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Abstract
Epidemiological data and family studies in autism show that there is a genetic susceptibility factor in the aetiology of this syndrome. We carried out an association study in infantile autism. Two markers of the homeogene EN2 involved in cerebellar development were tested in a population of 100 autistic children and in a population of 100 control children. With the MP4 probe showing a PowII polymorphism, significant differences between the two populations were found ($\chi^2=7.99$, df=1, p<0.01). With the MP5 probe showing an SstI polymorphism, no difference appeared ($\chi^2=1.17$, not significant). Several clinical examinations allowed us to characterise the autistic children. Most of them had high scores for autistic behaviour and language disorders but low scores for neurological syndromes. Two children had a significant family history and six children had confirmed syndromes or diseases of genetic origin. Discriminant analysis between clinical and molecular data did not give significant results.

These preliminary results must be supported by further analyses of this gene and by studies of its potential involvement in the pathophysiology of the autistic syndrome.


Infantile autism, first described by Kanner in 1943, is an early behavioural disorder principally characterised by an incapacity for verbal or non-verbal communication and by a deficit in emotional relations. The aetiology of this syndrome is still unknown but is probably multifactorial.2 Autism is defined by the international classification DSM III-R3 as a pervasive developmental disorder.

The involvement of the central nervous system (CNS) and of its development in the pathophysiology of autism has been studied for several decades. The symptoms observed in this syndrome may involve different cerebral structures.4 Several authors have suggested disturbances in the CNS, particularly in the connections of the brainstem, of the cerebellum,5-8 and in the connections of the limbic system.5-11 Other studies have also considered the involvement of the cortical association area, including the frontal lobes, and neural connections within and between brain regions.12-14 More recently, a cerebellar hypothesis has been proposed: the cerebellum controls motility15 and regulation of perception.16 In 1988 Courchesne et al17 reported hypoplasia of lobules VI and VII of the cerebellar vermis in 14 autistic subjects. Histological studies of the cerebellum have also shown abnormalities, the characteristics of which suggest that they are the consequence of a curtailment of the development of portions of the limbic system and cerebellar circuits.17-19 These results indicate the possibility of mutations of genes involved in the development of these structures.

Results of epidemiological and family studies in autism have shown that there is a genetic susceptibility factor in this syndrome and a significant sex difference was observed with a pooled male-female ratio of approximately 3:1.20 Moreover, the prevalence of autism was greater in sibs when one child was already affected.21 Finally, twin studies showed that concordance rates for monozygotic twins were greater than for dizygotic twins.22-24 Association and linkage studies in autism with several markers did not give convincing results. However, the possible association of two markers of the c-Harvey-ras oncogene with autism has been identified.25,26

These various data encourage consideration of developmental genes of the CNS, and the genes with homebox look particularly interesting.27 These genes encode for a basic homeoprotein which has the property of binding DNA. Therefore the products of homeogenes may have a role in the regulation of the expression of other genes. More precisely, the homeobox peptides might regulate important events in the morphological differentiation of the postmitotic neurone.28 The “engrailed” and “inverted” homeogenes are segmentation genes in Drosophila and have homologous genes in the mouse, En-1 and En-2. These two genes are expressed in the cerebellum of fetal and adult mice.29,30 Moreover, abnormal foliation was observed in the adult cerebellum of a mouse in which the En-2 gene had been partly deleted.31 Human homologous EN-1 and EN-2 genes are located on chromosome 7 (q36-qter) and on chromosome 2 (q13-q21) respectively.32,33 In this association study with 100 autistic and 100 control children, two probes of the EN-2 gene were used. The first probe, MP4, is located within the 5′ region of the EN-2 gene.34 The second probe, MP5, is located within the homeobox and ex-
tends to the end of the 3' region. The precise location of these two RFLPs is still unknown.

Methods

Subjects

The children in the control and patient groups were white and of western or central French descent.

