Investigation of human chromosome polymorphisms by scanning electron microscopy
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SUMMARY Human chromosome polymorphisms were investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Centromeric heterochromatin was of a constricted morphology. The extent of the C banded region was demarcated by a prominent circumferential groove in G banded chromosomes. Circumferential grooves were observed within the heterochromatin of chromosome 9, and the number of grooves present reflected the size of the region. Three dimensional viewing of satellites and short arms of acrocentric chromosomes, from different angles in the SEM, provided the opportunity for accurate assessment of the size of satellites to be made. Also, small morphological variations were defined in the SEM when definition was uncertain in the light microscope (LM).

Chromosome polymorphisms are structural variants of chromosomes displayed most readily at specific chromosome segments, including the highly variable centromeric regions of chromosomes 1, 9, 16, the distal end of the Y chromosome, and the short arms and satellites of acrocentric chromosomes. These regions show a wide variation in size and fluorescent characteristics between persons, and numerous inversions have also been described. They are generally stable, present in all cells in a person, and inherited from one generation to the next in a Mendelian fashion. Therefore, they have been shown to be informative genetic markers, for example, in establishing parental origin of chromosome abnormalities, particularly in the origin of the extra chromosome 21 in Down’s syndrome, and for exclusion of paternity in cases of disputed parentage.

These chromosome polymorphisms appear to have no direct effect on phenotype, although numerous investigators have sought to discover a role for the frequently observed variations, for example, that variants may produce greater instability of the genome, leading ultimately to an association with clinical abnormality or to an increased risk of developing a malignancy.

In light of these suggestions, methods to provide reliable comparisons between polymorphisms are essential. Such comparisons produce problems, even within one study, since techniques vary between laboratories. Numerous statistical methods have been used in attempts to overcome these problems (reviewed in Erdtmann), but these do not appear to be the answer. We present a new method for direct observation of the polymorphisms using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), which eliminates some of the inconsistencies of the technical procedures and permits direct quantitative assessments to be made.

Materials and methods

Heparinised peripheral blood was cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco, Scotland) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum for 72 hours at 37°C. Then, 0-01 μg/ml colcemid (Gibco, Scotland) was added for 1-5 hours. Cells were incubated in 75 mmol/l KCl for 8 minutes at room temperature followed by three washes in methanol:acetic acid fixative (3:1). Chromosome spreads were made by dropping suspension onto coverslips followed by air drying.

Coverslips from those samples showing centromeric or Y chromosome polymorphism were C banded according to the method of Sumner, using barium hydroxide and 2 × SSC at 60°C, followed by staining in 2% Giemsa (R66, Hopkins and Williams) in Gurr’s buffer (pH 6-8) (Searle, Bucks). Cases showing polymorphism of the satellites of the
acrocentric chromosomes were Giemsa stained only.

Direct comparison of metaphases by light microscopy (LM) and SEM was carried out on G banded specimens, prepared directly in parallel. A modification of the Seabright\textsuperscript{27} technique was used, in which coverslips were incubated in 0-025\% trypsin (Wellcome, Beckenham) in 0-85\% saline for 20 to 40 seconds at 37°C, followed by Giemsa staining, as above. In some cases it was possible to examine successfully the same coverslip in the SEM after photography in the LM.

G banded specimens for SEM were fixed in 3\% glutaraldehyde (GDA) (Polaron Equipment Ltd, Watford) in 0-1 mol/l Sørensen’s phosphate buffer (pH 7.4)\textsuperscript{28} for a minimum of 30 minutes. These coverslips were processed for SEM by an osmium tetroxide-thiocarbohydrazide (osmium-TCH) fixation, described in detail elsewhere.\textsuperscript{29} Briefly, specimens removed from GDA were rinsed in Sørensen’s buffer and treated as follows: (1) fixation in 1\% osmium in the same buffer for 10 minutes; (2) three rinses in distilled water; (3) 5 minutes’ incubation in a freshly prepared saturated solution of TCH in distilled water; (4) three further rinses in distilled water; and (5) additional fixation for 10 minutes in 1\% osmium in distilled water. Steps (2) to (5) were repeated. The coverslips were then dehydrated through a graded acetone series (20\% to 100\%), then critical point dried from liquid carbon dioxide with absolute acetone as the transitional fluid. Metaphases were examined in a Cambridge S4–10 stereoscan and an ISI SS 40 electron microscope.

