Immunodeficiency, centromeric heterochromatin instability of chromosomes 1, 9, and 16, and facial anomalies: the ICF syndrome

PAOLA MARASCHIO*, ORSETTA ZUFFARDI*†, TIZIANA DALLA FIOR‡, AND LUCIANO TIEPOLO*

From **Biologia Generale e Genetica Medica, University of Pavia*; †*Cattedra di Biologia Generale, Facoltà di Medicina, University of Firenze*; and ‡*Ospedale S Chiara, Trento, Italy*.

SUMMARY Instability of the heterochromatic centromeric regions of chromosomes 1, 9, and 16 associated with immunodeficiency was found in a four year old girl. Similar phenotypic and chromosomal abnormalities were described in a previous patient studied by us and in four other published cases. All these patients have facial anomalies in addition to combined immunodeficiency and chromosomal instability. Stretching of the heterochromatic centromeric regions of chromosomes 1, 16, and to a lesser extent, 9 and homologous and non-homologous associations of these regions were the most common cytogenetic findings in all the patients. Multi-branched configurations and whole arm deletions of chromosomes 1 or 16 or both were also found.

Comparing clinical and chromosomal data we conclude that immunodeficiency, centromeric heterochromatin instability, and facial anomalies form a new syndrome, for which we propose the acronym ICF. A mutation interfering with the normal process of condensation of part of the centromeric heterochromatin is postulated as the basic chromosome defect in this syndrome.

At the 1978 Symposium of the European Society of Human Genetics two independent cases of immunodeficiency associated with chromosome multi-branching were reported by Hultén¹ and by Tiepolo *et al*² and one of them was published in detail.³ Two similar cases have since been described by Fryns *et al*⁴ and Howard *et al*,⁵ and another has been recently found by G Valkova (1986, personal communication).

In all these patients, combined immunodeficiency was associated with facial anomalies and instability of the centromeric regions of chromosomes 1, 9, and 16. In the case of Hultén,¹ centromeric instability was reported at first only in chromosome 1, but further analysis revealed the involvement of chromosomes 9 and 16 as well (M Hultén, 1986, personal communication).

We describe in detail a patient with similar chromosomal and clinical features⁶ and re-examine the clinical and cytogenetic findings of our first patient.

Case reports

PRESENT CASE

The proband was born in August 1981 to non-consanguineous parents, the mother aged 37 years and the father 68. The latter has agenesis of the gallbladder and one of his brothers developed bronchiectasis when young and died in adulthood from lung cancer. The older sister of the proband, born in 1972, is healthy. The patient was born at term by normal delivery with a birth weight of 3050 g. Up to two years her growth and development were normal. She started to walk at 16 months and the other milestones were normal.

From two years of age she suffered recurrent pulmonary infections and diarrhoea in the summer time. At the age of four years three months she was admitted to hospital with pneumonia. Her height was 98 cm (below the 25th centile) and her weight 13 kg (below the 3rd centile). Her face was dysmorphic with hypertelorism, flat nasal bridge, low set ears, and protrusion of the tongue.

Psychomotor development was not evaluated but she appeared 'slow'.

Chest x ray showed opacity in the lower left segment and tomography led to a diagnosis of bronchiectasis. Skull and paranasal sinus x rays were normal. Content of sweat chloride was 47 mEq/l (normal values up to 60 mEq/l) and a slight hypochromic anaemia was found (Hb 9.9 g/dl). Blood levels of d-xylose after oral administration revealed normal absorption. Tests for autoantibodies gave negative results.

Quantitative determination of serum proteins showed a decrease in IgG (681 mg/dl, normal values 800 to 1800), IgA (4.7 mg/dl, normal values 90 to 450), and IgM (9.4 mg/dl, normal values 60 to 250).

In March 1986 she was again admitted to hospital with pneumonia and at that time a chromosome analysis was requested because of her dysmorphic facies.

THE CASE OF TIEPOLO ET AL³

This patient died in 1978 and we could only re-examine his medical records, which showed that facial dysmorphism was present in this patient also. He is reported to have had a flat facies (prominence of malar and maxillary bones), freckles without telangiectasia, epicanthus, and a low nasal bridge. As reported, he had severe psychomotor retardation with an ataxic gait, choreoathetoid tremors, and progressive neurological degeneration. From three months of age he had recurrent respiratory infections and IgA and IgE deficiency was diagnosed. A brother died at three years after recurrent respiratory infections and a sister died at one year for unknown reasons.

