Exclusion mapping of the hereditary dentatorubropallidoluysian atrophy gene from the Huntington’s disease locus

Ikuko Kondo, Hitoshi Ohta, Mitsuyasu Yazaki, Joh-E Ikeda, James F Gusella, Ichiro Kanazawa

Abstract
Hereditary dentatorubropallidoluysian atrophy (DRPLA) is an autosomal dominant neurodegenerative disorder. Clinical and genetic findings in hereditary DRPLA are very similar to those of Huntington’s disease (HD). However, it can be differentiated from HD by the pathological findings of dentatorubral and pallidoluysian atrophies and by a lack of prominent atrophy of the striatum at necropsy. The hereditary DRPLA gene has not been localised and the possibility that the two disease loci are allelic has been suggested.

We have searched for linkage between the locus for hereditary DRPLA and D4S10 using the G8 probe, which is a genetic marker linked to HD. In four families, there were negative scores at all recombination fractions and the lod score was −2.215 at recombination fraction θ=0.15. These data indicate that the locus for hereditary DRPLA is not closely linked to D4S10 and that hereditary DRPLA is a distinct disease from HD.

It is not unusual in neurodegenerative disorders for the disease processes to involve two or more systems in the central nervous system, for example, cerebellar and nigrostriatal systems in olivopontocerebellar atrophy or cerebellar and autonomic systems in Shy-Drager syndrome. However, the involvement of the dentatorubral and the pallidoluysian systems in the same patient is a rare combination. In 1958 Smith et al described such a case under the title of ‘Unusual form of cerebellar ataxia. Combined dentato-rubral and pallido-Luysian degeneration’. Although several sporadic cases similar to that of Smith et al have been reported, this particular disease, now named dentatorubropallidoluysian atrophy (DRPLA), seems to be extremely rare in western countries. However, during the last 10 years, many hereditary cases of DRPLA have been reported in Japan.

Clinical signs and symptoms vary greatly from case to case, but can be summarised as follows. (1) Patients under the age of 20 usually show clinical features of myoclonic epilepsy; (2) patients between the ages of 20 and 40 mostly show cerebellar ataxia and epilepsy; and (3) patients over the age of 40 usually show choreic involuntary movements and dementia with mild cerebellar ataxia. With regard to (3), it is sometimes difficult to differentiate a patient with DRPLA from Huntington’s disease (HD) based on clinical features. Although it is possible to differentiate DRPLA from HD through the pathological features of the degeneration both in the dentatorubral and the pallidoluysian systems and the lack of the prominent degeneration in the striatum, several cases of DRPLA have been reported under the ‘pathological diagnosis’ of HD. This may have been because of the theory that DRPLA is a variation of HD. In addition, DRPLA is similar to HD in terms of inheritance; most cases of DRPLA reported in Japan showed autosomal dominant inheritance, which creates further difficulty in differentiating the two.
Therefore, it is possible to speculate that hereditary DRPLA and HD are actually allelic at the same disease locus, which is similar to the relationship between Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophies.

To confirm that hereditary DRPLA is a distinct neurodegenerative disorder from HD, we have studied linkage between the locus for hereditary DRPLA and D4S10, which is linked to the HD gene, using the G8 probe.10

Subjects and methods
SUBJECTS
The pedigrees of the families studied are shown in fig 1 together with the age at which the DNA polymorphisms were analysed. Hereditary DRPLA was diagnosed according to the neuropathological findings in affected subjects in the same families at necropsy. Affected patients studied had various clinical symptoms including ataxia, epilepsy, myoclonus, dementia, and involuntary movements.

Peripheral B lymphocytes were transformed using Epstein-Barr virus from families with hereditary DRPLA and unrelated healthy Japanese controls, and DNA was extracted from established lymphoblasts using the method of Kunkel et al.11 Restriction endonuclease digestion (HindIII, BglI, and EcoRI) was performed under conditions recommended by the manufacturer (Takara Shuzo Co Ltd, Kyoto, Japan). Digested DNA samples were electrophoresed on a 0.7% agarose gel, denatured, and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes in 6 x SSC. After prehybridisation, the membranes were hybridised with 32P labelled pKO82 and pKO83 probes, subcloned from probe G8, under conditions described by Gusella et al.10 Autoradiographs were developed at -70°C using Kodak Exomat films and super-rapid intensifying screens for four days.