Results

**Centromeric and Y chromosome polymorphisms**

Suitable preparations from persons with polymorphisms at the sites of paracentric secondary constrictions of chromosomes 1, 9, and 16, and the distal portion of the Y chromosome, were initially selected by examination of C banded metaphases in the LM. The C banding technique selectively stains constitutive heterochromatin, exhibited in larger amounts at these locations. More detailed investigations of these polymorphic chromosomes were carried out on G banded metaphases, prepared directly in parallel for LM and SEM, using techniques previously described.\textsuperscript{29, 30}

**Chromosome 9**

Chromosome 9 is the only chromosome of the C group which exhibits frequent and characteristic polymorphisms. The paracentric secondary constriction at the proximal long arm often appears highly constricted in the LM. This feature has also been demonstrated in the SEM. Fig 2a shows a chromosome 9 viewed upside down in the SEM. From this position the constricted nature of the centromeric region was demonstrated most clearly.

The C banding technique revealed this region as a dense heterochromatic block showing considerable variation between persons. Fig 2b and c (upper insets) show a homologous pair of C banded chromosomes 9 in the LM, of which one member exhibits an increased amount of heterochromatin at the centromere (9qh+) (fig 2b, upper inset), when compared to the other chromosome 9 (fig 2c, upper inset). The heterochromatic secondary constriction of the chromosomes 9 remained pale and rather poorly stained in all G banded metaphases examined in the LM (fig 2b and c, lower insets).

After G banding and examination in the LM, fortuitously, the same metaphase was relocated in the SEM. This enabled a direct comparison to be made of the homologous chromosomes 9 by
sequential LM and SEM photography. In these LM photographs the extent of the secondary constriction was demarcated by the proximal boundary of G band 9q21-31 (fig 2b and c, black arrowheads, lower insets) which was demonstrated to correlate with a prominent circumferential groove in both homologues in the SEM (fig 2b and c, white arrows). The centromere was more clearly defined in the SEM (fig 2b and c, black on white arrowheads) than the LM (insets). Therefore, in the SEM the extent of the heterochromatic region between the centromere and the boundary of the secondary constriction were precisely defined, compared to the reduced definition provided by LM of C or G banded preparations.

Also, when observed in the SEM, both chromosomes 9 showed additional circumferential grooves within the heterochromatic region. The 9qh+ showed two grooves within this region (fig 2b, white arrowheads) compared to the normal homologue, in which only one groove was observed (fig 2c, white arrowhead). This distribution of grooves was consistently observed in numerous metaphases from this person. No corresponding G banding pattern was observed in the metaphases in the LM (fig 2b and c, see insets). Therefore the unique observation of variable numbers of intraheterochromatin grooves in the SEM may be used as a reliable evaluation of the relative amounts of heterochromatin in homologous pairs of chromosome 9. To date these grooves have not been observed in polymorphic chromosomes 1 or 16.

A pericentric inversion of the heterochromatin of one chromosome 9 (inv (9)) was observed in a normal subject. This was detected readily by C banding in the LM (fig 2d, upper inset). After G banding, the heterochromatin remained poorly stained when examined in the LM, even when the euchromatin stained very heavily (fig 2d, lower inset). This case also the same chromosome was relocated in the SEM after photography in the LM. The boundary of the secondary constriction was demarcated by a prominent circumferential groove (fig 2d, white arrow) and the heterochromatin...
Investigation of human chromosome polymorphisms by scanning electron microscopy

![Image of chromosome 9 viewed in the SEM, upside down.](image)

**Fig 2** (a) A chromosome 9 viewed in the SEM, upside down. The constricted centromeric region is clearly demonstrated, demarcated by a circumferential groove (white arrow). (Original magnification × 8500.) (b) and (c) A homologous pair of chromosomes 9 viewed firstly in the LM after G banding (lower insets) then in the SEM. A LM C banded pair (prepared in parallel) is shown (upper insets) to the 9q11. (b) shows extra C banded material at the centromere when compared to the smaller 9 (c). The centromeric region stains negatively after G banding in the LM (lower insets) and the extent of this region is demarcated by the proximal boundary of G band 9q21 (black arrowheads) corresponding to a prominent circumferential groove in the SEM (white arrows). The centromeres are clearly defined in the SEM (black on white arrowheads). Within the heterochromatic region circumferential grooves are observed (white arrowheads). (Original magnification × 6000.) (d) This shows an inv (9) detected by C banding (upper inset). This C banded region stains negatively after G banding in the LM (lower inset). When the same chromosome is viewed in the SEM, this region is constricted in appearance and demarcated by a prominent circumferential groove (white arrow). This inversion is complete as no chromatin of constricted morphology is observed on the long arm of this chromosome. (Original magnification × 10000.)

showed a constricted morphology (fig 2d), as observed in the non-inverted chromosomes 9 (fig 2b and c). Inv (9) may show partial or complete inversion of the heterochromatin. LM C banding studies indicated a complete inversion in this subject (fig 2d, upper inset). This was confirmed by SEM studies in which the chromatin of constricted morphology, related to the heterochromatin, was observed in the short arm and was completely absent from the long arm in all metaphases examined (see fig 2d).

