Familial complex autosomal translocations involving chromosomes 7, 8, and 9 exhibiting male and female transmission with segregation and recombination
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SUMMARY A family showing a complex translocation between chromosomes 7, 8, and 9 with breakpoints at 7q21, 7q33, 8p23, and 9p23 is described. The proband had been referred because of repeated spontaneous abortions. This is only the second family to be ascertained in this way. Twenty-three other cases of complex translocations are briefly reviewed, eight of which were de novo in origin and 15 familial. All but one of the familial cases showed maternal transmission only. The present family shows both maternal and paternal transmission and is thought to be the first exhibiting recombination from a male carrier. The origin and transmission of the complex translocation is followed through three generations.

Complex translocations which may result in the meiotic arrangement of a hexavalent structure imply the involvement of at least three chromosomes from different homologous pairs. Twenty-three such cases1-23 have been reported since the advent of chromosomal banding techniques. Reports on persons or families showing independent translocations which allow only up to one or more possible quadrivalent structure at meiosis are excluded.

In the 23 published cases, eight1-8 were of de novo origin and 159-23 were familial, showing evidence of transmission. Fourteen of the familial cases were maternally transmitted and only one10 showed paternal transmission of a complex three chromosome translocation. Crossover products following meiotic pairing were evident in two cases22 23 arising from female carriers of the complex translocation.

This paper briefly reviews the 23 reported cases and describes a further family exhibiting complex translocations arising from four breaks between chromosomes 7, 8, and 9 in three generations with both male and female transmission. This case is thought to be the first to show evidence of recombination from a male carrier.

Family history

The proband (fig 1, III.10) and her husband (III.11) were first referred by the consultant gynaecologist for cytogenetic studies when 31 and 34 years of age respectively. At that time there had been eight pregnancies between them resulting in six spontaneous abortions and only two living, apparently normal, female children (IV.8, IV.12). The abortions had all occurred in the first trimester and suggested a possible chromosomal problem as there was no evidence of any mechanical abnormality of the uterus or any other underlying disease. The proband informed the gynaecologist that her mother had also had recurrent abortions.

Chromosomal analysis on the first specimens of blood received showed that whereas her husband had a normal karyotype, the proband had a complex translocation karyotype. There was clear evidence of one normal chromosome 7 and a deleted 7q with the q arm translocated onto one 9p. The terminal bands of the translocation 7q arm (7q33→7qter) appeared absent, however, and one 8p arm was longer than its partner. This was confirmed by a further blood specimen and clarified by evidence obtained from blood specimens from the parents (II.2, II.3) of the proband. Whereas the mother had a normal karyotype, the father exhibited a different balanced arrangement from that seen in his daughter with two reciprocal translocations, t(7q;9p) and t(7q;8p), involving both homologues of chromosome 7. Appropriate genetic counselling was given.

The pedigree (fig 1) shows those members of the family who have since been investigated. These include the only other surviving member in genera-
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Cytogenetic studies

As the family lives in different areas of the northwest, collaboration between Liverpool Regional Cytogenetics Unit and Manchester (St Mary’s Hospital) Cytogenetics Laboratory was arranged. Blood specimens from the proband (fig 1, III.10), her husband (III.11), her sister (III.3), and her two daughters (IV.8, IV.12), and the amniotic fluid from IV.15 were examined in Liverpool. Blood specimens from family members II.2, II.3, II.9, and III.1, together with the products of conception from the pregnancy IV.16, were investigated in Manchester. Trypsin-Giemsa banding of chromosomes was carried out on all specimens. Details of chromosomes 7, 8, and 9 in all relevant family members are shown in fig 2 and the positions of the translocation breakpoints in fig 3.

The father of the proband (II.3, now dead) was found to have two reciprocal translocations arising from four breaks involving both homologues of chromosome 7 and one of each chromosome 8 and 9. He had a chromosomal complement of...
Partial karyotypes of family members showing the translocation t(7;8) in II.9 and the complex translocation t(7;8),t(7;9) in II.3. Subsequent recombination and segregation products are shown in generations III and IV (see text for details and fig 1 for full pedigree). Details of the breakpoints and diagrammatic representation of the arrangements in subjects II.3 and III.10 (the proband) are given in fig 3.
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(a) Chromosomes 7, 8, and 9 showing the breakpoints involved.
(b) Complex translocation between chromosomes 7, 8, and 9 present in II.3 (see fig 2).
(c) Complex translocation between chromosomes 7, 8, and 9 present in III.10 (see fig 2).

FIG 3 Diagrammatic representation of chromosomes 7, 8, and 9 and the complex translocations seen in the family.
46,XY,t(7;8)(q33;p23),t(7;9)(q21;p23) which was balanced and would probably have given rise to a hexavalent configuration (ring or chain) during meiotic pairing. His only living sib, a brother (II.9), carries a single reciprocal translocation between chromosomes 7 and 8 with a complement of 46,XY,t(7;8)(q33;p23).

