Epiphasial dysplasia: a constant finding in the XXXXY syndrome
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SUMMARY Two patients with the XXXXY syndrome are presented. Both are mentally retarded with short stature, muscular hypotonia, and hypogonadism. A constant feature of this syndrome is a varying degree of epiphasial dysplasia probably secondary to hypotonia and growth deceleration.

The XXXXY syndrome was first reported by Fraccaro et al. in 1960 and 1962 and later defined by Zaleski et al. in 1966. The important features are mental retardation, typical facial expression, hypogonadism, and skeletal deformities. Houston (1967) summarised the radiological findings of 28 cases obtained from 23 different countries throughout the world. Bone deformities were found in the elbows, wrists and hands, pelvis and hips, knees, ankles and feet, skull, spine, and sternum. Typical radiological features are radioulnar synostosis and dislocation, 'pseudoepiphyses', curved 5th finger and short 5th middle phalanx, coxa valga, sclerotic cranial sutures, thick cranial vaults, and thick sternum.

About 100 cases have been reported so far (Terheggen et al., 1973; Karsh et al., 1975). In some patients various forms of mosaicism, 47,XY/48,XXXXY/49,XXXXY; 48,XXXX/49,XXXXY; 48,XXXX/49,XXXXY; and 48,XXXX/49,XXXXY/49,XXXXYi-(Yq) were found (Kardon et al., 1971; Kaluzewski et al., 1977).

The purpose of this report is to describe 2 additional cases of the 49,XXXXY syndrome and to indicate that, though skeletal abnormalities vary from case to case, features consistent with epiphasial dysplasia of the long bones are consistently present.

Case reports

CASE 1
A male was born 14 April 1966 with asphyxia livida, Apgar 1. Birthweight was 2.8 kg. The pregnancy was complicated by heavy vaginal bleeding in the fourth month.

The parents were unrelated of Persian-Jewish origin. The father was 29 years old, the mother 24 years old at his birth. They had two older sons, the firstborn having died at the age of 1 month from ileus of unknown cause. An older brother, aged 14, had unilateral cryptorchidism.

At birth the child had a round facies with low hairline, hypertelorism, and a short nose. The testes and penis were small. A systolic murmur was heard on the left side of the sternum. Electrocardiogram and chest x-ray film showed enlargement of the left ventricle. The child was treated with oxygen and digitalis. Subsequently, his condition improved and the treatment was stopped. Psychomotor development was slow. He sat up at 2 years, walked at 3, and spoke a few words at 3½ years. At 14 months a developmental assessment disclosed a motor and social development of 6 to 8 months. Another assessment at 21 months indicated a developmental age of 10 months. At 5½ he was retested by the same psychologist and scored a developmental age of 2 years and 8 months or an IQ of 49 (revised Terman–Merrill).

Physical examination at 6 years showed a short-retarded boy with round facies, short neck, clinodactyly, pes valgus, and genu valgum (Fig. 1). His penis was very short and the descended testes were small. Head circumference was 48 cm (average for 18 months), weight 21.5 kg, height 105 cm (average for 4½ years).

Routine laboratory tests were within normal limits. Cytogenetic investigations showed a karyotype of 49,XXXXY. Buccal smears showed 3 sex chromosomes. Autoradiography and Q-banding also confirmed the presence of 4 Xs in all the cells. Testicular...
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Fig. 1 Case 1 at the age of 6 years. A retarded male, small for his age with peculiar facial expression and hypogonadism.

Fig. 1 Case 1 at the age of 6 years. A retarded male, small for his age with peculiar facial expression and hypogonadism.

biopsy, performed at age 6 years, disclosed a complete absence of Leydig cells. Cytogenetic studies of the parents and the brother were normal. Dermatoglyphic findings are summarised in the Table.

The radiological abnormalities were confined to the epiphyses. Radiography of the hands (Fig. 2) showed pseudoepiphyses of the first, second, and fifth metacarpals, curving of the fifth finger, and secondary shortening and deformity of the middle phalanx, with pointed middle phalanges of the other fingers. The left distal radial epiphysis, proximal first metacarpal epiphysis, and the ossification centre of the lunatum minor were smaller than their metaphysial right counterparts. A marginal defect with punctate calcifications was observed in the left radius. Radiography of the pelvis (Fig. 3a) showed bilateral coxa valga. All the epiphyses of the lower extremities were affected (Fig. 3b) showing different degrees of hypoplasia, deformity, and irregular mineralisation. The proximal femoral epiphyses were triangular and small, the distal epiphyses appeared cone shaped and slightly mottled, and some irregularities were seen on their lateral edges. The right proximal fibular epiphysis was absent. The distal tibial epiphyses were thin, oblique, and triangular plates, covering only partially the tibial distal ends.

