Reproduction in a woman with low percentage t(21q21q) mosaicism

**SUMMARY** The birth of a child is described with Down syndrome followed by the conception of a fetus bearing the t(21q21q) chromosome in 100% of their cells in a woman mosaic for the translocation in less than 10% of 2 of her examined tissues and in none of the cells in her peripheral blood. Various hypotheses for explaining the above findings are discussed. The importance of examining as many parental tissues as possible for the detection of low percentage mosaicism is stressed.

Dallaire and Fraser (1964) reported a similar family. Lymphocyte cultures on the parents were likewise normal, but long-term cultures of maternal skin fibroblasts revealed 0-87% mosaicism for trisomy-21.

Maternal mosaicism (46,XX/47,XX,+21) as a cause of Down syndrome was first reported by Smith et al. in 1962 and other cases have since been described (see for example Aarskog, 1969; Kaffe et al., 1974).

Paternal mosaicism for trisomy-21 has also been described (Hsu et al., 1971; Méhes, 1973).

G/G translocation in Down syndrome (Wilroy et al., 1969) has occurred in two sibs. Both parents had normal karyotypes in their peripheral lymphocytes, but no other tissue was examined. Waxman and Arakaki (1966) also reported familial occurrence of G/G translocation. The mother had a normal karyotype in all of her peripheral lymphocytes examined and a 46,XX/46,XX,+F,—G karyotype in 8 out of 27 cells from her skin. She had one normal child and 3 children with clinical Down syndrome. Studies on 2 of the children with Down syndrome showed a G/G translocation. No banding study was performed.

We wish to report a family in which the mother is a low percentage mosaic for a (21q21q). Blood, skin, ovary, and amniotic fluid were studied. Banding technique was successful in clearly identifying the marker as either an isochromosome for 21q or a t(21q21q) chromosome.

**Case report**

A 24-year-old gravida II para I phenotypically normal woman was referred for amniocentesis in her 19th week of pregnancy because of a previous delivery of a male infant with Down syndrome. In her second pregnancy chromosomal analysis of cells derived from an amniotic fluid culture revealed a 46,XY,—G, +?t(GqGq) karyotype subsequently shown to be 46,XY,—G, +t(21q21q) by trypsin-Giemsa staining technique. The patient, therefore, elected to undergo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Total no. of metaphases</th>
<th>No. of metaphases with marker</th>
<th>Cytogenetic diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proband</td>
<td>Peripheral blood</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46,XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>46,XX/46,XX, −G, +?t(GqGq)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right ovary</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46,XX/46,XX, −G, +?t(GqGq)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proband’s husband</td>
<td>Peripheral blood</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46,XY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proband’s first offspring</td>
<td>Peripheral blood</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>46,XY,—21, +t(21q21q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amniotic fluid</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>46,XY,—G, +?t(GqGq)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cord blood</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>46,XY,—G, +?t(GqGq)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proband’s fetus</td>
<td>Heart blood</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>46,XY,—G, +?t(GqGq)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>46,XY,—G, +?t(GqGq)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proband’s fetus</td>
<td>Frozen skin</td>
<td>(Banded identification)</td>
<td>46,XY,—21, +t(21q21q)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
hysterotomy and tubal ligation. Karyotypes on the patient and her spouse's lymphocytes were normal. Skin and ovarian tissue cultures obtained at the time of surgery revealed a low percentage mosaicism for 46,XX,-G,t(GqGq). Cytogenetic studies of fetal skin confirmed the original diagnosis of 46,XY,-G,+t(GqGq).

**CYTOGENETIC STUDIES**

The results of cytogenetic studies are presented in the table. Results of chromosome studies in cultures derived from fetal skin showed a missing G-sized chromosome and an extra F-sized chromosome in all cells examined. G- and R-banding techniques showed this extra chromosome to be the result of a

![Fig. 1](a) G-banded karyotype of the proband's fetus. (b) R-banded karyotype of the proband's fetus.
translocation between the long arms of two no. 21 chromosomes. The possibility of an isochromosome for the long arms of chromosome no. 21 cannot be excluded. A G-banded karyotype of the fetus is presented in Fig. 1a and an R-banded karyotype in Fig. 1b.

Discussion

From results of chromosomal analyses derived from tissue culture of skin and ovary, the frequency of maternal cells carrying the translocation in these tissues is estimated to be less than 10%. Assuming the true frequency of mosaicism in the gonadal cells to be 10%, the probability of any oogonium bearing the translocation would be 0·10. The probability of an egg carrying the translocation at the completion of meiosis is 0·05. Therefore, the probability of having two randomly sampled gametes from the mother both carrying the translocation is very low 0·05 × 0·05 = 0·0025.

The finding of two affected sibs concordant for the karyotype in the present case is unexpected. Some of the possible hypotheses that can be postulated to explain the results are presented below.

First, we may not have determined the true frequency of mosaicism in the gonadal cells. The cells growing in culture were fibroblast-like cells and may or may not reflect the chromosomal constitution of the oogonial cells. The frequency of translocation in oogonial cells may be much higher than 10%. Second, even though the frequency of mosaicism in the oogonial cells may be the same as the frequency of mosaicism in the ovarian and skin cells in vivo, there may be a growth advantage of normal over abnormal cells in vitro so that the frequencies of 3 and 8%, respectively, for ovary and skin would be underestimates. Third, the possibility of meiotic drive, even though speculative, cannot be excluded. This can occur either through the preferential maturation of oogonia bearing the translocation abnormality or through the preferential inclusion of the translocation in the functional gametes, or both.

Finally, it should be mentioned that the above possibilities are by no means exhaustive, nor are they mutually exclusive events. One possibility that has not been mentioned is chance. The event of two Down offspring occurring in this family, given a low percentage mosaical parent, though rare, nevertheless, occurs with a finite probability of about 25 chances in 10,000.

In most medical centres when a child with Down syndrome is born to young parents who desire more children, amniocentesis is offered for fetal karyotyping in future pregnancies. Routine cytogenetic studies on most of these parents are usually normal. However, in those families in which Down syndrome has recurred despite normal parental blood karyotypes, or in those cases in which amniocentesis does show recurrence, parental mosaicism becomes a likely possibility. We feel, on the basis of our experience, that chromosome studies of tissues in addition to blood should be performed in an effort to detect low percentage mosaicism.
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