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Abstract
We describe a case with molecular duplication of chromosome 17 (p11.2-p12) whose duplicated chromosome was inherited from a mosaic father. The patient has clinical manifestations consistent with Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 1A (CMT1A), while the mosaic father has minimal findings of CMT1A. The father was found to be homozygous with DNA markers VAW409R3A (D17S122) and p132G8RI (PMP-22) which are duplicated in CMT1A cases. Fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) analysis with YAC clone 49H7 confirmed the duplication in the affected patient and diagnosed the mosaicism in his father. These findings based on clinical diagnosis and FISH analysis suggest that the mosaicism may have occurred early in embryogenesis leading to the disease in the father. This is the only reported case of CMT1A with transmission from a mildly affected mosaic father.

(Med Genet 1995;32:483-485)

CMT1A is the most common inherited motor and sensory neuropathy. It is characterised by peroneal muscular atrophy, pes cavus, loss of deep tendon reflexes, and reduced motor nerve conduction velocities. It segregates as an autosomal dominant, fully penetrant phenotype and shows complete linkage with chromosome 17. A large submicroscopic duplication of chromosome band 17p11.2 associated with CMT1A was originally reported in 1991.12 The duplication appears to arise de novo at a relatively high frequency,2-5 with the duplicated region spanning about 1.5 Mb and containing at least three CpG islands, each suggestive of the presence of an expressed gene.6-8 Physical mapping6 and fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) studies were shown that the duplication is tandem. The 1.5 Mb region is flanked by a sequence termed CMT1A-REP, which appears to be a complex mosaic repeat of more than 17 kb. It has been proposed that CMT1A-REP might be involved in mediating an unequal crossing over event that leads to the formation of a duplication on one copy of chromosome 17 and a corresponding deletion on the other 17 homologue.9 A phenotype associated with the deletion of the same band has recently been identified as hereditary neuropathy with liability to pressure palsies (HNPP).9

The finding that peripheral myelin protein 22 (PMP22) is contained within, but not disrupted by the duplication in CMT1A, and the fact that high levels of PMP22 mRNA have been detected only in the peripheral nervous system (the affected tissue in both CMT1A and HNPP), has led to the suggestion that gene dosage may underlie these disorders.10,11 Molecular analysis of DNA markers at this critical region is the most common technique for diagnosis of duplication; however, in families not informative for these markers and in homozygous sporadic cases, a diagnosis is often difficult. Additionally, such methods would not detect the presence of a duplication in a mosaic patient. FISH analysis using probes within this region offers the potential to resolve these problems.

We report the first instance of mosaicism in CMT1A, where an affected proband has inherited the disease from a mildly affected mosaic father.

Materials and methods
SOUTHERN BLOT ANALYSIS
Genomic DNA was digested with the appropriate restriction enzymes and Southern blot was obtained as previously reported.12 DNA probes VAW409R3A (D17S122) and p132G8RI (PMP-22) were labelled with 32P-dCTP using primer extension13 and hybridised to the blot.

FISH ANALYSIS
Slides were prepared by standard cytogenetic protocols, and pretreated with RNase and pepstatin to optimise the hybridisation. A YAC clone (49H7) which spans the smallest duplication region and includes the PMP22 gene was labelled with Biotin-16-dUTP (Boehringer) by a nick translation kit (Gibco BRL). After separation of the labelled probe using Sephadex G-50 spin column, 250 ng of the probe and 300 ng Cot1 DNA were added to a hybridisation mix consisting of 50% formamide, 20% dextran sulphate, and 2×SSCP. The mixture was denatured at 80°C for 10 minutes and preincubated for 20 minutes at 37°C. The slides were denatured separately at 80°C for four minutes. Hybridisation was overnight at 37°C in a humidified chamber. Analysis of 125 interphase nuclei from the proband, his father, and a normal control was performed by counting the hybridisation signals per nucleus. Blood samples were not available from other members of the family.
Results

CLINICAL REPORT

The proband developed distal lower limb weakness at 10 years, and at the age of 19 required a right ankle arthrodesis. He noted some diminution in sensation in the feet but denied weakness or sensory loss in the upper limbs. He had a significant degree of bilateral sensorineural deafness. On examination his speech was slightly dysarthric and apart from his deafness his cranial nerves were normal. In the limbs there was no tremor, he had mild bilateral weakness of the intrinsic muscles of the hands, and marked weakness of ankle dorsiflexion. The ankle jerks were absent but knee jerks and all upper limb tendon reflexes were normal. Sensory loss was most marked in the feet and he had a painless ulcer over the head of the first left metatarsal. He had bilateral pes planus, clawing of the toes, and palpable enlargement of the common peroneal nerves. Electrophysiologically, the median motor nerve conduction velocity was 33 m/second, the median sensory action potential (MSAP) was 5 μV, and the ulnar sensory action potential (USAP) was 2 μV. His lateral popliteal motor conduction could not be measured as extensor digitorum brevis was denervated. His father had clawing of the toes from childhood and had been noted to be clumsy.

