Evaluation of molecular genetic diagnosis in the management of familial adenomatous polyposis coli: a population based study

E R Maher, D E Barton, R Slatter, D J Koch, M H Jones, H Nagase, S J Payne, S J Charles, A T Moore, Y Nakamura, M A Ferguson-Smith

Abstract

A population based clinical and molecular genetic study of familial adenomatous polyposis coli (FAPC) was performed to investigate the value of molecular genetic analysis and ophthalmological assessment in the presymptomatic diagnosis of FAPC. The point prevalence of affected patients was 2.62×10^{-5} (1/38 000) and the minimum heterozygote prevalence was estimated at 3.8×10^{-5} (1/26 000). Eight of 33 (24%) probands were new mutations. Forty-eight asymptomatic relatives at 50% prior risk aged between 10 and 40 years were assessed for risk modification with linked DNA markers: in nine subjects (18%) the family structure was unsuitable for linkage based analysis, but 32 subjects were informative with a panel of intragenic and closely linked markers (25 had a combined age/DNA related risk of <1% (low risk group) and seven were at high risk (DNA predicted risk >99%)). Ophthalmological assessment for CHRPEs showed that 27/43 (63%) affected patients and high risk relatives and 0/18 low risk relatives had more than three CHRPEs. Interfamilial variation in CHRPE expression was apparent. This study has shown that DNA based risk modification with intragenic and closely linked DNA markers is informative in most FAPC families. In addition to the clinical benefits of presymptomatic diagnosis for FAPC, the reduction in screening for low risk relatives (365 person years in the present study) means that molecular genetic diagnosis of FAPC is a cost effective procedure.

(*J Med Genet* 1993;30:675-8)

cysts, osteomas, desmoid tumours, and, most frequently, congenital hypertrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium (CHRPE).

FAPC is caused by mutations in the APC gene in chromosome 5q21-q22.³⁻⁵ Presymptomatic bowel screening of at risk relatives considerably reduces morbidity and mortality from FAPC,^{6,7} and the efficiency of screening can be enhanced by reliable presymptomatic diagnosis of FAPC gene carriers with linked DNA markers and ophthalmological assessment for CHRPEs.^{8,9} However, presymptomatic detection of FAPC gene carriers is limited by (1) significant recombination between the DNA markers in general use, and (2) uncertainty about the sensitivity and accuracy of CHRPEs. The isolation and characterisation of the APC gene³⁻⁵ should lead to more accurate presymptomatic diagnosis by the identification of new intragenic and very closely linked DNA markers. We report a population based study of the clinical and molecular genetics of FAPC.

Methods

PATIENTS

Affected patients and at risk relatives were ascertained by contacting gastroenterologists, surgeons, and general practitioners in East Anglia (population 2 058 951). All affected patients had >100 polyps. At risk relatives were offered screening (by annual sigmoidoscopy or three yearly colonoscopy) starting between 11 and 15 years of age and continuing to the age of 40 years. Ophthalmological assessment for CHRPE was performed by a single ophthalmologist. At risk subjects aged between 11 and 40 years were offered DNA based risk modification when the family structure was suitable for genetic linkage analysis.

MOLECULAR GENETIC ANALYSIS

Blood was collected from relevant family members and genomic DNA prepared from peripheral blood lymphocytes by standard techniques. Five polymorphic DNA markers were investigated: (1) a 3' *SspI* polymorphism (DP2.5) in the APC gene,¹⁰ (2) an exon 11 *RsaI* polymorphism (APC-E11) in the APC gene,¹¹ (3) a microsatellite polymorphism (L5.71) at the MCC locus (Jones and Nakamura, in preparation), (4) a *MspI* RFLP at D5S81 (YN5.48),¹² and (5) a *BglII* RFLP at D5S98 (ECB27).¹³ DNA was digested with the appropriate restriction enzyme according to the

Cambridge University
Department of
Pathology and East
Anglian Regional
Genetics Service, Box
134, Addenbrooke's
Hospital, Hills Road,
Cambridge CB2 2QQ,
UK.

E R Maher
D E Barton
R Slatter
D J Koch
S J Payne
M A Ferguson-Smith

Cancer Institute,
Tokyo, Japan.
M H Jones
N Nagase
Y Nakamura

Department of
Ophthalmology,
Addenbrooke's
Hospital, Cambridge,
UK.

