ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Molecular characterisation of the 22q13 deletion syndrome supports the role of haploinsufficiency of *SHANK3*/*PROSAP2* in the major neurological symptoms

H L Wilson, A C C Wong, S R Shaw, W-Y Tse, G A Stapleton, M C Phelan, S Hu, J Marshall, H E McDermid

J Med Genet 2003;40:575–584

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to:
Dr H E McDermid,
Department of Biological
Sciences, University of
Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta
T6G 2E9, Canada;
hmcdermi@ualberta.ca

Revised version received
18 April 2003
Accepted for publication
23 April 2003

Methods: The 22q13 deletion syndrome (MIM 606232) is characterised by moderate to profound mental retardation, delay/absence of expressive speech, hypotonia, normal to accelerated growth, and mild dysmorphic features. We have determined the deletion size and parent of origin in 56 patients with this syndrome.

Results: Similar to other terminal deletion syndromes, there was an overabundance of paternal deletions. The deletions vary widely in size, from 130 kb to over 9 Mb; however all 45 cases that could be specifically tested for the terminal region at the site of *SHANK3* were deleted for this gene. The molecular structure of *SHANK3* was further characterised. Comparison of clinical features to deletion size showed few correlations. Some measures of developmental assessment did correlate to deletion size; however, all patients showed some degree of mental retardation and severe delay or absence of expressive speech, regardless of deletion size.

Conclusion: Our analysis therefore supports haploinsufficiency of the gene *SHANK3*, which codes for a structural protein of the postsynaptic density, as a major causative factor in the neurological symptoms of 22q13 deletion syndrome.

Chromosome 22 is involved in numerous chromosomal syndromes. In particular, 22q11 is involved in partial tetrasomy (cat eye syndrome, CES), partial trisomy (the der(22) syndrome), and deletion (velocardiofacial syndrome/DiGeorge syndrome, VCFS/DGS, also referred to as the 22q11 deletion syndrome).¹ With a frequency of approximately 1 in 5600 live births,² the 22q11 deletion syndrome has also occasionally been called "the 22q deletion syndrome". However, a second, equally important deletion syndrome exists involving 22q13.

The first case of the 22q13 deletion syndrome³ was identified cytogenetically and was the result of a rearrangement of a familial pericentric inversion of chromosome 22. Since this first case, approximately 70 patients with the 22q13 deletion syndrome have been reported.⁴ In most cases the patients were cytogenetically identified as having a simple 22q13 deletion. Despite a lack of phenotypic similarity to VCFS/DGS, a number of microdeletions were identified fortuitously by the absence of signal from the 22q13 control probe from commercially available VCFS/DGS fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) probe kits.^{5–8} A percentage of 22q13 deletions are the result of unbalanced translocations and therefore their phenotype is complicated by the presence of duplicated material from a second chromosome (8/37 patients⁴). Deletions of 22q13 are also associated with ring chromosome 22,⁹ which is usually complicated by mosaicism of the r(22).

A recent clinical review of the 22q13 deletion syndrome compared the phenotype of 37 patients with 24 published cases.⁴ All 37 cases identified in this report presented with global developmental delay and absent/severely delayed expressive speech. Hypotonia was present in 97% of cases and 95% showed normal to accelerated growth. Other less common features associated with this syndrome include: increased tolerance to pain, abnormal, dysplastic toe nails, chewing behaviour, large, fleshy hands, dysplastic ears, a pointed chin, dolichocephaly, ptosis, tendency to overheat, and

epicanthic folds. Many of these features have also been reported in the published cases. Very few organ malformations have been reported in patients with the 22q13 deletion syndrome.

The study of rare 22q13 abnormalities led us and others to propose that haploinsufficiency of the gene *SHANK3*/*PROSAP2* (hereafter referred to as *SHANK3*) is responsible for the major neurological features (mental retardation, delay of expressive speech) of the 22q13 deletion syndrome.^{10–12} *SHANK3* is located within 200 kb of the chromosome 22 telomere, and is partially deleted in both 100 kb¹² and 130 kb¹³ deletions associated with the 22q13 deletion syndrome phenotype. *SHANK3* was also found to be disrupted in a child with a t(12;22) balanced translocation and features of the 22q13 deletion syndrome.¹¹ *SHANK3* is a structural protein found in the postsynaptic density.^{14–16} Thus, the chromosomal location and protein localisation of *SHANK3* make it an excellent candidate for affecting neurological functions. However, its role in the 22q13 deletion syndrome is still uncertain, since the sizing of relatively few 22q13 deletions by molecular analysis has been published.^{5–12–13–17–19} The most comprehensive report on deletion size compared only seven patients with cytogenetically visible deletions,¹⁸ representing deletions between 5 Mb and 8 Mb. The region containing *SHANK3* has been analysed in even fewer patients.^{12–13}

If *SHANK3* haploinsufficiency is responsible for most neurological features in the 22q13 syndrome, then all such deletions should include the gene and the size of the deletion should not strongly correlate with the phenotype. We have therefore determined the size of the 22q13 deletions of over 50 patients using minisatellite, microsatellite, and FISH analysis. The deletions were found to vary in size from 130 kb to greater than 9 Mb, but all cases that could be analysed for the terminal 130 kb region containing *SHANK3* showed a deletion of this gene (45/56). A single patient with a slightly different phenotype and an interstitial 22q13 deletion is described elsewhere (Wilson *et al*, manuscript in preparation). Using a large

group of patients, many of whom were examined by the same team of developmental paediatricians/geneticists, we detected few correlations between the size of the deletion and the clinical features observed. Although some measures of developmental assessment did correlate to deletion size, all patients showed the cardinal features of mental retardation and delay of expressive speech regardless of the deletion size. Our analysis therefore supports haploinsufficiency of *SHANK3* as the major causative factor in the neurological symptoms of 22q13 deletion syndrome.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Selection of patients

Patients were selected based on having a visible cytogenetic abnormality of the terminal region of chromosome 22q, a deletion detected via FISH analysis with the VCFS/DGS FISH probe (absence of signal from the 22q13 control probe), or a previously characterised deletion of 22q13. Control 22q13 probes used were D22S39 from Oncor (located between D22S1170 and D22S526, fig 1), ARSA from Vysis, or N85A3 from Cytocell. Local cytogeneticists made the identification of the 22q13 deletion. Nine of the patients in this study had been previously published: 1/NT and 10/LM in Flint *et al*²⁰; 1/NT also in Wong *et al*¹³; 15/CH, 17/AJ, 18/JT, 22/QM, 35/CB, 39/FB, and 49/NS in Nesslinger *et al*¹⁸; 39/FB also in Phelan *et al*²¹; 22/QM also in Zwaigenbaum *et al*²²; 13 in Eyedoux *et al*²³; 20 in Feldman *et al*²⁴; and 30 in Powell *et al*.²⁵ Blood samples or cell lines were obtained on all of the patients and many of the parents.

Patients 6, 9, 12, 14, 16, 19-22, 24-29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 38-42, 44, 46-50, and 52-55 were all examined by the same group of clinicians and the clinical features were summarised in Phelan *et al*.⁴ All of these patients and their families are part of the Deletion 22q13 Support Group.