CYTOGENETIC INVESTIGATIONS

In a first 72 hour blood culture in Difco medium, multi-branched configurations and breakages at the centromeric region of chromosome 16 were observed but not quantified because of the poor standard of the preparations. A second blood sample was cultured for 48, 72, and 96 hours in Difco and RPMI media. In these cultures, analysed with Q, C, and DA-DAPI banding, fragility of the centromeric regions of chromosomes 1, 9, and 16 were found (table 1). The most frequent abnormalities were various degrees of stretching, up to breakage, of the centromeric heterochromatin of chromosome 16 (fig 1 a-c). The long arm of this chromosome was deleted in some cells and duplicated in others, forming a multi-branched configuration (figs 1f-j and 2).

Homologous and non-homologous associations of the centromeric regions of chromosomes 1, 16, and, less frequently, 9 were also found. In some cells these associations formed a single block of cen-

TABLE 1 Frequencies of abnormalities in present case.

Medium/hours in culture	Arm deletion or duplication			Multi-branched 16pqq			Associations of centromeric regions						Total mitoses	Mitoses with abnormalities	%
	Stretching or breakage	16q-	16p-	16q+	16p+	16q-	1/1	1/16	1/9	16/16	16/1	1/11/16			
RPMI/48	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	42	3	7
RPMI/72	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	40	61	47
RPMI/96	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	40	81	45
Difco/48	11	7	—	—	—	—	3	2	1	—	3	—	141	106	75
Difco/72	9	3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	100	61	61
Difco/96	2	6	1	—	—	—	—	2	1	—	1	—	60	28	46
Total	22	17	1	1	12	3	3	7	2	9	1	—	423	235	55

*Including the association of a 16q-.

FIG 1 Stretching of the centromeric heterochromatin (a-c), whole arm deletions (d, e), and long arm duplications (f-j) of chromosome 16. (a, h) Giemsa staining; (b, d, e, i, j) Q banding; (c, f, g) DA-DAPI banding.

FIG 2 Multi-branched configurations of chromosome 16. (a, b) DA-DAPI, (c) Q, and (d) C banding.

centromeric heterochromatin (fig 3). In a proportion of cells one or more whole chromosomes appeared less spirals than the others (fig 4). This phenomenon was present in 40 out of 225 cells (17.7%) from 48 hour cultures; in 72 and 96 hour cultures the frequency was 1/100 and 4/100 respectively. Identification of the despiralised chromosomes was

possible in only a few cells and mainly in those in which only some of the chromosomes were despiralised. In these cases despiralisation of one or both chromosomes 2 was the most frequent finding.

In some of the cells, among the despiralised chromosomes, 1, 16, and 9 were identified sporadically (fig 4c). In the light of these findings, we re-examined the slides of our first case: 17/52 (32.6%) and 12/50 (24%) cells with despiralised chromosomes in 48 and 72 hour cultures were found, but identification of these chromosomes was not possible. In a control culture from a normal female using Difco medium, one cell with despiralisation was observed out of 250 analysed. No abnormality was found in fibroblast cultures from our patient and in blood cultures from her parents and sister.

Mitomycin C (MMC) induces chromosomal aberrations⁷ which in part overlap with those found in patients with centromeric fragility and immunodeficiency. We treated blood cultures in RPMI medium from our patient and from a normal subject with MMC, at concentrations of 50 and 80 ng/ml for 96 hours (table 2). This table shows the frequencies of the specific anomalies of chromosomes 1, 9, and 16 and of those found in all other chromosomes.

The frequency of centromeric associations, stretching, and multi-branching in the patient's cultures treated with MMC was from four (MMC 80 ng) to more than six (MMC 50 ng) times higher than

FIG 3 Associations of the centromeric regions of chromosomes 1/1 (a, b), 1/16 (c, d), 1/1/16 (e), 1/9 (f), 16/16 (g), and 1/16p (h). (a, c, e, f, g, h) Q banding, (b, d) DA-DAPI. In the latter the two centromeric regions appear fused in a single heterochromatic block.

in treated cultures from controls after correction for the number of spontaneously occurring anomalies in cultures from the patient.

In the patient's cultures the frequency of induced anomalies was also increased for chromosomes other than 1, 9, and 16, if compared with that found in treated controls: 1.7 and 2.3 times higher in cultures with 80 and 50 ng of MMC respectively.