However, he was aware of increasing unsteadiness of gait from the age of 40 and some difficulty with coordination in the upper limbs from the age of 50. Neurological examination did not show any weakness in the lower limbs and all his tendon reflexes were intact. He had minimal sensory impairment in the feet, and had bilateral pes planus. Electrophysiological examination showed a normal median motor conduction velocity (54 m/second), a mild drop of the ulnar conduction velocity (46 m/second), and a significant slow sural sensory nerve conduction velocity (22 m/second). His ulnar sensory action potential was absent, and his median sensory action potential was 6 μV.

SOUTHERN BLOT ANALYSIS

The proband and his parents were homozygous for allele 1 with probe p132G8RI. However, with probe VAW409R3A the proband had two copies of allele 2 and one copy of allele 1, his father was homozygous for allele 2, while his mother was homozygous for allele 1.
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Discussion

The use of FISH with the YAC clone 49H7 that lies within the duplicated region in CMT1A has resulted in the detection of a mosaic case for this disorder. A mildly affected father of an affected son with CMT1A was shown to have a duplication of 17p in 47.6% of interphase nuclei examined from peripheral blood. The mutation event most likely arose de novo during early embryonic development owing to misalignment of the sister chromatids of one chromosome 17, which can result in unequal crossing over, leading to duplicated progeny in the father. The Southern blot analysis with probe VAW409R3A in this family clearly suggested that the proband had inherited two copies from the father and one copy from the mother. These results indicate that the proband is unlikely to have a de novo duplication. The new mutations for CMT1A duplication appear to be predominantly of paternal origin. The mechanism that underlies this mutation, in contrast to the present case, might be unequal non-sister chromatid exchange during spermatogenesis.

Examination of the proband's father showed minimal clinical findings. His electrophysiological findings are clearly abnormal in sural sensory and ulnar motor conduction but within normal limits of median motor conduction. This clinical picture will fit in with his being a mosaic.

Somatic mosaicism is an important cause of phenotype modification resulting in variation in the clinical expression of an inherited trait or disorder, with the proportion of affected cells in the expressing tissue(s) of the person concerned clearly being an important factor in determining phenotypic severity. Mosaicism for a specific gene mutation may be confined to somatic cells or the germline, or be present in both, depending on the developmental stage at which the lesion occurred. Germline mosaicism provides an explanation for the inheritance pattern in cases where multiple affected offspring are born to clinically and phenotypically normal parents. Moreover, if the germline of an affected subject is also involved, subsequent progeny may be at risk of developing a much more severe phenotype, for example, as in cases of osteogenesis imperfecta and Smith-Magenis syndrome.14 15

The possibility of undetected mosaicism has been suggested in a CMT1 family.16 Mosaicism for deletion within this region of chromosome 17 has been previously reported.15 In this family, the mildly affected mother of a proband with Smith-Magenis syndrome associated with a visible deletion of 17p11.2-p12 was also found to have the deletion in 55% of cells examined. Our findings show the potential importance of using FISH as a first line diagnostic test for CMT1A, and at present the technique would appear to be the only way of detecting mosaicism in the disease. The result also raises the issue of whether parents of sporadic CMT1A cases should be tested by FISH to exclude the possibility of mosaicism.
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FISH ANALYSIS

Analysis of R-banded metaphases of the normal control showed that the YAC clone 49H7 mapped to the CMT1A specific region (17p11.2-p12). Fig 1 shows hybridisation of Y49H7 in the mosaic father. FISH analysis of interphases from a normal control showed the following distribution of signals: one signal in 3.5% of nuclei, two signals in 90%, and three signals in 6.5%. A comparative FISH analysis of a normal control, the mosaic father, and the duplicated patient is illustrated in fig 2.