Neural tube malformations: complex segregation analysis and calculation of recurrence risks
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SUMMARY  Familial data on neural tube malformations in Great Britain were submitted to segregation analysis under the mixed model. Maternal and fetal factors cannot be discriminated in the absence of substantial bodies of data on spina bifida survivors who reproduce or on half-sibs. Early abortion studies would allow differential mortality in utero to be taken into account. After fitting the mixed and generalised single locus models, it is concluded that the multifactorial model can provisionally be used for calculation of recurrence risks. Pathogenic hypotheses implicating twinning seem to rest on little evidence.

Epidemiological features and the pattern of familial aggregation of neural tube malformations suggest genetic as well as environmental factors at play in their causation.

Two large bodies of data from Britain are submitted to segregation analysis under the mixed model (Morton and MacLean, 1974), and the best fitting model is used for calculation of specific recurrence risks.

Neural tube malformations

This term covers a variety of congenital malformations—anencephaly, encephalophaty, encephalocele, myeloccele, and meningoccele (but not hydrocephalus)—sharing a number of epidemiological features which, together with their co-occurrence in families (Carter et al., 1968; Smith, 1976), suggest that they have a common aetiology. In family studies, it is usual to include encephalocele, meningoccele, and myeloccele with spina bifida cystica, and encephalophaty with anencephaly.

Epidemiological features

The frequency of neural tube malformations among all births, live and stillbirths, has been found to vary with time, space, ethnic group, socioeconomic status, sex, maternal age, and parity. This frequency varies by a factor of three in various community surveys in the United Kingdom: 0.0030, 0.0058, 0.0076, and 0.0087, respectively, in four representative surveys in Greater London (Carter and Evans, 1973a), Glasgow (Wilson, 1970), South Wales (Carter et al., 1968), and Belfast (Elwood and Nevin, 1973). This gradient is corroborated by a number of other reliable surveys and the ratio of the frequency of spina bifida and anencephaly is sensibly constant with, in general, a slight excess of spina bifida (J. H. Elwood, 1976).

Caution should be exercised in international comparisons because of variation in the method of ascertainment, as discussed in the present context by Naggan (1976). Frequencies greater than 0.006 are seldom reported outside the British Isles (Leck, 1972), and there is good reason to suspect that some of these instances estimates are biased upwards by surveying of highly specialised referral centres (Naggan, 1976). When classified by major ethnic groups, reported frequencies are strikingly low in Mongoloids and Negroids, and highly variable among Caucasoids. The comparative studies of descendants of migrants have not resolved the question of whether this variability is primarily geographical or ethnic. The frequency of neural tube malformations seems to vary following migration for most Caucasoids, towards that of the autochthonous population (Morton et al., 1967; Naggan and MacMahon, 1967; Leck, 1969; Naggan, 1976), but this is not observed for Ashkenazi Jews in Boston (Naggan and MacMahon, 1967), or for Negro populations (Leck, 1969, 1972). This pattern may...
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affected by other uncontrolled sources of variation, such as ascertainment, socioeconomic status, or demographic composition, or it may be real. However, a differential response to a change of environment depends on differences in genetic liability as well as on variable rates of acculturation, so that this issue has not been settled. Another interesting feature in connection with geographical variation is that relative frequencies of anencephaly and spina bifida are generally of the same order, except in Oriental populations where spina bifida is relatively less frequent (Neel, 1958; Imaizumi, 1974; Leck, 1974).

Secular variations, as well as seasonal fluctuations, have been observed in many North American or British studies (for a review, see J. H. Elwood, 1976; J. M. Elwood, 1976), possibly incriminating the influence of as yet unidentified environmental factors. An association with socioeconomic status, with greater frequency of malformations in poorer socioeconomic groups, has been found in most British studies (J. H. Elwood, 1976).