The remaining patients in the study were obtained through contact with the parents, physicians, or other clinicians. The clinical features in these patients were obtained through examination of the medical records. Thus, the information regarding the clinical features of these patients was not necessarily as comprehensive.

The Faculty of Science Research Ethics Board of the University of Alberta approved this study, and informed consent was obtained from participants.

Developmental assessment

The Developmental Profile II (DPII) is an 186 item scale designed to assess a child's functional developmental level.²⁶ It was administered as a parent interview. The DPII consists of five scales: (1) Physical, fine and gross motor skills; (2) Self Help, daily living skills such as eating, dressing, and toileting; (3) Social, interpersonal relationship abilities; (4) Academic, including pre-academic skills; and (5) Communication, expressive and receptive communication including verbal and non-verbal language skills. The Intelligence Equivalence is a composite of these five scales.

The Scales of Independent Behaviour-Revised - Full Scale (SIB-R)²⁷ is a comprehensive measure of adaptive and problem behaviours. It is a parent interview consisting of 259 items that are organised into four adaptive behaviour clusters: (1) Motor skills, gross and fine motor; (2) Social Interaction and Communication Skills, social interaction, language comprehension, and language expression; (3) Personal Living Skills, eating and meal preparation, toileting, dressing, personal self-care; and (4) Community Living Skills, time and punctuality, money and value, work skills, and home/community orientation. The Broad Independence Scale is a composite of the four clusters. In addition, parents also completed the Maladaptive Behaviour Index Profile. This index measures problem behaviours that interfere with daily functioning including self-harm, repetitive habits, withdrawal, uncooperative behaviour,

socially offensive behaviour, destruction of property, disruptive behaviour, and harm to others.

Analysis of patient deletions

DNA was extracted using the protocol of Gustincich *et al*²⁸ and metaphase cell preparations were done on many of the patients using the protocol of Arakai and Sparkes.²⁹ Minisatellite analysis was done with the probe D22S163.³⁰ Genomic DNA of the patients and parents was digested with *Sau3A*, electrophoresed, and transferred to a membrane for Southern blot analysis (modified from Sambrook *et al*³¹), probed with D22S163 labelled either using a random priming method³¹ or Ambion® Strip-EZ DNA kit, and hybridised in a variation of the Church and Gilbert hybridisation solution.³²

Twenty-two microsatellite loci (fig 1) were selected throughout the region of the largest previously characterised deletion patient NS from Nesslinger *et al*¹⁸ and were ordered from Research Genetics. The forward primer was end labelled with either [³²P]dATP, [³³P]dATP, or a fluorescent label. PCR reactions were carried out on patient samples and parental samples if available (39/56 patients) using a modification of Research Genetics' protocol and electrophoresed on either a 6% or 8% polyacrylamide gel. Microsatellites were analysed either by using autoradiographs or ABI 377 Automated Sequencer (Applied Biosystems).

DNAs were used as probes for FISH analysis of metaphase cell preparations of 45/56 patients. The DNA was isolated using QIAGEN® Maxi Kit and was labelled using GIBCOBRL® BioNick Labelling system. The biotinylated probes were detected using the protocol described in McTaggart *et al*³³ with FITC-avidin and anti-avidin purchased from either Oncor or Vector Laboratories.

Expression of *SHANK3*

Northern blots were purchased from Clontech Laboratories Inc. Probes for Northern Blot analysis were labelled using the Ambion® Strip-EZ DNA or PCR kits. Northern blots were hybridised overnight in 50% formamide, 0.02% SDS, 0.4 mg/ml herring sperm DNA, 5 × SSPE, 10 × Denhardt's at 42°C. The final washes of the blots were in 0.1 × SSPE, 0.1% SDS.

RNA was isolated from tissues using TRIZOL® from GIBCOBRL® and mRNA was isolated from total RNA using the Promega PolyAtract® System IV. GIBCOBRL's THERMO-SCRIPT RT-PCR System was used to create cDNAs from the RNA templates. Primers for RT-PCR were designed throughout the gene to identify the transcript of *SHANK3*. Products obtained were sequenced using either a LI-COR IR² with fluorescently labelled primers (ThermoSequenase® Amersham Pharmacia Biotech Limited) or ABI Prism 377 Automated Sequencer (Applied Biosystems) with the DYEnamic ET Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech Limited).

RESULTS

Cytogenetic analysis of patients

Eleven patients had deletions that arose from an unbalanced translocation; three were familial translocations (two maternal, patients 9 and 12, and one paternal patient 31) (table 1). In addition to a t(22;acro) in patient 32, the child was also mosaic for a marker chromosome of unknown origin. Patient 43 was mosaic for the 22q13.3 deletion with 90% of his cells showing the deletion. One patient (No 13) was found with a complex chromosomal rearrangement involving chromosomes 21 and 22. No loss of chromosomal material from chromosome 21 was observed cytogenetically, but a deletion of material from 22q13 was observed cytogenetically and verified molecularly.

Developmental analysis of the patients

All participants were in the moderate to profound mentally retarded range of functioning. The mean age equivalent on the

Figure 1 Summary of the sizes of 56 patient deletions and map of the genes and probes in the 22q13 deletion region. Each patient is represented by a horizontal line. A solid line indicates a confirmed deletion, whereas a dotted line indicates an uninformative region of the deletion. To the left of each line, a P represents a paternal deletion, an M represents maternal deletion, and a U indicates that the parent of origin is unknown. The numbers on the right side of each line indicate individual patients. Initials refer to identifiers in previously published cases. The line at the top represents chromosome 22. The microsatellite markers used in this study are labelled by underlined text above the line. Cosmids used in FISH analysis are labelled in bold text, and other markers used are in plain text. Known genes in the region are labelled in italics. A wide range of sizes of deletions is observed in these patients, from 130 kb to >9 Mb. Size bar indicates a distance of 1 Mb. There are 56 patients represented (1-57, there is no patient 2).

DPII is 19.7 months and on the SIB-R is 14.2 months (table 2). Functional performance can be compared to the mean chronological ages of the sample of 33.9 months. There were no participants in the study with an overall age equivalent greater than 23 months on any non-motor variable. Severe language and social deficits are a hallmark of 22q13 deletion.