Discussion

Anomalies of the centromeric regions of chromosomes 1, 9, and 16 were found in all patients shown in table 3, with the exception of the case of Howard *et al.*⁵ in which chromosome 9 was not involved. This chromosome was also less frequently involved than chromosomes 1 and 16 in the different anomalies in the other cases and never showed arm deletions or multi-branching. Associations, stretching, arm losses, and multi-branching of chromosomes 1 and 16 were observed in all cases, except in our present one, where chromosome 1 was never found to form multi-branched configurations.

Occasional cells with stretching of the centromeric

region of chromosome 2 were found by Fryns *et al.*⁴ and by G Valkova (1986, personal communication). We did not find this abnormality, although this chromosome was entirely decondensed in some cells of our present case (fig 4a, b). As shown in table 1, the overall frequency of anomalies in the present case varied both among cultures in different media and among different culture times. In Difco medium the frequencies of abnormal cells were generally higher than in RPMI; the main difference between the two media was found in 48 hour cultures, in which only 7% of the cells were abnormal in RPMI, while in Difco the frequency was 74%. At 72 and 96 hours the differences in the frequencies of abnormalities were less striking.

An increased frequency of abnormal cells with increasing culture times, as observed in our first patient, was found in the present case only from 48 to 72 hours in RPMI medium.

In our first patient and in those of Hultén¹ and Howard *et al.*⁵ multi-branched configurations and arm losses or duplications were not present in 48 hour cultures, but this was not observed in our present patient, in whom these rearrangements were found mainly in 48 hour Difco cultures.

FIG 4 Undercondensation of whole chromosomes. One chromosome 2 is undercondensed in (a); two chromosomes 2 and one chromosome 13 in (b); chromosomes 1, 9, and 16 in (c); and most of the chromosomes in (d). In a, b, and c arrows point to the undercondensed chromosomes (Q banding).

We do not know if these differences in the frequencies of the various types of anomalies, both among cultures of the same patient and among different patients, are due to different selectivity of the medium used or to the fact that different media may selectively enhance the specific chromosomal abnormalities. For instance, a medium with no or very low levels of folic acid may, like the cases of folate sensitive sites, induce a higher frequency of chromosomal abnormalities. In fact, had we used only cultures in RPMI, we would probably have made a diagnosis of 16qh+ (the predominant abnormality in these cultures) and the true chromosomal situation of the patient could have remained undetected.

No abnormality was found in fibroblast cultures from our cases and from those of Hultén¹ and Fryns *et al.*⁴ Only Howard *et al.*⁵ reported centromeric instability of chromosome 1 in 45% of the fibroblasts analysed.

Comparing the clinical data there is a high degree of similarity among the phenotypes of the six patients (table 4). Various facial abnormalities, mainly epicanthus, hypertelorism, and macroglossia, were reported for all of them. Developmental delay and recurrent infections were also present in all the cases. The immunodeficiency is always of the combined type, with reduced IgA, IgG, and IgM, the only exception being our first patient who had IgA and IgE deficiency.

TABLE 2 Effect of MMC on blood cultures from present case.

Culture dose of MMC	Anomalies of chromosomes 1, 9, 16				Anomalies of chromosomes 1, 9, 16				Total anomalies	Frequency	
	Associations			Multi- branching	Total	Frequency	Corrected frequency	Total			Frequency
	Stretching	Total	Frequency								
Patient	0	17	1	18	0.45	—	0	—	40	18	0.45
Patient 50 ng/ml	3	52	1	56	1.93	(1-48)	23	0.79	29	79	2.72
Control 50 ng/ml	0	6	0	6	0.22	—	9	0.33	27	15	0.55
Patient 80 ng/ml	5	50	2	57	1.63	(1-18)	59	1.68	35	116	3.31
Control 80 ng/ml	1	11	0	12	0.28	—	41	0.98	42	53	1.26

Figures in brackets represent corrected frequencies of anomalies obtained by subtracting the frequency of spontaneously occurring anomalies of chromosomes 1, 9, and 16 (0.45) from those found in cultures with MMC.

Both our present case and that of G Valkova (1986, personal communication) support the suggestion of Howard *et al*⁵ that fragility of chromosomes 1 and 16 (and to a lesser extent 9), facial abnormalities, and combined immunodeficiency characterise a new syndrome. We examined several cases with combined immunodeficiency but without facial abnormalities (one of them familial) without finding any centromeric instability.

