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SUMMARY A register of families with Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) has been maintained in Wales since 1973. Since 1986 we have attempted to refine carrier status, and when necessary offer prenatal diagnosis, for those at significant risk by using intragenic probes. cDNA probes were included from the beginning of 1988. Thirty-four (30%) of the 115 women tested were assigned a risk of carrying the DMD gene of less than 5%. Thirty-three (29%) of the women at 5% or greater risk are now able to have prenatal diagnosis using a molecular deletion; such deletions were detected in 50% of affected boys. The remaining women could have prenatal diagnosis using a linked intragenic probe with an error rate varying between 0·25% and 9%. In 19 cases DNA samples from DMD boys who were dead at the time of analysis were used, indicating that it is essential to bank DNA from all males affected by DMD.

We conclude that a large proportion of women at risk of carrying the DMD gene can now be helped by DNA studies.

Research on the Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) locus has been an activity of this department since the discovery of linked DNA markers in 1982. The value of such markers has been previously reported. Since then progress in the molecular genetics of DMD has been rapid, with the discovery of intragenic markers in 1985 and the cloning of the entire DMD gene in 1987. Other groups have subsequently reported the application of linkage analysis using intragenic probes for genetic counselling and prenatal diagnosis in families with DMD. We began to use the pERT and XJ series of intragenic probes for deletion screening and refinement of carrier status in 1986, but before all the families had been studied dystrophin cDNA probes became available to us, making screening for deletions in affected males a priority in 1988. We report here how these activities have improved determination of carrier status for women at risk, and have allowed the possibility of prenatal diagnostic tests in many cases.

Methods

The first 15 years’ experience of the DMD register for Wales is reported in the previous paper. When it became clear that DNA analysis would generate a large amount of new data it was decided to transfer all register information, including pedigree, creatine kinase (CK), and DNA data, onto a microcomputer. An IBM PC compatible computer with 640 kilobytes of memory was used. Families were entered with separate code numbers in LI PED compatible format so that risk analysis using the MLINK program was possible, as well as pedigree plotting, including screen displays, using the PLOT2000 program. The register now contains in excess of 6000 records.

DNA Analysis

DNA was extracted by standard methods from blood samples collected in EDTA obtained from affected boys and their families since 1982, originally as a research undertaking and later as part of the molecular genetic diagnostic service. The DNA bank now contains over 1600 DMD related samples, including some from outside Wales. The intragenic probes of the pERT series were the gift of L Kunkel, and those of the XJ series were the gift of R Worton. DNA from relevant members of each family was digested to completion with TaqI, XmnI, EcoRV, PstI, and BamHI according to manufac-
turers' instructions, subjected to electrophoresis on 0-7% agarose gels, then Southern blotted onto nylon membranes (Hybond N, Amersham). The DNA on the membranes was hybridised overnight with probe labelled with phosphorus-32 by the random hexanucleotide primed method. Post-hybridisation washes were carried out at 65°C in 2×SSC (0-15 mol/l sodium chloride, 0-015 mol/l sodium citrate), 0-1% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), increasing the stringency gradually as required to 0-1×SSC, 0-1% SDS. Membranes were then exposed to Fuji x ray film at −70°C with intensifying screens for one to seven days. Affected boys and their mothers were usually analysed first to look for deletions and to seek heterozygous and therefore informative probes. We first used the pERT probes consecutively starting with pERT87.15/TaqI and BamHI polymorphisms, as they were the most frequently informative. Details of the probes used are given in table 1.

DNA probes complementary to the DMD gene product dystrophin were used from the beginning of 1988. They were the gift of K Davies,20 R Morton,21 and L Kunkel.6 DNA was prepared and hybridisation was performed as above. HindIII digests were also prepared for hybridisation with these probes. We also began to use an intronic probe P20, cloned from a deletion hot spot in the DMD gene, the kind gift of G van Ommen,22 which is polymorphic with the MspI and HindIII restriction enzymes.

**PATIENTS**

Attempting to perform DNA analysis on all the family members on our register would be a vast undertaking. It is clear from our previous study that low risks based on creatine kinase analysis are largely reliable.14 Therefore we concentrated our efforts on those families where women of reproductive age remained at medium or high risk, and only analysed those already at low risk when this was necessary for the analysis of higher risk members of the same family, or when it had been specifically requested.