Forty-five of the 100 autistic children were day patients from the Child Psychiatry Day Care Unit of the Centre Hospitalier Regional Universitaire (CHRU) of Tours (France). The others came from several other care units and had two days of intensive examination in order to confirm their diagnosis of autism and to undergo further examinations. All selected children showed marked autistic behaviour including lack of responsiveness to other people, poor social interaction, language impairment, bizarre responses to the environment, and stereotyped sensorimotor activity. Children under 3 years ignored people, had no social smile, and no appropriate expressive gestures and postures. They were either too calm or over-excited and began to have stereotyped behaviour. The children were all unrelated (34 girls and 66 boys) with a mean age of 7 years 4 months (range 2-16 years) at the time of sampling. Informed parental consent was obtained for all children.

The control group (46 girls and 54 boys; mean age 8 years 2 months) comprised 100 unrelated children with no known neurological dysfunction or treatment. These children were chosen from normal local school populations and matched the subjects with autism for chronological age. They remained anonymous. All the children had normal educational achievement for age.

The protocol of blood sampling and DNA study was approved by a local ethics committee.

Clinical Assessments

Each autistic child received an extensive evaluation, including a detailed developmental history using questionnaires, a videotaped psychiatric assessment, psychological and linguistic testing, paediatric and neurological examination, and audiological assessment. Examinations were carried out by a professional team of child psychiatrists and a child psychologist, language pathologist, neurologist, and paediatrician, all expert in dealing with autistic children. A diagnosis of infantile autism was reached only if all, or all but one of the six members of the team, agreed that the child's condition met all the criteria of early infantile autism listed in the DSM III-R. These criteria included qualitative impairment in reciprocal and social interaction, qualitative impairment in communication and imaginative activity, and a markedly restricted repertoire of activities and interests. They were evaluated after semi-structured interview of their parents and after all examinations had been performed.

Autistic behaviour, cognitive disorders, neurological syndromes, and language disorders were studied. The signs of autism were evaluated on the basis of a thorough clinical examination and on a synthesis largely based on the terminology of the DSM III-R classification. The cognitive disorders were evaluated with a complete psychological examination, using the Brunet-Lezine psychomotor development test (French adaptation of Gesell and Amatrudas Scale). The degree of retardation was scored using the DSM III-R criteria. (DQ>70 = very mild or no retardation, 69>DQ>50 = mild retardation, 49>DQ>35 = moderate retardation, 34>DQ>20 = severe retardation, 20>DQ = profound retardation). The neurological syndromes were evaluated with a complete neurological examination, EEG, brain mapping, scanning, and brain imaging methods. Scores, using a grid, included the intensity of neurological syndromes and any associated epileptic disorders. Language disorders were evaluated with a complete speech test examination and an evaluation scale of verbal and pre-verbal communication. Each of these four evaluations were rated from none (1) to profound (5) for the 100 autistic children.

Clinical evaluation was completed by using a behaviour rating scale (Behavior Summarized Evaluation: BSE scale) which supplies information on the current behaviour of the child. It consists of 29 items rated on a five point scale ranging from never (1) to always (5). Day patients from the Child Psychiatry Day Care Unit of the CHRU of Tours were rated once a week by two independent observers who conferred on the weekly ratings to produce the final score. These two observers were nurses who had known the children for several months and who had daily contact with them. The other children were rated during two days by two independent observers, expert in evaluating autistic children. For the children under 3 years old, a scale very similar to the BSE scale, the Infant Behaviour Summarized Evaluation Scale (I-BSE), was used. Sauvage, Gillberg, and Dahlgren and Gillberg showed that infants were already impaired in several areas such as social relations, communication, and motor function. All these children were allowed in our Department. Today, they are over 3 years and the diagnosis of autism was confirmed in each case.

Genetic factors were evaluated on the basis of a double evaluation: evaluation of family history and genetic somatic signs through a thorough clinical examination. Family history was rated from (1) to (5); an autistic child with score 1 has no family history and a child with score 5 has at least two relatives with mental retardation or psychiatric disorders or both. Evaluation of genetic somatic signs was rated from none (1) to confirmation of genetic disease (5). Detailed descriptions are given in table 1.

