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Abstract
A questionnaire was given to 180 patients in an antenatal clinic, who were eligible to enter a pilot trial of cystic fibrosis (CF) carrier testing, seeking their views on the information leaflet inviting them to participate; 161 patients (89%) entered the trial and 145 patients (81%) responded to the questionnaire, including 10 who did not enter the trial. Ninety-six percent of these wanted the leaflet easy to understand, and 97% of those partners who read the leaflet also found it easy to understand. Fifteen percent of patients thought the leaflet should give additional information. Most (92%) had heard of CF before reading the leaflet, television being the most common source of information. Although avoiding the birth of a child with CF was the reason most patients gave for wishing to be screened, almost as many were interested to know their carrier status. The decision to accept or decline testing was taken in conjunction with their partner by 63% of women. Of those who were screened, 59% stated that taking the test made them feel reassured, while 38% felt slightly apprehensive. It was concluded that, with a number of minor amendments, the leaflet met the specific needs of the target patient population.

The cloning of the cystic fibrosis (CF) gene in 1989 and the subsequent description of a number of mutant CF alleles has made it possible to detect CF carriers in the general population. A survey of Scottish CF patients and their parents shows that about 85% of carriers can be identified by testing for just four mutations. 1 Although this is less than ideal for a screening test, it has been argued that the severity of the disorder demands an immediate start to pilot trials of carrier detection. 2 Accordingly we have initiated such a trial in selected antenatal clinics of the major Edinburgh maternity hospital. Pregnant women are offered the chance of joining the trial by means of a leaflet sent with their antenatal booking clinic appointment. The leaflet emphasises the incomplete nature of the test and that it cannot guarantee against the birth of a CF child. Volunteer women are screened and if found to carry a CF allele their partners are also screened. Couples where both partners are found to be carriers are offered prenatal diagnosis.

A prerequisite to an informed decision to undergo any screening or diagnostic test is knowledge of the test. 3 Indeed, some argue that the effectiveness of such a screening programme should be assessed by whether the participants have become fully informed. 4 However, to be effective and useful, educational materials must meet the specific needs of the target population. 5

The use of a questionnaire to assess patients' attitudes to information leaflets has been described. 6 7 Before committing ourselves to a final version of the information leaflet designed for this trial, we tested the acceptability and usefulness of a preliminary version on our target population, by means of a self administered questionnaire.

Subjects and methods
A pilot trial was run in one antenatal booking clinic for a period of 14 weeks. Along with their booking clinic appointment, patients received a copy of the information leaflet outlining the purpose and process of prenatal CF carrier screening (table 1). The leaflet also incorporated a consent form to be signed by patients entering the screening trial.

A total of 200 antenatal patients attended the booking clinic during the pilot trial. Twenty of these patients were not eligible to enter the screening trial for reasons of late gestation (greater than 18 weeks), abnormality of pregnancy (for example, blighted ovum), or unavailability of partner. Questionnaires were not issued to this group. The remaining 180 antenatal patients were given a questionnaire and a stamped addressed envelope at the booking clinic and asked to complete it at home and return it. Patients were not asked to identify themselves. A total of 145 (81%) returned their questionnaire. Of the 161 patients who entered the trial, 135 responded. Of the 19 patients declining to enter, 10 responded.

Results
PATIENTS' PREVIOUS KNOWLEDGE OF CF AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION
The questions were:
(1) Had you heard of the disease cystic fibrosis before you read the leaflet?
There were 145 responses, with 134 (92%) saying yes. Major sources of information were TV, women’s journals, and charity appeal.

PATIENTS’ ASSESSMENT OF THE LEAFLET AND UNDERSTANDING OF THE PURPOSE OF CF CARRIER TESTING

The questions were:
(3) In your opinion, is the leaflet introducing the cystic fibrosis carrier screening test difficult or easy to understand?
(4) How many times did you read the leaflet before you felt you understood it?
(5) Do you feel you understand the purpose of cystic fibrosis carrier testing?
(6) Do you feel the leaflet should give more information?
(7) Has your partner read the leaflet?
(8) If your partner read the leaflet, was it, in his opinion, difficult or easy to understand?
(9) Did you make the decision to accept or refuse the screening test alone or with your partner?

The answers of the 145 respondents are listed in table 2.

