ELECTRONIC LETTER

MDR1, the blood–brain barrier transporter, is associated with Parkinson's disease in ethnic Chinese

C G L Lee, K Tang, Y B Cheung, L P Wong, C Tan, H Shen, Y Zhao, R Pavanni, E J D Lee, M-C Wong, S S Chong, E K Tan

J Med Genet 2004;41:e60 (<http://www.jmedgenet.com/cgi/content/full/41/5/e60>). doi: 10.1136/jmg.2003.013003

Parkinson's disease is the second most common neurodegenerative disease after Alzheimer's disease. It is characterised by bradykinesia, rigidity, resting tremor, and postural instability.¹ It is a genetically heterogeneous disorder. Pathogenic mutations in several genes—including *α-synuclein*, *Parkin*, *UCH-L1* (ubiquitin-C terminal hydrolase-L1) and *DJ-1*—have previously been identified in rare monogenic forms of this disease showing autosomal dominant, autosomal recessive, or maternal transmission, with or without genetic anticipation.^{2–3} The more common, sporadic form of Parkinson's disease appears to result from an interaction between genetic and environmental factors.⁴ Polymorphisms in several genes, including those implicated in familial forms of the disease such as *α-synuclein*⁵ and *Parkin*,^{6–7} are also reported to be associated with the sporadic form.⁸

Genetic susceptibility to sporadic Parkinson's disease was also found to be modulated by genes involved in xenobiotic management. A meta-analysis of 84 association studies of 14 genes showed that polymorphisms in four genes are significantly associated with the disease.⁹ These genes are either responsible for xenobiotic metabolism, such as *NAT2*^{10–11} and *GSTT1*,¹² or may interact with environmental agents, such as monoamine oxidase (*MAOB*).¹³ Poor metaboliser alleles of the cytochrome P450 xenobiotic metabolism enzyme, *CYP2D6*, may also be associated with increased risk of Parkinson's disease.^{14–20} Furthermore, there may be sex effects in the association of *CYP2D6* mutant alleles with Parkinson's disease.²¹

These genetic association studies corroborate epidemiological studies, which have long suggested that Parkinson's disease is associated with exposure to certain environmental xenobiotics. Although most of the specific agents remain to be identified, rural living, well water consumption, industrialisation, and herbicide/pesticide exposure have been implicated as potential risk factors.^{1–22–23}

Another category of genes that may influence susceptibility to Parkinson's disease is the ATP binding cassette (ABC) superfamily of transporter genes which regulate the bioavailability of xenobiotics within critical tissues and cells in the body, of which the *MDR1* multidrug transporter or P-glycoprotein is the best characterised member. Unlike drug metabolising enzymes, whose major drug metabolising functions occur in the liver, the *MDR1* transporter is expressed at the interface of major organs. This pattern of distribution suggests that the *MDR1* transporter regulates the traffic of drugs and xenobiotics in the body at two levels: its expression in the epithelial cells of the gut serves as a first initial barrier regulating the absorption of xenobiotics into the body, while its expression at the blood–brain and blood–germ cell/fetal interface serves as a second barrier controlling the uptake of xenobiotics into these sensitive tissues.²⁴

The importance of the *MDR1* transporter as a component of the blood–brain barrier is evident in knockout mouse

Key points

- Seven single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) spanning ~100 kb of the *MDR1* gene were examined in 206 Chinese patients with Parkinson's disease and 224 matched normal controls.
- Three SNPs—e12/1236(C/T), e21/2677(G/T/A), and e26/3435(C/T)—showed a significant association with Parkinson's disease. In particular, e12/1236T, e21/2677T, and e26/3435T, or haplotypes containing these alleles, were found to be over-represented in the matched normal controls compared with the Parkinson patients.
- The significant effects of these SNPs were primarily observed in men and in patients with age of onset ≥60 years; they were not associated with significant risk for Parkinson's disease in women or in patients with a younger age of onset (≤55 years).
- It appears that the *MDR1* transporter is a significant modulator of susceptibility to Parkinson's disease among male ethnic Chinese ≥60 years of age.

studies. *Mdr1a*(–/–) mice were found to accumulate toxic levels of the anticancer drug, vinblastine, in the brain.²⁵ Also, loperamide—an antidiarrhoeal narcotic analogue that normally does not enter the central nervous system (CNS)—was found to enter the brain of *mdr1a*(–/–) mice, causing them to develop abnormal behaviour characteristic of toxicity to CNS permeable opiates (for example morphine).²⁶ Hence, we hypothesised that functional polymorphisms in the *MDR1* gene may compromise its blood–brain barrier transporter function, increase accessibility of neurotoxic xenobiotics to the brain, and result in increased susceptibility to Parkinson's disease.

Several single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been identified in the *MDR1* gene, of which two (e21/2677(G/T/A) and e26/3435(C/T)) have been reported to be associated with differences in *MDR1* expression and function, although the functional significance remains unclear. The non-synonymous SNP e21/2677(G/T/A) was reported to change the efflux of digoxin in cells in vitro in one study,²⁷ but did not alter the efflux of several substrates in another study that used a different experimental system.²⁸ The synonymous SNP e26/3435(C/T) has variously been associated with differences in *MDR1* protein expression and plasma drug concentration,^{27–29–31} with drug induced side effects,³² and with drug response.³³ Recently, these two SNPs and a third one, e1/-129(T/C), were examined in two case–control studies of approximately 100 patients with Parkinson's disease and

matched normal controls.^{34–35} No statistical significance was found between any of these SNPs and Parkinson's disease.

In this study, we examined seven SNPs as well as haplotypes of these SNPs spanning ~100 kb in potentially functional regions of the *MDR1* gene (that is, promoter region, coding regions, and 3'UTR) for an association with Parkinson's disease. We found a significant association between Parkinson's disease and the SNPs e12/1236(C/T), e21/2677(G/T/A), and e26/3435(C/T) (*p* values between 0.0367 and 0.00067), or haplotypes of these SNPs (*p*<0.05), in the Chinese population.

METHODS

Study population

All patients with Parkinson's disease and controls in this study were ethnic Chinese from Singapore. The Chinese in Singapore are predominantly descendants of migrants from south China. Individuals identified from the health screening programme in Singapore with no evidence of neurodegenerative disease on clinical examination were selected to serve as controls for the study. The diagnosis of Parkinson's disease was made by neurologists specialising in movement disorders according to the United Kingdom Parkinson's disease brain bank criteria.³⁶ DNA was isolated from blood samples collected from 206 patients with Parkinson's disease and 224 controls matched for age, sex, and ethnic group (table 1).