**Chromosome 16**

A variable paracentric secondary constriction at the proximal long arm of chromosome 16 is another common site of a human chromosome
polymorphism. A person was observed with an enlarged secondary constriction of one of his chromosomes 16 (16qh+) detected as a large positively stained C band in the LM (fig 3a, lower inset). The C band of the homologous 16 was considerably smaller (fig 3a, upper inset). Although not illustrated here the heterochromatin stained intensely with G banding in a similar manner to chromosome 1. A circumferential groove was demonstrated to demarcate the boundary of the secondary constriction of both the 16qh+ and its homologue (figs 3a and b, white arrows), as also described in chromosomes 1 and 9. This groove was more clearly defined in the 16qh+ when viewed from one side (fig 3b) than in the upright position (fig 3a). The heterochromatin was of a constricted morphology, as displayed in the other polymorphic chromosomes. Therefore the extent of the heterochromatic region was also clearly defined in chromosomes 16.

**Y chromosome**

The distal portion of the long arm of the Y chromosome stains very darkly with C banding techniques (fig 4a, lower inset). This region is highly polymorphic, varying greatly in length and amount of heterochromatin. Fig 4 shows an average sized Y chromosome in the LM ((a) upper inset) and an elongated Y with an increased amount of heterochromatin (Yqh+) ((b) upper inset). After G banding a faint G positive band was observed, corresponding in position to the limit of the heterochromatic region, at the boundary between G bands Yq11 and Yq12. This is shown more clearly in fig 4b (inset). From examination of numerous metaphases in the SEM this G band was found to correlate with a circumferential groove in both chromatids (fig 4a and b, white arrows). Although less pronounced than those grooves corresponding to the boundaries of the paracentric secondary constrictions, this circumferential groove in the Y long arm provided a precise definition of the extent of the heterochromatic region.

**FIG 3** A homologous pair of number 16 chromosomes observed in the SEM. Extra heterochromatic material is revealed in 16qh+ by C banding in the LM (lower inset) when compared to the normal 16 (upper inset). The extent of the heterochromatic region is demarcated by a circumferential groove in the normal 16 and 16qh+ (white arrows). (a) This shows 16qh+ in an upright position with the normal 16 on one side. (b) This shows the same field of view at a different angle, with the normal 16 in an upright position and 16qh+ on one side. When viewed from the side the circumferential grooves are more clearly defined (white arrows). (Original magnification × 7000.)

**FIG 4** (a) An average sized Y chromosome observed in the SEM. The distal end of the Y stains positively after C banding (lower inset). (b) Yqh+ observed in the SEM. After G banding a faint positive band demarcates the extent of the heterochromatin in the LM (upper insets) corresponding to a circumferential groove in the SEM (white arrows). (Original magnification × 7000.)
Variations within the satellited regions of acrocentric chromosomes

The acrocentric chromosomes have the highest incidence of minor variants within the human karyotype, occurring almost exclusively in the satellite region. Variations include size of short arms or satellites or both and were detected by standard staining techniques in the LM (fig 5c and e). To identify correctly those acrocentric chromosomes carrying the polymorphisms, LM banding was necessary. These techniques reduced the definition of the satellites or short arms in some cells, as demonstrated in G banded preparations (fig 5d, f, and h, insets). In the SEM, however, the morphology of the satellites was maintained after G banding and the pattern of circumferential grooves, related to the G bands, allowed the polymorphic chromosomes to be readily identified (fig 5). For example, fig 5a shows a chromosome 14 (left hand side) without satellites, compared with a chromosome 13 with prominent satellites alongside it (right hand side). Fig 5b shows a chromosome 22 with prominent satellites. The satellite morphology was consistently observed from metaphase to metaphase. Therefore, the SEM allowed accurate and unerring detection of D and G group polymorphisms.

Two subjects with enlarged satellites on one each of their chromosomes 21 were observed by LM and SEM (fig 5c and d, and 5e and f). The increased size of the satellites was detected after G banding (fig 5c and e, insets) but was of reduced definition after G banding (fig 5d and f, insets). A more precise observation of the actual size of these satellites was demonstrated by three dimensional viewing in the SEM (fig 5c and e), facilitated by rotation of the specimens and observation of the chromosomes from different angles (fig 5d and f).

