Prenatal diagnosis from maternal blood: simultaneous immunophenotyping and FISH of fetal nucleated erythrocytes isolated by negative magnetic cell sorting
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Abstract
Fetal nucleated cells in the maternal circulation constitute a potential source of cells for the non-invasive prenatal diagnosis of fetal genetic abnormalities. We have investigated the use of the Magnetic Activated Cell Sorter (MACS) for enriching fetal nucleated erythrocytes. Mouse monoclonal antibodies specific for CD45 and CD32 were used to deplete leukocytes from maternal blood using MACS sorting, thus enriching for fetal nucleated erythrocytes which do not express either of these antigens. However, significant maternal contamination was present even after MACS enrichment preventing the accurate analysis of fetal cells by interphase fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH). To overcome this problem, we used simultaneous immunophenotyping of cells with the mouse antifetal haemoglobin antibody, UCHy, combined with FISH analysis using chromosome X and Y specific DNA probes. This approach enables selective FISH analysis of fetal cells within an excess of maternal cells. Furthermore, we have confirmed the potential of the method for clinical practice by a pilot prospective study of fetal sex in women referred for amniocentesis between 13 and 17 weeks of gestation. (J Med Genet 1993;30:1051–6)

There have been a number of reports showing the presence of nucleated cells of fetal origin in the maternal circulation during pregnancy. Although these cells are rare, they have generated great interest as a potential source of fetal cells for the non-invasive prenatal diagnosis of genetic abnormalities. Various fetal cell types (trophoblasts, erythrocytes, and leucocytes) cross the placenta and circulate within maternal blood. The cell type which appears to offer the most potential for prenatal diagnosis is the fetal nucleated erythrocyte as fetal lymphocytes may persist from previous pregnancies and syncytiotrophoblast may not reflect the fetal genome. Fetal nucleated erythrocytes have been isolated from maternal blood during pregnancy using monoclonal antibodies and flow sorting and have been detected as early as 11 weeks of gestational age.

Analysis of fetal nucleated erythrocytes sorted from maternal blood generally has been restricted to the determination of fetal sex by use of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with Y specific primers. More recently, fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) has been used for the detection of aneuploidy in flow sorted fetal cells. Trisomy 21 was found in this way in blood samples taken from pregnant women either after or, in one case, before chorionic villus sampling (CVS). However, the main problem with this approach is that the majority of the nucleated cells analysed are of maternal origin even after FACS sorting. Therefore, the diagnosis of fetal aneuploidy, which relies on the detection of abnormal numbers of hybridisation signals, is insensitive in these samples owing to the high level of normal maternal cell contamination and the inability to distinguish fetal cells from maternal cells.

We have investigated the use of the Magnetic Activated Cell Sorter (MACS) for enriching fetal nucleated erythrocytes. Leucocyte common antigen, CD45, is present on the cell surface of all mature human leucocytes and CD32 is present on mature granulocytes. Neither of these antigens appears to be expressed on nucleated erythrocytes. We have used mouse monoclonal antibodies to these antigens and MACS sorting to enrich fetal nucleated erythrocytes from maternal leucocytes by negative selection. The isolated cells have then been analysed by using fluorescence immunophenotyping with an antibody specific for fetal haemoglobin (Hb F) simultaneously with FISH. In this way, fetal cells are identified by the presence of Hb F and sexed using X and Y specific probes hybridised to these nuclei. The ability to focus the diagnostic procedure exclusively on cells of fetal origin represents a substantial advance on previous techniques.

Materials and methods
BLOOD SAMPLES AND CELL PREPARATION
Peripheral blood (20 ml) was drawn into heparinised tubes from pregnant women before amniocentesis at between 13 and 17 weeks of gestational age. The blood was diluted 1:2 in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Step gradients were prepared by pipetting 5 ml of a mixture of Histopaque-1119 and Histopaque-1077 (Sigma, Poole, UK) in the ratio of 3:1 (density of approximately 1109) into a 50 ml centrifugation tube and overlaying...
with 5 ml of Histopaque-1077. The diluted blood was overlayered onto the Histopaque-1077 and then spun at 800 g for 30 minutes at room temperature. Cells at the plasma/Histopaque-1077 and Histopaque-1077/Histopaque-1109 interfaces and the complete Histopaque-1077 layer were removed into a fresh tube and washed once with PBS, once with PBS/BSA/azide (0·1% bovine serum albumin, 0·01% sodium azide in PBS), and then resuspended in PBS/BSA/azide at 10^6 cells per 100 μl. The cells were sorted within four to six hours of peripheral blood sampling. Newborn umbilical cord blood samples (obtained from the delivery unit at Rosie Maternity Hospital) and blood samples from normal male and female adults were prepared similarly and used to develop the methods and to act as controls.