Children with 22q13 have no efficient or functional semiotic medium. No participants of any age have cognitive ability greater than an age equivalent of 23 months. However, participants had fewer maladaptive behaviours than children of a similar level of cognitive ability. The Maladaptive Behavior Index has a range from +5 to -70, with a mean of 0 and a

Table 1 Summary of unusual patient karyotype and cytogenetic information

Patient	Karyotype	Comments
4	46,XX,dic(22).ish dic(22;22)(q13;q11.2)	Dicentric
9	46,XX,der(22)t(19;22)	Maternal familial
10/LM	46,XX,t(9;22)(q7;q13)	
12	46,XX,der(22)t(1;22)(q44;q13.32) mat.ish der(22)t(1;22)(D22S39-)	Maternal familial
13	45,XX,-21,-22,+rea(21;22).ish.ins (21;22)(21pter-21p11.2::22q13.3-22q11.2::21p11.2-21q2)(ARSA-)	Pseudodicentric, no loss of chromosome 21 material, inverted insertion of chromosome 22 material with deletion of 22q13
20	46,XX,der(22)t(22;acro)(q13.33;p12)	De novo, dicentric
26	46,XX,der(22)t(22;acro).ish del(22)(q13.3)	De novo, dicentric
31	46,XX,der(22)t(20;22)(p13.2;q13.3)	Paternal familial
32	46,XY,der(22)t(22;acro)(q13.11;p14)[4]/47,XY,der(22)t(22;acro),+mar[16]	De novo, dicentric, marker chromosome of unknown origin
43	46,XY,del(22)(q13.3) [18]/46,XY [2]	Mosaic 90% deleted in lymphocytes
57	46,X?,der(22)t(13;22)(p12;q13.3)	Familial translocation

standard deviation of 10. The sample had a mean of -4.6 . This indicates a normal level of problematical behaviour. No child had a score of less than -14 . Compared with most children with severe to profound mental retardation, children with 22q13 deletion syndrome have far less frequency and severity of problematical behaviour.³⁴ Overall, there were no exceptions to the finding that all children with 22q13 deletion syndrome have moderate to profound mental retardation.

Verifying the loss of *SHANK3* in 22q13 deletion patients

The polymorphic minisatellite D22S163³⁵ is located approximately 130 kb from the telomere of 22q (fig 1). It marks the site of the 1/NT deletion breakpoint and also falls within intron 7 of *SHANK3*. All of the patients tested with this probe (50/56) showed a single band at this locus, indicating either hemizygosity or homozygosity. Of the patients where parent DNA samples were available, 38% were informative for this locus (15/38 patients tested) and the deletion of this region could be verified in each informative case. If D22S163 was uninformative, the terminal deletion of 22q was verified using FISH analysis with cosmids c202, cN1G3, cN85A3, and cN66C4, which span 130 kb directly adjacent to the 22q subtelomeric repeats¹³ (fig 1). Results were obtained for a total of 30 patients with at least one of these cosmids. Using D22S163 and FISH, the terminal deletion of 22q has been confirmed in all patients that we were able to test (45 out of 56 patients, 80%). In the remaining patients, parental samples were either not available or uninformative and metaphase preparations were unavailable for FISH analysis.

Determining deletion size

In order to determine the size of the deletion in the 56 patients, PCR analysis of a variety of microsatellite markers

(fig 1) was carried out using the patient DNA and the parental DNA if available (39/56). When parental samples were not available or were uninformative, a single band in the patient was considered uninformative while two alleles showed that the locus was not deleted. The number of uninformative results, where parental DNA was available, ranged from 0% (D22S272) to 59% (D22S1159). Some patients showed large regions of uninformative microsatellites, such as patient 19. For these cases, as well as patients without parental DNA samples and the patients with smaller deletions, further analysis was carried out by FISH if metaphase cell preparations were available (45/56 patients).

By combining the minisatellite, microsatellite, and FISH analysis, we have obtained the approximate sizes of the 22q13 deletions in 56 patients (fig 1). These deletions show a wide range of size from the 130 kb of the 1/NT deletion to the >9 Mb deletion found in patient 53. In some cases the deletion could only be partially characterised. For instance, patients 7, 11, 19, 48, and 55 had large regions of uninformative microsatellites and no metaphase cell preparations. However, patient 19 was confirmed as having a terminal deletion using D22S163 and patient 11 was confirmed by FISH analysis. Cytogenetically identified deletions in patients 7 and 48 have not been confirmed at the molecular level since neither parental DNA nor metaphase cell preparations were available. In these cases only the maximum size of the deletion, based on microsatellite heterozygosity, could be determined.

Parental origin of the deletions

Parental origin of the deletions could be determined from the minisatellite and microsatellite analysis if parental DNA samples were available (fig 1). In all 39 cases, the parent of origin of the deletion was consistent over all informative probes. There were considerably more paternal germline deletions (27/39) than maternal germline deletions (12/39) observed. A chi-square analysis with the null hypothesis that both types of deletions should occur in equal frequency yielded a chi-square value of 5.76 and a p value of 0.0163, suggesting that significantly more paternal germline deletions exist in this sample population.

Analysis of the phenotype of the 22q13 deletion syndrome patients

Phenotypic information on these patients was obtained through a variety of sources, although comprehensive phenotype information was not available for all patients. All patients were described as having mental retardation and severely impaired expressive speech. Most of the patients also presented with hypotonia and several mild dysmorphic features. Patients 9, 10/LM, 12, 31, and 57 were removed from the phenotype analysis since their deletions were known to be the result of an unbalanced translocation with a duplication of material on another chromosome that could contribute to the

Table 2 Scales of Developmental Profile II (DPII) and Independent Behaviour-Revised (SIB) Results

Measure	Mean SS	SD	Mean AE	SD
DPII Physical Skills	31.4	23.5	19.3	5.0
DPII Self Help	34.2	24.5	19.8	7.1
DPII Social Skills	32.9	25.4	20.2	9.1
DPII Academic Skills	34.7	23.1	20.1	4.9
DPII Communication Skills	28.0	24.0	16.1	5.9
DPII Intelligence Equivalence	37.9	22.6	19.7	5.0
SIB Motor Skills	46.9	23.5	16.6	8.7
SIB Social/Communication Skills	37.0	18.8	12.9	4.9
SIB Personal Living Skills	45.1	22.2	15.3	5.8
SIB Community Living Skills	33.4	17.4	11.0	3.1
SIB Broad Independence	41.9	20.2	14.2	5.3

SS = standard score (mean of normative population = 100, SD = 15); AE = age equivalent in months. Refer to Materials and methods for description of scales.

Table 3 Comparison of clinical features and the size of the 22q13 deletion, using linear regression to obtain a coefficient of correlation. Measures used for developmental assessment include the Scales of Independent Behaviour (SIB) and the Developmental Profile II (DPII). Number of patients indicates the number of patients for whom data was available in that category. AE - age equivalent