S J Charles
A T Moore

Correspondence to Dr
Maher.

Received 7 December 1992.
Revised version accepted
4 March 1993.

Familial adenomatous polyposis coli (FAPC) is a dominantly inherited disorder characterised by the development of numerous (at least 100) colorectal polyps. Age at onset is variable, but polyps usually develop in teenage years and penetrance is almost complete at the age of 40 years.¹ Colorectal carcinoma develops inevitably unless prophylactic colectomy is performed. Polyps may also occur in the upper gastrointestinal tract, and although the risk of malignant transformation is probably lower than for colonic adenomas, duodenal carcinoma is now emerging as an important cause of death in patients with FAPC.² Extraintestinal manifestations of FAPC include epidermoid

Summary of the results for presymptomatic diagnosis for FAPC in East Anglia. Full details are given in the text.

manufacturers' recommendations. For ECB27 and YN5.48 agarose gel electrophoresis Southern transfer, and hybridisation with ^{32}P labelled probe was performed as described previously.¹⁴ For two intragenic markers (APC-exon 11 *RsaI* and DP2.5) and the CA repeat polymorphism in L5.71, DNA was amplified by the polymerase chain reaction under the appropriate conditions (Jones and Nakamura, in preparation)^{10,11} and the alleles resolved in an agarose gel for the intragenic markers and on a 6% polyacrylamide/6 M urea sequencing gel for L5.71. Families were initially investigated with the two intragenic polymorphisms, and then with the highly informative dinucleotide repeat L5.71 which maps approximately 300 kb centromeric to the APC gene. Uninformative families were then studied with YN5.48 and ECB27. The locus order is: ECB27-L5.71-APC-YN5.48. DNA related risks were calculated using the MLINK program with the following recombination fractions: APC exon 11 *RsaI* $\theta = 0.001$, DPD2.5 $\theta = 0.001$, L5.71 $\theta = 0.005$, YN5.48 $\theta = 0.02$, and ECB27 $\theta = 0.03$. A final carrier risk was derived by a Bayesian calculation combining the age related and DNA based risks. The age related risk was based on the age at last sigmoidoscopy/colonoscopy and the published age dependent penetrance classes.¹⁵

Results

CLINICAL GENETIC ANALYSIS

At the start of the study there were 54 affected patients and 64 relatives aged <40 years at 50% prior risk (that is, had an affected parent) from 33 kindreds living in East Anglia. The crude prevalence of FAPC patients in East Anglia was 2.62×10^{-5} (1/38 000). By estimating the number of gene carriers among asymptomatic at risk relatives and the prevalence of asymptomatic new mutation FAPC patients aged <20 years, it was calculated that the heterozygote prevalence in East Anglia is at least 3.8×10^{-5} (1/26 000). Eight of 54 affected patients represented new mutations.

Ophthalmological assessment was performed in 39 affected patients and 22 at risk

relatives informative for DNA analysis (see below) living in East Anglia. There were 30/39 (77%) affected patients and 7/22 (32%) at risk relatives with one or more CHRPEs, and 24/39 (57%) and 3/22 (14%) respectively had four or more CHRPEs. Of the 22 relatives informative for DNA analysis who underwent ophthalmological assessment, 18 were found to be at low risk (combined age and DNA related risk of <1%) and four were high risk (99%). The frequency of CHRPEs varied between families. In the 14 families in which at least one affected patient had more than three CHRPEs 27/29 (93%) affected patients/high risk relatives and 0/12 low risk relatives had more than three CHRPEs. In the nine families in which none of 13 affected patients had more than three CHRPEs, none of the at risk relatives (one high, six low risk) had more than three CHRPEs.

CYTOGENETIC ANALYSIS

High resolution cytogenetic analysis was performed in four mentally retarded FAPC patients. Chromosomes were normal in three patients, but one affected patient with moderate mental retardation, minor dysmorphic features, and multiple (> 10) CHRPEs showed an apparently balanced complex translocation: 46,(XY),t(5;12;16)(5pter→5q22::12q22→12qter;12pter→12q22::5q32→5q22::16q11.2→16qter;16pter→16q11.2::5q32→5qter),t(9;1)(q33;q14). Both parents had normal chromosomes and phenotype.

