Autosomal dominant anterior polar cataracts associated with a familial 2;14 translocation
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SUMMARY We describe a family in which autosomal dominant anterior polar cataracts are segregating in four members over three generations with an apparently balanced reciprocal translocation between chromosomes 2 and 14. We conclude that altered function of a gene or genes on chromosomes 2 or 14 are important in the embryological development of the lens.

Anterior polar cataracts appear as small opacities on the anterior surface of the lens and usually do not interfere with vision. They may arise de novo or be inherited in either an autosomal dominant, autosomal recessive, or X linked fashion. Three mechanisms are postulated for their formation.

1. Imperfect separation of the lens from the surface ectoderm during the fifth week of embryological development.

2. Secondary changes to the epithelial cells with the formation of an abnormal mass in the region of the anterior pole.

3. Incomplete resorption of blood vessels and mesoderm at the anterior pole of the embryonic lens.

No chromosomal abnormality has yet been described in association with congenital cataracts. We report a family in which congenital anterior polar cataracts and a constitutional chromosomal translocation t(2;14)(p25;q24) are segregating together.

Case reports

The proband, born prematurely on 29.5.74 by normal vaginal delivery, was referred for cytogenetic investigation of congenital anterior polar cataracts diagnosed on routine examination. There were no other phenotypic abnormalities. Cytogenetic studies performed on peripheral blood showed an apparently balanced reciprocal translocation between chromosomes 2 and 14 with breakpoints at p25 and q24 respectively (fig 1). His sister (IV.6), mother (III.6), and maternal grandmother (II.2) also had congenital cataracts and also carried the translocation. No other congenital abnormalities or handicaps were present. The grandmother’s sister (II.1) had neither the translocation nor the cataracts. Eye examinations of her children and grandchildren showed normal lenses. Chromosome studies were felt to be unnecessary in view of the normal chromosomes of II.1. Thus no family member with normal lenses had the translocation and no family member with a normal karyotype had the cataracts (fig 2). The uncle (III.7) declined to be examined. In no instance did the cataracts impair vision or require surgery.

The maternal grandmother had had three or four spontaneous abortions, presumably because of cytogenetically unbalanced zygotes although the products of conception had not been examined. The great-aunt (II.1) had two stillborn daughters (III.1 and III.2) who were described as being phenotypically normal. No explanation was given.
for the deaths, but she subsequently had two live-born normal daughters. The aunt (III.5) had two spontaneous miscarriages. Obviously the familial translocation played no part in either these miscarriages or the stillbirths as the mothers were cytogenetically normal.

Discussion

Congenital cataracts are one of the most common major abnormalities of the eye. Familial types constitute 8 to 23% and various modes of transmission (autosomal dominant, autosomal recessive, and X linked) have been described. Autosomal dominant is the most common pattern of inheritance; penetrance of the gene is complete and expression of the gene more or less uniform.1

The only associated gene marker is that of the Duffy blood group located on chromosome 1 and linked to zonular pulverulent cataracts.4 No other associations have been made although it seems certain that as many as eight to 12 gene loci, possibly on as many chromosomes, may be involved in the autosomal dominant types.1

Cataracts have been described as a manifestation of well recognised cytogenetic syndromes, for example, trisomies for 18 and 21, long arm deletions of 18 and 21, short arm deletion of 18, and Roberts syndrome.5 However, they have not been associated with a chromosome disorder specific for the cataract.

Anterior polar cataracts constitute about 3% of congenital cataracts.6 In most cases they are hereditary and the inheritance is frequently dominant. Occasionally, however, they may be sporadic.7 No gene linkage or chromosomal abnormality has been reported associated with anterior polar cataracts.

The cataracts in this family occurred in four people over three generations and follow an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance. It is segregating concordantly with a familial 2;14 translocation. It seems reasonable to conclude that there is a cause-effect relationship.

It is possible that altered function of a gene or genes on chromosomes 2 or 14 has resulted in abnormal lens development. Position effect is one explanation and altered function owing to a small deletion at either 2p25 or 14q24 is another. Acid phosphatase 1 is located at the former locus; at the latter no firm assessment has been made although tryptophanyl-t RNA synthetase is located distal to 14q21.8 We have not attempted any linkage analysis.
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