Clinical feature	Coefficient of correlation	Significance F	No of patients
SIB Broad Independence	0.406	0.075	20
SIB Broad Independence Age Equivalent	0.526	0.017	20
SIB Motor Skills AE	0.397	0.083	20
SIB Social/Communication AE	0.400	0.080	20
SIB Personal Living Skills AE	0.569	0.009	20
SIB Community Living Skills AE	0.546	0.013	20
DPII Physical Skills	0.374	0.127	18
DPII Self Help	0.495	0.037	18
DPII Social Skills	0.217	0.387	18
DPII Academic Skills	0.310	0.210	18
DPII Communication Skills	0.266	0.285	18
DPII Estimated Intelligence Score	0.173	0.491	18
Words spoken	0.169	0.371	30
Words recognised	0.072	0.825	12
Hypotonia	0.368	0.018	41
Ability to walk	0.384	0.095	20
Growth	0.160	0.357	35
Head circumference	0.322	0.059	35
Organ malformations	0.247	0.159	34
Neurological scan abnormalities	0.120	0.659	16
Seizures	0.038	0.825	36
Hearing defects	0.186	0.286	35
Chewing behaviour	0.191	0.311	30
High pain tolerance	0.299	0.214	31
Fleshy hands	0.053	0.761	35
Abnormal toenails	0.132	0.451	35
Syndactyly	0.069	0.695	35
Clinodactyly	0.145	0.407	35
Large hands	0.288	0.093	35
Recurrent ear infections	0.389	0.025	33
Caesarean section	0.136	0.450	33
Respiratory problems at birth	0.278	0.110	34
Epicanthic folds	0.114	0.514	35
Ptosis	0.297	0.084	35
Deep set eyes	0.253	0.142	35
Long eyelashes	0.019	0.915	35
Full eyelids	0.112	0.522	35
Preauricular pits/tags	0.195	0.246	37
Dysmorphic ears	0.063	0.710	37
Abnormal ears	0.092	0.586	37
Long ears	0.121	0.475	37
High palate	0.198	0.260	34
Pointed chin	0.501	0.004	31
Dental anomalies	0.400	0.019	34
Full cheeks	0.135	0.477	30
Bulbous nose	0.010	0.958	31
Wide nasal bridge	0.193	0.274	34
Long philtrum	0.073	0.690	32
Dolichocephaly	0.267	0.140	32
Recurrent upper respiratory problems	0.293	0.087	35

phenotype. Other patients listed in table 1 were included in the analysis because there was no evidence of additional gain or loss of DNA except for acrocentric p arms. The parents of the patients in this study did not show any detectable clinical features of the 22q13 deletion syndrome.

Linear regression was used to obtain a coefficient of correlation to deletion size for each feature (table 3). The coefficient of correlation ranged between 0 and 0.6. The F value was examined to determine if the coefficient of correlation was significant. For most features no correlation to deletion size was found. However, several clinical features were found to have a statistically significant correlation with the size of the

Table 4 Comparison of clinical features and the parent of origin, using chi-square analysis. Measures used for developmental assessment include the Scales of Independent Behaviour (SIB) and the Developmental Profile II (DPII). AE - age equivalent.

Clinical feature	Chi square value	p value	No of patients
SIB Broad Independence	1.571	0.623	16
SIB Broad Independence Age Equivalent	5.139	0.162	16
SIB Motor Skills AE	5.527	0.355	16
SIB Social/Communication AE	3.302	0.067	16
SIB Personal Living Skills AE	4.170	0.244	16
SIB Community Living Skills AE	4.364	0.037	16
DPII Physical Skills	3.837	0.573	14
DPII Self Help	2.121	0.145	14
DPII Social Skills	3.111	0.078	14
DPII Academic Skills	3.837	0.573	14
DPII Communication Skills	5.833	0.016	14
DPII Estimated Intelligence Score	4.563	0.472	14
Words spoken	5.000	0.082	20
Words recognised	2.057	0.151	9
Hypotonia	1.003	0.462	29
Ability to walk	0.364	0.546	12
Growth	2.159	0.340	25
Head circumference	1.400	0.496	24
Organ malformations	0.883	0.586	24
Neurological scan abnormalities	N/A	N/A	13
Seizures	1.075	0.418	26
Hearing defects	0.861	0.790	26
Chewing behaviour	N/A	N/A	14
High pain tolerance	1.487	0.270	23
Fleshy hands	0.903	0.555	26
Abnormal toenails	0.851	0.743	25
Syndactyly	2.056	0.170	25
Clinodactyly	2.204	0.152	26
Recurrent ear infections	N/A	N/A	6
Caesarean section	N/A	N/A	9
Respiratory problems at birth	N/A	N/A	6
Epicanthic folds	1.397	0.294	25
Ptosis	1.937	0.186	25
Deep set eyes	0.852	0.668	25
Long eyelashes	0.852	0.668	25
Full eyelids	1.209	0.356	25
Preauricular pits/tags	2.357	0.136	27
Dysmorphic ears	0.848	0.706	27
Abnormal ears	2.583	0.116	27
Long ears	1.250	0.340	27
High palate	4.324	0.038	12
Pointed chin	1.092	0.408	23
Dental anomalies	0.950	0.504	25
Full cheeks	2.162	0.157	22
Bulbous nose	0.915	0.541	23
Wide nasal bridge	0.881	0.841	24
Long philtrum	0.908	0.549	24
Dolichocephaly	1.235	0.346	24
	1.155	0.378	26

deletion, including 4/12 components of the developmental assessments, hypotonia, head circumference, recurrent ear infections, pointed chin, and dental anomalies. At a significance level of 0.05, one would expect 1 in 20 significant correlations by chance, whereas 9/50 (18%) correlations were observed in this population.

The clinical features were also compared to the parent of origin of the deletion to determine if there is any subtle imprinting effect. Contingency tables and chi-square analysis was used to see if the two variables were independent (table 4). In some of the chi-square analyses, the null hypothesis that the two variables are independent of each other was rejected on a p value of less than 0.05, suggesting some effect of the parent of origin on the clinical feature. Two components of the developmental assessment showed rejection of the null hypothesis with paternal deletions having lower scores on the

Figure 3 Multiple tissue northern blot analysis of *SHANK3* in humans. The probe used contains human *SHANK3* exons 11 to 15. An 8 kb band is observed in most lanes and showed strongest expression in brain and heart. A 7.5 kb band is observed strongly in brain and weaker in kidney. A weak band of 2 kb is observed in most lanes, as well as a large 10 kb band showing a similar pattern of expression. The blot was stripped and reprobed with β -actin as a loading control, shown below.

observed with varying levels of expression in the different tissues tested, similar to that previously described.¹¹ The cDNA probe used, from within exon 11 to within exon 15, overlaps with the probe used previously¹¹ (within exon 10–within exon 12). An 8 kb transcript, originally seen only in brain, also showed strong expression in heart with weaker expression in other tissues tested (fig 3). A 10 kb transcript was present in most tissues tested, but at very low levels. A 2 kb transcript was observed with strong expression in heart and weaker expression in kidney and liver. A brain predominant transcript of 7.5 kb also showed very weak expression in kidney and liver. Similar to Bonaglia *et al*,¹¹ analysis of different regions of the brain showed strong expression of the 10 kb transcript in the cerebellum with lower levels in the other brain tissues tested (results not shown). The 7.5 kb and 8 kb transcripts showed moderate expression in all brain tissues tested with the exception of a lower level of expression in the spinal cord and medulla. However, the 8 kb transcript was absent from the cerebellum. The Northern blot analysis of *SHANK3* in humans shows that expression of this gene is complex with many different transcripts at different levels in different tissues. The analysis of ESTs also supports expression in tissues other than the brain, since cDNAs were found originating from brain, uterus, lung, heart, prostate, kidney, spleen, germ cell, colon, placenta, and pancreas, using the exon 22 or exon 23/24 alternate 3' ends.

DISCUSSION

The size of the 22q13 deletion shows little correlation with the severity of the phenotype

We have determined the 22q13 deletion size in 56 patients using microsatellite analysis and FISH. These deletions showed considerable variation in size from 130 kb to over 9 Mb. The smallest deletion patient (1/NT) showed only mild developmental delay and absence of expressive speech with none of the dysmorphic features commonly observed in the 22q13 deletion syndrome. This led us to compare the

occurrence and severity of the clinical features with the size of the deletion in the larger group of patients studied. Our previous study¹⁸ compared only seven patients and did not look at different aspects of developmental delay. With the current larger group, many patients were examined and tested by the same team of developmental clinicians, allowing more subtle comparison.