**RISK ANALYSIS**

All laboratory results were entered into the computerised register. Risk calculation using information from pedigree analysis and creatine kinase values as well as DNA marker results was performed using the program RISKDNA,23 or MLINK16 for complex situations. A recombination frequency of 0-05 was used for all intragenic probes.

**Results**

Results for 115 women are given here. Their relationship to the last affected male in the pedigree broken down by previous risk based on CK and pedigree analysis is given in table 2. Thirty-six women were excluded from this part of the analysis for reasons given in table 3. The remaining 79 women had their risk confirmed or altered by analysis of linked RFLPs. Fig 1 shows how final risk was dependent on the level of risk based on CK and pedigree data. Fig 2 shows the same data ranked. Thirty-four women were assigned risks of less than 5% compared to 17 before DNA analysis.

---

**Table 1** Probes used for assigning carrier status or assessing informativeness for prenatal diagnosis or both.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe name</th>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Allele sizes (kb)</th>
<th>Allele frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pERT87.1</td>
<td>XmmI</td>
<td>8.7 7.5 5.7 3.2</td>
<td>0.69 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pERT87.8</td>
<td>EcoRV</td>
<td>12.5 10.5 9.5</td>
<td>0.70 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pERT87.15</td>
<td>TaqI</td>
<td>3.8 2.7 1.1</td>
<td>0.26 0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pERT87.15</td>
<td>TaqI</td>
<td>3.3 3.1 2.9</td>
<td>0.33 0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pERT87.15</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
<td>9.4 7.1 2.3</td>
<td>0.38 0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pERT87.15</td>
<td>XmmI</td>
<td>2.8 1.4 1.2</td>
<td>0.32 0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XJ1.1</td>
<td>TaqI</td>
<td>3.8 3.1 2.8</td>
<td>0.28 0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XJ1.3</td>
<td>TaqI</td>
<td>6.4 5.8 4.2</td>
<td>0.70 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P20</td>
<td>MspI</td>
<td>2.8 2.2 2.0</td>
<td>0.70 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cDNA1-3</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cDNA5b-7</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cDNA6-7</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cDNA8</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Relationship of 115 at risk women to the affected male and source of phase estimate, by previous risk.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>High risk*</th>
<th>Medium risk</th>
<th>Low risk</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sister</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected, alive</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected, dead, sample banked</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected, died, unampled</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*High risk refers to risk of 50% or greater, medium risk 5% or greater but less than 50%, and low risk to less than 5% risk of carrying DMD gene.

**Table 3** Reasons for excluding 36 women from risk alteration by linkage analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Obligate carrier</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother of isolated case without normal son</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected male died unsampled, no other source of phase estimate</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deletion found in affected male relative of woman at high risk before linkage attempted</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No informative probe found</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple crossovers</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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For the 81 women not assigned low risk by this analysis, prenatal diagnosis or exclusion testing may be important. Table 4 shows how many of these women were found to be informative by the use of linked markers and deletion screening. Table 5 summarises the number of women helped by each phase of the analysis. Table 6 gives data on 23 pregnancies where DNA analysis was offered since 1987.

**TABLE 4 Informativeness for prenatal diagnosis or exclusion testing in 81 women at 5% risk or greater of carrying the DMD gene.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cDNA deletion present in male relative</th>
<th>cDNA deletion not present in male relative</th>
<th>Affected male relative died unsampled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Informative using RFLP, low risk allele has 1% risk or less</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informativve using RFLP, low risk allele has between 1% and 4% risk</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informativve using RFLP, low risk allele has 4% risk or greater</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linkage not done</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 5 Number (and percentage) of 115 women helped by each stage of DNA analysis.**

- Women assigned low (less than 5%) carrier risk on DNA analysis: 34 (30%)
- Women in whom prenatal diagnosis using a molecular deletion possible: 33 (29%)
- Women in whom prenatal diagnosis possible with RFLP, low risk allele has less than 4% risk: 21 (18%)
- Women in whom prenatal diagnosis possible with RFLP, low risk allele has 4% risk or greater: 27 (23%)

**TABLE 6 Outcome of 23 pregnancies in which DNA analysis was offered.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Women at carrier risk of less than 5%</th>
<th>Women at carrier risk of 5% or greater</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancies</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No prenatal test</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male unaffected</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male affected</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chorionic villus sampling</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexing only*</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male terminated</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND on linkage</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low risk male</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High risk male terminated</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND on deletion</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaffected male</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected male terminated</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*One male fetus terminated before DNA analysis available. Two other women found informative subsequently.

