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Abstract
We describe an extended pedigree in which second cousins are affected with cystic fibrosis (CF). Since the degree of relationship of the affected subjects is 1/32, common descent of one CF mutation was expected when the DNA laboratory undertook mutation analysis. Mutation testing showed that each CF mutation was introduced into the family by random mating and not by descent through a common ancestor. Implications for pedigree analysis and DNA testing are discussed.


When more than one lineage of a family exhibits the same genetic disease, the most likely explanation is inheritance of one allele from a common ancestor. Even in disorders such as CF, where the carrier frequency is quite high (approximately 1 in 25 in white populations), cousins are most likely to be carriers by common descent. We describe a large Italian pedigree with affected second cousins that is unusual in that no common ancestor is a CF carrier. This pedigree illustrates how expectations regarding carrier status based on pedigree analysis could potentially lead to an inaccurate assessment of carrier risk.

The affected people are two brothers (IV-1 and IV-2) and their second cousin (IV-3) (figure). There are no other affected members of the family. Twenty-two family members underwent mutation analysis over a one year period as part of an institutional research protocol. Mutation testing was performed by standard methods and included evaluation of the following mutations: ΔF508, G551D, G542X, R553X, and S549N. One subject (III-11) was referred to the Kleberg DNA Diagnostic Laboratory, Baylor College of Medicine, where testing for 22 mutations was performed.

The first family members tested, IV-1 and IV-2, are both homozygous for ΔF508. The mother (III-2) is a AF508 carrier, and the father (III-1) was not available for testing. III-7 and III-8, the parents of the affected cousin, were tested next. (The affected cousin, IV-3, was not referred for DNA testing). Since III-7 is the first cousin of III-2, both were expected to carry the same mutation (ΔF508). Instead, III-7 was found to carry the G551D mutation,
while III-8 carries ΔF508. At the time, a sample swap involving specimens from III-7 and III-8 was suspected, and repeat specimens were requested. Repeat analysis of the new samples confirmed the original results.

Study of additional family members elucidated the segregation of CF mutations in this family. Brothers II-3 and II-4 presumably are not CF carriers, but both married CF carriers (II-2 and II-5 carry AF508 and G551D, respectively). These constitute random matings, since the two women not only carry different mutations, but are of different ethnic backgrounds. CF mutations were passed along to III-2 and III-7 (parents of the affected subjects), as well as to at least one other member of each sibship. III-2 and III-7 both married ΔF508 carriers. Finally, both matings resulted in the birth of offspring affected with CF.

This family illustrates an unlikely pathway of CF inheritance. The probability of III-2 and III-7 both being carriers as a result of descent of a CF mutation from a common ancestor is 1/8 (degree of relationship of first cousins). Given that their CF mutations were not inherited by common descent, the probability of both being carriers can be calculated as follows: 1/25 × 1/2 × 1/25 × 1/2 = 1/2500, where 1/25 is the probability of each father mating with a CF carrier and 1/2 is the probability of a CF mutation being passed from a carrier to her offspring. Thus, the probability of first cousins being CF carriers is 312 times less likely to arise through random matings than through common descent. In this family, assumptions based on the more likely segregation pattern could have led to inaccurate assessment of carrier status in at risk people.

It is generally agreed that people with a family history of cystic fibrosis should be offered carrier testing.\(^4\) However, there is no consensus CF mutation panel to be used in all carrier screening.\(^5\) Given the relative frequency of CF mutations in the general population, family members at risk of being carriers of a particular CF mutation need to be counselled regarding the risk that other mutations may be segregating within the family. This family study illustrates the importance of careful pedigree analysis and comprehensive mutation testing.
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