All 56 of our patients were found to have the main neurological findings of developmental delay and delay or absence of expressive speech. However, four measures of developmental assessment showed a statistically significant correlation with the size of the deletion, with larger deletions scoring lower on these measures, while eight other measures of developmental assessment showed no significant correlation (table 2). The four measures with statistically significant correlations with deletion size are the most reliable subscales. There does not appear to be any relationship among these four correlations that implicates a specific locus of the brain or functional unit. Nearly all measures approached statistical significance. This is notable given the fairly small sample size and restricted variance on all measures in this sample. Another limitation is that few measures in psychology and education are designed to differentiate skills among children in the moderate to profound range of mental retardation, thus leading to restricted range, which results in reduced correlation coefficients. These results suggest that a major gene affecting neurological development is located in the NT 130 kb critical region, since this region is deleted in all patients with the 22q13 deletion syndrome. However, the data also suggest that other more proximal genes show additional effects in mental development, as might be expected over such a large region (up to 9 Mb).

Other clinical features also show a higher incidence or increased severity with the larger deletions, including hypotonia, head circumference, recurrent ear infections, pointed chin, and dental anomalies. Therefore, several genes that contribute to these features may be located in the more proximal region of 22q13. However, for most clinical features, there was no significant correlation with the size of the deletion, including most components (9/13) of developmental assessment. The statistical analysis suggests that the NT 130 kb terminal deletion contains a gene that has broad effects on mental development, as well as speech development, because neither the words spoken nor words recognised correlate with the size of the deletion.

Although subjects with deletions known to be the result of translocations were eliminated from the above analysis, it is possible that some other patients have undiscovered cryptic translocations. Therefore, it is possible that some correlations are confounded by increased severity owing to the presence of an unbalanced translocation, resulting in the duplication of the terminal end of another chromosome. Only the patient with the smallest deletion (patient 1 or NT) has undergone analysis of other subtelomeric regions. Patient 1 was confirmed to be a pure deletion.²⁰

Parent of origin

The parent of origin of the deletions was obtained in 39/56 cases. There were significantly more paternal germline deletions (27/39, 69%) observed than maternal germline deletions ($\chi^2=5.76$, 1 df, $p=0.163$). However, this phenomenon has been seen in other terminal deletion syndromes: paternal origin was found in 29/34 (83%) of 18q-syndrome cases³⁶ and 17/19 (89%) of Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome cases.^{37–39} It has been hypothesised that an overabundance of paternal deletions reflects a higher frequency of chromosomal breakage in male compared to female germ cells.³⁶ Our data fit with this hypothesis. If imprinting were causing a female specific decrease in viability during development, one would expect the maternal deletions to be

smaller, implicating imprinted genes in the more proximal region. Like the 18q- deletion cases,³⁶ this is clearly not the case for maternally derived 22q13 deletions, which are scattered throughout the size range (fig 1).

The presence, absence, or severity of the clinical features of the 22q13 deletion syndrome were also analysed for a correlation with the parent of origin of the deletion (39/57 patients). For most of the clinical features, no correlation was found. Although a correlation was seen in 3/49 features, this is approximately the number of correlations expected by chance using a p value of 0.05 as a significance level. Therefore, this analysis supports the lack of imprinting on chromosome 22.⁴⁰⁻⁴²

The role of haploinsufficiency of *SHANK3* in the neurological features of 22q13 deletion syndrome

SHANK3, *ACR*, and *RABL2B* are the only genes deleted in patient 1/NT's 130 kb deletion as well as a recently described similar deletion.¹² *Acrosin* (*ACR*) is a proteinase found in the acrosome of mature spermatozoa⁴³⁻⁴⁴ and thus an unlikely candidate gene for the neurological features of the 22q13 syndrome. *RABL2B* is a widely expressed member of the RAB family of GTPases involved in vesicular trafficking in cells.⁴⁵ However, the presence of a related active locus on chromosome 2, *RABL2A*, with only five nucleotides difference from *RABL2B*, makes it less likely that the loss of one of the four *RABL2* genes would be responsible for abnormalities in the 22q13 deletion syndrome. Although the expression of genes proximal to the breakpoint could be affected through position effect, this does not appear to be the case for the NT microdeletion. *ARSA*, approximately 54 kb proximal to the deletion breakpoint in 1/NT, was shown to be expressed from both alleles in this patient.⁴⁶ *SHANK3* (SH3 and multiple ankyrin repeat domains 3), originally identified in the rat,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ shows high sequence similarity to its human orthologue. The gene has also been called *ProSAP2* and *Spank-2*. There are two other closely related genes identified in rat, *Shank1* (also called *synamon*, *SSTRIP*, and *Spank1*)¹⁶ and *Shank2* (also called *ProSAP1*, *Spank3*, and *CortBP1*).¹⁴⁻¹⁵ In rat these proteins have been localised to the postsynaptic density of excitatory synapses, and are important in linking the metabotropic glutamate receptors through the interaction with a Homer homodimer and NMDA receptors through the interaction of PSD-95 and GKAP/SAPAP to the actin cytoskeleton.⁴⁷ Because the Shank structural proteins interact directly with four other proteins (Homer, Cortactin, Sharnin, and GKAP/SAPAP) as well as forming homodimers in a continuous protein network in the postsynaptic density,⁴⁸ any alteration of the relative proportions of such a protein could alter synapse formation and function. Overexpression of *SHANK1* or *SHANK3* in cultured hippocampal neurones results in alterations of dendritic spine morphology and maturation.⁴⁹ Such changes in vivo could affect spine plasticity, hypothesised to be involved in memory and learning. Thus, the deletion of *SHANK3*, as in the patients with the 22q13 deletion syndrome, would probably contribute to abnormal brain function. Several patients have had neurological imaging studies done with abnormalities in the brain noted, including decreased/delayed myelination, reduced white matter, low density white matter, asymmetrical ventricles, enlargement of ventricles (four patients), generous extracerebral spaces, PNET aggressive malignant brain tumour, brain atrophy, prominent sulci, agenesis of the corpus callosum, hypoplastic corpus callosum, thin corpus callosum, partial absence of the corpus callosum, hypoplastic cerebellum, hypoplasia of the optic nerve, and prominent occipital and temporal horns.

The function of *SHANK3*, therefore, makes it an excellent candidate to be responsible for the major neurological features of the 22q13 syndrome. *SHANK3* is disrupted in a patient with a t(12;22) balanced translocation.¹¹ This child shows all the

typical features of the 22q13 deletion syndrome, including mild mental retardation, severe delay of expressive speech, hypotonia, joint laxity, dolichocephaly, epicanthic folds, and bulbous nose. We have now shown *SHANK3* to be deleted in all 22q13 deletions tested. All of these patients show a similar phenotype, although the degree of developmental delay appears to worsen somewhat with the increased size of the deletion. This is supported by the fact that the two smallest reported deletions¹²⁻¹³ and the translocation case¹¹ all show milder mental retardation.