+One low risk male fetus terminated on other grounds.
PND = Prenatal diagnosis.
CASE HISTORIES
To show how these techniques have helped some
subjects and families, and to illustrate some of the
pitfalls, case histories are set out in detail below.
The relevant pedigrees appear in fig 3.
In family D129 III.1, who had a CK based risk of
12%, became pregnant in 1984 when only fetal
sexing was available; she had a daughter after
chorionic villus sampling (CVS). III.6, who had a
CK based risk of 75%, became pregnant in 1987.
DNA analysis could not reliably alter her risk
because her grandfather died unsampled, but pre-
natal exclusion testing would have been possible;
however, CVS showed a female fetus. III.4, with a
CK based risk of 32%, became pregnant later the
same year, but now a deletion detectable with
cDNA 1–3 had been discovered in the affected male
cousin; again the fetus was female. III.8 had
inherited the same pERT87.1/EcoRV allele from
her mother as that inherited by her affected brother
III.11 and had a 98% chance of being a carrier.
After CVS in 1988 we were able to show that her
male fetus had not inherited the deletion and so she
continued with the pregnancy.
In family D53 sisters II.1 and II.3 had each been
given a 22% risk on CK and pedigree analysis. II.1
had two pregnancies tested for fetal sex only,
terminating a male fetus in her first pregnancy
and producing a girl in the second. DNA analysis
showed that she had inherited the opposite
pERT87.15/Taq allele from her mother to that
inherited by her dead affected brother, reducing her
risk to 1%. In her third pregnancy no test was
undertaken and the resulting son had normal CK at
two months of age. II.3 had a 95% risk after DNA
analysis. No probe informative for prenatal diagnosis
was found in her first pregnancy and she terminated
a male fetus after CVS. Before her next pregnancy
she was found to be heterozygous for P20/Msp, but
the male fetus inherited the high risk allele and was
terminated.
In family D46 the female II.2 had been given a
22% risk on CK analysis. In her first pregnancy CVS
showed a female fetus which subsequently sponta-
neously aborted. She opted to have amniocentesis
in her second pregnancy, but meanwhile a deletion
had been found with pERT87.8/Taq in her dead
affected brother II.4 (subsequently confirmed with
pERT87.1/Xmn, 87.15/Bam, and cDNA 1–3). This
deletion was found also in her male fetus which was
terminated at 22 weeks. This proved her to be an
obligate carrier, but nevertheless she declined test-
ing of her third pregnancy which produced a boy
whose CK at five months of age was 8210 IU/l.
Duchenne muscular dystrophy was confirmed by
showing the familial deletion in his DNA. Mean-
while she had become pregnant for a fourth time.
CVS yielded only enough tissue for fetal sexing and

FIG 3 Pedigree of three illustrative families. Probe data are explained in text.
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culture for DNA analysis. She did not wish to risk termination of pregnancy after 13 weeks and so terminated the male fetus at 12 weeks.

Discussion

This analysis of 115 women of reproductive age at risk of carrying the DMD gene has been of major importance in clarifying their risk status. For 34 women (30%) we were able to assign low risk (table 5) and this represented a doubling of the number at low risk, the majority of these now having risks of 1% or less (fig 1). The 81 (70%) remaining women could all consider prenatal diagnosis with varying degrees of confidence (tables 4 and 5). Thirty-three (29%) could have prenatal diagnosis based on the presence of a deletion in an affected male relative. Twenty-one (18%) could have prenatal diagnosis or exclusion testing based on linked DNA markers with an error rate of less than 4%, and in the remaining 27 (23%) the error rate would be 4% or more. The term ‘error rate’ in this context refers to the risk that a male fetus inheriting the low risk allele will in fact be affected. Strictly speaking the risk of a male fetus inheriting the high risk allele and being unaffected should also be considered, but in practice this cannot be accurately measured because these males are usually terminated. Using a deletion for prenatal diagnosis is likely to reduce both types of error.

