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Abstract
A dominant cone dystrophy spanning seven generations was found in a pedigree from the Netherlands. The onset of the decline of visual acuity started after the age of 20, while a near complete absence of blue cone function (a so-called tritan defect) already existed before the presence of any ophthalmological abnormalities.

Retinal receptor dystrophies comprise rod-cone dystrophies (usually retinitis pigmentosa), cone-rod dystrophies, and cone dystrophies. In the latter, the scotopic system, responsible for night vision, remains intact. Since cone-rod dystrophies will eventually lead to blindness, it is important to distinguish these conditions from cone dystrophies in which some visual function remains. The absence of rod involvement can be shown objectively with the help of the electroretinogram (ERG).

The mode of inheritance of inherited cone dystrophies is usually autosomal dominant but can be autosomal recessive or X linked. In most instances colour vision is impaired and progressive loss of visual acuity (VA) occurs within the first two decades of life. The colour vision disturbance is reported to be usually of the red-green type.

In this article we present a family with a hitherto unreported form of cone dystrophy starting with blue cone involvement and with relatively late onset of visual loss. Preliminary results of the colour vision findings on this family were presented at the Tenth Symposium of the International Research Group on Colour Vision Deficiencies, June 1989, Cagliari, Sardinia, while the ophthalmological findings have been published elsewhere.

Methods
Ophthalmological methods, which we have described elsewhere, included measurement of VA, funduscopy, ERG, visual field analysis, and fluorescein angiography. Colour vision studies included the Ishihara and Hardy-Rand-Rittler (HRR) pseudoisochromatic plates, the Farnsworth panel D-15 (D-15), and Lanthony desaturated panel D-15 (des D-15) arrangement tests, the 'Birch' plate test, the Nagel anomaloscope, the 'tritan test', and measurement of the retinal spectral sensitivity curves. The 'tritan test' was developed to allow fast and specific screening for tritan defective persons, in contrast to the diagnostic spectral sensitivity curve measurements which require some hours of strenuous observation. The 'tritan test' instrument has a one degree flickering (frequency 0.5 Hertz) blue (462 nm) test field on a 14 degree intense yellow background with a luminance of 3700 cd/m². The blue test field (luminance 1035 cd/m²) may be attenuated with a neutral filter of density 2.6 or unattenuated. Tritan defective persons fail to see the attenuated stimulus, even after a long exposure, while normal subjects see it within 30 to 60 seconds of adaptation to the intense yellow light.

Family report
A pedigree of the family is reproduced in fig 1 and shows the classical pattern of autosomal dominant inheritance. The family history of the presumably affected subjects in generations II, III, and IV (photophobia and severe impairment of VA, starting gradually in adult life) is highly suggestive of the presence of the abnormal gene.

The index case (VI.6) was born in 1950. At the age of 12 corrected VA was 0.2 in the right eye (because of amblyopia) and 1.0 in the left; when 31 years old she had reading problems but VA of the left eye was still 0.9. The ERG showed a normal rod response but a 50% decrease of amplitude in the cone response. The D-15 test showed a classical tritan response, in accordance with the almost complete absence of blue sensitivity on the spectral sensitivity curves (between 2 and 3 logarithms below the normal reading), and a tritan response with the anomaloscope (prov-
Fig 3 gives the spectral sensitivity curves of VI.2, who at that time (aged 28) had a VA of 0.8 with a reduced photopic ERG. The absence of the blue sensitive peak is evident, especially after bleaching with intense yellow light, which temporarily suppresses functioning of the red and green sensitive cones.

In six members of this family who had cone dystrophy (IV.2, V.1, V.2, V.8, V.9, and VI.5) colour vision studies were impossible owing to the low VA. In the other seven affected persons, the presence of the tritan defect was proven beyond doubt. Only in the case of VI.18, a not very cooperative boy aged 12, could no reliable results be obtained.

**Discussion**

In our family the autosomal dominantly inherited cone dystrophy is associated with loss of blue cone function as an early sign. The diagnosis of cone dystrophy is firmly established by the characteristic symptoms: the abnormal fundus picture, loss of visual acuity, decreased or absent photopic ERG, and photophobia. We observed a normal fundus in seven patients; when maculopathy was visible, it presented mostly as diffuse pigment mottling. The progression of the pigmentary maculopathy was remarkably slow.

The severe impairment of blue cone function seems to occur before the onset of the decline of VA. Some patients even reported impaired blue-green discrimination from early childhood (IV.2, VI.3, and VI.5). In patient VI.14, who still had a normal photopic ERG at the age of 26, defective blue cone function and a mild reduction of VA (0.8) were the only obvious signs of the cone dystrophy. VII.4, who at the age of 13 had an entirely normal VA, made typical tritan errors with the Birch and HRR tests and failed the 'tritan test', while with the des D-15 she made some errors suggestive of a tritan defect (she performed the D-15 correctly). She was too young for the spectral sensitivity curves.

The dizygotic twins VI.1 and VI.2 present further evidence for the variability of this dominant disorder. At the age of 26 both had a VA of 0.8, a normal appearance of the fundus, an abnormal photopic ERG, and classical tritan results with all colour vision tests (as exemplified in fig 3 for the spectral sensitivity curves of VI.2). At the age of 33 VI.2 still had retained her VA of 0.8 whereas in VI.1 it had
Ishihara plates, chose a normal matching range with the anomaloscope, thus excluding a red-green colour vision defect. Bresnick et al described a family with 'Autosomal dominant macular dystrophy with preferential short-wavelength sensitive cone involvement'. This title suggests that the condition in their family is comparable to the one we have described here. However, in contrast to our findings, their patients retained a good VA at mature age (1-3 in a 40 year old and 1-0 in a 36 year old patient); moreover, their photopic ERG was normal. Thus, their ophthalmological findings are different from ours but the tritan defect which they describe is closely comparable to the one present in our patients. It might be identical to the isolated but also dominantly inherited tritan disturbances reported in six families in the Netherlands by Went and Pronk.

Reichel et al have reported a 6-5 kilobase deletion within the red cone pigment gene on the X chromosome in a family with an X linked cone dystrophy accompanied by a loss of red cone function. Through discussions with Drs Weitz and Nathans from Baltimore, who found a likely mutation in four pedigrees with an autosomal dominant tritan defect, it seemed justified therefore to search for a comparable mutation in chromosome 7 in our family with a tritan defect and cone dystrophy. Dr Weitz kindly investigated DNA from a blood specimen of VI.2 from our family. He reports: "The blue-sensitive visual pigment locus was indistinguishable from that of normal controls by Southern blot and by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis of 'GC-clamped' (Sheffield et al, Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1989;86:232-6) polymerase chain reaction products containing the exons and adjoining non-coding sequences (Weitz and Nathans, unpublished observations). These results exclude any insertion or deletion larger than about 100 base pairs and make unlikely but do not exclude any smaller re-arrangements or a point mutation within the gene" (C J Weitz, personal communication). If one were to speculate that two separate genes, one for dominant cone dystrophy and one for a tritan defect, are responsible for the findings in our family these two genes would have to be extremely close since throughout the many steps between generations II to VII no segregation has occurred. Thus, the hypothesis of one single gene seems to be the most likely, a gene which appears not to have been described previously.

The figures are reproduced with the permission of the British Journal of Ophthalmology from figs 1, 5, and 6 in the article in reference 4.


Figure 3 Spectral sensitivity curves of VI.2 compared with those of a normal subject. Top: normal white background (retinal illumination 3.9 log troland); bottom: yellow background (OG 550 filter, retinal illumination 5.3 log troland).