Because *SHANK3* is a member of a family of proteins that are similar in sequence and structure, redundancy of function is a possibility. However mRNA in situ hybridisation of *Shank2* and *Shank3* have shown slightly different patterns of expression in rat brain.¹⁵ Using mRNA in situ hybridisations, *Shank3* appears to co-localise with *Shank2* in the cerebral cortex and the hippocampus. However, in the cerebellum, *Shank3* is expressed only in the granular cell layer, whereas *Shank2* is expressed primarily in the Purkinje cells. Thus this family of proteins may have a similar function but the complementary expression observed between *Shank2* and *Shank3*, particularly in the cerebellum, indicates that their function may not be redundant.

At a recent support group for parents of children with 22q13 deletion, 17 of 48 children were reported to have significant regression in skills. Parents reported progress in teaching a skill for several months or years, and then the skill suddenly disappeared. The skill then returned slowly or not at all. In no case was there evidence of a neurodegenerative process as detected by an MRI, metabolic changes, or increased seizure activity as detected by an EEG. Such a regression in skills without physical evidence of changes in the brain occurs in children with autism and some other developmental disabilities. A possible hypothesis for participants in this study is that haploinsufficiency for *SHANK3* may contribute to the regression. Synapses formed with half the amount of the *SHANK3* protein are likely to be inefficient. As new skills are learned through experience, development, and training, there is presumed to be increased dendritic branching and development of new synapses. As complex neural pathways are developed based upon an increasing number of synapses with decreased *SHANK3* protein, the likelihood of network failure grows. Eventually, there are enough inefficient synapses that neural signals are unable to traverse neural networks without failure of the system. Signal to noise ratio eventually becomes so greatly reduced that there is a collapse of the entire neural network associated with a given skill, resulting in the functional regression of the skill. Although only a hypothesis, *SHANK3* may play a significant role in regression of skills in these patients and requires further study.

Further characterisation of the human orthologue of rat *SHANK3*

SHANK3 is composed of 24 exons that span approximately 60 kb of genomic sequence. This complex gene shows a number of alternate splice products, including an alternate splice between exons 10 and 13 which removed the SH3 domain (fig 2). In addition, three alternate 3' ends of *SHANK3* were identified through cDNAs. However, only exon 24 contains the SAM domain of the *SHANK3* protein, which allows for the formation of homodimers. Therefore the alternate 3' ends containing exons 22 and 23/34, which do not contain the SAM domain, may be common but aberrant transcripts. Alternatively, they may represent an alternative function that does not require the SAM domain. Other members of the rat *Shank* genes have been shown to have alternative splicing, including variants of *Shank2* affecting the N-terminal end¹⁴ and variants of *Shank1* and *Shank2* which lack the SAM domain.⁵⁰

We have shown evidence of a deletion in the published human genomic sequence that corresponds to an extension of

the predicted exon 11 to cover a 55 bp region of the rat cDNA, which is not currently found in the predicted human gene. This region of the gene is just 5' of the SH3 domain, but does not include a known domain itself.

Our expression analysis of *SHANK3* showed results similar to that of Bonaglia *et al.*,¹¹ although we saw low levels of transcripts in more varied tissues, particularly in the heart. In the rat, *Shank3* is also expressed in non-neuronal tissues, especially in the heart.³⁰ The expression of *SHANK3* in non-neuronal tissues was confirmed by analysis of the EST database. In particular, the alternate 3' ends (exons 22 and 23/24) are found in only non-neuronal tissues. Expression in other tissues may represent leaky expression without associated function, or it may represent an alternate function for this protein.^{50, 51} It would be interesting to determine if the protein was expressed in non-nervous tissues.

The significance of the deletion of SHANK3

Small deletions of 22q13, undetectable by cytogenetics, may often be missed because the clinical features associated with this syndrome are not very distinct. For example, developmental delay and delay of expressive speech are found in many other disorders, including Angelman syndrome, FG syndrome, and fragile X syndrome. In fact, many of the published cases, as well as in this study, were originally tested for other syndromes.^{5, 7, 52} The dysmorphic features observed in the 22q13 deletion syndrome are mild and not present in all subjects and thus do not allow for a definitive diagnosis. If the syndrome is suspected, then commercial FISH probes produced for the DGS/VCFs syndrome (22q11 + 22q13 probe combination) may be used for identification.

There is now strong evidence that haploinsufficiency of *SHANK3* plays a major role in the majority of neurological abnormalities observed in the 22q13 deletion syndrome. Therefore, mutations in the *SHANK3* gene may be responsible for a percentage of cases of idiopathic mental retardation in combination with delay/absence of expressive speech, hypotonia, and mild facial dysmorphic features. Although most such patients will have other aetiologies, it may be worth screening patients for these mutations. Using polymorphic markers, a recent study found no submicroscopic deletions in 44 patients with atypical Angelman syndrome.⁵³ However small deletions could have been missed in some patients and mutations in *SHANK3* were not tested for. Patients with idiopathic mental retardation have been screened for subtelomeric deletions, with deletion of 22q13 as one of the most common findings.^{20, 54-59} These same patients might now be screened for mutations in *SHANK3*, using rapid methods such as denaturing high performance liquid chromatography (DHPLC). The finding of *SHANK3* mutations in patients with idiopathic mental retardation would also suggest that the other members of the SHANK family of proteins, or the proteins interacting with the *SHANK3* in the postsynaptic density, could also be considered as candidate genes for idiopathic neurological abnormalities.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank all the families and clinicians that participated in this research and The Deletion 22q13 Support Group. We thank Dana Shkolny and Helen Cheung for technical assistance. This research was supported by grants from the Medical Research Council of Canada/Canadian Institutes of Health Research and the Canadian Genome Analysis and Technology Program.

Authors' affiliations

H L Wilson*, **A C C Wong†**, **W-Y Tse**, **S Hu**, **J Marshall**,
H E McDermid, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta,
 Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada
S R Shaw, Department of Developmental Pediatrics, The Children's
 Hospital, Greenville, SC 29615, USA
G A Stapleton, Greenwood Genetic Center, Greenwood, SC 29646,

USA

M C Phelan, TC Thompson Children's Hospital, Chattanooga, TN
 37403, USA

*Present address: Center for Neuroscience, University of Pittsburgh, PA
 15260, USA

†Present address: Department of Human Genetics, University of Chicago,
 Chicago, IL 60637, USA