DNA analysis improves the discrimination between carriers and non-carriers as shown in fig 2. The curve based on DNA results has a steeper slope than the CK based curve and approximates more closely to the ideal step graph. The risk for the group as a whole is not altered, and it can be seen that the areas between the curves marked a and b are approximately equal. Creatine kinase analysis thus remains useful and should continue to be used in conjunction with DNA analysis for three reasons. Firstly, it is a good discriminator when used with pedigree analysis, especially for women at low prior risk. Secondly, DNA based risks are still dependent on the creatinine kinase values of the mother of the affected boy in isolated case pedigrees. Thirdly, it provides a valuable check against recombination, as it is probable that some of the subjects in the middle of fig 1, whose high (or low) risks based on CK were altered towards the 50% level by DNA analysis, were recombinants. When recombination occurs between RFLPs precise calculation of risk is not possible. In such cases we have offered prenatal diagnosis to all those at significant risk. There has also been a progressive change of strategy: women who are already at high risk on CK and pedigree analysis are unlikely to have their risk changed much by linkage analysis (fig 1), as has also been reported by others. In such women, searching for a deletion in the affected male therefore takes priority over linkage analysis.

In 19 cases we were able to use DNA samples from affected males who were dead at the time of analysis to alter risks or provide prenatal diagnosis for female relatives. There were six women at high risk in whom a prenatal exclusion test with a 4% or greater error rate cannot be improved on because the affected male died unsampled, sometimes many years before DNA banking became available. Banking of DNA from all affected males currently alive is essential to reduce this problem in future, and has been an integral part of our programme since molecular approaches were introduced.

cDNA deletions were detected in 50% of affected males in this series (tables 1 and 4) and this is similar to results from other series. Twenty-one women from families without a deletion still require prenatal diagnosis, but would have to rely on linked markers with 4% or greater error rate. The situation is particularly unfavourable for mothers of isolated cases who have no other son to allow detection of phase. It is likely that many of these will rely on fetal sexing, or await improvements in molecular techniques that will allow detection of the DMD mutation in all affected boys.

Throughout this and the accompanying paper we have arbitrarily described risks of less than 5% as low. This is certainly not the perception of all women in this risk group and one has already requested prenatal diagnosis based on a deletion (table 6). Prenatal deletion testing may have more general application in low risk pregnancies; since an abnormal result is unlikely, and since normal fetuses will not be terminated unlike the situation when linked markers are used, such a test could be done primarily for reassurance. Caution is needed before applying this strategy widely because the main complications of CVS, namely failure to obtain a sample and increased miscarriage risk, become proportionally more important. Conversely, one obligate carrier has opted to take prenatal diagnosis with linked markers at a 5% ‘error rate’. The resulting boy had normal creatine kinase values at three months. It is unwise to categorise women by magnitude of risk only, since attitudes to risk vary widely between women; this has been the subject of a separate survey.

It is too soon to validate DNA based carrier risks by scoring pregnancy outcome as in the previous paper. Table 6 and the illustrative case histories above show that many women have been helped by DNA analysis. Nevertheless, when any new technique is introduced there will be some who will lose
rather than gain and it is clear that the woman in family D46 has been very unfortunate. We have attempted to ensure that the results of DNA analysis have been available before pregnancy is undertaken whenever possible. This undoubtedly makes counselling easier as well as saving laboratory time. A deletion or RFLP informative for prenatal diagnosis can now almost always be found if there is sufficient time. Many girls on our register are currently too young to reproduce, but DNA samples from the relevant family members are already banked, in particular from affected males. We have a policy of obtaining blood samples for CK analysis at the age of 15 and performing DNA analysis at the same time. This allows us to offer definitive risk estimation and counselling before pregnancy is undertaken in most cases.

We have shown in the accompanying paper how recurrence of DMD in a family has often happened before diagnosis was made in the first case. As there is no curative treatment for DMD it has traditionally been considered an unsuitable disease for newborn screening, while selective screening of infants with delayed motor development has not proved fully effective.30 However, now that carrier testing and prenatal diagnosis of DMD are firmly established, the desirability of newborn screening for all males should be reconsidered. Effective detection and counselling of such families should reduce the recurrence of DMD still further.
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