Ethical approval was obtained from the Singapore General Hospital research ethics committee.

Genotyping

The seven SNPs spanning ~100 kb of the *MDR1* gene are located in five potentially functional genomic regions (promoter, exons 12, 21, 26, and 28) (fig 1). The five genomic segments were amplified in a single polymerase chain reaction, and all seven SNPs were genotyped by multiplex minisequencing as previously described.³⁷

Data analyses

Genotype frequencies for the various SNPs in Parkinson's disease patients and controls were assessed for deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium using Pearson's χ^2 test.³⁸ A log-linear model embedded within the EM algorithm was used to estimate haplotype frequencies and haplotype–disease association.^{39–40} The analyses assumed Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium but allowed for linkage disequilibrium. A likelihood ratio test was used to assess whether haplotype–disease association models fitted better than models assuming no haplotype–disease association. As the likelihood ratio test assessed models rather than particular haplotypes, we also estimated odds ratios (OR) for each haplotype to quantify the strength and direction of the association of individual haplotypes, using the more prevalent haplotypes as reference. We obtained 95% confidence intervals (CI) of the odds ratios by the profile likelihood approach; a 95% CI that excluded the value of 1 indicated a significant relation between a particular haplotype and Parkinson's disease risk.^{41–42} The EM algorithm estimation was carried out using the Stata program.⁴¹ All probability (*p*) values were two sided, and a *p* value smaller than 0.05 was considered significant.

SNPs with frequencies below 5% were excluded from the haplotype–disease association studies. In supplementary analyses, we examined the conditional independency of the excluded SNPs from Parkinson's disease given the flanking SNPs by a likelihood ratio test,³⁹ to determine whether the inclusion of these SNPs could improve the haplotype–Parkinson's disease (haplotype–PD) association models given the flanking SNPs.

In subset analyses we further explored whether the association of the various alleles/haplotypes in the *MDR1* gene with Parkinson's disease differed between categories of sex and age of onset. As the average age of onset of Parkinson's disease is around 60 years (table 1), early onset was defined as developing the disease at or before the age of

Table 1 Characteristics of the study population

	Normal controls		Parkinson's disease	
Total number analysed	224		206	
Age (years)*	65.4 (9.4)		66.3 (9.6)	
Age range (years)	39 to 93		40 to 92	
Age of onset (years)*	–		60.5 (10.7)	
Age of onset range (years)	–		32 to 85	
	Male	Female	Male	Female
Number	119	105	110	96
Age (years)*	63.5 (9.7)	67.5 (8.6)	64.2 (9.6)	68.8 (9.0)
Age range (years)	39 to 88	45 to 93	40 to 84	47 to 92
Age of onset (years)*	–	–	57.2 (10.1)	64.0 (10.1)
Age of onset range (years)	–	–	32 to 81	33 to 85
No of individuals with age of onset ≥60 years	–	–	45	65
No of individuals with age of onset ≤55 years	–	–	41	16

*Mean (SD).

Figure 1 Schematic diagram showing relative positions of the SNP sites in the promoter and exons of the *MDR1* gene.

55, while late onset was defined as developing the disease at or after the age of 60. A gap of four years between 56 and 59 was not analysed, to allow for uncertainty in the ascertainment of the exact age of onset of some of the patients. Odds ratios and their confidence intervals were estimated separately in the different sex and age of onset groups. A sensitivity analysis was also carried out whereby we restricted the analysis of haplotype–disease association to subjects with

phase-known haplotypes only. A logistic regression was used to estimate the odds ratio of disease.

RESULTS

As the genetic basis for complex disorders including Parkinson's disease is still unclear, there could be extensive allelic variation at any disease locus, resulting in multiple susceptibility alleles of independent origin present in the

Table 2 Association of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) or haplotypes of SNPs with Parkinson's disease

SNP/haplotype	Allele/haplotype*	Overall				
		p Value	Freq control†	Freq PD‡	OR	95% CI
i-1/-41(A/G)	A	0.72381	408	378	–	
	G		40	34	0.91748	0.5663 to 1.4837
e12/1236(C/T)	T	0.0367	292	240	–	
	C		156	172	1.3414	1.0218 to 1.7658
e21/2677(G/T/A)	T	0.00067	200	134	–	
	A		62	58	1.39617	0.9217 to 2.12152
	G		186	220	1.76531	1.317 to 2.3651
e26/3435(C/T)	T	0.00074	183	123	–	
	C		265	289	1.62241	1.2231 to 2.1611
e28/4036(A/G)	A	0.66059	330	298	–	
	G		118	114	1.0698	0.794 to 1.4459
i-1/-41(A/G)-e12/1236(C/T)	A-T	0.15113	276	225	–	
	A-C		132	153	1.45195	1.0449 to 1.9184
e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)	T-T	0.00147	196	130	–	
	T-G		87	109	1.88933	1.321 to 2.7232
	C-A		53	57	1.60856	1.0367 to 2.507
	C-G		99	111	1.69684	1.997 to 2.4193
e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)	T-T	0.00617	172	116	–	
	G-C		177	215	1.80882	1.3353 to 2.4658
e26/3435(C/T)-e28/4036(A/G)	T-A	0.00917	157	108	–	
	C-A		173	190	1.60502	1.1347 to 2.2757
	C-G		92	99	1.56348	1.0687 to 2.2779
i-1/-41(A/G)-e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)	A-T-T	0.01012	184	120	–	
	A-T-G		81	104	1.96018	1.3399 to 2.8866
	A-C-A		33	43	2.03391	1.1996 to 3.4717
	A-C-G		98	106	1.65987	1.1605 to 2.4052
e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)	T-T-T	0.01106	171	113	–	
	T-G-C		87	105	1.83153	1.252 to 2.7257
	C-G-C		89	110	1.87579	1.2676 to 2.7477
e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)-e28/4036(A/G)	T-T-A	0.00512	145	103	–	
	G-C-A		133	166	1.7656	1.2344 to 2.5448
	G-C-G		45	49	1.54064	0.9326 to 2.5572
i-1/-41(A/G)-e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)	A-T-T-T	0.10405	161	103	–	
	A-T-G-C		82	99	1.90435	1.2869 to 2.8341
	A-C-A-C		33	43	2.04396	1.2056 to 3.5209
	A-C-G-C		88	106	1.88818	1.2907 to 2.7658
e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)-e28/4036(A/G)	T-T-T-A	0.04321	143	102	–	
	T-G-C-A		55	75	1.93216	1.2151 to 3.0868
	T-G-C-G		33	29	1.23882	0.6484 to 2.3129
	C-G-C-A		78	91	1.64406	1.0853 to 2.5237
	C-G-C-G		11	20	2.43982	0.9435 to 7.1189
i-1/-41(A/G)-e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)-e28/4036(A/G)	A-T-T-T-A	0.47511	136	94	–	
	A-T-G-C-A		51	74	2.08936	1.2976 to 3.4032
	A-T-G-C-G		30	24	1.12511	0.5361 to 2.2761
	A-C-A-C-G		25	35	2.00016	1.086 to 3.7267
	A-C-G-C-A		78	84	1.55423	1.0018 to 2.4346
	A-C-G-C-G		11	22	2.81636	1.1161 to 9.3852