The frequency of neural tube malformations among all births, live or stillbirths, has been found to be higher among females than males in most large series reported (Tinson, 1969, 1970), and more strikingly so for anencephaly alone, with a sex ratio below 0.45:1 in the British Isles. However, sex ratios around unity have been reported for Oriental populations (Neel, 1958; Searle, 1959; Imaizumi, 1974), suggesting that high ratios may be characteristic of populations with low frequency of these malformations (Naggan, 1971).

Associations with maternal age and parity have been found in various studies, but the patterns reported vary between countries. The most widely observed maternal age effect is one of positive association, and for parity the only finding common to these studies is that frequency is low in second births (Leck, 1974). But whereas the rate in first births is low in Israel (Naggan, 1976), it is higher than at any subsequent birth rank in the British Isles. The typical pattern found in the British Isles is that the older the primipara, the lower the frequency of neural tube malformations, but the older the multipara, the higher this frequency is, and little of this pattern can be ascribed to the social class effect (Fedrick, 1970).

FAMILY STUDIES

In a number of recent family studies (Williamson, 1965; Carter et al., 1968; Yen and MacMahon, 1968; Richards et al., 1972; Carter and Evans, 1973a), the frequency of these malformations among sibs of probands was found to be around 4 to 5%, a sevento fifteen-fold increase compared with the population frequencies. Other relationships are not as well documented: though the number of spina bifida cystica survivors who have reproduced is as yet small in follow up studies, the proportion affected among their children may be similar to that of sibs, and risk to children of male patients appears to be at least as high as that of children of female patients (Carter and Evans, 1973b). In two large studies (Carter et al., 1968; Carter and Evans, 1973a), an approximately two-fold increase was found in mothers' sisters' children, but this is also the group of cousins for whom information is likely to be more complete (Carter, 1976). No significant increase of parental consanguinity was found in these studies.

Concordance in twins generally appears to be less than recurrence in sibs. Whether zygosity affects concordance or not is not settled: it does not seem so in the data considered by Nance (1969) or by Leck (1974), but it does seem so in the series assembled from published reports by Rogers and Weatherall (1976). In an Australian survey, where zygosity was assigned from recorded evidence of chorion status, concordance rates were in agreement with the heritability suggested by sib data (Field and Kerr, 1974).

ABORTION STUDIES

Prenatal mortality due to neural tube malformations is high and is related to severity of affection. Around 90% of anencephalic cases ascertained among all births, live or stillbirths, are stillborn, and it was therefore likely that the proportion of neural tube malformations detected at birth represented only a fraction of all cases among conceptions. A family study in Glasgow (Richards et al., 1972) suggested that sibs of affected children have an increased risk of being aborted. Nishimura (1970), in Japan, found 10 cases of anencephaly and 11 cases of 'myeloschisis' among 3535 induced abortions (5.8 per 1000). In London, a study of spontaneous abortions led to an estimate of a prevalence of 5.3 per 1000 conceptuses at the beginning of the eighth week of gestation (Creasy and Alberman, 1976), suggesting that 54% abort spontaneously. Both series were too small to yield reliable estimates by sex. In a study of regional variation in frequency at birth of these malformations, Roberts and Lloyd (1973) found an inverse relation between previous spontaneous abortion rate and the prevalence at birth of neural tube malformations that could not be explained in terms of social class, parity, or maternal age differences. This study, moreover, suggested that the substantial and relatively stable regional differences in prevalence among all births could be controlled by small area differences in mortality of malformed embryos. It follows that the relevance of the various epidemi-
logical and familial features reported to an understanding of the aetiology of these malformations cannot be properly assessed without consideration of fetal mortality, and the bearing of these considerations on the present analysis will be discussed later.

Segregation analysis

DATA SUBMITTED TO ANALYSIS

The data from two large family surveys in South Wales (Carter, et al., 1968) and in Greater London (Carter and Evans, 1973a) were submitted to segregation analysis under the mixed model. Affected individuals were recorded as anencephaly or spina bifida according to the definition given in this paper.