For each autistic child, fragile X syndrome was sought by Southern blotting using probe StB12.3.

DNA Studies

Genomic DNA was extracted from EDTA anticoagulated blood. The polymorphism for
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Table 1 Genetic evaluation performed on the rating of family history and clinical examination used for the 100 autistic children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family history</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Probable</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Types of relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous abortion/stillborn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental retardation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific developmental disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others (neuro, genet, other diseases)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical examination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical examination is negative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated peculiarity (morphology . . .)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysmorphology/malformations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A genetic disease is evoked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmation of a genetic disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Genetic factors are

1. Absent
2. Arguable
3. Possible
4. Probable
5. Sure

the MP4 and MP5 probes34,35 was analysed by Southern blotting.35 With the MP4 probe, PvuII identifies one invariant band at 1-4 kb and a simple two allele polymorphism with a band at either 1-2 kb (allele 1) or 1-0 kb (allele 2). With the MP5 probe, SstI identifies a simple two allele polymorphism with a band at 11 kb (allele 1) and 6-8 kb (allele 2). These DNA probes were provided by A J Goyens.

STATISTICAL METHODS
The allele frequency was calculated by counting the number of alleles of each type and expressing each allele frequency as a proportion of the total number of alleles typed. The \( \chi^2 \) test was used in statistical analyses. Because two \( \chi^2 \) tests were carried out (MP4 and MP5 probes), we applied Bonferroni's multiple comparison procedure. A significance level of 0-025 was used (that is, 0-05/2).

A discriminant analysis was performed using a stepwise variable selection procedure. Intragroup comparisons using Kruskal-Wallis one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed. SPSS subprograms were used.

Results
CLINICAL RESULTS
The distribution of scores for autistic behaviour, cognitive disorders, neurological syndromes, and language disorders in the population of 100 autistic children were as follows: 76 children had high scores (3, 4, or 5) for autistic behaviour, 75 for cognitive disorders, and 90 for language disorders. Seventy-four children scored 1 or 2 for neurological disorders.

The mean and the standard errors of the mean of ratings with the BSE scale for the autistic group are given in table 2. Higher scores were found for items related to autistic symptoms, for example, item 3 (3-63), item 5 (3-76), item 6 (3-28), item 8 (3-68), item 9 (3-33), item 12 (3-02), item 14 (3-41), item 23 (3-57), item 26 (3-29), and item 28 (3-36).

Evaluation of family history showed 42 children with no known family history (score 1) and 47 children with mild disorders or distant relatives (scores 2 and 3). Nine children had a family history of mental retardation or psychiatric disorders or both among parents or close relatives (score 4). Two children had a score of 5 because there was mental retardation in parents and close relatives. The clinical examination for genetic signs showed 49 children with completely negative results (score 1) and 38 children with isolated peculiarity, dysmorphology, or malformations (scores 2 and 3). Seven children probably had genetic disease (score 4); signs of Cohen syndrome, FG syndrome, Marfan syndrome, Rett syndrome, arginosuccinic acid synthetase deficiency, congenital agenesis of the corpus callosum, and familial encephalopathy of unknown aetiology.

Six children had proven genetic disease (score 5). One child was XXY male and the other five children had Angelman syndrome, FG syndrome, Waardenburg syndrome, fra(X) syndrome, and San Filippo syndrome (mucopolysaccharidosis III) respectively. Only one child belonged to the two groups with score 5 for family history and for genetic somatic signs.