*Data for the alleles of the five SNPs are shown. Only relevant haplotypes that have significant CI values in either tables 2, 3, or 4 are shown. Values in bold are significant.

†Number of chromosomes containing a particular allele in control population.

‡Number of chromosomes containing a particular allele in Parkinson's disease population.

CI, confidence interval; freq, frequency; OR, odds ratio; PD, Parkinson's disease.

Table 3 Effect of sex on the association of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) or haplotypes of SNPs in the MDR1 gene with Parkinson's disease

SNP/haplotype	Female					Male					
	Allele/ haplotype	p Value	Freq control	Freq PD	OR	95% CI	p Value	Freq control	Freq PD	OR	95% CI
i-1/-41(A/G)	A	0.9668	196	179	–	0.4528 to 2.232	0.6267	212	199	–	0.4639 to 1.5798
	G		14	13	1.0167			26	21	0.86049	
e12/1236(C/T)	T	0.1912	139	115	–	0.8756 to 1.9713	0.1021	153	125	–	0.9351 to 1.9931
	C		71	77	1.310769			85	95	1.36793	
e21/2677(G/T/A)	T	0.1998	92	69	–	0.6196 to 2.1281 0.9576 to 2.2536	0.0015	108	65	–	0.941 to 2.9528 1.3957 to 3.1425
	A		29	25	1.149345			33	33	1.66138	
e26/3435(C/T)	G		89	98	1.468101	0.8938 to 2.0228	0.0009	97	122	2.08964	1.3057 to 2.8756
	T	0.1618	83	63	–			100	60	1.9322	
e28/4036(A/G)	C		127	129	1.338052	0.4624 to 1.1361	0.0600	138	160	–	0.9804 to 2.2449
	A	0.1670	148	147	–			182	151	1.485	
i-1/-41(A/G)-e12/1236(C/T)	G		62	45	0.730769	– 0.8716 to 2.0865	0.3117	56	69	–	– 0.9716 to 2.2364
	A-T A-C	0.6001	133 63	109 70	– 1.352262			143 69	116 83	1.47178	
e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)	T-T		91	68	–	0.7999 to 2.2938 0.6349 to 2.3216 0.9567 to 2.6824	0.0008	105	62	–	1.553 to 4.3758 1.1362 to 3.8989 1.105 to 2.935
	T-G C-A C-G	0.5543	46 26 43	46 24 52	1.356151 1.212953 1.600788			41 27 56	63 33 59	2.59005 2.0926 1.80188	
e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)	T-T G-C	0.6236	78 84	59 94	– 1.480759	0.9428 to 2.3309	0.0029	95 93	57 122	– 2.17695	1.42 to 3.3418
e26/3435(C/T)-e28/4036(A/G)	T-A C-A C-G	0.1622	71 77 50	55 92 37	– 1.54442 0.954989	0.935 to 2.5759 0.5446 to 1.6637	0.0052	86 96 42	52 99 61	– 1.69206 2.39309	1.0509 to 2.756 1.4302 to 4.0662
i-1/-41(A/G)-e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)	A-T-T A-T-G A-C-A A-C-G	0.7510	87 43 19 43	63 45 21 48	– 1.436822 1.488114 1.522141	0.8363 to 2.4752 0.7266 to 3.0982 0.8978 to 2.5983	0.0175	97 39 14 55	57 59 23 59	– 2.59609 2.85191 1.85694	1.5161 to 4.4975 1.3312 to 6.422 1.1243 to 3.0972
e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)	T-T-T T-G-C C-G-C	0.7280	78 45 38	58 42 52	– 1.240787 1.835104	0.727 to 2.1228 1.0824 to 3.1754	0.0038	93 42 51	55 63 59	– 2.54487 1.95541	1.5209 to 4.3241 1.1839 to 3.2614
e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)-e28/4036(A/G)	T-T-A G-C-A G-C-G	0.2079	64 56 28	52 80 14	– 1.739484 0.611446	1.0123 to 2.9961 0.2579 to 1.3278	0.0047	81 77 17	50 88 34	– 1.84233 3.64414	1.1298 to 3.0296 1.6523 to 7.2689
i-1/-41(A/G)-e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)	A-T-T-T A-T-G-C A-C-A-C A-C-G-C	0.9632	75 43 19 38	53 40 21 48	– 1.341026 1.511609 1.795518	0.7595 to 2.4776 0.7262 to 3.1824 0.9749 to 3.1911	0.1612	86 39 14 50	50 59 22 59	– 2.6128 2.6491 2.0291	1.4849 to 4.5873 1.2262 to 5.9862 1.2127 to 3.4638

Table 3 Continued

SNP/haplotype	Female				Male						
	Allele/ haplotype	p Value	Freq control	Freq PD	OR	95% CI	p Value	Freq control	Freq PD	OR	95% CI
e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)-e28/4036(A/G)	T-T-T-A		64	51	-			78	51	-	
	T-G-C-A		25.2	35	1.7483	0.8809 to 3.5168		29.4	41.5	2.18	1.1666 to 4.1128
	T-G-C-G	0.7215	20.4	6.6	0.4092	0.0767 to 1.1701	0.0188	12.6	22.2	2.7151	1.1824 to 6.8876
	C-G-C-A		30.4	44.7	1.8451	0.9874 to 3.5098		45.9	47.4	1.493	0.8456 to 2.6651
	C-G-C-G		7.6	7.2	1.1821	0.2401 to 4.6633		3.3	12.4	5.7776	1.2864 to 93.8129
i-1/-41(A/G)-e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)-e28/4036(A/G)	A-T-T-T-A		62.2	47.9	-			73.5	44.1	-	
	A-T-G-C-A		25.2	37	1.90872	0.9618 to 3.944		26	38.1	2.4498	1.2536 to 4.8135
	A-T-G-C-G		17.5	2.4	0.1801	0.0037 to 1.0173		12.5	21	2.8043	1.09 to 7.1264
	A-C-A-C-G	0.9839	13.6	17	1.6276	0.7056 to 3.897	0.6324	11.2	16.7	2.4791	1.0362 to 6.2267
	A-C-G-C-A		31.2	38.3	1.5909	0.7891 to 3.1378		47.8	47.4	1.6576	0.913 to 3.0238
	A-C-G-C-G		7.5	9.3	1.6211	0.3125 to 6.898		3.1	12.8	6.9369	1.5434 to 114.829