SEGREGATION ANALYSIS UNDER THE MIXED MODEL

Under the mixed model (Morton and MacLean, 1974), of which a brief description can be found in Lalouel et al. (1977), affection is related to an underlying scale of liability through a threshold. As defined in these surveys, anencephaly and spina bifida are both heterogeneous in terms of severity or time of onset during pregnancy, and whether occurrence of a given clinical form is related to liability cannot be presumed. Hence, affection status is defined as occurrence of any one of these clinical forms. For the same reasons, fetal mortality cannot be accounted for in the model in the absence of more extensive studies of spontaneous abortions. In view of the importance of this fetal loss, our results should be considered with caution. The sex difference in prevalence of affection is taken into account through a shift of the liability scale, hence defining two different thresholds.

No reliable estimates of prevalence according to maternal age and birth order being available for these two series, these effects have not been considered in the present study. All matings involve only normal parents, and therefore there is no information on environmental common to sibs (B = 0). In segregation analysis, prevalence of affection for each sex and input parameters; they were taken to be as given by the authors of these surveys. Probabilities of ascertainment were estimated in each sample by analysing the distribution of probands among affected sibships, using the computer program SEGRAN (Morton, 1969). The results are given in Table 1.

Segregation analysis was carried out with the computer program SHIFT (C. J. MacLean, 1974, unpublished data; Lalouel et al., 1977). No convergence could be obtained estimating simultaneously the parameters of the mixed model, frequency of major gene (q), dominance (d), displacement (t), and polygenic heritability (H), and inspection of the likelihood did not reveal higher values than obtained when H = 0 or when q = 0.

Maximum likelihood estimation under the multifactorial model (where only H is estimated and q = 0) of both sets of data pooled (−2lnL + C = 650.26) and separated (Table 2) showed significant heterogeneity (χ² = 6.86), so that analysis was pursued for each set separately. As can be seen in Table 2, estimates under the generalised single locus model (H = 0) for each sample do not yield a likelihood appreciably different from that of the multifactorial model. Hence, rather than asserting the existence of a major gene, we retain the more conservative multifactorial model as a description of the familial aggregation observed. Different thresholds for each sex yield a likelihood very significantly higher than the likelihood obtained when sex is not considered (see Table 2).

Recurrence risks for neural tube malformations

Having retained the multifactorial model with sex-specific thresholds, risks for a child born after a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prevalence among births (live and stillbirths)</th>
<th>Sex ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Wales</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASB</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater London</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASB</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of sibships</th>
<th>Probability of ascertainment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Wales</td>
<td>858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater London</td>
<td>764</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A, anencephaly; SB, spina bifida; ASB, A or SB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segregation analysis of neural tube malformations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Generalised single locus with sex difference in liability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Wales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater London</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
affected sib have been calculated with the program RISK (C. J. MacLean, 1974, unpublished data; Lalouel et al., 1977). In each case, the risk is given for an individual of unknown sex, together with, in parentheses, risk for a male and a female, respectively.

For normal parents in South Wales, the risk after having one male affected is 0.058 (0.045, 0.072); after having one female affected it is 0.051 (0.039, 0.064). After two affected sibs, the risk for a third one to be affected varies from 0.09 for a male birth after two affected females to 0.16 for a female birth after two males affected. This makes it necessary to resort to calculation of a specific recurrence risk in any particular counselling situation. Examples for a few particular nuclear families are presented in the Fig.

In the Discussion, it is argued that the estimate of heritability with the Greater London data is likely to be inflated by ethnic heterogeneity, so that pending better knowledge about the causes of regional variation in prevalence, it is suggested that, in any counselling situation where no better estimate is available, the estimate of heritability obtained in South Wales should be used for risk calculation, together with an appropriate estimate of sex-specific prevalence for the reference population to which the consultand belongs.

