A clinical study of a family with Cockayne’s syndrome
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SUMMARY Two sibs with Cockayne’s syndrome are described. The recognised cellular sensitivity to ultraviolet light is confirmed. The clinical features in the two children are described and comparisons are made with some forms of xeroderma pigmentosum, a condition in which there is progressive neurological degeneration and cellular sensitivity to ultraviolet irradiation.

In 1936 Cockayne1 described two sibs with dwarfism, progressive mental retardation, and erythematous dermatitis, who went on to develop odd facial appearance and visual failure associated with unusual retinal pigment.2 Later reports have confirmed that this is a distinct syndrome inherited in an autosomal recessive manner, although there are fewer than 40 cases reported.

Recent interest in Cockayne’s syndrome has been renewed since cultured skin fibroblasts from these patients were shown to be unusually sensitive to killing by ultraviolet light.3 4 At least three syndromes are known to demonstrate an unusual clinical sensitivity to one of a number of environmental agents. These are xeroderma pigmentosum (UV), Cockayne’s syndrome (UV), and ataxia telangiectasia (ionising radiation). Cultured cells from patients with xeroderma pigmentosum and Cockayne’s syndrome are sensitive to UV light and to specific chemicals, producing similar nuclear abnormalities.5–7 Cells from patients with ataxia telangiectasia are unusually sensitive to ionising radiation and the radiomimetic chemical bleomycin.8 9 In addition, cells cultured from patients with Fanconi’s anaemia are sensitive to DNA–DNA cross-linking agents,10 and Gianelli et al11 have reported that cultured cells from patients with Bloom’s syndrome are UV sensitive, but this is not a consistent finding.12 Particular enzyme defects in DNA repair have been reported for both xeroderma pigmentosum13 and ataxia telangiectasia14 15 which would account for the in vitro sensitivity and the clinical manifestations, but these studies are not complete.

This paper describes a family where two of the three children have Cockayne’s syndrome. The association between this progressive neurological disease and sensitivity to UV light is presented and discussed.

Case reports

The first and third children in this sibship who have Cockayne’s syndrome are described below. The second child is normal and healthy as are both parents. There is no evidence of consanguinity.

CASE 1

The girl was born after an uneventful pregnancy at term weighing 2·4 kg (<10th centile). The neonatal period was complicated by slow weight gain and a recurrent erythematous desquamating rash on her face and hands which was particularly obvious after exposure to sunlight. Her growth and development continued normally until the age of 2 years, but by 3 years of age she had ceased to grow: weight 8 kg, height 70 cm, and head circumference 42 cm. A progressive neurological abnormality was first suspected because of her gradual deterioration in development and the onset of minimal generalised spasticity. By 9 years, she could walk with support but still needed help feeding and dressing. Despite good comprehension, her speech was limited to single words uttered in a shrill tremulous tone. She developed photophobia and a moderate sensorineural hearing loss. Her appearance was odd; she was dwarfed (height 92 cm, weight 9·2 kg) and microcephalic (head circumference 43 cm). She had marked loss of facial subcutaneous tissue, sunken eyes, and a severe erythematous rash on her face, hands, and
lower legs. Her hair was fine and sparse, her teeth were carious, and her nails dystrophic. The peripheral circulation was poor and her hands and feet appeared unusually large in proportion to her short trunk and the wasting of her limbs. The spastic quadriplegia was of moderate severity associated with extensor plantar responses, but there were depressed deep tendon reflexes and limb and truncal ataxia. Flexion deformities of her elbows, knees, and hips were present with a mild scoliosis. Progressive deterioration occurred over the following 4 years, resulting in loss of independent mobility, loss of speech, incontinence, and increasing skeletal deformities. She developed bilateral optic atrophy and ‘salt and pepper’ retinal pigmentation. She died aged 13 years from bronchopneumonia (fig 1a).

CASE 2
The younger brother had a normal perinatal history, birthweight 3 kg. By 6 months of age the mother realised that he was similarly affected; his weight gain was poor and he had persistent photosensitive skin rashes and early facial changes.

When seen at 5 years old, he cruised around the furniture, helped with feeding and dressing, and said single words. Despite his low level of motor and manipulative skills, his social responses were comparable to a 3-year-old. He was dwarfed (height 84·5 cm, crown-rump 48 cm, weight 9·4 kg; below 3rd centile) and microcephalic (head circumference 44 cm). His appearance was very similar to his sister’s at the same age, but with signs of a peripheral neuropathy. He had no cerebellar involvement (fig 1b).

Investigations

RADIOLOGY
In both children the bone age was equivalent to the chronological age.

The skull x-ray of case 1 at 9 years showed calcification in the basal ganglia. The long bones were slender with enlarged metaphyses and epiphyses and the pelvic ilia were hypoplastic. The vertebral bodies were narrow with translucent areas in the lateral borders.