Data for the alleles of the five SNPs are shown. Only relevant haplotypes that have significant CI values in either tables 2, 3, or 4 are shown. Values in bold are significant. CI, confidence interval; freq, frequency; OR, odds ratio; PD, Parkinson's disease.

population.⁴³⁻⁴⁵ It has been suggested that analysis of haplotypes rather than individual SNPs may be more advantageous in the presence of multiple susceptibility alleles at a single disease locus.⁴³ In this study, we examined the association of individual SNPs as well as SNP haplotypes with Parkinson's disease in ethnic Chinese.

Pearson's χ^2 test showed that all seven SNPs in our study population were consistent with the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium assumption (each $p>0.05$).

Association of MDR1 SNPs and their haplotypes with Parkinson's disease

As shown in table 2, the C allele of SNP e12/1236(C/T) (OR 1.341 (95% CI, 1.022 to 1.766); $p=0.0367$), the G allele of SNP e21/2677(G/T/A) (OR 1.765 (1.317 to 2.365); $p=0.00067$), and the C allele of SNP e26/3435(T/C) (OR 1.622 (1.223 to 2.161); $p=0.00074$) were individually significantly associated with a higher risk of developing Parkinson's disease. These three SNPs have previously been shown to be in tight linkage disequilibrium in the Chinese population.⁴⁶ Calculated p values for all the possible haplotypes containing the above SNPs showed significant associations between these SNP combinations and Parkinson's disease ($p=0.04321$ to 0.00147), except for three combinations containing SNP i-1/-41(A/G) (i-1/-41(A/G)-e12/1236(C/T) ($p=0.1511$), i-1/-41(A/G)-e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T) ($p=0.1041$), and i-1/-41(A/G)-e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)-e28/4036(A/G) ($p=0.4751$)) (table 2). Even so, some specific haplotypes within these three SNP combinations were individually found to be associated with an increased risk of Parkinson's disease (table 2).

SNPs e1/-145(C/G) and e1/-129(T/C) were excluded from the haplotype-association analyses as the minor alleles of these SNPs occur at less than 5% frequency. To evaluate whether the inclusion of these two SNPs would improve the haplotype-PD association models, we undertook conditional independence tests of the two SNPs from Parkinson's disease, given the flanking SNPs by the likelihood ratio test. It was found that these two SNPs did not improve the haplotype-PD association model significantly (each $p>0.05$).

A sensitivity test using only phase-known haplotypes yielded similar results as EM estimated haplotype frequencies (data not shown), suggesting that the EM estimated haplotype frequencies were reliable.

Sex differences in risk determination

The characteristics of male and female Parkinson's disease patients in our study population were found to be different. The women tended to be older and to have a later age of disease onset than the men ($p<0.05$) (table 1). We proceeded to examine whether there are sex specific associations between SNPs/haplotypes of the MDR1 gene and Parkinson's disease. Our results showed that only haplotypes e12/1236C-e21/2677G-e26/3435C (OR 1.835 (95% CI, 1.082 to 3.175) and e21/2677G-e26/3435C-e28/4036A (OR 1.739 (1.012 to 2.996) were significantly associated with Parkinson's disease in women (table 3). In contrast, most of the MDR1 SNPs and haplotypes that were significant in table 2 were also significant in men (table 3). Only SNP e12/1236C, and haplotypes i-1/-41A-e12/1236C, e12/1236C-e21/2677G-e26/3435C-e28/4036A, and i-1/-41A-e12/1236C-e21/2677G-e26/3435C-e28/4036A were not significantly associated with Parkinson's disease in men, although their association with the disease in the overall population was significant. In addition, haplotypes e21/2677G-e26/3435C-e28/4036G (OR 3.644 (1.652 to 7.269), e12/1236T-e21/2677G-e26/3435C-e28/4036G (OR 2.715 (1.182 to 6.888), e12/1236C-e21/2677G-e26/3435C-e28/4036G (OR 5.778 (1.286 to

Table 4 Effect of age of onset on the association between single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) or SNP haplotypes in the MDR1 gene and Parkinson's disease