In an attempt to clarify the basic defect causing the chromosomal abnormalities found in these patients, we must consider that the centromeric regions of chromosomes 1, 9, 16, 15, and Y represent a special class of heterochromatin, characterised by positive staining with DA-DAPI,^{8,9,10} by highly methylated DNA,^{9,10} and by undercondensation and association in metaphase after treatment with 5-azacytidine.¹¹

This characteristic appears of particular interest, causing chromosomal abnormalities similar, at least in part, to those found in our patients. This cytidine analogue, incorporated into DNA during duplication, would interfere with DNA methylation, a probable prerequisite for normal chromosome condensation during mitosis.¹²

According to Schmid *et al*^{11,13} the persistence of a decondensed state of heterochromatic regions after DNA duplication would preserve their interphase somatic pairing and would therefore cause their association in metaphase. A defect in the structure of the heterochromatic regions similar to that caused by 5-azacytidine could explain all the anomalies found in our patients: in fact, undercondensed centromeric regions, in addition to homologous or non-homologous association in metaphase, can be prone to a high frequency of breakage and interchange among the associated chromosomes, leading to whole arm loss, to the fusion in a single chromocentre of the centromeric heterochromatin of associated chromosomes, and to multi-branched configurations.

However, the spontaneous anomalies found in the patients with immunodeficiency and those caused by 5-azacytidine are not exactly overlapping, mainly because in the former chromosomes 15 and Y do not show any abnormality.

This discrepancy could indicate either differences in the composition of heterochromatin between chromosomes 1, 9, and 16 and 15 and Y or that mitotic condensation of these two sub-groups of heterochromatin are under separate control. If a difference in the composition of heterochromatin between 1, 9, and 16 and 15 and Y exists, this does not seem to be in the classes of satellite DNA present; in fact, in chromosomes 9, 15, and Y all

TABLE 3 Involvement of chromosomes 1, 9, and 16 in the anomalies present in patients with immunodeficiency and centromeric heterochromatin instability.

Reference	Chromosomes involved in			
	Association	Stretching or breakage	Breakage with arm loss	Multi-branching
1	1, 9, 16	1, ?	1, ?	1, ?
3	1, 9, 16	1, 16	1, 16	1, 16
4	1, 16	1, 9, 16	1, 16	1, 16
5	1, 16	1, 16	1, 16	1, 16
G Valkova (1986, personal communication)	1, 9, 16	1, 9, 16	1, 16	1, 16
Present case ^a	1, 9, 16	1, 9, 16	1, 16	16

TABLE 4 Clinical and laboratory data of patients with immunodeficiency and centromeric heterochromatin instability of chromosomes 1, 9, and 16.

	Hultén ¹	Tiepolo et al ³	Fryns et al ⁴	Howard et al ⁵	G Valkova (1986, personal communication)	Present case ⁶
Sex	M	M	F	M	F	F
Parental age: mother, father	?	36, 37	26, 26	?	?	37, 68
Age at examination	5 y	11 y	3 mth	4 y	4 mth	4 y
Delivery	?	Term	Term	Term	?	Term
Birth weight (g)	?	3400	2600	2600	3400	3050
Facial abnormalities	+	+	+	+	+	+
Developmental delay	+	+	+	+	+	+
Mental retardation	-	+	?	+	?	-
Recurrent infections	+	+	+	+	+	+
Malabsorption	+	-	+	-	-	-
Immunodeficiency	+	+	+	+	+	+
IgA	R	R	R	R	R	R
IgE	N	R	N	N	?	N
IgG	R	N	R	R	R	R
IgM	R	N	R	R	R	R
Cell mediated immunity	R	R	N	N	R	?

N=normal, R=reduced.

four classes of satellite DNA are represented, while in 1 and 16 only sat II is found.¹⁴

In *Drosophila melanogaster*, Gatti et al¹⁵ demonstrated that mitotic condensation of euchromatin and heterochromatin are under separate genetic control, having identified a mutant responsible for decondensation of all the heterochromatic regions in metaphase. It can be postulated that in man different sub-groups of heterochromatin are under the control of different genes for their condensation. In man heterogeneity in the time of duplication of heterochromatin has already been demonstrated.¹⁶ Heterogeneity in the control of condensation of heterochromatin could be an obvious consequence of this heterogeneity in time of duplication.

In our first case³ a brother of the proband died in infancy after recurrent bronchitis and pulmonary infections. Also in the case observed by G Valkova (1986, personal communication), a brother of the proband was probably affected.

In conclusion, combined immunodeficiency, instability of the heterochromatic centromeric regions of chromosomes 1, 9, and 16, and facial anomalies seem to characterise a new syndrome. The chromosomal anomalies found in this syndrome could be due to an autosomal recessive mutation interfering with the normal process of condensation of part of the centromeric heterochromatin. We propose the acronym ICF for this syndrome.