PATIENTS’ REASONS FOR BEING SCREENED (NUMBERS BASED ON THOSE 135 RESPONDENTS WHO ENTERED THE SCREENING TRIAL)
The question asked was:
(10) If you have decided to take the screening test, is this because (tick as many options as required)
(a) I am interested to know if I carry a single cystic fibrosis gene.
(96 respondents)
(b) I do not want my child to have cystic fibrosis.
(102 respondents)
(c) My partner wanted me to have the screening test.
(22 respondents)
(d) Other (please state reason).
(10 respondents)

PATIENTS’ REASONS FOR NOT BEING SCREENED (NUMBERS BASED ON THE 10 RESPONDENTS WHO DID NOT ENTER THE TRIAL)
The question asked was:
(11) If you have decided not to take the screening test is this because (tick as many options as required)
(a) I would prefer not to know if I carry a single cystic fibrosis gene.
(No respondents)
(b) I am against termination of pregnancy.
(10 respondents)
(c) My partner did not want me to take the screening test.
(3 respondents)
(d) Other (please state reason).
(No respondents)
Table 2 Patients' assessment of information leaflet and their understanding of CF carrier testing: numbers (and percentages).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment of leaflet</th>
<th>Difficult to understand</th>
<th>Easy to understand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Times leaflet read before understanding</td>
<td>6 (4)</td>
<td>139 (96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once</td>
<td>Twice</td>
<td>Many times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94 (65)</td>
<td>46 (32)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of understanding of the purpose of CF screening</td>
<td>Understand</td>
<td>Many times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wish for more information in the leaflet</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 (15)</td>
<td>50 (35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of partners who read the leaflet</td>
<td>Difficult to understand</td>
<td>Easy to understand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>89 (97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92 (63)</td>
<td>89 (97)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner's assessment of the leaflet</td>
<td>Decision taken with partner</td>
<td>Decision taken without partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you discussed taking the screening test with anyone? (Tick as many options as required)</td>
<td>91 (63)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Partner</td>
<td>(102 respondents)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Relative</td>
<td>(18 respondents)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Friend</td>
<td>(21 respondents)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) GP</td>
<td>(5 respondents)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) Health visitor</td>
<td>(1 respondent)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) Other (state who)</td>
<td>(no respondents)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Patients' estimation of their likelihood of being a CF carrier and their attitude to being screened: numbers (and percentages).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated likelihood of being a CF carrier</th>
<th>Highly likely</th>
<th>Not very likely</th>
<th>Most unlikely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal patient's attitude to being screened</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>62 (46)</td>
<td>72 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxious</td>
<td>Slightly apprehensive</td>
<td>Reassured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (3)</td>
<td>51 (38)</td>
<td>79 (59)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The cystic fibrosis pilot trial began in the antenatal clinic of the Simpson Memorial Maternity Pavilion in October 1990. Since the first programmes of maternal serum AFP screening were developed and started in Edinburgh in 1975, we had already had in place antenatal staff broadly trained in the process of explaining the concepts of screening.

With their antenatal booking clinic appointment, patients received a CF prescreening information leaflet incorporating a consent form. The midwife carrying out the booking procedure ensured that her patient had received a leaflet, had read it (or been able to read it), and was fully aware of the consequences of CF carrier screening. A genetic nurse was on duty at the clinic to answer patient or staff questions and to offer counselling and one to one sessions, using visual aids, to patients and couples with difficulty in reading or understanding the leaflet. Patients wishing to enter the trial signed a consent form.

Information given before prenatal genetic screening must be adapted to a woman's circumstances and be sufficient to enable her to reach a fully informed decision. Only too frequently, when educational protocols are being developed, the target population reaction is not sought. For information and education to be effective, this group needs to be consulted to determine what they need to know or learn. This study attempts to consult antenatal patients about a leaflet designed to inform them about prenatal CF carrier testing.

The response to the questionnaire was high (81%). All questionnaires were completed in full and many respondents commented at length on the questions. Most (92%) claimed they had heard of CF before they read the information leaflet, and many were able to cite the source of their knowledge. This probably reflects the high profile of the Cystic Fibrosis Research Trust in the UK and may not be applicable to other European countries.

There was no correlation between absence of previous information and difficulty understanding the leaflet. Of the six respondents who had difficulty understanding the leaflet (table 2), five had previously heard of CF. However, of the four women who felt they did not understand the purpose of CF screening (table 2), three stated they had not previously heard of CF. Comments indicated that many of these antenatal patient population were also familiar with one major clinical feature of CF, namely lung disease. A concern raised regarding the initiating of CF carrier screening programmes is that only a small percentage of the population are at present familiar with the disease. This study did not attempt to test subjects' knowledge of CF and it would be misleading to suggest that patients had detailed knowledge. Indeed, more details about the disease itself were requested by all 15% of respondents (table 2) who felt that the leaflet should give more information. Nevertheless, it is encouraging that so many women were previously aware of the disorder.

The Council of Europe, in their official statement on genetic screening, note the role that the media can play in informing and educating the public and recommend they be...
kept informed of all aspects of antenatal genetic screening. In this study, television was the leading source of information about CF. A considerable number of respondents were acquainted with the disease through women’s journals and charity appeals. Knowledge of CF was acquired by a number of women through their occupation and in three cases by virtue of having a distant family history of the disorder.

The majority (96%) of antenatal patients stated that they found the leaflet easy to understand (table 3). There was no correlation between difficulty understanding the leaflet, or failing to understand the purpose of CF screening, and declining the test. All 10 respondents who declined the test found the leaflet easy to understand and stated that they felt they understood the purpose of CF screening. One woman took the CF test, despite finding the leaflet difficult to understand and not understanding the purpose of CF screening.