**ANTIBODY STAINING PROCEDURES**

Isolated cells were incubated on ice for 25 minutes with 10 μl/10^6 cells of mouse IgG2a anti-CD45 (Serotec Ltd, Kidlington, UK) and 2 μl/10^6 cells of mouse IgG2a anti-CD32 (Serotec Ltd). The cells were washed in PBS/BSA/azide, resuspended at 10^7 cells per 80 μl of PBS/BSA/azide, and incubated at 6 to 12°C for 15 minutes with 20 μl/10^6 cells of magnetic microbeads conjugated to rat antimouse IgG2a antibody (Miltenyi Biotec GmbH). The stained cells were washed with PBS/BSA/azide once, resuspended in 2 ml of PBS/BSA/azide, and then immediately separated by MACS.

**MAGNETIC CELL SORTING**

Cells were separated by MACS (Miltenyi Biotec GmbH) using columns of type A1 or A2 according to the binding capacity of the column, as recommended by the manufacturer. The flow through the separation column is regulated by the gauge (G) of a disposable needle used at the outlet. Before use, columns were filled with 70% ethanol by injection from the bottom, washed with PBS/BSA (0·5% BSA in PBS), incubated at room temperature with PBS/BSA for 15 to 30 minutes to saturate non-specific binding sites, and then flushed with ice cold PBS/BSA/azide. The labelled cells were applied to the top of the column placed within the permanent magnet of the MACS separator (Miltenyi Biotec GmbH) and negative cells eluted by washing with three column volumes of PBS/BSA/azide at a flow speed of approximately 1·5 ml/min (22G needle). To increase the absolute recovery of negative cells, retained cells were processed a second time at a higher flow rate. The column was removed from the MACS separator and the bound cells flushed to the top of the stemcell matrix by injection of PBS/BSA/azide from the bottom. The column was replaced into the MACS separator and the cells passed through the column at a flow speed of approximately 3·5 ml/min (21G needle). The column was washed with 5 to 10 column volumes of PBS/BSA/azide and the two fractions of eluted cells pooled.

**SLIDE PREPARATION AND IMMUNOPHENOTYPING**

Mouse IgG1 antifetal haemoglobin antibody (UCHy), which binds specifically to fetal erythrocytes, was used to immunophenotype the isolated cells. The specificity of the UCHy was tested on direct smears of cord blood and normal adult blood diluted 1 to 4 in PBS.

Preparations for microscopy were made using a cytocentrifuge (Shandon Southern, Runcorn, UK) from the cells isolated by MACS from maternal blood and from control samples. Cells from cord blood were used as positive controls, and maternal cells before MACS separation were used as negative controls. The slides were air dried overnight, fixed in 2% formaldehyde in PBS at room temperature for 10 minutes, rinsed in Tris buffered saline (TBS) for two minutes twice, and washed in a TBS bath at room temperature for 10 minutes with magnetic stirring.

The staining procedures are modified from the method described by Cordelli & et al. Slides were incubated in 10% normal goat serum (Sigma) in PBS at room temperature for 20 minutes, and then a 10% UCHy culture supernatant, diluted 1:80 in TBS containing 10% normal goat serum, was added to each slide and incubated at room temperature for 60 minutes in a humidified chamber. After washing the slides in TBS as before, 30 μl of goat antimouse IgG1 alkaline phosphatase (Euro-Path Ltd, Bude, UK) diluted 1:60 was added to each slide and incubated at room temperature for 60 minutes in a humidified chamber (the antibody was diluted in TBS with 10% normal rat serum, incubated on ice for 30 minutes, and spun for 10 minutes in a microcentrifuge before use). The slides were then washed as above and two to three drops of alkaline phosphatase Vector Red substrate (Vector, Brevet, UK) were added to each slide. Colour development was monitored using a light microscope. Finally, the slides were washed in a TBS bath for 15 minutes, counterstained with haematoxylin, air dried, mounted in an aqueous mounting medium (Glycerol Gel, DAKO Ltd, High Wycombe, UK), and examined by light microscopy.