The proband (III.10) and her sister (III.3) both show recombination products in their chromosomal complements. The sister has a normal chromosomal complement (46,XX,rec(7)pat), having obtained through recombination a normal chromosome 7 as well as normal chromosomes 8 and 9 from her father. The proband has received a derived 7, a derived 8, and a recombination 9 from her father, such that her chromosomal complement is 46,XX,−7,−8,−9,der(7),t(7;9)(q21;p23)pat,+der(8),t(7;8)(q33;p23)pat,+rec(9),t(7;8)(t(7;9)(q21q33;p23;p23)pat. The recombination chromosome 9 involved all three chromosomes in the arrangement t(7;8;9) (9pter→9p23::7q21→7q33::8p23→8pter).

Two (IV.8, IV.15) of the three daughters of the proband have normal chromosomal complements (46,XX), while the third daughter (IV.12) has the same balanced complex chromosomal translocation as her mother. The products of conception from abortus IV.16 showed an unbalanced chromosomal arrangement with 46,XY,−9,der(9)mat. The abortus was therefore trisomic for 7q21→7q33, trisomic for 8p23→8pter, and monosomic for 9p23→9pter.

The only cousin (fig 1, III.1) of the proband available for cytogenetic investigation has a normal chromosomal complement (46,XY).

**Discussion**

The finding of complex translocations in the general population is rare, but the frequency of occurrence is probably much higher, many remaining undetected due to the lack of clinical or phenotypic effects when in the balanced state. Ascertainment (table 1) of the eight de novo cases has been through children with dysmorphic features and mental retardation associated with small chromosomal deletions, 1, 2, 3, 4 or an apparently balanced karyotype, 5, 6, 7 or through adult males exhibiting infertility. 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 In the cases showing familial transmission 14, 15, 16 and the present report, only two of the 16 families were ascertained through repeated abortions (reference 22 and the present case). One case 11 was ascertained through a single abortus investigated in a survey of spontaneous abortions and found to have an unbalanced karyotype. The 13 others 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 were ascertained through an abnormal child, each having a partial trisomy due to unbalanced segregation of the complex translocation. On investigation, a further two of these families 10, 12, 17 also showed evidence of frequent miscarriages. The frequency of recurrent abortions seen from parental carriers of complex translocations

---

**TABLE 1 Ascertainment of cases exhibiting complex translocations.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Chromosomes involved</th>
<th>Familial/ de novo</th>
<th>Ascertainment through</th>
<th>Abnormal child (sex)</th>
<th>Male infertility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5,9</td>
<td>DN</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mildly dysmorphic (F)</td>
<td>Subfertile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.7,15</td>
<td>DN</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interdel 1q4 (M)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.1,14</td>
<td>DN</td>
<td></td>
<td>Retardation/dysmorphic (F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.7,21, X</td>
<td>DN</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mild mental retardation (M)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11,12,21</td>
<td>DN</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interdel 1q4 (M)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.4,7,8</td>
<td>DN</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial trisomy 1q4 (F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.5,10,12</td>
<td>DN</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial trisomy 1q8 (M)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.8,14</td>
<td>Fam</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trisomy 9p (M)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.14,20</td>
<td>Fam</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial trisomy 1q (F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.7,17</td>
<td>Fam</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trisomy 1q1 (F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.4,14</td>
<td>Fam</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial trisomy 1q3 (F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>9,13,14</td>
<td>Fam</td>
<td></td>
<td>Translocation trisomy 21 (F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>11,12,13</td>
<td>Fam</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trisomy 1q9 (M)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>7,11,21</td>
<td>Fam</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial trisomy 1q (F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>9,21,22</td>
<td>Fam</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial trisomy 1q3 (F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.11,20</td>
<td>Fam</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial trisomy 1q (F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.6,9</td>
<td>Fam</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial trisomy 1q3 (F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>6.10,13</td>
<td>Fam</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial trisomy 1q (F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.5,6</td>
<td>Fam</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial trisomy 1q3 (F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.8,15</td>
<td>Fam</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial trisomy 1q3 (F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2.3,13</td>
<td>Fam</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial trisomy 1q3 (F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>5.7,12</td>
<td>Fam</td>
<td>++ + + + +</td>
<td>Partial trisomy 1q (M)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.6,7</td>
<td>Fam</td>
<td>++ + + + +</td>
<td>Partial trisomy 1q (M)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present case</td>
<td>7,8,9</td>
<td>Fam</td>
<td>++ + + + +</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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appears lower than might be expected in such families.

Within the progeny cytogenetically examined from the 16 carrier families are seven (five female, two male) with a normal karyotype, 13 (10 female, three male) with a balanced karyotype and 14 (eight female, six male) with an unbalanced karyotype. Although numbers are low, there is an apparent excess of female offspring, particularly with a normal or balanced karyotype. The ratio of balanced to unbalanced offspring is, however, approximately unity. The majority of the familial cases show the complex translocation to have been maternally transmitted. This, however, may be the result of biased ascertainment, as male carriers may be less likely to produce unbalanced progeny or may be infertile. The three reported de novo cases of complex translocations in adult men show either azoospermia or subfertility. Only two cases (reference 18 and the present case) show paternal transmission of a complex translocation. Neither of the fathers in these families suggests any reduction in ability to effect fertilisation, though in the present case six of the eight pregnancies from the male carrier (fig 1, II.3) resulted in early abortions.