REFERENCES

- 1 **McDermid HE**, Morrow BE. Genomic disorders on 22q11. *Am J Hum Genet* 2002;**70**:1077-88.
- 2 **Botto LD**, Campbell RM, Coleman K, Correa A, Elixson EM, Erickson JD, Fernhoff P, May K, Merritt R, O'Leary L, Rasmussen SA. A population-based study of the 22q11.2 deletion. *Am J Hum Genet* 2001;**69**:583A.
- 3 **Watt JL**, Olson IA, Johnston AW, Ross HS, Couzin DA, Stephen GS. A familial pericentric inversion of chromosome 22 with a recombinant subject illustrating a 'pure' partial monosomy syndrome. *J Med Genet* 1985;**22**:283-7.
- 4 **Phelan MC**, Rogers RC, Saul RA, Stapleton GA, Sweet K, McDermid H, Shaw SR, Clayton J, Willis J, Kelly DP. 22q13 deletion syndrome. *Am J Med Genet* 2001;**101**:91-9.
- 5 **Precht KS**, Lese CM, Spiro RP, Huttenlocher PR, Johnston KM, Baker JC, Christian SL, Kittikamron K, Ledbetter DH. Two 22q telomere deletions serendipitously detected by FISH. *J Med Genet* 1998;**35**:939-42.
- 6 **Goizet C**, Excoffier E, Taine L, Taupiac E, El Moneim AA, Arveiler B, Bouvard M, Lacombe D. Case with autistic syndrome and chromosome 22q13.3 deletion detected by FISH. *Am J Med Genet* 2000;**96**:839-44.
- 7 **Prasad C**, Prasad AN, Chodirker BN, Lee C, Dawson AK, Jocelyn JL, Chudley AE. Genetic evaluation of pervasive developmental disorders: the terminal 22q13 deletion syndrome may represent a recognizable phenotype. *Clin Genet* 2000;**57**:103-9.
- 8 **Riegel M**, Baumer A, Wisser J, Acherman J, Schinzel A. Prenatal diagnosis of mosaicism for a del(22)(q13). *Prenat Diagn* 2000;**20**:76-9.
- 9 **MacLean JE**, Teshima IE, Szatmari P, Nowaczyk MJ. Ring chromosome 22 and autism: report and review. *Am J Med Genet* 2000;**90**:382-5.
- 10 **Wilson H**, Wong A, Tsi WY, McDermid HE. PROSAP2: a possible candidate gene for neurological abnormalities in the 22q13 deletion syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet* 2000;**67**:376.
- 11 **Bonaglia MC**, Giorda R, Borgatti R, Felisari G, Gagliardi C, Selicorni A, Zuffardi O. Disruption of the ProSAP2 gene in a t(12;22)(q24.1;q13.3) is associated with the 22q13.3 deletion syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet* 2001;**69**:261-8.
- 12 **Anderlid BM**, Schoumans J, Anneren G, Tapia-Paez I, Dumanski J, Blennow E, Nordenskjöld M. FISH-mapping of a 100-kb terminal 22q13 deletion. *Hum Genet* 2002;**110**:439-43.
- 13 **Wong AC**, Ning Y, Flint J, Clark K, Dumanski JP, Ledbetter DH, McDermid HE. Molecular characterization of a 130-kb terminal microdeletion at 22q in a child with mild mental retardation. *Am J Hum Genet* 1997;**60**:113-20.
- 14 **Boeckers TM**, Kreutz MR, Winter C, Zuschratter W, Smalla KH, Sanmarti-Vila L, Wex H, Langnaese K, Bockmann J, Garner CC, Gundelfinger ED. Proline-rich synapse-associated protein-1/cortactin binding protein 1 (ProSAP1/CortBP1) is a PDZ-domain protein highly enriched in the postsynaptic density. *J Neurosci* 1999;**19**:6506-18.
- 15 **Boeckers TM**, Winter C, Smalla KH, Kreutz MR, Bockmann J, Seidenbecher C, Garner CC, Gundelfinger ED. Proline-rich synapse-associated proteins ProSAP1 and ProSAP2 interact with synaptic proteins of the SAPAP/GKAP family. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1999;**264**:247-52.
- 16 **Naisbitt S**, Kim E, Tu JC, Xiao B, Sala C, Valtchanoff J, Weinberg RJ, Worley PF, Sheng M. Shank, a novel family of postsynaptic density proteins that binds to the NMDA receptor/PSD-95/GKAP complex and cortactin. *Neuron* 1999;**23**:569-82.
- 17 **Doherty KF**, McDermid HE, Harum K, Thomas GH, Raymond GV. Cryptic terminal rearrangement of chromosome 22q13.32 detected by FISH in two unrelated patients. *J Med Genet* 1997;**34**:640-4.
- 18 **Nesslinger NJ**, Gorski JL, Kurczynski TW, Chapira SK, Siegel-Bartlett J, Dumanski JP, Cullen RF Jr, French BN, McDermid HE. Clinical, cytogenetic, and molecular characterization of seven patients with deletions of chromosome 22q13.3. *Am J Hum Genet* 1994;**54**:464-72.
- 19 **Schroder K**, Schuffenhauer S, Seidel H, Bartsch O, Blin N, Hinkel GK, Schmitt H. Deletion mapping by FISH with BACs in patients with partial monosomy 22q13. *Hum Genet* 1998;**102**:557-61.
- 20 **Flint J**, Wilkie AO, Buckle VJ, Winter RH, Holland AJ, McDermid HE. The detection of subtelomeric chromosomal rearrangements in idiopathic mental retardation. *Nat Genet* 1995;**9**:132-40.
- 21 **Phelan MC**, Thomas GR, Saul RA, Rogers RC, Taylor HA, Wenger DA, McDermid HE. Cytogenetic, biochemical, and molecular analyses of a 22q13 deletion. *Am J Med Genet* 1992;**43**:872-6.
- 22 **Zwaigenbaum L**, Siegel-Bartlett J, Teshima I, Ho C. Two patients with 22q13.3 deletions have similar facies and developmental patterns. *Am J Hum Genet* 1990;**47**:A45.
- 23 **Eydoux P**, Sanderson D, Treacy E, Scriver C, Teebi AS. HHH-like syndrome and dysmorphic features associated with 22q deletion. *Am J Hum Genet* 1996;**59**:A117.
- 24 **Feldman G**, Wiktor A, Conard J, Van Dyke DL. Identification by FISH of a del(22)(q13.3) in a patient with de novo 22qs. *Am J Hum Genet* 1995;**57**:A113.