**FLUORESCENCE IN SITU HYBRIDISATION AND DETECTION**

After microscopic examination of the immunocytochemical staining, the coverslips were rinsed off by incubating in 2 × SSC/Tween-20 (0·05% Tween-20 in 2 × SSC, I × SSC = 0·15 mol/l sodium chloride and 0·15 mol/l sodium citrate, pH 7·0) at 50°C for 10 minutes and then the slides were washed in 2 × SSC/Tween-20 at 50°C for 15 minutes twice and dehydrated in ethanol series (70%, 70%, 90%, 90%). The immunophenotyped cells were first probed with GMGY10, a chromosome Y specific repeat probe, and, if negative, reprobed with DXZ1, a chromosome X centromeric repeat probe. Hybridisation of the cells was carried out as described previously with the exception that cells and probe were denatured together for 10 minutes at 90°C. After
hybridisation overnight at 42°C, the coverslips were removed by rinsing in 2 × SSC and the slides were washed twice in 50% formamide/1 × SSC at 45°C for five minutes, washed once in 1 × SSC at 45°C for five minutes, and once in 0.5 × SSC at 45°C for five minutes. The slides were then treated with alternating layers of fluoresceinated avidin DCS (Vector) and biotinylated goat anti-avidin (Vector), both at 5 μg/ml concentration in 4 × SSC, 0.05% Tween-20 (4 × T) containing 10% human AB serum, for 30 minutes at 37°C until two layers of avidin were applied. After each incubation in avidin or anti-avidin, the slides were washed three times at 45°C in 4 × T for five minutes. After the last wash in 4 × T, the slides were rinsed in 2 × SSC, and then mounted in 2.0 μg/ml DAPI in Citifluor AF1 antifade (Citifluor Ltd, London, UK).

MICROSCOPY AND SIGNAL ANALYSIS
To evaluate the enrichment of the MACS sorting, artificial mixtures of antibody stained (positive) and unstained (negative) lymphocytes were used. Antibody labelled cells were fluorescence labelled by replacing the magnetic microsphere layer of the standard protocol with successive layers of biotinylated horse antioimmunoglobulin (5 μl of 1.5 mg/ml per 10⁶ cells, Vector), fluorescein isothiocyanate conjugated streptavidin (4 μl of 1 mg/ml per 10⁶ cells, Vector), and biotinylated magnetic microbeads (5 μl per 10⁶ cells, Becton Dickinson, Oxford, UK). The slides were coded and the number of fluorescence negative and positive cells counted using an epifluorescence microscope both before and after MACS sorting.

In addition, slides of cells from umbilical cord blood prepared before and after MACS sorting were stained using Rapi-Diff II (HD Supplies, Aylesbury, UK) and the total number of nucleated erythrocytes present counted.

Immunophenotyped cells after MACS sorting of maternal blood were scored using an ordinary light microscope with a 20 × objective. The position of UCHy positive nucleated cells was recorded using a New England Finder (Graticules Ltd, Tonbridge, UK) and photographed. After FISH, the UCHy positive cells were relocated and analysed using a confocal laser scanning microscope (MRC-600, Bio-Rad Microscience Ltd, Hemel Hempstead, UK). Analysis was carried out without knowledge of the fetal karyotype.

Results
The efficiency of the enrichment of rare cells by MACS was evaluated by using artificial mixtures of antibody stained and unstained cells (table 1). At the lowest tested frequency of one negative cell to 167 positive cells, MACS produced a purified negative fraction containing 11 negative cells to each positive cell. This represents an enrichment factor of 184.

The mouse antihb F antibody, UCHy, was used to detect fetal erythrocytes by immunocytochemical staining. Almost 100% of nucleated erythrocytes and mature red cells in newborn cord blood smears were stained with this antibody (figure A). We did not find any positive nucleated cells on the direct blood smear slides of three non-pregnant women (approximately 1/4 of the slide from each sample was scanned comprising at least 5 × 10⁵ nucleated cells). However, in slides of Histo- paque prepared cells from these three non-pregnant females, we found a small number of UCHy positive nucleated cells (1-4 to 3 cells per million nucleated cells, 10 million cells scanned for each sample).

The efficiency of the hybridisation with GMGY10 and DXZ1 probes on immunocytochemical staining was tested on cord blood and normal blood cells. The hybridisation efficiency in UCHy negative cells was higher than in UCHy stained positive cells (table 2). For example, hybridisation with GMGY10 showed single Y signals in 87% of UCHy positive male cells (figure B) but 98% of UCHy negative male cells.