GENETIC LINKAGE ANALYSIS

Twenty-two of 26 kindreds had a suitable structure for linkage based risk modification. These kindreds contained 39 subjects at 50% prior risk aged between 10 and 40 years and 38 of these requested investigation for risk modification by linked DNA markers. After molecular investigation with the protocol described previously, 32 relatives were informative, three are still being studied, and DNA testing did not significantly alter the risk of three subjects (figure). The three uninformative subjects (S1, T1, and T2) were from two families in which the family structure was not optimal for linkage based analysis. In the 32 informative relatives, all those at low risk had a combined age/DNA related risk of <1% and all high risk subjects had a DNA predicted risk of >99%. The results of DNA marker analysis for individual relatives are shown in the table. The dinucleotide (CA) repeat polymorphism in L5.71 was the most informative, with 77% (17/22) of subjects investigated being informative. The two intragenic polymorphisms (exon 11-*RsaI* and DP2.5) were informative in 55% (18/33) and 46% (13/28) of subjects, but the two polymorphisms were in linkage disequilibrium (observed haplotype frequencies in 59 individual chromosomes: 1/1 = 0/59, 1/2 = 23/59, 2/1 = 31/59, 2/2 = 5/59, $\chi^2 = 38.4$, $p < 0.0001$), which reduced the overall informativeness of these two markers.

No evidence of FAPC has been detected in

Presymptomatic diagnosis of FAPC using linked DNA markers: summary of 35 cases aged 10 to 40 years informative for DNA analysis. Details of risk calculations are given in the Methods section. Key to intragenic markers: E11 = exon 11 RsaI polymorphism in APC gene, DP2.5 = 3' SspI polymorphism in APC gene.

Identification No	DNA markers investigated		Carrier risks (%)		
	Informative	Not informative	Age related	DNA	Final
A,1	L5.71	E11, DP2.5, YN5.48, ECB27	9	1	0.1
A,2	L5.71, E11, DP2.5	YN5.48, ECB27	13	0.2	0.03
A,3	L5.71	E11, DP2.5, YN5.48	13	99.5	96.7
B,1	E11, DP2.5		1	0.1	0.001
B,2	E11, DP2.5		13	0.1	0.02
C,1	L5.71	E11, DP2.5, ECB	50	99.5	99.5
C,2	L5.71	E11, DP2.5, ECB	50	0.5	0.5
D,1	E11, L5.71	DP2.5	50	0.2	0.2
D,2	E11, L5.71	DP2.5	50	0.2	0.2
D,3	L5.71		33	1	0.3
D,4	L5.71	E11, DP2.5	50	1	1
E,1	E11, DP2.5		33	0.14	0.07
E,2	E11, DP2.5		33	99.9	99.8
E,3	E11, DP2.5		33	99.9	99.8
F,1	E11	ECB, YN5.48	9	0.02	0.002
G,1	E11, DP2.5, YN5.48	ECB	1	6	0.1
G,2	E11, DP2.5, YN5.48	ECB	1	6	0.1
H,1	E11, DP2.5, L5.71	ECB, YN5.48	9	0.2	0.02
J,1	L5.71	E11, DP2.5, ECB	50	99.1	99.1
J,2	L5.71		33	1	0.3
K,1	YN5.48	E11, DP2.5, ECB	1	2	0.02
K,2	E11, YN5.48	DP2.5, ECB	1	0.1	0.001
L,1	L5.71	E11, DP2.5, ECB	9	98	83
L,2	L5.71	E11, DP2.5, ECB	13	2	0.3
M,1	L5.71	E11	1	1	0.01
N,1	E11	L5.71, ECB	20	0.2	0.06
N,2		E11, L5.71, ECB	13	50	13
N,3		E11, L5.71, ECB	13	50	13
P,1	E11, DP2.5, L5.71	ECB	9	0.2	0.02
P,2	L5.71	E11, DP2.5, ECB	9	0.6	0.06
Q,1	E11, DP2.5		13	0.2	0.1
Q,2	E11, DP2.5		1	0.2	0.002
R,1	E11, DP2.5, YN5.48	L5.71, ECB	50	99.6	99.6
S,1		E11, DP2.5, L5.71, ECB, YN5.48	50	50	50
S,2	E11, DP2.5		50	0.1	0.1

the 25 low risk subjects; 18 of these have undergone ophthalmological assessment with negative findings (fewer than four CHRPEs) (see above). Four high risk subjects have been investigated by sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy, two had no polyps at the ages of 14 and 16, and polyps were detected in two aged 18 and 27 years.

