Southern blot hybridisation have shown that deletions or duplications of DNA containing one or more dystrophin exons are responsible for approximately 70% of DMD/BMD cases.2-10 Female carriers of rearranged dystrophin genes can be identified by quantitative Southern blot analysis. However, because signal intensities of the hybridised bands must be measured accurately, results are occasionally ambiguous.11-13

Junction fragments produced by gross alterations of the dystrophin gene are observed in some cases. Such fragments are disease specific markers of carriers of rearranged dystrophin genes and the detection of them is less ambiguous than the analysis of gene dosage.14-13 However, because junction fragments are detected by conventional Southern blot hybridisation in only a small percentage of patients with DMD/BMD,14-13 they have not proven useful. We developed a novel Southern blotting based method to detect junction fragments. To determine whether this method can be used to identify carriers of abnormal dystrophin genes, we compared the ability of this technique and conventional Southern blotting to detect junction fragments in patients with DMD or BMD and their families.

Materials and methods
SUBJECTS
We studied 27 unrelated Japanese male patients in 27 families and six female members of two of the families. Of the 27 patients, 19 with DMD and one with BMD carried partial deletions and the remaining seven DMD patients carried partial duplications in the dystrophin gene. No junction fragments were detected in any of the patients by Southern blot hybridisation using HindIII digestion.15 Thirteen normal Japanese men were used as controls.

Figure 1. Electrophoresis of double stranded DNA fragments in 0.7% agarose gel (A) and single stranded DNA fragments in 0.4% agarose gel (B). The DNA from a male control subject was analysed by blot hybridisation using the probe of cDNA8. The single stranded DNA was denatured with glyoxal. The positions of molecular weight markers are indicated.
Figure 2  The detection of junction fragments in the dystrophin gene. The DNA of patients 21 (lane 1), 7 (lane 2), 10 (lane 3), and 9 (lane 4), and a normal male was digested with Apal (A), BstPI (B), EcoRV (C), and KpnI (D), and hybridised with the probe of cDNA8. Patient 21 had a duplication and patients 7, 10, and 9 had deletions in the region of cDNA8. The locations of molecular weight markers are indicated on the left, and junction fragments are indicated by arrows on the right.

PROBES AND RESTRICTION ENDONUCLEASES
Probes of dystrophin cDNA1-2a, 2b-3, 4-5a, 5b-7, 8, and 9-14 were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. Restriction endonucleases Apal, BstPI, EcoRV, and KpnI were used, as they are rare cutters and their recognition sites carry no CG sequences that are susceptible to methylation.

DNA PREPARATION
Genomic DNA was prepared from peripheral blood by a conventional lysis method. Briefly, anticoagulated blood was treated with a hypotonic solution (155 mmol/l NH4Cl, 10 mmol/l NH4HCO3, 1 mmol/l EDTA, pH 7.4). From the remaining cells, DNA was liberated with sodium dodecyl sulphate and proteinase K and then extracted with phenol and chloroform.

ELECTROPHORESIS OF SINGLE STRANDED DNA
DNA was digested to completion with one of the restriction enzymes and precipitated with ethanol. The DNA was then incubated at 50°C for one hour in a solution of 1.0 mol/l glyoxal and 50% (v/v) dimethyl sulphoxide to denature
the double stranded conformation and to disrupt the secondary structure.\textsuperscript{15} Five micrograms of the single stranded DNA were electrophoresed in 0.4% agarose (FastLane or SeaKem Gold Agarose, FMC) gel in 0.01 mol/l sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0.

SOUTHERN BLOT HYBRIDISATION
The electrophoresed single stranded DNA in gel was transferred to Hybond-N+ membrane (Amersham) and hybridised with $^{32}$P labelled probes of dystrophin cDNA, as described previously.\textsuperscript{10,16}

## Results

### COMPARISON OF ELECTROPHORESIS OF SINGLE AND DOUBLE STRANDED DNA
Electrophoresis of single stranded DNA had a higher ability to separate large DNA fragments, particularly those larger than 20 kb, than conventional electrophoresis (fig 1). After digestion with $ApaI$ or $KpnI$, some bands that appeared to be single bands on conventional electrophoresis were separated into double or triple bands by electrophoresis of single stranded DNA.

### DETECTION OF JUNCTION FRAGMENTS IN PATIENTS WITH DMD/BMD
After digestion with $ApaI$, $BstPI$, $EcoRV$, or $KpnI$, 22 DNA fragments of unusual length were detected by Southern blotting of single stranded DNA in 14 of 20 patients with deletions in the dystrophin gene, six by $ApaI$ digestion, eight by $BstPI$, five by $EcoRV$, and three by $KpnI$ (fig 2). Because such fragments were not observed in the DNA of control subjects, they were considered to be junction fragments. By similar analysis, 12 junction fragments were detected in the seven patients with duplications, five by $ApaI$, two by $BstPI$, one by $EcoRV$, and four by $KpnI$. The locations of these junction fragments were mapped on the dystrophin cDNA (fig 3).

### IDENTIFICATION OF CARRIERS WITH FAMILY SPECIFIC JUNCTION FRAGMENTS
We tried to identify carriers in two families by the method described above. Patients 10 and 27 carried a deletion and a duplication, respectively, in the dystrophin gene. In the family of patient 10, a 20 kb junction fragment was detected in the DNA of the proband, his

---

**Figure 3** Junction fragments of the dystrophin gene observed in the present study. The extents of deletions and duplications which had been determined in our previous study\textsuperscript{9} are shown on a map of the dystrophin cDNA. Closed bars, deletions; open bars, duplications; J, the end point of the rearranged region responsible for generating the junction fragment.
mother, sister, and female cousin (fig 4A). Thus, all of these family members were carriers of the same deletion in the dystrophin gene. In patient 27, an 8 kb junction fragment was detected in his DNA (fig 4B, lane 3). Because his mother and younger sister had similar bands in their DNA (fig 4B, lanes 1 and 4), they should have carried the same duplication in the dystrophin gene. His older sister was not a carrier, as no junction fragment was detected in her DNA (fig 4B, lane 2).

**Discussion**

Carriers of DMD/BMD can be unambiguously identified through detection of junction fragments in the dystrophin gene. We developed a Southern blotting based method that uses rare cutting restriction endonucleases and electrophoresis of single stranded DNA to detect junction fragments efficiently. Using this method, we detected junction fragments in 21 of 27 (78%) randomly selected patients with DMD/BMD who carried deletions or duplications in the dystrophin gene. In our previous study, junction fragments were detected by conventional Southern blot hybridisation in 10 of 59 (17%) patients. It is difficult to identify carriers by quantitative Southern blot analysis. In particular, for identification of carriers with partial duplications in the dystrophin gene, the intensity of hybridisation signals must be accurately determined to distinguish the band corresponding to three copies per cell from that corresponding to two copies per cell. On the other hand, junction fragments are easily identified as DNA fragments of unusual size which are generated by genetic disorders. Analysis using restriction fragment length polymorphisms is also used for carrier detection but is limited owing to genetic recombination, which is not a problem in the analysis using junction fragments.

The efficiency of detection of junction fragments can be maximised by the use of rare cutting restriction endonucleases and pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). Den Dunnen et al. reported PFGE analysis of DMD and found junction fragments in 23 out of 128 cases. PFGE is a useful technique to separate extremely large DNA fragments. However, it has disadvantages including the need for expensive specialised equipment, and more importantly the need for specially prepared high molecular weight genomic DNA samples, which usually depend on the acquisition of
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