Previous reports have commented upon the frequency of specific chromosomes involved in complex translocations. Only 12 of the combined 20 cases reported then meet the criteria for inclusion here, and the frequency of chromosome involvement seen in the 24 cases referred to here is shown in table 2. Chromosome 7 is involved most frequently. In the present family chromosomes 7, 8, and 9 are involved. The breakpoints which have been recorded in these three chromosomes when found in complex translocations are given in table 3 and suggest a non-random distribution.

Cytogenetic study of the present family has shown both segregation and recombination of the complex translocation arrangement (fig 2). Diagrammatic representation of the translocations in the male carrier (II.3) and the recombination product in his daughter (III.10) is shown in fig 3. The possible hexavalent pairing arrangements in II.3 and III.10 at pachytene of meiosis is shown in fig 4, giving rise to either a ring or chain structure at diakinesis. Only crossing over in the differential segment would be cytologically recognisable in conceptual products. Crossover in the terminal or interstitial segments could not be recognised. Both offspring (III.3, III.10) of the male carrier II.3 show evidence of recombination following crossing over in the differential segment and adjacent 1 (non-homologous) segregation, whereas the three offspring (IV.8, IV.12, IV.15) of the female carrier III.10 show evidence of alternate segregation, and the unbalanced products of conception (IV.16) adjacent 1 segregation but not recombination. Two previous reports also show evidence of recombination in complex translocations but resulting from female carriers. One of these female carriers with a complex translocation involving chromosomes 1, 6, and 7 produced two balanced offspring after recombination, one unbalanced (partial trisomy 7q) through malsegregation, and only a single miscarriage. The other presented as a case with five recurrent abortions and one apparently normal child who was found to have a single balanced translocation after recombination from a complex translocation involving chromosomes 5, 7, and 12.

The frequent early abortions from translocation carriers in the present family (fig 1) are most probably due to malsegregation of the complex arrangement during meiosis, although proof of this is limited to the investigation of the abortus IV.16. If

---

**TABLE 2** Frequency of chromosome involvement in complex translocations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of times involved</th>
<th>Chromosomes involved in complex translocations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>16,18,19,Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17,22,X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,10,15,20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4,8,12,13,21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3,5,6,9,11,14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**TABLE 3** Breakpoints identified in complex translocations involving chromosomes 7, 8, and 9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Chromosomes involved</th>
<th>Breakpoints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,5,9</td>
<td>p21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4,7,15</td>
<td>q22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3,2,11,21.X</td>
<td>p12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2,4,7,8</td>
<td>q11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1,8,14</td>
<td>q22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1,8,14</td>
<td>p23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5,7,17</td>
<td>q24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9,13,14</td>
<td>q12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7,11,21</td>
<td>p22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>9,21,22</td>
<td>q12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4,6,9</td>
<td>p1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1,8,15</td>
<td>q22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>5,7,12</td>
<td>p14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1,6,7</td>
<td>q22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>7,8,9</td>
<td>q23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>5,7,21</td>
<td>q23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>7,8,9</td>
<td>p23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>7,8,9</td>
<td>p23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
Segregation of the meiotic configuration is considered with and without a single crossover in the differential segment, then there are 16 possible arrangements. Only four of these (two crossovers and two non-crossovers) would be balanced (fig 4). In this family, therefore, the theoretical estimate for the expected frequency of balanced and unbalanced products of conception corresponds closely to the actual outcome, assuming the abortions were unbalanced.

The origin of the complex translocation in this family must have occurred in subject II.3. This male carrier possesses two translocated chromosomes 7 with t(7; q; 8p) and t(7; q; 9p). Investigation of his only surviving sib (II.9) shows the presence of t(7; q; 8p) and hence this translocation must have been transmitted from one of the parents I.3 or I.4 (both dead). Investigation of the only other surviving relative in a different branch of the family (nephew III.1) did not reveal any translocation. The translocation t(7q; 8p) must therefore have either been transmitted from one of the parents I.3 or I.4 (but not the one with the translocation t(7; q; 8p)) or have arisen de novo at the time of conception of II.3.

Genetic counselling in families of this kind is obviously strongly advisable, with the offer of cytogenetic prenatal diagnosis in pregnancies of translocation carriers. The degree of risk for a pregnancy with an unbalanced zygote to go to term is much reduced in those families showing repeated abortions (reference 22 and present case) but may be high in others when ascertained through unbalanced progeny.
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Addendum

Since this paper was submitted, a further case25 of a de novo rearrangement involving chromosomes 1, 7, 13, and X has been drawn to the attention of the authors.
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