Discussion

The multifactorial model with sex-specific thresholds can be used for calculation of recurrence risks in South Wales until better knowledge is acquired on the pathogenicity of these malformations, and more information on fetal mortality at various stages of embryonic development is obtained from abortion studies. In Greater London, however, the population is heterogeneous. Carter and Evans (1973a) noted that their family sample showed a striking excess of patients born to parents from India and Pakistan, compared to parents born in the West Indies, in relation to households of immigrant parents in the 1966 sample census. Their tabulation of the proportion of sibs affected by birth of all four grandparents of index patients confirms documented evidence of variability in incidence and familial aggregation in Britain, India, and the West Indies. This may explain the high estimate of heritability in Greater London.

Abortion studies previously referred to, together with a study of regional variation of prevalence at birth of neural tube malformations and previous abortion rates (Roberts and Lloyd, 1973), suggest that variation in fetal mortality may account for a number of the reported epidemiological features. It may be responsible for most of the regional variation observed in the British Isles, and possibly also, together with biases in reporting, an important part of the international variation. In Nishimura's data (1970), anencephaly and spina bifida occur with similar frequencies among early abortions, but prevalence at birth is low in Japan, and spina bifida rare. In Creasy and Alberman's study (1976), males and females were found in equal proportion among fetuses greater than 30 mm crown-rump, with some differences of anatomical lesions among sexes. However, sex ratio at birth is low in the British Isles, particularly in regions of high prevalence at birth, and the female prevalence of anencephaly seems more labile in space as well as in time (Leck, 1972; Rogers and Morris, 1973). Those data suggest a differential mortality among sexes and possible differences in severity among forms affecting each sex. The existence of a real sex difference in incidence of affection at conception cannot be proved until studies of very

Fig. Specific recurrence risks for certain families in South Wales. For each family, recurrence risk is given for an individual of unknown sex, together with the risks when sex is male or female, respectively. Sources: Carter et al. (1968), as indicated by proband identification number.
early abortions are done, as closure of the neural tube occurs around the third week of gestation, and excess of male abortuses before the eighth week of gestation cannot yet be ruled out.

The low concordance rate in twins, together with the observed sex difference in prevalence at birth, and the observed correlation in time and space between dizygotic twinning and prevalence of neural tube malformations, have prompted a number of pathogenic hypotheses invoking cytoplasmic inheritance (Nance, 1969), or some form of fetus–fetus interaction (Rogers, 1969, 1976; Knox, 1970, 1974). However, both the excess of same-sex pairs and the low concordance observed, as in the series assembled by Rogers and Weatherall (1976), could be explained by the high fetal mortality of malformed conceptions, provided that genetic factors play some role, since discordant twins would then have a higher survival rate than concordant twins, and monozygotic twins a higher survival rate than dizygotic twins. Observed rates would then be biased by differential survival rates of twins. It is significant that Carter (1976) considers that ‘no adequate data on neural tube malformations are yet available’ in relation to twinning. A similar argument was proposed by Lazar (1976) to interpret secular and maternal age variations of dizygotic twinning, and this could in turn explain the temporal and spatial correlations reported between dizygotic twinning and neural tube malformations, either through mortality factors affecting both rates, or as a consequence of variation in demographic characteristics affecting those rates through the similar maternal age effects reported for both.

A number of associations with environmental agents have been reported, such as intake of potatoes affected by blight (Renwick, 1972), of tea (Fedrick, 1973), of water with low mineral content (Lowe et al., 1971), or various other agents (see Leck, 1974, for a review). These associations, if substantiated, would point to the importance of environmental effects, without excluding the possible role of the genetic background.

In view of the data available at present, the multifactorial model of inheritance can be used for calculation of recurrence risks of neural tube malformations. Studies of early abortions are necessary before any improvement on this model can be made by taking into account differential survival rates. Without such studies, pathogenic hypotheses involving twinning remain poorly supported by empirical evidence. Substantial studies of half-sibs are required to separate maternal and fetal liability. Pending these, the multifactorial model should be considered as a provisionally acceptable basis for calculating recurrence risks, but not as a proven hypothesis.
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