There is a danger in designing an information leaflet that one may make it either too simple or too complex. Many women are confident and articulate users of the antenatal care system and can cope with detailed information. Others lack the basic knowledge to know the right questions to ask. Our solution has been to design a comparatively simple leaflet and to train midwives to pick up those cases who are unsure about what is being offered. A genetic nurse is on standby to give one to one counselling and answer the more sophisticated questions.

Most women (65%) stated they had only read the leaflet once before feeling they understood it (table 2). Antenatal bookers receive a considerable volume of material to read along with their clinic appointment and may find they have limited time to read and absorb it all. Those who enter the CF screening trial must sign a consent form and in addition the midwife responsible for booking a patient is instructed to do his or her utmost to ensure that a participant understands the purpose of the screening test. Nevertheless, studies do indicate that a lack of knowledge and understanding of women participating in prenatal screening programmes is an area for concern and researchers have attempted to design instruments whereby knowledge of such tests can be measured. CF carrier screening may carry more obscure risks and considerations than other antenatal screening tests, notably perceived change of self image in those identified as gene carriers. A prerequisite of prenatal CF carrier screening is cooperation of the male partner. Most partners (63%) read the prescreening leaflet and were involved in the test decision (table 2). The partners of all 10 women who declined the test had read the leaflet. Although two of these women took the decision to decline the test alone, they had discussed it with their partner. Of those who found the leaflet difficult to understand, five partners read the leaflet and three also found it difficult to understand. Nevertheless, they were party to the decision to be screened. All partners of those women who felt they did not understand the purpose of CF screening were involved in discussion and decision making, although only one had read the leaflet.

Twenty-one respondents (14%) who entered the trial discussed taking the test with a friend and 18 (12%) with a relative. Only five respondents had talked with their GP and one woman to her health visitor. Fifty-two women made the decision to take the test alone. A concern which emerged from this study is that 13 (9%) women took the decision to be tested without discussing the test with either their partner or other significant person (figure). Evidence suggests that social network and social support play an important role in health, individual decision making, and behaviour. Moreover, social support is known to serve a protective function by moderating the impact of stress and facilitating coping. It could be hypothesised that good social support will contribute positively to a woman’s adjustment to being a CF carrier. It has been pointed out that the whole area of psychosocial support has been neglected in the provision of antenatal care and that careful assessment of a woman’s social support system should be an integral part of the antenatal booking procedure. Identifying vulnerable women in advance of any screening test is important. This study suggests that around 10% of women booking at the antenatal clinic may have reduced perceived or received social support. Further research is needed to substantiate this.

Those women who decided to accept the CF screening test did so, in 76% of cases, to avoid the birth of a child with CF. In addition, 71% stated, either with or without saying they wished to avoid having a CF child, that they were interested to know if they carried a single CF gene. In 16% of cases, the male partner’s wishes had been instrumental in their decision to be tested. Those who gave additional reasons for being screened (7%) stated they wished to help with research or contribute to a better understanding of the disorder. Modifiable though this is, we have subsequently discouraged women from entering the trial for these reasons. A positive test result has far reaching consequences which prospective participants must consider carefully.

A vast majority (99%) of women who accepted the screening test thought it ‘not very likely’ or ‘most unlikely’ that they would be found to be carriers (table 3). Nevertheless,
41% were still 'anxious' or 'slightly apprehensive' about being screened. There was no correlation between difficulty in understanding the leaflet or understanding the purpose of CF screening and feelings of anxiety towards being tested. The one participant who stated the test made her feel anxious had taken the decision to be tested only after discussion with her GP. She did not think it very likely that she would carry a single CF gene. The whole area of anxiety generated by this programme of screening will be explored in greater depth.

"More about people with the disease, effects, lifespan, etc" was a typical request made by the 15% of respondents who felt that the leaflet should give more information (table 2). However, those who felt the leaflet offered sufficient information frequently commented that, although they would like to know more about the disease, additional information in the leaflet might only serve to confuse. As a compromise we expanded, slightly, the section of the leaflet entitled 'The disease cystic fibrosis' and, as an adjunct, designed a separate leaflet devoted to the disorder. This leaflet is made readily available at the antenatal clinic.

Good quality educational materials can help promote the relationship between the patient and the health care professional as well as enhancing patient knowledge and self care. Quality of text will be determined by its readability and must, therefore, be written on a level that is appropriate for the target population. Moreover, readability will be influenced by the content, style, layout, colour, and illustrations. It is unrealistic to believe that a leaflet can provide blanket coverage of all aspects of antenatal CF carrier screening. A mixture of information giving, teaching, and counselling is needed. Nevertheless, the delivery of information by leaflet is one very important strategy. We shall, therefore, continue to evaluate the delivery of prescreening information by leaflet for the duration of this trial.
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