SNP/haplotype	Allele/ haplotype	≤ 55 years old						≥ 60 years old					
		p Value	Freq control	Freq PD	OR	95% CI	p Value	Freq control	Freq PD	OR	95% CI		
i-1/-41(A/G)	A G	0.0100	58.000 14.000	108.000 8.000	– 0.307	0.125 to 0.758	0.8720	318.000 22.000	205.000 15.000	– 1.058	0.521 to 2.074		
e12/1236(C/T)	T C	0.9741	43 29.000	69 47.000	– 0.992	0.550 to 1.806	0.0608	226 114.000	129 91.000	– 1.334	0.950 to 1.896		
e21/2677(G/T/A)	T A G	0.1299	27 17.000 28.002	40 16 60.000	– 0.635 1.446	0.268 to 1.479 0.740 to 2.819	0.0102	156 41.001 143.000	73 30.000 117.000	– 1.564 1.748	0.898 to 2.693 1.209 to 2.534		
e26/3435(C/T)	T C	0.9351	24 48.000	38 78.000	– 1.0261	0.5531 to 1.9159	0.0061	144 196.000	68 152.000	– 1.6421	1.1482 to 2.5341		
e28/4036(A/G)	A G	0.6112	49.0013 23.000	83 33.000	– 0.847	0.443 to 1.622	0.9778	250 90.000	162 58.000	– 0.995	0.676 to 1.453		
i-1/-41(A/G)-e12/1236(C/T)	A-T A-C	0.2503	36.2 21.800	66.3 41.700	– 1.045	0.531 to 2.097	0.0754	219.7 98.300	123.7 81.300	– 1.470	1.005 to 2.143		
e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)	T-T T-G C-A C-G	0.0489	27 12.200 13.200 15.800	37.2 31.800 16.000 28.200	– 1.892 0.880 1.294	0.820 to 4.559 0.365 to 2.180 0.581 to 2.916	0.0774	152.3 69.000 36.600 73.700	71.6 56.000 28.600 61.000	– 1.719 1.664 1.759	1.095 to 2.720 0.943 to 2.945 1.134 to 2.742		
e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)	T-T G-C	0.1575	21.8 26.910	37 60.000	– 1.314	0.652 to 2.644	0.0562	135.5 134.500	61.6 112.300	– 1.836	1.241 to 2.732		
e26/3435(C/T)-e28/4036(A/G)	T-A C-A C-G	0.8473	16.59 32.400 15.600	30.7 52.300 25.700	– 0.871 0.891	0.388 to 1.913 0.369 to 2.137	0.0423	126.2 123.800 72.200	61.6 100.4 51.600	– 1.657 1.465	1.081 to 2.583 0.910 to 2.352		
i-1/-41(A/G)-e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)	A-T-T A-T-G A-C-A A-C-G	0.0954	23.1 9.900 6.000 15.500	36.1 29.700 12.200 28.600	– 1.916 1.299 1.184	0.786 to 4.992 0.411 to 4.532 0.527 to 2.709	0.4225	148.1 67.200 24.900 70.100	67.8 54.500 22.400 57.600	– 1.771 1.961 1.792	1.100 to 2.843 1.019 to 3.793 1.127 to 2.849		
e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)	T-T-T T-G-C C-G-C	0.2693	21.8 12.500 14.400	34.3 31.600 28.400	– 1.611 1.248	0.686 to 3.919 0.536 to 2.936	0.3340	134.2 69.1 65.200	61.7 56 56.200	– 1.765 1.872	1.069 to 2.820 1.177 to 3.078		
e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)-e28/4036(A/G)	T-T-A G-C-A G-C-G	0.5064	14.7 22.400 4.500	30.6 46.000 14.000	– 0.988 1.504	0.425 to 2.277 0.452 to 5.929	0.0225	116.8 40.000 40.100	56.2 89.000 23.500	– 1.963 1.221	1.250 to 3.106 0.639 to 2.279		
i-1/-41(A/G)-e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)	A-T-T-T A-T-G-C A-C-A-C A-C-G-C	0.6496	20.7 9.800 6.200 14	33.1 29.600 11.105 28.7	– 2.017 1.185 1.4227	0.717 to 5.255 0.361 to 4.063 0.5391 to 3.4622	0.8822	130 66.400 25.600 63.5	57.6 50.200 20.400 57.8	– 1.704 1.979 2.0584	1.045 to 2.976 1.013 to 3.873 1.1659 to 3.369		

Table 4 Continued

SNP/haplotype	≤55 years old					≥60 years old					
	Allele/haplotype	p Value	Freq control	Freq PD	OR	95% CI	p Value	Freq control	Freq PD	OR	95% CI
e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)-e28/4036(A/G)	T-T-T-A	15	29.3	24.500	—	—	—	114.9	55.7	—	—
	T-G-C-A	8.500	7.400	24.500	1.481	0.515 to 4.531	—	41.600	37.400	1.851	1.034 to 3.377
	T-G-C-G	4.500	21.300	7.400	0.830	0.182 to 3.675	0.4147	27.400	14.400	1.075	0.462 to 2.393
	C-G-C-A	13.900	6.800	21.300	0.784	0.300 to 2.089	—	53.200	52.600	2.046	1.159 to 3.540
i1/-41(A/G)-e12/1236(C/T)-e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)-e28/4036(A/G)	C-G-C-G	0.000	—	—	—	—	—	12.100	8.300	—	—
	A-T-T-T-A	15.9	28.5	24.300	—	—	—	109.7	52.9	—	—
	A-T-G-C-A	6.500	5.700	28.300	2.092	0.646 to 8.328	—	39.800	37.000	1.924	1.060 to 3.570
	A-T-G-C-G	3.700	7.6	5.700	0.864	0.171 to 4.612	—	26.600	13.000	1.005	0.385 to 2.490
A-C-G-C-G	A-C-A-C-G	4.1	20.000	7.6	1.0229	0.2504 to 4.691	0.9718	20.8	18.5	1.8464	0.8583 to 3.9307
	A-C-G-C-A	14.100	7.900	20.000	0.783	0.300 to 2.540	—	53.500	49.000	1.901	1.017 to 3.527
	A-C-G-C-G	0.000	—	—	—	—	—	10.900	8.300	—	—

Data for the alleles of the 5 SNP are shown. Only relevant haplotypes that have significant CI values in either tables 2, 3, or 4 are shown. Values in bold are significant. CI, confidence interval; freq, frequency; OR, odds ratio; PD, Parkinson's disease.

93.813), and i-1/-41A-e12/1236T-e21/2677G-e26/3435C-e28/4036G (OR 2.804 (1.090 to 7.126) were significantly associated with Parkinson's disease in men but not overall (table 3).

Role of SNPs/haplotypes in the MDR1 gene in later onset of Parkinson's disease

Interesting observations were made when we examined the age of onset specific association of SNPs/haplotypes in the MDR1 gene with Parkinson's disease. While the promoter SNP i-1/-41(A/G) was found not to be associated with Parkinson's disease in our overall or sex specific analyses, the low frequency G allele of this SNP was found to be significantly associated (p = 0.01), with a decreased risk of developing Parkinson's disease at or before the age of 55 years (OR 0.307 (95% CI, 0.125 to 0.758) (table 4). Conversely, SNPs e21/2677(G/T/A) (p = 0.0102), e26/3435(C/T) (p = 0.0061), and SNP combinations e26/3435(C/T)-e28/4036(A/G) (p = 0.0423) and e21/2677(G/T/A)-e26/3435(C/T)-e28/4036(A/G) (p = 0.0225) were associated with increased risk of developing Parkinson's disease at or after age 60, with SNPs e21/2677G (OR 1.748 (1.209 to 2.534)) and e26/3435C (OR 1.642 (1.148 to 2.354)), and haplotypes e26/3435C-e28/4036A (OR 1.657 (1.081 to 2.583)) and e21/2677G-e26/3435C-e28/4036A (OR 1.963 (1.250 to 3.106)) being associated with the increased risk (table 4). Some haplotypes that include either or both of the SNPs e21/2677(G/T/A) and e26/3435(C/T) were also associated with an increased risk of developing Parkinson's disease (table 4). Curiously, although SNPs i1/-41(A/G) and e12/1236(C/T) were not individual risk factors, the haplotype i-1/-41A-e12/1236C (OR 1.470 (1.005 to 2.143)) was significantly associated with increased risk of late onset Parkinson's disease (table 4).