CASE 2

This boy was born in India to a 26-year-old mother and 40-year-old father who were not related. He is the
fifth of seven sibs, ranging from 20 to 1 years. Before his birth the mother had had 4 normal deliveries and 2 miscarriages. There were 2 additional miscarriages after the birth of the last child. The parents and the other children were normal and in good health.

Pregnancy and delivery were apparently normal. Development was retarded. He walked at 1½ years, and never spoke more than a few words. At the age of about 2 years he presumably had poliomyelitis and was left with partial paralysis of the left leg.

Physical examination at 11 years of age showed a profoundly retarded, slender boy with a peculiar facial expression. His height was 139 cm (25th centile), weight 23 kg (average for 6½ years). Head circumference was 49 cm (average for 2 years). There was distinct hypertelorism, epicanthi and mongolid slants of the eyes, low set ears with a preauricular tag, webbing of the neck, bilateral clinodactyly, limitations of joint movements and disfiguration of the elbows, a short penis, and small testes (Fig. 4).

Cytogenetic investigations showed a karyotype of 49,XXXXY. In buccal smears, 3 Barr bodies were seen. The karyotypes of his parents and one sister were normal. Dermatoglyphic findings are summarised in the Table.

X-ray films of the upper extremities (Fig. 5a and 5b) showed bilateral radioulnar synostosis and dislocation, elongated distal ulnae, hypoplastic right distal ulnar epiphysis in comparison with its normal left counterpart, pseudoepiphyses of the first, second and fifth metacarpals, and curved fifth finger. X-ray films of the lower extremities (Fig 6a and 6b) showed bilateral coxa valga. The distal tibial epiphyses were wedge-shaped because of deficiency of their lateral parts.

X-ray pictures of the skull (Fig. 7) showed uniformly thickened calvaria, digital markings, and absence of pneumatization of the paranasal sinuses.

Discussion

These two retarded boys are typical examples of the XXXXY syndrome. It is well known that the diagnosis of this chromosomal aberration can be made at birth on clinical grounds (Hayek et al., 1971). Indeed, case 1 was noted to be 'peculiar' at birth, with
Epiphysial dysplasia: a constant finding in the XXXXY syndrome

The dermatoglyphic patterns of both patients and their parents (Table) were essentially normal. No arches were observed on any of their fingers. Moreover, the total ridge counts (TRC) in both patients were higher than those of one of their parents. This finding is in accordance with Zaleski et al. (1966). However, Penrose (1967) stated that subjects with multiple X chromosome patterns have a tendency towards lower TRCs, and Sergovich et al. (1971) had observed simple arch patterns on all the fingers of their patient with the XXXXY syndrome.

The osseous deformities in individuals affected with the XXXXY chromosomal aberration are numerous and at first sight apparently lacking any common denominator. We share the opinion of Houston (1967) that some of the bone changes are the result of an entirely non-specific osseous response which is secondary to the altered mechanical stress acting on the bones.

In these patients muscular hypotonia, though of varying severity, is almost always present. The hypotonia is probably the underlying cause of square vertebral, scoliosis, coxa valga, and slenderness of the long bones and iliac wings. Similarly, because of impaired brain growth, the cranial vaults are thickened, the digital markings lacking or diminished, and the cranial sutures prematurely sclerotic. It is evident, therefore, that the presence and severity of these secondary bone changes are directly related to the degree of motor impairment and the deceleration of brain growth.

We believe that many of the well-known osseous deformities such as internal undersegmentation and thickening, radionular synostosis and dislocation, clinodactyly, pseudoepiphyses, etc. are inherent to a basic cellular pathology resulting from the excess of inactive chromosomal mass, which leads to slowed synthesis of DNA in various tissues (Mittwoch, 1972). Impaired growth, differentiation, and maturation may result.

Table Dermatoglyphs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fingers</th>
<th>Palms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>R W*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>L W*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father</td>
<td>R W*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>R W*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>R A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father</td>
<td>R W*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sister</td>
<td>R L*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L L*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. arch: L, loop; W, whorl; U, ulnar; R, radial; C, concentric; S, spiral; D, double; TRC, total ridge count.
Case 2, x-ray films of the upper extremities, showing bilateral radioulnar synostoses and dislocations, elongated distal ulnae, hypoplastic right distal ulna epiphysis, pseudoepiphyses of the first, second, and fifth metacarpals, curved little finger.

Case 2, x-ray films of the pelvis (a) and lower extremities (b) showing bilateral coxa valga. The distal tibial epiphyses are wedge shaped because of deficiency of their lateral parts.
The osseous involvement appears to vary from case to case. In some patients, as in our second case, the entire cluster of abnormalities is seen; in others, as in our first patient, these changes are much less conspicuous. However, a review of the published reports and the 2 cases presented here suggest that while most of the osseous manifestations are variable, epiphysial dysplasia presents as a constant finding.
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