Table 2 Clinical profile performed with the Behaviour Summarised Evaluation (BSE) scale for the autistic group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aloneness</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>0-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignores people</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>0-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor social interaction</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>0-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal eye contact</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>0-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not make an effort to communicate using voice/words</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>0-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of appropriate facial expressions and gestures</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>0-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereotyped vocal or verbal utterances, echolalia</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of initiative, poor activity</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>0-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inappropriate relating to inanimate objects or to doll</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>0-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irresistible/rutual use of objects</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>0-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intolerance of change and frustration</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>0-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereotyped sensorimotor activity</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>0-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agitation, restlessness</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>0-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bizarre posture and gait</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>0-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoaggressiveness</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heteroaggressiveness</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild anxiety signs</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>0-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood difficulties</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>0-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disturbances of feeding behaviour</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not try to be clean (toots or urin), plays with stools</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>0-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual bodily activities</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep problems</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable attention, easily distracted</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>0-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bizarre responses to auditory stimuli</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>0-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variability</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>0-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not imitate the gestures or voices of others</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>0-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child too floppy, lifeless</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not share emotion</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>0-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradoxical sensitivity to touching and contact</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>0-13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GENETIC RESULTS
Allele frequencies, \( \chi^2 \) values, and genotype counts in the patients and the controls for the two markers are shown in table 3. Significant differences in the allele distributions between the two populations were shown by \( \chi^2 \) analysis using the MP4 probe (\( \chi^2 = 7-99, df=1, p<0-01 \)) whereas no difference was shown with the MP5 probe (\( \chi^2 = 1-17, df=1, p \) not significant). After the Bonferroni correction was applied, the allele distributions for the MP4 probe in the autistic children were still statistically different from those in the control group (\( p<0-025 \)). The number of each genotype observed in the control group did not differ significantly from the values expected according to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (MP4 probe: \( \chi^2 = 2-56, df=2, p=0-05 \); MP5 probe: \( \chi^2 = 3-31, df=2, p=0-05 \)).
The same result was observed for the autistic group (MP4 probe: $\chi^2=0.20$, df=2, $p>0.05$; MP5 probe: $\chi^2=0.85$, df=2, $p>0.05$).

No significant difference in genotype counts was observed between heterozygote and homozygote in each population for the two probes (MP4 probe: $\chi^2=0.08$, df=1, NS; MP5 probe: $\chi^2=1.04$, df=1, NS). There was a tendency for the 2-2 genotype to be more common in the patients than controls for the MP4 probe ($\chi^2=7.57$, df=1, $p<0.01$) but not for probe MP5 ($\chi^2=0.51$, df=1, NS).

### Relationship between clinical and genetic results

The distribution of the MP4 probe was analysed according to family history, genetic somatic signs, results of clinical examinations, and the intensity of scores in each of these categories. The same difference in allele distribution was always observed between the normal and the autistic population whatever the scores in family history: if children with score 5 were excluded from the comparison, the difference remained ($\chi^2=6.07$, df=1, $p<0.02$). When the children with score 5 for somatic genetic signs were excluded, the $\chi^2$ value was less significant ($\chi^2=4.87$, df=1, $p<0.05$).

As most of the children had high scores in autistic behaviour and low scores in neurological disorders, our sample could be divided into three groups according to their cognitive functioning: group I (25 children) with mild impairment (score 1 and 2), group II (31 children) with moderate impairment (score 3), and group III (44 children) with severe impairment (scores 4 and 5). There was no significant difference between clinical groups I, II, and III for allele distribution. If we considered the three groups of patients (table 3) determined by their genotype for the MP4 probe (homozygous 1-1: 14 children, homozygous 2-2: 42 children, and heterozygous 1-2: 44 children), no more significant difference appeared between these three groups for clinical data. The three “genotypical” groups did not correspond to the three “clinical” groups.

Moreover, discriminant analysis was performed between the three “genotypical” groups and the scores for autistic behaviour, cognitive syndrome, neurological syndromes, and language disorders. None of the scores for each of four evaluations allowed discrimination of the three genotypes. We also compared the allele distribution for each evaluation between children with scores 1, 2, and 3 and those with scores 4 and 5. The results were not significant (autistic behaviour: $\chi^2=0.55$, NS; cognitive disorders: $\chi^2=1.9$, NS; neurological syndromes: $\chi^2=1.17$, NS; language disorders: $\chi^2=0.15$, NS).