The simultaneous immunophenotyping/FISH analysis was applied to MACS processed peripheral blood samples from six pregnant women in a pilot prospective study. Three blood samples from non-pregnant adult females were used as negative controls. After gradient centrifugation, between 30 and 50 × 10⁶ cells were isolated from the mononuclear cell layer from 20 ml of blood. After MACS sorting, between 3 and 6 × 10⁵ nucleated cells were detected from the magnetic negative fraction. UCHy positive nucleated cells were detected in five out of six pregnant blood samples (table 3, figure C,E) and no UCHy positive nucleated cells were detected in the three non-pregnant blood samples. In the samples from the pregnant women, FISH with GMGY10 and DXZ1 showed four male pregnancies and one female pregnancy (figure D,F). Fetal sex determined in this way was in exact agreement with the cytogenetic analysis of amniotic fluid samples (table 3).

Discussion
It has been shown by others that fetal nucleated erythrocytes can be isolated from maternal blood by FACS sorting using anti-transferrin receptor and anti-glycoprotein-A antibodies. However, this procedure is time consuming.

Table 1 The efficiency of MACS separation using various ratios of labelled (+ve) and unlabelled (−ve) cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before MACS sorting</th>
<th>After MACS sorting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of −ve: +ve cells</td>
<td>(Ratio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300:305</td>
<td>(1:1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100:675</td>
<td>(1:7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39:651</td>
<td>(1:17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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and the equipment is expensive. We have investigated the use of negative selection by MACS sorting to enrich fetal nucleated erythrocytes from maternal blood. MACS sorting is a fast and inexpensive method compared to FACS and we have found that 20 ml of prepared blood can be sorted in 10 minutes. The quality of MACS sorting depends not only on the immunomagnetic reaction, but also on the elution speed and volume. In this study, we used a minimal antibody concentration with a high sorting speed and large washing volumes to increase the absolute recovery rate of nucleated erythrocytes. Unfortunately, this strategy generates a high level of maternal nucleated cell contamination.

To date, there have been few reports of aneuploidy analysis by FISH of fetal cells from the maternal blood.358 Fetal cells circulating in maternal blood are rare and, as described above, the majority of nucleated cells sorted are of maternal origin. This is true even after FACS sorting.23568 The identification of fetal aneuploidy by interphase FISH relies on the detection of abnormal numbers of hybridisation signals in cell nuclei. Diagnosis using these sorted samples is inevitably insensitive and unreliable owing to the high level of

Micrographs. (A) Histopaque prepared newborn cord blood cell smear showing a nucleated erythrocyte positive for Hb F by alkaline phosphatase-Vector Red (AP-VR) staining. (B) A confocal image of a male nucleated erythrocyte from cord blood after anti-Hb F/AP-VR staining (red fluorescence) and FISH with GMG Y10 probe (green fluorescence). (C) An anti-Hb F stained positive cell isolated from one of the maternal blood samples. (D) A confocal image of the same cell as in (C) after FISH with GMG Y10 probe showing a male genotype with one Y signal. (E) An anti-Hb F stained positive cell isolated from a second maternal blood sample. (F) A confocal image of the same cell as in (E) after FISH with DXZ1 probe showing a female genotype with two X signals.
Table 2 Hybridiuation signal distribution in UCHy positive and negative immunostained cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UCHy staining</th>
<th>GMGY10 (male cells)</th>
<th>DXZ1 (female cells)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No of cells (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 (12.4)</td>
<td>269 (86.9)</td>
<td>260 (91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (1.5)</td>
<td>457 (97.7)</td>
<td>34 (16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maternal cell contamination and the inability to distinguish fetal from maternal cells. We have shown in this study that the combination of immunophenotyping with mouse monoclonal anti-Hb F antibody and FISH analysis allows the identification of fetal nucleated red blood cells within the excess of maternal cells and enables chromosome copy number to be determined for fetal cells alone. This combination of staining technologies represents a substantial advance on previous FISH analyses of fetal cells sorted from maternal blood.

The combination of FISH and immunophenotyping has been used by others for the simultaneous analysis of phenotype and genotype in both mitotic and interphase cells. Price et al. explored the observation that the alkaline phosphatase-Fast Red reaction produces a bright red fluorescence that is visible by epifluorescence microscopy using both fluorescein and rhodamine filters. Fast Red immunofluorescence and FITC FISH signals can be visualised simultaneously in the same cell. We used a mouse monoclonal anti-fetal haemoglobin antibody (UCHy), which specifically binds to fetal erythrocytes, to immunophenotype the MACS processed cells. We used Vector Red as a substrate as it produces red fluorescence similar to but brighter than Fast Red.

