Dominant inheritance in a family with primary atrophic rhinitis
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SUMMARY Primary atrophic rhinitis is an uncommon condition which presents with crusts in the nose. The nasal mucosa is dry and atrophied and the nasal cavities are abnormally wide.

We report a large London Irish family with an affected father with fifteen children. Eight of these have primary atrophic rhinitis. Symptoms appear around puberty, and there was one case in the third generation with an affected mother. The nasal appearances of the affected members varied considerably and many hid their disease well.

The family fits well with dominant inheritance. A familial aetiology for primary atrophic rhinitis is a more attractive theory than those previously postulated.

Case reports

The S family is a large Irish family living in West London. The father (case I.1) comes from Belfast. He denied symptoms but on examination he had gross changes of atrophic rhinitis. The family tree is illustrated in the figure, and the case histories are shown in the table. There is no reliable information on the more distant family and the sibs of case I.1. There is no consanguinity and his wife (case I.2) is normal.

Discussion

The family fits well with dominant inheritance; eight of fifteen sibs and their father are affected. Moreover, there is an example of the condition being
transmitted through three generations. There is variability of expression of the condition in the family with some members being severely affected, for example case II.4, and some members with only mild changes, for example case II.14. It appears that the condition does not develop significantly until puberty in this family.

Young\(^1\) reported a mother and daughter affected, and Ssali\(^2\) a family with seven affected members. Girgis\(^3\) reported the condition in identical twins. There are no other published reports of familial cases of which we are aware.

The way that several members of our family concealed their disease well suggests that an accurate family picture is difficult to obtain unless specifically searched for. There may be reduced fitness because of this condition, with unpleasant nasal odours making patients unattractive to the opposite sex. There is no evidence for this, however, in this family which clearly shows a dominant pattern of inheritance. A familial aetiology for primary atrophic rhinitis is a more attractive theory than those previously postulated. A larger genetic survey of this condition would be justified.
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