We are grateful to Professor M Fraccaro for critical reading of the manuscript.

Note added in proof

We cultured a further blood sample obtained from our patient in January 1987 for 96 hours in 199 medium, to verify the effect of a low concentration of folic acid on the frequency of abnormal cells. As controls, lymphocytes were cultured in RPMI and

in Difco media. The frequencies of mitoses with anomalies were 62/200 (31%) in 199, 51/100 in RPMI, and 83/139 (60%) in Difco. These results do not support the hypothesis that the frequency of chromosomal abnormalities is related to the concentration of folic acid in the medium, as postulated on page 177.

The case quoted in this paper as a personal communication by G Valkova has been published in *Clin Genet* 1987;**31**:119–24.

References

- ¹ Hultén M. Selective somatic pairing and fragility at 1q12 in a boy with common variable immunodeficiency. *Clin Genet* 1978;**14**: 294.
- ² Tiepolo L, Maraschio P, Gimelli G, Cuoco C, Gargani GF, Romano C. Concurrent instability at specific sites of chromosome 1, 9 and 16 resulting in multi-branched structures. *Clin Genet* 1978;**14**:313–4.
- ³ Tiepolo L, Maraschio P, Gimelli G, Cuoco C, Gargani GF, Romano C. Multibranched chromosomes 1, 9 and 16 in a patient with combined IgA and IgE deficiency. *Hum Genet* 1979;**51**: 127–37.
- ⁴ Fryns JP, Azou M, Jacken J, Eggermont E, Pedersen JC, Van den Berghe H. Centromeric instability of chromosomes 1, 9 and 16 associated with combined immunodeficiency. *Hum Genet* 1981;**57**:108–10.
- ⁵ Howard PJ, Lewis IJ, Harris S, Walker S. Centromeric instability of chromosomes 1 and 16 with variable immune deficiency: a new syndrome. *Clin Genet* 1985;**27**:501–5.
- ⁶ Maraschio P, Zuffardi O, Dalla Fior T, Tiepolo L. Centromeric instability of chromosomes 1, 9 and 16, immunodeficiency, facial abnormalities and developmental delay: a new syndrome. *Abstracts of the Seventh International Congress of Human Genetics, Berlin, 22–26 September 1986. Part I*: 109.
- ⁷ Morad M, Jonasson J, Lindsten J. Distribution of mitomycin C induced breaks on human chromosomes. *Hereditas* 1973;**74**: 273–82.
- ⁸ Schweizer D, Ambros P, Andrie M. Modification of DAPI banding on human chromosomes by prestaining with a DNA-binding oligopeptide antibiotic, distamycin A. *Exp Cell Res* 1978;**111**:327–32.
- ⁹ Miller OJ, Schmedl W, Allen J, Erlanger BF. 5-Methylcytosine localized in mammalian constitutive heterochromatin. *Nature* 1974;**251**:636–7.
- ¹⁰ Schmedl W, Dev VG, Tantravahi R, Miller DA, Erlanger BF, Miller OJ. 5-Methylcytosine in heterochromatic regions of chromosomes: chimpanzee and gorilla compared to the human. *Chromosoma* 1975;**52**:59–66.
- ¹¹ Schmid M, Grunert D, Haaf T, Engel WA. Direct demonstration of somatically paired heterochromatin of human chromosomes. *Cytogenet Cell Genet* 1983;**36**:554–61.
- ¹² Viegas-Pequignot E, Dutrillaux B. Segmentation of human chromosomes induced by 5-ACR (5-azacytidine). *Hum Genet* 1976;**34**:247–54.
- ¹³ Schmid M, Haaf T, Grunert D. 5-Azacytidine-induced undercondensations in human chromosomes. *Hum Genet* 1984;**67**: 257–63.
- ¹⁴ Miklos GLG, John B. Heterochromatin and satellite DNA in man: properties and prospects. *Am J Hum Genet* 1979;**31**: 264–80.
- ¹⁵ Gatti M, Smith DA, Baker BS. A gene controlling condensation of heterochromatin in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Science* 1983; **221**:83–5.
- ¹⁶ Camargo M, Cervena J. Patterns of DNA replication of human chromosomes. II. Replication map and replication model. *Am J Hum Genet* 1982;**34**:757–80.

Correspondence and requests for reprints to Dr Paola Maraschio, Dipartimento di Patologia Umana ed Ereditaria, Sezione di Biologia Generale e Genetica Medica, CP 217, I–27100 Pavia, Italy.