Interestingly, we observed that UCHy also binds to a small number of nucleated cells (1-4 to 3 cells per million nucleated cells) in Histo-paque prepared cells from three non-pregnant women. We also noted that these UCHy positive nucleated cells were morphologically similar to lymphocytes rather than to nucleated erythrocytes. After MACS sorting, we were unable to find these UCHy positive cells in the magnetic negative fraction sorted from the same three blood samples. The lineage of these cells is unknown but they represent a potential problem for the analysis of true fetal cells isolated from maternal blood and identified using UCHy. Indeed, in the male pregnancy of case 11, one UCHy positive cell obtained by MACS enrichment showed two copies of the X chromosome and no Y signal (table 3), which suggests that this cell was of maternal origin. This one maternal UCHy positive cell did not affect the determination of fetal sex as the majority of the other UCHy positive cells showed Y hybridisation signals. It is clear that the precise nature and origin of these UCHy positive maternal cells should be studied further.

In four other samples from pregnant women, fetal sex determined by X and Y probe hybridisation in the UCHy positive nucleated cells obtained by MACS enrichment was also in exact agreement with fetal sex determined by fetal chromosome analysis (table 3, figure). In the remaining one case studied, no UCHy positive cells were detected. Possible explanations for the lack of UCHy positive cells in this case include failure of the isolation process or the absence of fetal cells from the maternal blood. It is possible that ABO blood group differences between the mother and fetus could result in the rapid clearance of fetal cells from the maternal circulation.

Clearly, FISH used with interphase nuclei is not reliable for the diagnosis of all trisomies. Problems of this technique include non-specific signals and incomplete hybridisation. It is also possible for signals to overlap in the two dimensional image of the three dimensional nucleus giving the incorrect number of signals. In other studies, the expected number of domains determined by interphase analysis with chromosome specific repeat probes varied between 67% and 98% of cells counted. Clearly, the hybridisation efficiency is a major factor influencing the accuracy of the diagnosis. However, it has been shown that for autosomal aneuploidy analysis, a signal distribution of greater than 40% of cells showing the expected number of signals is required to give a reliable diagnosis of trisomy.

In this study, hybridisation with DXZ1 and GMGY10 probes in UCHy positive cells showed the expected number of signals in 68% and 87% of cells counted, respectively. As the fetal cells isolated from 20 ml of maternal blood are so few (table 3), the probability of incorrect diagnosis owing to inefficient hybridisation is of concern. If we assume that more than 50% of cells must show the expected number of signals to generate a correct diagnosis, we can calculate the probability of an incorrect diagnosis using the binomial distribution. Where we have only four cells to analyse with DXZ1 which produces two signals in 68% of UCHy positive normal female

Table 3 Details of six blood samples from pregnant women studied by MACS/UCHy staining/FISH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>No of Hb F+ cells after MACS sorting</th>
<th>FISH Y10 signals</th>
<th>FISH DXZ1 signals</th>
<th>Gestation (weeks)</th>
<th>Sex by MACS FISH</th>
<th>Karyotype by CAAF*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 0 2</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>46,XY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>46,XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 1 0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>46,XY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2 3 0 0</td>
<td>1 0 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>46,XY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 0 3</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>46,XY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>46,XY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* CAAF = cytogenetic analysis of amniotic fluid.
† Three Hb F positive cells, which were both Y and X hybridisation negative, were in the middle of a cell clump and overlapped by other cells.
‡ One of the Hb F positive cells was covered by other cells and could not be analysed by FISH.
fetal cells (table 2), the probability of a misdiagnosis (1, or 2 cells showing the expected number of signals) is 0.36. However, if the hybridisation efficiency is 95%, the probability of incorrect diagnosis with four cells is greatly reduced (p = 0.01). If 10 cells are available for analysis by a probe with a hybridisation efficiency of 95%, the probability of incorrect diagnosis is very low (p = 6 x 10^-9). Therefore, only a slightly more efficient MACS sorting technique, an increased volume of maternal blood, or more efficiently hybridising probes are needed for reliable routine diagnosis using the methods presented in this paper.

In conclusion, the MACS is a faster, less expensive technique than the FACS and allows a large volume of blood to be separated in a short period of time. While at present few fetal cells are recovered and maternal cell contamination is too great by both methods to allow accurate direct analysis by FISH, immunophenotyping with antibodies specific to cells of fetal origin enables selective FISH analysis of fetal cells within the excess of maternal cells. An important feature of this approach is that diagnostic results are available within three days after peripheral blood sampling. The application of multicolour FISH to these immunophenotyped cells would allow the visualisation of several probes simultaneously26,27 and provide the potential for the detection of the common fetal aneuploidies directly from maternal peripheral blood samples. The methods presented in this paper represent a substantial advance on previous techniques used for analysis of fetal cells isolated from maternal blood and show that non-invasive prenatal diagnosis from maternal blood has the potential to become a practical routine procedure.
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