Overall, the results from table 4 suggest that SNP i-1/-41(A/G) may be associated with decreased risk for developing Parkinson's disease at or before the age of 55, while SNPs e21/2677(G/T/A) and e26/3435(C/T) and haplotypes containing these SNPs are associated with later onset disease (≥60 years).

DISCUSSION

Environmental xenobiotics have been implicated in the development of Parkinson's disease, a complex genetically heterogeneous disorder.^{1 22 23} The blood-brain barrier plays an important role in regulating the traffic of environmental xenobiotics in the brain, and individual differences in the "quality" of this barrier may influence the susceptibility to Parkinson's disease. The MDR1 multidrug transporter represents an important component of the blood-brain barrier and has been shown to regulate the uptake of drugs and xenobiotics into this sensitive organ.^{25 26 47} It is conceivable that polymorphisms which alter the expression levels or transport ability of this transporter could result in altered susceptibility to neurotoxic substances and thus alter the genetic threshold for the development of Parkinson's disease.

Two recent case-control studies have examined the role of MDR1 gene polymorphisms (SNPs e1/-129(T/C), e21/2677(G/T/A), and e26/3435(C/T)) in Parkinson's disease development. The studies involved approximately 100 white Italian and Polish patients and 100 controls from the same geographical regions.^{34 35} No significant associations between these SNPs and Parkinson's disease were detected. However, our present study of 206 Chinese patients and 224 controls showed that three SNPs—e12/1236(C/T) (p = 0.0367), e21/2677(G/T/A) (p = 0.00067), and e26/3435(C/T) (p = 0.00074), all in tight linkage disequilibrium with each other⁴⁶—are significantly associated with an altered risk of developing Parkinson's disease (table 2). The odds ratios of the haplotypes that were associated with Parkinson's disease

were not very high. These observations are, however, consistent with the widely held view that Parkinson's disease is a complex disorder involving the interaction of multiple genes with different environmental factors, whereby the individual contribution of each causative gene may not be large.

We recently found strong evidence of positive selection for the e21/2677T and e26/3435T alleles in the Chinese, but only marginal evidence for this in white Americans (Tang K, Wong L, Lee E, *et al*, *Human Molecular Genetics* (in press)). The Chinese samples in that study were from anonymised umbilical cord blood from Chinese neonates, and allele frequencies of the seven SNPs were found to be very similar to those in the present study. When we used cord blood DNA samples as controls to compare against the Parkinson's disease samples, we obtained a similar, statistically significant association between Parkinson's disease and these two SNPs (data not shown). The strong evidence of a recent positive selection for the T alleles of these two SNPs supports our current observation that these alleles are significantly underrepresented in patients with Parkinson's disease compared with unaffected controls, suggesting that the T alleles of these SNPs may confer better protection for the brain against xenobiotic insults in the Chinese population.

It is possible that the earlier Italian and Polish association studies did not detect a significant statistical association because of their limited sample size. There may be another reason why neither study was able to detect a significant association between any *MDR1* SNPs and Parkinson's disease. If we assume that the Italian and Polish subjects^{34, 35} were genetically similar to white Americans, their *MDR1* haplotype and LD profiles may not favour the detection of associations. Our observation of only marginal evidence of recent positive selection in white Americans compared with the Chinese supports this hypothesis. Nonetheless, it remains to be determined whether the white Italians and Poles are in fact similar to white Americans in their underlying genetic architecture at this locus.

It is possible that either SNP e21/2677(G/T/A) or e26/3435(C/T) could be potential causal SNPs as they had much lower *p* values than SNP e12/1236(C/T). Consistent with our observation that individuals carrying the G allele at the non-synonymous SNP e21/2677(G/T/A) have a higher risk of developing Parkinson's disease, the *MDR1* transporter carrying the e21/2677G allele—coding for Ala at amino acid position 893—has been shown to be a less effective transporter than one carrying the T allele (Ser 893).²⁷ The synonymous SNP e26/3435(C/T) appears to be associated with altered *MDR1* transporter expression and function. While several reports found that the T allele is associated with lower *MDR1* expression,^{29, 30, 33, 48} resulting in lower efflux or higher plasma levels of drugs and xenobiotics,^{29, 30} others have reported lower drug plasma concentration in individuals carrying the T allele.^{27, 31, 33} Most of these studies examined only SNP e26/3435(C/T) without taking into account the underlying haplotype and linkage disequilibrium architecture of the study population. Detailed characterisation of the genetic and evolutionary history of the entire *MDR1* gene in each study population, and the influence of recent events in the history of each population on linkage disequilibrium and the likelihood of detecting an association, could resolve these conflicting reports. Our data showing an association between e26/3435T and a lower risk of developing Parkinson's disease support observations that the T allele alters *MDR1* function, resulting in a greater efflux of drugs or xenobiotics. Although SNP e26/3435(C/T) is a synonymous SNP and does not result in an amino acid change, there are several possible explanations for this observation. The observed correlation with e26/3435T could reflect either differential codon usage

of the C or T allele at the wobble position of the isoleucine codon, or allele specific differences in RNA folding,⁴⁹ sometimes influencing RNA processing⁵⁰ or splicing,^{51, 52} or differences in translation control⁵³ and regulation.⁵⁴ It is also possible that neither SNP e21/2677(G/T/A) nor e26/3435(C/T) represents the causal SNP, but that they are merely in strong linkage disequilibrium with an unobserved causal SNP. A strong association of these two SNPs with Parkinson's disease could suggest that the linked causal variant resides within a region defined by strong LD.

An interesting observation was made when male and female patients with Parkinson's disease were investigated independently—the *MDR1* gene appears to play a more important role in determining risk of developing the disease in men than in women (table 3). This is consistent with the view that the *MDR1* transporter regulates the accumulation of neurotoxic xenobiotics in the brain to modulate the risk of developing Parkinson's disease. As older women in urban Singapore are primarily home makers while men often work out of doors, it is conceivable that the observed greater risk for Parkinson's disease in men compared with women is related to increased exposure to environmental susceptibility factors among men, given the same genetic risk factors in the two sexes.