The skeletal survey of case 2 at 5 years was normal.

NEUROLOGICAL STUDIES
Nerve conduction studies in both children showed reduced motor and sensory velocities (20 to 25 m/s; normal >50 m/s). Electroencephalogram in both children showed low amplitude, non-specific changes.

CHROMOSOME ANALYSIS
Heparinised blood was obtained from case 2, his unaffected brother, and both parents. A total of 0·4 ml whole blood was cultured in 4·0 ml Ham’s F10 medium with 0·5 ml bovine serum, penicillin (100 IU ml⁻¹) and streptomycin (100 μg ml⁻¹). Cultures were fixed at 48 hours.

Orcein stained preparations were analysed. The karyotypes of the father, unaffected brother, and case 2 were all 46,XY, and that of the mother 46,XX. No increased levels of spontaneous chromosome damage were observed (table 1).
TABLE 1 Analysis of spontaneous chromosome damage in lymphocytes from the patient, his brother, and parents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Relation to patient</th>
<th>No of cells analysed</th>
<th>No of r</th>
<th>No of dic</th>
<th>No of f</th>
<th>No of ctg</th>
<th>No of ctb</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JE</td>
<td>Father</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE</td>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>Brother</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

r = rings
dic = dicentrics
f = fragments
ctg = chromatid gaps
ctb = chromatid breaks

Colony forming ability after irradiation by either ultraviolet light or x-rays

METHOD

Fibroblast cultures were derived from full thickness pinch skin biopsies. Small skin fragments were placed under coverslips in petri dishes containing Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, penicillin (100 IU ml⁻¹), and streptomycin (100 μg ml⁻¹).

After 3 to 4 weeks, sufficient cells had grown out to permit the first trypsinisation.

For UV survival curves, cells were plated in appropriate numbers into petri dishes containing a feeder layer of 6 x 10⁴ cells (derived from the same cultures and irradiated with 3.5 krad ⁶⁰Co γ-rays). The cells were incubated for 24 hours at 37° to permit attachment to the plastic surface. The culture medium was replaced with 5 ml Dulbecco A buffer, the covers were removed, and the cells exposed for various times to UV light (Hanovia lamp, predominant wavelength 254 nm, flux of 1 J m⁻²). Four replicate dishes were used at each UV dose. After irradiation the buffer was removed, replaced with complete medium, and the cells incubated for 14 to 21 days with a change of medium each week.

In the x-ray experiment the cells were diluted, suspended in glass vessels, and irradiated with a dose of 100 to 500 rad (Pantak x-ray set, 245 KeV, 12 mA, HVL 0.1 mm Cu, FSD 30 cm, dose rate 100 rad min⁻¹). The cells were plated on to γ-irradiated feeder layers and subsequently treated as in the UV experiment.

RESULTS

Fig 2 shows that after UV irradiation the colony forming abilities of cells derived from the two parents and the three normal controls were comparable. The ability of the cells derived from the proband to...
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form colonies was severely diminished, although this did not reach the low level seen in the positive control, a G group xeroderma pigmentosum patient (cell line XP2BI). After X irradiation the colony forming abilities of cells derived from the affected child, his mother, and a normal control were the same (fig 3).

Discussion

Cockayne's syndrome (CS) is a rare progressive disease of childhood, almost certainly of genetic origin. Parental consanguinity has been described in four cases and this family is the seventh reported case of CS occurring in sibs, which strongly supports autosomal recessive inheritance.16

The clinical features are constant, but vary in severity. Photosensitivity often precedes the profound failure to thrive and delayed development. The acute sun sensitivity in infancy can be severe, resulting in bullae, desquamation, and atrophic areas occurring on unprotected skin. By 4 years the characteristic facial appearance is evident with dwarfism and microcephaly. The trunk is short and wasting of the limb muscles exaggerates the apparently large extremities. Slow developmental progress occurs but the level of skills attained is often scattered.17 Early sensorineural hearing loss is common, but visual deterioration (optic atrophy and retinal pigmentation) is a late feature. By 10 to 20 years, spasticity and ataxia develop and skills are lost. In some cases this may be because of the onset of normal pressure hydrocephalus.18 Involvement of the anterior horn cells and delayed nerve conduction velocities19 provide further evidence for the widespread neurological impairment. Premature ageing occurs, particularly in the integumentae, and atherosclerotic changes are found in the retinal vessels and also with the onset of hypertension.17 Senile cataracts may develop. The boys are often cryptorchid; in both sexes puberty is delayed or fails to occur because of hypothalamic dysfunction and hypoplasia of the gonads. Recurrent bacterial infections are common; most children succumb by the second decade.