Another variation within the centromeric region of one chromosome 21 was identified in a normal subject by SEM. Although recognised as atypical in the LM, the nature of the polymorphism was uncertain when stained and banded preparations were examined (fig 5h, inset). In the SEM, it was apparent that the centromeric region was extended and the long arms were reduced in size (fig 5g). The precise morphology of the variation was confirmed by rotation of the chromosome, in this (fig 5h) and numerous other metaphases in the SEM. Therefore the high resolving capacity of the SEM may be used in the detection of fine morphological variations, difficult to identify by LM techniques.

Discussion

Human chromosome polymorphisms were studied by SEM. Those cases showing variation within the
paracentromeric regions of chromosomes 1, 9, and 16, or at the distal end of the Y chromosome were selected initially by LM C banding, followed by detailed investigation of G banded preparations in the SEM.

In each case, 1qh+, 9qh+, inv (9), and 16qh+, the positively stained heterochromatic material, was of constricted morphology when compared to the euchromatic regions. This indicated a difference in gross morphology between hetero- and euchromatin produced, possibly, as a result of alternative methods of coiling during compaction into the metaphase chromosome. This has been implicated by several authors from LM observations.32-35

The extent of the paracentromeric heterochromatin region of the polymorphic chromosomes was, in each case, defined by a prominent circumferential groove in the SEM. The centromere was also always clearly defined in the SEM. Demarcation of the heterochromatin at the distal end of the Y chromosome was provided by a faint circumferential groove. These observations were not dependent on the uptake of stain. In contrast, in the LM, Giemsa staining was necessary to demonstrate these positive C bands and the degree of staining was found to be highly variable between cells.25 Therefore, an accurate comparative assessment of the extent of the heterochromatic regions between homologous chromosome pairs and between persons is possible using the SEM.

In the inv (9), the same morphological characteristics were observed, and were found to be useful in determination of partial or complete inversion of the heterochromatin region.

Circumferential grooves were observed within the heterochromatin of chromosome 9, and the number of grooves present reflected the size of the region. For example, the 9qh+, described here, showed two intraheterochromatic grooves and the smaller 9 showed one. This difference in number of grooves was consistently seen in a large number of metaphases from the same person. In our samples no variable staining regions, which might relate to the pattern of intraheterochromatic grooves, were observed in the LM. Other authors have reported the presence of subdivisions within the C bands (reviewed by Erdtmann25), but an intercellular variation in number was usually seen.36 Therefore, the variation in number of intraheterochromatic grooves observed in the SEM provides a more accurate assessment of relative amounts of heterochromatic material than LM evaluations. To date, grooves have not been observed within the heterochromatic region of chromosomes 1, 16, and Y. The restricted presence of grooves within the polymorphic region of chromosome 9, and the unique staining ability of the chromosome 9 centromeric region with Giemsa 11,37 suggests a unique morphology or mode of compaction in this chromosome.

The satellites and short arms of acrocentric chromosomes vary greatly in size and morphology. To demonstrate these differences in the LM sequential and diverse staining is required.34 38 39 These techniques may reduce the definition of the satellites, owing to variation in the uptake of stain. Therefore, the appearance may be variable from cell to cell, and only gross changes in morphology may be accurately assessed in the LM. After G banding, the individual acrocentric chromosomes were readily identified in the SEM. Also, the morphology of the satellites was consistently maintained from metaphase to metaphase, since observation by SEM does not depend on the presence of stain.30 Three dimensional viewing from different angles in the SEM, as demonstrated here, provides the opportunity for a direct comparison of size or volume of satellites to be made. In addition small morphological variations of the short arms, or within the centromeric regions, of the acrocentric chromosomes were easily defined by SEM when definition was uncertain in the LM.

The increased detail of the chromosome polymorphisms that are observed by high resolution SEM reveals the scope of this technique for application to clinical cases, for example, in those cases where it is important to know the parental origin of chromosome polymorphisms. These may include the observation of a female karyotype from chorionic villi sampling at 8 or 10 weeks’ gestation. Increased ability to recognise parental polymorphisms in the fetal cells may be of use in exclusion of maternal cell contamination of cultures. Also, the high resolution investigation of polymorphisms may be important in identification of the parental origin of the extra chromosome 21 in Down’s syndrome in those cases where no obvious markers were detected by LM techniques.
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