When patients with Parkinson's disease were compared on the basis of their age at disease onset, we found that several polymorphisms in the *MDR1* gene seemed to play a greater role in later onset disease (≥ 60 years) (table 4). One hypothesis is that, in individuals with particular *MDR1* genotypes (for example, e12/1236C, e21/2677G, e26/3435C) and haplotypes, the blood-brain barrier allows neurotoxic xenobiotics easier access and gradual accumulation in the brain, eventually leading to Parkinson's disease. Conversely, individuals with the alternative alleles (that is, e12/1236T, e21/2677T and e26/3435T) are better protected from xenobiotic insults and hence from Parkinson's disease. In contrast, early onset Parkinson's disease is probably a result of other genetic factors and hence is less dependent on genetic variation at the *MDR1* locus.

The promoter SNP i-1/-41(A/G), which resides in a putative CCAAT box, was found to influence the risk of Parkinson's disease in patients with a younger age of onset ($p = 0.01$) (table 4). The G allele of this SNP appeared to protect individuals from Parkinson's disease (OR 0.307 (95% CI, 0.125 to 0.758)). This observation, however, should be interpreted cautiously, given the low frequency ($< 10\%$) of i-1/-41G in the general population and the resultant sample sizes in this comparison.

Conclusions

We have produced strong statistical evidence that particular alleles and haplotypes of *MDR1* SNPs—e12/1236(C/T), e21/2677(G/T/A), and e26/3435(C/T)—are important risk factors for the development of Parkinson's disease in ethnic Chinese, especially in men, through sex associated lifestyle differences, and in individuals with a later age of onset (≥ 60 years). The wide variations in allele frequencies of the *MDR1* SNPs (especially SNP e12/1236(C/T), e21/2677(G/T/A), and e26/3435(C/T)) among different ethnic populations⁴⁶ may account for the differences in the ability to detect an association between *MDR1* and Parkinson's disease in other ethnic groups, especially if the increase in relative risk is small.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by a grant from the National Medical Research Council, Singapore (NMRC/0657/2002) to CGL, SSC, and EJDL.

Authors' affiliations

C G L Lee, K Tang, L P Wong, Departments of Biochemistry, National University of Singapore, Singapore
Y B Cheung, Biostatistics Unit, Division of Clinical Trials and Epidemiological Sciences, National Cancer Centre, Singapore
C Tan, H Shen, Y Zhao, E K Tan, Department of Neurology, Singapore General Hospital
R Pavanni, M-C Wong, National Neuroscience Institute, Singapore
E J D Lee, Departments of Pharmacology, National University of Singapore
S S Chong, Departments of Paediatrics, National University of Singapore

Conflicts of interest: none declared

Correspondence to: Dr Caroline G Lee, Division of Medical Sciences, National Cancer Centre, Level 6, Lab 5, 11 Hospital Drive, Singapore 169610, Singapore; bchleec@nus.edu.sg