Pathological studies demonstrate diffuse but extensive demyelination in the central and peripheral nervous system.20 Pericapillary calcification in the cortex and basal ganglia occurs early. Severe neurological loss, notably in the cerebral cortex and cerebellum, but also in the spinal cord, is associated with lipofuscin accumulation in the remaining neurones.

These findings, which are compatible with the physiological changes of ageing, suggest that premature senility is a facet of CS.21 The clinical features are related to the cerebral degeneration and the demyelination, but the dwarfism, bone changes, and photosensitivity distinguish this syndrome from other progressive neurological diseases.

UV light sensitivity has been described in cell strains from 12 patients with Cockayne's syndrome 4 7 22 and possibly seven other cases.23 The case presented here, we believe, is the first Cockayne's patient in the UK shown to have an unusual UV sensitivity. Cells from these parents (obligate heterozygotes) are no more sensitive than cells from normal controls. This result is in agreement with Marshall et al22 but contrary to that reported by Wade and Chu,7 who demonstrated an intermediate response in the heterozygotes. In this study a dose of approximately 3 Jm⁻² was required to reduce the cell survival of the normal controls and the heterozygotes to 50%, but for the proband's cells the same reduction in survival was achieved with a dose of approximately 1 Jm⁻². A further indicator of cell sensitivity is demonstrated by the pattern of DNA synthesis. In normal cells and Cockayne's heterozygotes UV light causes a depression in the rate of DNA replicative synthesis followed by recovery 5 to 8 hours after irradiation. In the Cockayne's homozygotes the initial depression is identical but there is no subsequent recovery.24

Xeroderma pigmentosum is the other well documented disorder demonstrating an unusual sensitivity to UV light. There are several similarities between Cockayne's syndrome and the progressive neurological disorder seen in some patients with xeroderma pigmentosum25 (table 2). The severe disorder features progressive microcephaly, progressive dementia, spasticity, ataxia, and choreoathetosis. Absence of deep tendon reflexes is an early sign of neurological impairment. This is accompanied by normal motor nerve conduction times, but a neuropsychiatric electromyogram led Robbins et al26 to conclude that there is neuronal degeneration of peripheral nerves. Short stature, delayed puberty, and nerve deafness are other features common to both diseases.

In xeroderma pigmentosum the clinical manifestations of UV sensitivity are erythema and desquamation, leading to bullae, hypopigmented atrophic skin, and keratoses. Basal and squamous cell carcinomas develop during childhood and adolescence and half the patients develop malignant melanomas. The eyes are also sensitive to sunlight, with the development of photophobia, conjunctivitis, atrophic lids, and keratitis. Skin tumours and external ocular abnormalities have not been reported in patients with Cockayne's syndrome.

In both conditions the pattern of cell survival following UV and ionising irradiation is similar. UV
irradiation of cells derived from Cockayne’s syndrome and from xeroderma pigmentosum homozygotes produces a marked depression in colony forming ability, whereas the response to γ irradiation is comparable to normal control cell lines. In both conditions the heterozygotes appear indistinguishable from controls.

In these two conditions there are both similarities and differences in the point of action of the enzyme(s) involved in UV damage. Cells from xeroderma pigmentosum patients are either unable to excise UV induced pyrimidine dimers as efficiently as normals (complementation groups A to G), or are deficient in bypass repair (xeroderma pigmentosum variants). Cockayne’s patients are proficient in both these mechanisms.24 However, cells from Cockayne’s and xeroderma pigmentosum patients are unable to repair ‘long patch’ damage caused by either the chemicals N-acetoxyaminofluorene and 4 nitroquinoline-1-oxide (NQO) or UV light.7 A further measure of ultraviolet sensitivity is an increase in the sister chromatid exchange frequency (SCE). In xeroderma pigmentosum the spontaneous frequency of SCEs is normal, but there is a large increase in SCEs after treatment with UV or NQO.27 A similar response has been demonstrated with Cockayne’s syndrome fibroblasts.28 This has not been confirmed using lymphoid cell lines.28 Neither Cockayne’s syndrome nor xeroderma pigmentosum are associated with constitutional chromosome abnormalities, nor is there an increased frequency of unstable aberrations or stable rearrangements, as found in ataxia telangiectasia, Fanconi’s anaemia, and Bloom’s syndrome.

Cockayne’s syndrome is characterised by widespread progressive neurological abnormalities and an unusual sensitivity to sunlight. In xeroderma pigmentosum, UV sensitivity is the primary clinical manifestation, frequently associated with the development of UV induced tumours. It is tempting to suggest that the neurological complications that occur in 20 to 30% of these patients are related to UV radiation but, at present, the evidence for this simply stems from in vitro experiments on fibroblasts (complementation groups A(B+D)).

In Cockayne’s syndrome, in vitro UV sensitivity is
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confirmed but, as in xeroderma pigmentosum, the underlying mechanism is not known.
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