LINKAGE ANALYSIS
The analysis of genetic linkage between the locus for hereditary DRPLA and genotypes of the G8 probe were performed using the method of Morton.13

Figure 1  Family pedigrees showing phenotypes, genotypes of DNA polymorphisms, and age at study. H, B, and E: HindIII, BglI, and EcoRI polymorphisms. Parentheses indicate genotypes of DNA polymorphisms not tested, but deduced from typing of other family members.
Table 1 Polymorphisms at the D4S10 locus in 50 Japanese.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Size of fragment (kb)</th>
<th>Allele frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Allele 1</td>
<td>Allele 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKO82</td>
<td>HindIII</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKO82</td>
<td>BglI</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKO83</td>
<td>EcoRI</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In family 1, the affected mother (II-3) typed as BglI 2-1 (B 2-1) and her two offspring (III-1 and III-2) typed as B 1-1 (figs 1 and 2). However, only III-2, was affected; III-1 was older at 43 years and was healthy. Therefore, there was one recombinant between the D4S10 locus and the disease locus in this family.

In family 2, two affected offspring (III-2 and III-3) had different HindIII haplotypes at the D4S10 locus and an unaffected brother (III-1) typed as HindIII 1-1 (H 1-1) (fig 1). Their unaffected father (II-3) typed as H 1-1. Therefore, allele 2 of the HindIII RFLP must be derived from the affected mother (II-4), who could not be studied. Affected offspring III-3 must be a recombinant.

In family 3, the affected father (I-1) typed as EcoRI 2-1 (E 2-1) and two affected daughters (II-1 and II-2) typed as E 1-1 and E 2-1, respectively (fig 1).

In family 4, the affected proband (II-3) and his mother (I-2) typed as B 2-1 and B 1-1, respectively. In the third generation of this family, the affected daughters (III-1 and III-3) typed as B 1-1 (fig 1). The affected father, typed as B 2-1 (II-3), must have inherited the B 2 from his affected father (I-1) who was not studied. Since the predicted phase would be the DRPLA gene on the chromosome containing the B 2 allele at the D4S10 locus in this family, two affected offspring (III-1 and III-3) were likely to be recombinants between the loci.

The lod scores obtained for each value of θ in individual families are shown in table 2. The combined lod score was -2.281 at recombination fraction θ=0.125 and lod scores were negative at all recombination fractions.

Table 2 Lod scores for linkage between hereditary DRPLA and D4S10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>No of NR:R</th>
<th>Recombination fractions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>-∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>-∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>-∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0:2</td>
<td>-∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4:6</td>
<td>-∞</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR=non-recombinant, R=recombinant.
Discussion
In 1983, Gusella et al. assigned the locus for HD to the short arm of chromosome 4 using DNA probe G8 (D4S10). No evidence of heterogeneity was observed among different ethnic groups, and the combined maximum lod score was 87·69 at a recombination fraction of 4 cM. In Japanese HD families, the locus for HD and D4S10 have been reported to be separated by about 15 cM (Kanazawa et al., unpublished observations). On the other hand, linkage data studied here showed that the locus for hereditary DRPLA was excluded from the vicinity of D4S10 at the recombination fraction \( \theta = 0.125 \) and the lod score was \(-1.923\) at the recombination fraction \( \theta = 0.15 \) (table 2). Based on the loci, these data indicate that hereditary DRPLA and HD are distinct. Therefore, in pedigrees with many recombinants between HD and D4S10 there is a need to reconfirm clinical and neuropathological findings, because patients with hereditary DRPLA have sometimes been diagnosed as having HD. In fact, there were very few families with many recombinants between HD and D4S10.

The locus for hereditary DRPLA has not been assigned. Once the gene is assigned to a specific chromosome, it will be easy to differentiate this disease from HD and other similar diseases using genetic markers linked to the disease locus. In addition, the localisation of the gene and the finding of closely linked genetic markers is important, not only to isolate the gene itself and to establish the features of the disease, but also to provide prenatal diagnosis for families who request it. Towards mapping of the locus for hereditary DRPLA, linkage analyses using highly polymorphic DNA markers on different chromosomes are under way in our laboratory.
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