REFERENCES

- Langston JW. Epidemiology versus genetics in Parkinson's disease: progress in resolving an age-old debate. *Ann Neurol* 1998;**44**(suppl 1):S45–52.
- Mouradian MM. Recent advances in the genetics and pathogenesis of Parkinson disease. *Neurology* 2002;**58**:179–85.
- Bonifati V, Rizzu P, van Baren MJ, et al. Mutations in the DJ-1 gene associated with autosomal recessive early-onset parkinsonism. *Science* 2003;**299**:256–9.
- Maimone D, Dominici R, Grimaldi LM. Pharmacogenomics of neurodegenerative diseases. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2001;**413**:11–29.
- Farrer M, Maraganore DM, Lockhart P, et al. alpha-Synuclein gene haplotypes are associated with Parkinson's disease. *Hum Mol Genet* 2001;**10**:1847–51.
- Wang M, Hattori N, Matsumine H, et al. Polymorphism in the parkin gene in sporadic Parkinson's disease. *Ann Neurol* 1999;**45**:655–8.
- Satoh J, Kuroda Y. Association of codon 167 Ser/Asn heterozygosity in the parkin gene with sporadic Parkinson's disease. *Neuroreport* 1999;**10**:2735–9.
- de Silva HR, Khan NL, Wood NW. The genetics of Parkinson's disease. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 2000;**10**:292–8.
- Tan EK, Khajavi M, Thornby JI, et al. Variability and validity of polymorphism association studies in Parkinson's disease. *Neurology* 2000;**55**:533–8.
- Bandmann O, Vaughan JR, Holmans P, et al. Detailed genotyping demonstrates association between the slow acetylator genotype for N-acetyltransferase 2 (NAT2) and familial Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2000;**15**:30–5.
- Harhangi BS, Oostra BA, Heutink P, et al. N-acetyltransferase-2 polymorphism in Parkinson's disease: the Rotterdam study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1999;**67**:518–20.
- Stroombergen MC, Waring RH. Determination of glutathione S-transferase mu and theta polymorphisms in neurological disease. *Hum Exp Toxicol* 1999;**18**:141–5.
- Checkoway H, Franklin GM, Costa-Mallen P, et al. A genetic polymorphism of MAO-B modifies the association of cigarette smoking and Parkinson's disease. *Neurology* 1998;**50**:1458–61.
- Armstrong M, Daly AK, Cholerton S, et al. Mutant debrisoquine hydroxylation genes in Parkinson's disease. *Lancet* 1992;**339**:1017–18.
- Smith CA, Gough AC, Leigh PN, et al. Debrisoquine hydroxylase gene polymorphism and susceptibility to Parkinson's disease. *Lancet* 1992;**339**:1375–7.
- Rostami-Hodjegan A, Lennard MS, Woods HF, et al. Meta-analysis of studies of the CYP2D6 polymorphism in relation to lung cancer and Parkinson's disease. *Pharmacogenetics* 1998;**8**:227–38.
- McCann SJ, Pond SM, James KM, et al. The association between polymorphisms in the cytochrome P-450 2D6 gene and Parkinson's disease: a case-control study and meta-analysis. *J Neurol Sci* 1997;**153**:50–3.
- Christensen PM, Goetzsch PC, Brosen K. The sparteine/debrisoquine (CYP2D6) oxidation polymorphism and the risk of Parkinson's disease: a meta-analysis. *Pharmacogenetics* 1998;**8**:473–9.
- Riedl AG, Watts PM, Jenner P, et al. P450 enzymes and Parkinson's disease: the story so far. *Mov Disord* 1998;**13**:212–20.
- Landi MT, Ceroni M, Martignoni E, et al. Gene-environment interaction in parkinson's disease. The case of CYP2D6 gene polymorphism. *Adv Neurol* 1996;**69**:61–72.
- Gerard N, Panserat S, Lucotte G. Roles of gender, age at onset and environmental risk in the frequency of CYP2D6-deficient alleles in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Eur Neurol* 2002;**48**:114–15.
- Bonnet AM, Houeto JL. Pathophysiology of Parkinson's disease. *Biomed Pharmacother* 1999;**53**:117–21.
- Koller W, Vetere-Overfield B, Gray C, et al. Environmental risk factors in Parkinson's disease. *Neurology* 1990;**40**:1218–21.
- Lee CG, Gottesman MM. HIV-1 protease inhibitors and the MDR1 multidrug transporter [editorial]. *J Clin Invest* 1998;**101**:287–8.
- Schinkel AH, Smit JJ, van Tellingen O, et al. Disruption of the mouse mdr1a P-glycoprotein gene leads to a deficiency in the blood-brain barrier and to increased sensitivity to drugs. *Cell* 1994;**77**:491–502.
- Schinkel AH, Wagenaar E, Mol CA, et al. P-glycoprotein in the blood-brain barrier of mice influences the brain penetration and pharmacological activity of many drugs. *J Clin Invest* 1996;**97**:2517–24.
- Kim RB, Leake BF, Choo EF, et al. Identification of functionally variant MDR1 alleles among European Americans and African Americans. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2001;**70**:189–99.
- Kimchi-Sarfaty C, Gribar JJ, Gottesman MM. Functional characterization of coding polymorphisms in the human MDR1 gene using a vaccinia virus expression system. *Mol Pharmacol* 2002;**62**(1):1–6.
- Hoffmeyer S, Burk O, von Richter O, et al. Functional polymorphisms of the human multidrug-resistance gene: multiple sequence variations and correlation of one allele with P-glycoprotein expression and activity in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2000;**97**:3473–8.
- Hitzl M, Drescher S, van der Kuip H, et al. The C3435T mutation in the human MDR1 gene is associated with altered efflux of the P-glycoprotein substrate rhodamine 123 from CD56+ natural killer cells. *Pharmacogenetics* 2001;**11**:293–8.
- Sakaeda T, Nakamura T, Horinouchi M, et al. MDR1 genotype-related pharmacokinetics of digoxin after single oral administration in healthy Japanese subjects. *Pharm Res* 2001;**18**:1400–4.
- Roberts R, Joyce P, Mulder R, et al. A common P-glycoprotein polymorphism is associated with nortriptyline-induced postural hypotension in patients treated with major depression. *Pharmacogenomics* 2002;**2**:191–6.
- Fellay J, Marzolini C, Meadon E, et al. Response to antiretroviral treatment in HIV-1-infected individuals with allelic variants of the multidrug resistance transporter 1: a pharmacogenetic study. *Lancet* 2002;**359**:30–6.
- Furuno T, Landi MT, Ceroni M, et al. Expression polymorphism of the blood-brain barrier component P-glycoprotein (MDR1) in relation to Parkinson's disease. *Pharmacogenetics* 2002;**12**:529–34.
- Drozdzik M, Bialecka M, Mysliwiec K, et al. Polymorphism in the P-glycoprotein drug transporter MDR1 gene: a possible link between environmental and genetic factors in Parkinson's disease. *Pharmacogenetics* 2003;**13**:259–63.
- Hughes AJ, Daniel SE, Kilford L, et al. Accuracy of clinical diagnosis of idiopathic Parkinson's disease: a clinico-pathological study of 100 cases. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1992;**55**:181–4.
- Gwee PC, Tang K, Chua JM, et al. Simultaneous genotyping of seven single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the MDR1 gene by single-tube multiplex minisequencing. *Clin Chem* 2003;**49**:672–6.
- Sham P. *Statistics in human genetics*. London: Arnold, 1998.
- Biti PP, Murgia BS, Ticca A, et al. Association between the ancestral haplotype HLA A30B18DR3 and multiple sclerosis in central Sardinia. *Genet Epidemiol* 2001;**20**:271–83.
- Chiano MN, Clayton DG. Fine genetic mapping using haplotype analysis and the missing data problem. *Ann Hum Genet* 1998;**62**:55–60.
- Mander AP. Haplotype analysis in population-based association studies. *The Statist* 2001;**1**:58–75.
- McCullagh P, Nelder JA. *Generalized linear models*. London: Chapman and Hall, 1989.
- Morris RW, Kaplan NL. On the advantage of haplotype analysis in the presence of multiple disease susceptibility alleles. *Genet Epidemiol* 2002;**23**:221–33.
- Terwilliger JD, Weiss KM. Linkage disequilibrium mapping of complex disease: fantasy or reality? *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 1998;**9**:578–94.
- Pritchard JK. Are rare variants responsible for susceptibility to complex diseases? *Am J Hum Genet* 2001;**69**:124–37.
- Tang K, Ngai SM, Gwee PC, et al. Distinct haplotype profiles and strong linkage disequilibrium at the MDR1 multidrug transporter gene locus in three ethnic Asian populations. *Pharmacogenetics* 2002;**12**:437–50.
- Kim RB, Fromm MF, Wandel C, et al. The drug transporter P-glycoprotein limits oral absorption and brain entry of HIV-1 protease inhibitors. *J Clin Invest* 1998;**101**:289–94.
- Tanabe M, Ieiri I, Nagata N, et al. Expression of P-glycoprotein in human placenta: relation to genetic polymorphism of the multidrug resistance (MDR)-1 gene. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2001;**297**:1137–43.
- Shen LX, Basilion JP, Stanton VP. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms can cause different structural folds of mRNA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1999;**96**:7871–6.
- Allain FH, Gubser CC, Howe PW, et al. Specificity of ribonucleoprotein interaction determined by RNA folding during complex formulation. *Nature* 1996;**380**:646–50.
- Coleman TP, Roesser JR. RNA secondary structure: an important cis-element in rat calcitonin/CGRP pre-messenger RNA splicing. *Biochemistry* 1998;**37**:15941–50.
- Liu HX, Cartegni L, Zhang MQ, et al. A mechanism for exon skipping caused by nonsense or missense mutations in BRCA1 and other genes. *Nat Genet* 2001;**27**:55–8.
- Shen LX, Tinoco I. The structure of an RNA pseudoknot that causes efficient transcribing in mouse mammary tumor virus. *J Mol Biol* 1995;**247**:963–78.
- Address KJ, Basilion JP, Klausner RD, et al. Structure and dynamics of the iron responsive element RNA: implications for binding of the RNA by iron regulatory binding proteins. *J Mol Biol* 1997;**274**:72–83.