Discriminant analysis between the three genotypes was also performed on the items of the BSE scale. Item 19 (disturbance of feeding behaviour) allowed discrimination between the three genotypes. This result was confirmed by the Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric test ($\chi^2=13.25$, $p=0.013$).

### Discussion

The clinical data obtained with the BSE scale and the evaluation of autistic behaviour, cognitive disorders, neurological syndromes, and language disorders confirmed the diagnosis of autism in the patient population according to DSM III-R. Relevant somatic genetic signs scored 4 and 5 were present in 13 of these 100 children. Nilsson and Gillberg and Wahlin et al. found three cases of XXY males among 55 autistic children. San Filippo syndrome has been associated with mental retardation in a case report. We could not find any association of Cohen syndrome, Waardenburg syndrome, Marfan syndrome, Angelman syndrome, or FG syndrome (which is an X linked syndrome) with autism in published reports, nor association between autism and argininosuccinic acid synthetase deficiency.

Among the 100 autistic children in our study, only one child had fra(X) syndrome detected by molecular biological methods. The percentage of fra(X) subjects identified among autistic populations varies considerably according to different studies. Finally, one autistic girl in our population had suspected Rett syndrome. The principal common feature between Rett and autistic syndromes is the existence of stereotypic behaviours. However, these two syndromes have a distinct evolution in time and the results of studies by Olsson and Rett show important differences between them.

Eleven percent of families of autistic children in our study have mental retardation or psychiatric disorders or both in parents or close relatives. Aggregation of cognitive disorders and severe social deficits in the families and relatives of autistic probands has been described. Several studies have reported the presence of particular psychiatric disorders in the families of autistic subjects. Recurrent major affective disorder is also more common in the parents of autistic probands, although the difference compared with control subjects does not reach statistical significance.

In this association study we show that allele 2 of the MP4 probe is significantly associated with the autistic population. Homogeneity of the two populations was confirmed by the fact that the observed genotype distribution was close to the values expected on the basis of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. For the validation of these preliminary results, such a study must be repeated on control and autistic populations from other homogeneous ethnic
groups. The preponderance of allele 2 for the MP4 probe in the autistic population, if it is checked, could show that the RFLP of the EN-2 gene is related to or is in linkage disequilibrium with a genetic factor that has an effect on the pathophysiology of autism. This gene is closely related to the Drosophila segment gene "engrailed" and to the corresponding mouse gene required for the development of parts of the CNS shown by gene expression studies during mouse embryogenesis. This gene is therefore a good candidate in the genetic study of this syndrome considered to involve brain developmental aspects. It is necessary to know its precise expression in the human cerebellum and its function in the foliation of this structure. Finally, absence of linkage disequilibrium between markers MP4 and MP5 could direct the research of the definite location of the locus involved to the EN-2 gene.

Dividing the overall group of 100 autistic children into subgroups according to clinical data did not give more definite information. The preponderance of allele 2 in the autistic population is not as significant when the patients with high somatic genetic scores are removed. However, the several genetic syndromes present in this subgroup have no known relationship with the EN-2 gene. Discriminant analysis of the items of the BSE scale between the three genotypes given by the MP4 probe sets item 19 apart. This item (Disturbance of feeding behaviour) is scored in many autistic children. Finally, the comparison between clinical and genetic results shows no obvious relationship between particular genotype and clinical profile.

From now on, cerebral imaging data look promising for defining other subgroups. Reversed cerebral asymmetry and enlargement of the lateral and third ventricles have been shown in autistic subjects by CT scanning. In vivo approaches to brain function by positron emission tomography (PET) and single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) are now available. In vivo studies of the cerebellum with anatomical and functional imaging methods such as magnetic resonance imaging, PET, or SPECT scans should specify the potential abnormalities in this structure. Exchanges between neuroanatomical and molecular biological research could provide further information on the choice of candidate genes for genetic studies in autism.
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