- 25 **Powell BR**, Budden SS, Buist NR. Dominantly inherited megalencephaly, muscle weakness, and myoliposis: a carnitine-deficient myopathy within the spectrum of the Ruvalcaba-Myhre-Smith syndrome. *J Pediatr* 1993;**123**:70-5.
- 26 **Alpern G**, Boll T, Shearer M. *Developmental Profile II*. Los Angeles: Western Psychological Services, 1986.
- 27 **Bruininks RH**, Woodcock RW, Weatherman R, Hill BK. *Scales of Independent Behavior-Revised*. Itasca, IL: Riverside Publishing, 1996.
- 28 **Gustincich S**, Manfioletti G, Del Sal G, Schneider C, Carninci P. A fast method for high-quality genomic DNA extraction from whole human blood. *Biotechniques* 1991;**11**:298-300, 302.
- 29 **Akakai DT**, Sparkes RS. Microtechniques for culturing leukocytes from whole blood. *Cytogenetics* 1963;**2**:57-63.
- 30 **Armour JA**, Povey S, Jeremiah S, Jeffreys AJ. Systematic cloning of human minisatellites from ordered array charomid libraries. *Genomics* 1990;**8**:501-12.
- 31 **Sambrook J**, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T. *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor, New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 1989.
- 32 **Church GM**, Gilbert W. Genomic sequencing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1984;**81**:1991-5.
- 33 **McTaggart KE**, Budarf ML, Driscoll DA, Emanuel BS, Ferreira P, McDermid HE. Cat eye syndrome chromosome breakpoint clustering: identification of two intervals also associated with 22q11 deletion syndrome breakpoints. *Cytogenet Cell Genet* 1998;**81**:222-8.
- 34 **Hill BK**, Bruininks RH. Maladaptive behavior of mentally retarded individuals in residential facilities. *Am J Ment Defic* 1984;**88**:380-7.
- 35 **Armour JA**, Jeffreys AJ. STS for minisatellite MS607 (D22S163). *Nucleic Acids Res* 1991;**19**:3158.
- 36 **Cody JD**, Pierce JF, Brkanac Z, Plaetke R, Ghidoni PD, Kaye CI, Leach RJ. Preferential loss of the paternal alleles in the 18q- syndrome. *Am J Med Genet* 1997;**69**:280-6.
- 37 **Tupler R**, Bortotto L, Buhler EM, Alkan M, Malik NJ, Bosch-Al Jadooa N, Memo L, Maraschio P. Paternal origin of the de novo deleted chromosome 4 in Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome. *J Med Genet* 1992;**29**:53-5.
- 38 **Quarrell OW**, Snell RG, Curtis MA, Roberts SH, Harper PS, Shaw DJ. Paternal origin of the chromosomal deletion resulting in Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome. *J Med Genet* 1991;**28**:256-9.
- 39 **Dallapiccola B**, Mandich P, Bellone E, Selicorni A, Mokin V, Ajmar F, Novelli G. Parental origin of chromosome 4p deletion in Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome. *Am J Med Genet* 1993;**47**:921-4.
- 40 **Kirkels VG**, Hustinx TW, Scheres JM. Habitual abortion and translocation (22q;22q): unexpected transmission from a mother to her phenotypically normal daughter. *Clin Genet* 1980;**18**:456-61.
- 41 **Schinzel AA**, Basaran S, Bernasconi F, Karaman B, Yuksel-Apak M, Robinson WP. Maternal uniparental disomy 22 has no impact on the phenotype. *Am J Hum Genet* 1994;**54**:21-4.
- 42 **Palmer CG**, Schwartz S, Hodes ME. Transmission of a balanced homologous t(22q;22q) translocation from mother to normal daughter. *Clin Genet* 1980;**17**:418-22.
- 43 **Adham IM**, Grzeschik KH, Geurts van Kessel AH, Engel W. The gene encoding the human preproacrosin (ACR) maps to the q13-qter region on chromosome 22. *Hum Genet* 1989;**84**:59-62.
- 44 **Flörke-Gerloff S**, Topfer-Petersen E, Müller-Esterl W, Schill WB, Engel W. Acrosin and the acrosome in human spermatogenesis. *Hum Genet* 1983;**65**:61-7.
- 45 **Wong AC**, Shkolny D, Dorman A, Willingham D, Roe BA, McDermid HE. Two novel human RAB genes with near identical sequence each map to a telomere-associated region: the subtelomeric region of 22q13.3 and the ancestral telomere band 2q13. *Genomics* 1999;**59**:326-34.
- 46 **Ofir R**, Wong AC, McDermid HE, Skorecki KL, Selig S. Position effect of human telomeric repeats on replication timing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1999;**96**:11434-9.
- 47 **Boeckers TM**, Bockmann J, Kreutz MR, Gundelfinger ED. ProSAP/Shank proteins - a family of higher order organizing molecules of the postsynaptic density with an emerging role in human neurological disease. *J Neurochem* 2002;**81**:903-10.
- 48 **Ehlers MD**. Synapse structure: glutamate receptors connected by the shanks. *Curr Biol* 1999;**9**:R848-50.
- 49 **Sala C**, Piech V, Wilson NR, Passafaro M, Liu G, Sheng M. Regulation of dendritic spine morphology and synaptic function by Shank and Homer. *Neuron* 2001;**31**:115-30.
- 50 **Lim S**, Naisbitt S, Yoon J, Hwang JI, Suh PG, Sheng M, Kim E. Characterization of the Shank family of synaptic proteins. Multiple genes, alternative splicing, and differential expression in brain and development. *J Biol Chem* 1999;**274**:29510-18.
- 51 **Kreienkamp HJ**, Soltan M, Richter D, Bockers T. Interaction of G-protein-coupled receptors with synaptic scaffolding proteins. *Biochem Soc Trans* 2002;**30**:464-8.
- 52 **de Vries BB**, Bitner-Glindzicz M, Knight SJ, Tyson J, MacDermot KD, Flint J, Malcolm S, Winter RM. A boy with a submicroscopic 22qter deletion, general overgrowth and features suggestive of FG syndrome. *Clin Genet* 2000;**58**:483-7.
- 53 **de Vries BB**, Tyson J, Winter RM, Malcolm S. No evidence for submicroscopic 22qter deletions in patients with features suggestive for Angelman syndrome. *Am J Med Genet* 2002;**109**:117-20.
- 54 **Joyce CA**, Dennis NR, Cooper S, Browne CE. Subtelomeric rearrangements: results from a study of selected and unselected probands with idiopathic mental retardation and control individuals by using high-resolution G-banding and FISH. *Hum Genet* 2001;**109**:440-51.
- 55 **Riegel M**, Baumer A, Jamar M, Delbecq K, Herens C, Verloes A, Schinzel A. Submicroscopic terminal deletions and duplications in retarded patients with unclassified malformation syndromes. *Hum Genet* 2001;**109**:286-94.
- 56 **Rosenberg MJ**, Killoran C, Dziadzio L, Chang S, Stone DL, Meck J, Aughton D, Bird LM, Bodurtha J, Cassidy SB, Graham JM Jr, Grix A, Guttacher AE, Hudgins L, Kozma C, Michaelis RC, Pauli R, Peters KF, Rosenbaum KN, Tift CJ, Wargowski D, Williams MS, Biesecker LG. Scanning for telomeric deletions and duplications and uniparental disomy using genetic markers in 120 children with malformations. *Hum Genet* 2001;**109**:311-18.
- 57 **Sismani C**, Armour JA, Flint J, Girgalli C, Regan R, Patsalis PC. Screening for subtelomeric chromosome abnormalities in children with idiopathic mental retardation using multiprobe telomeric FISH and the new MAPH telomeric assay. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2001;**9**:527-32.
- 58 **Anderlid BM**, Schoumans J, Anneren G, Tapia-Paez I, Dumanski J, Blennow E, Nordenskjöld M. Subtelomeric rearrangements detected in patients with idiopathic mental retardation. *Am J Med Genet* 2002;**107**:275-84.
- 59 **Baker E**, Hinton L, Callen DF, Altree M, Dobbie A, Eyre HJ, Sutherland GR, Thompson E, Thompson P, Woollatt E, Haan E. Study of 250 children with idiopathic mental retardation reveals nine cryptic and diverse subtelomeric chromosome anomalies. *Am J Med Genet* 2002;**107**:285-93.