Discussion

EPIDEMIOLOGY

The ascertainment of affected patients (2.62×10^{-5}) in East Anglia compares favourably to that in north-east England (2.29×10^{-5}),⁸ Finland,⁷ Denmark (2.6×10^{-5}),¹⁶ and Western Australia (2.59×10^{-5}).¹⁷ The prevalence of FAPC appears to be increasing because of better case ascertainment and increased survival as a result of more patients being diagnosed early through presymptomatic screening programmes.^{6,7} We estimated a minimum heterozygote prevalence of $1/26\ 000$ (3.8×10^{-5}). Our estimate that 24% of probands represented new mutations is similar to that at the Cleveland Clinic (22%),¹⁸ but less than the 46% of probands in the Netherlands reported by Vasen *et al.*⁶ Although it has been suggested that new mutations may be associated with a more severe phenotype,¹⁸ a meaningful comparison is difficult because isolated cases will be diagnosed later.

CARRIER DETECTION

Although four patients with FAPC and cytogenetically visible chromosome 5q deletions

have been reported,¹⁹⁻²² to our knowledge we have reported the first FAPC patient with a reciprocal translocation apparently involving the APC gene. Although chromosomal aberrations are rare in FAPC, cytogenetic analysis should be performed in all mentally retarded FAPC patients and those with a family history of mental handicap. Only a minority of such patients will prove to have a chromosomal rearrangement, but the benefits of identifying such patients are considerable.

Most reports of DNA based presymptomatic diagnosis in FAPC are of selected families^{15,23,24} but such studies do not accurately reflect the range of families seen in routine clinical practice. Population based studies are more representative, but MacDonald *et al.*⁹ found that only 46% of at risk relatives in the English West Midlands had a suitable family structure for genetic linkage analysis, only 12% of subjects tested were informative for flanking markers, and the recombination fractions for the DNA markers studied ranged from 3 to 10%. We used recently identified intragenic and closely linked DNA markers and found that in those families with a suitable structure for linkage based analysis, the status of most at risk relatives can be established accurately. However, 18% (9/48) of at risk relatives were from families in which the family structure was unsuitable for this type of analysis or in which DNA from key family members was not available. Presymptomatic diagnosis by direct mutation detection may be applicable in such families and we have characterised the APC gene mutations in 15/24 East Anglian kindreds analysed.²⁵ However, the

spectrum of APC gene mutations is extremely heterogeneous and although some clustering of APC gene mutations exists (for example, at codons 1309 and 1061),²⁵⁻²⁷ the complete detection of APC gene mutations would represent a formidable amount of work. The best strategy for the molecular genetic investigation of FAPC is not yet established but we suspect that genetic linkage analysis will be the initial investigation in families with a suitable structure. The observation that most APC gene mutations result in a truncated protein²⁵ suggests that the most promising diagnostic approach might be the use of antibodies against the APC gene product.

The presence of multiple CHRPEs can be used as a biomarker for the presymptomatic diagnosis of FAPC. The specificity of four or more CHRPEs for FAPC appears to be high. Burn *et al*⁸ found that 0/94 normal subjects and we found 0/18 low risk relatives had more than three CHRPEs. However, Cachon-Gonzalez *et al*²³ reported a single person with five CHRPEs who was at low risk with flanking DNA markers (Pi227 and YN5.48). CHRPE analysis provides a method of independently confirming the results of molecular genetic analysis, but further studies are needed to determine the molecular basis for interfamilial differences in the frequency of CHRPEs.

COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS

We have not attempted to perform a detailed cost-benefit analysis of our programme (such an analysis would have to quantify the benefit of reassuring at risk relatives as well as the prevention of colorectal cancers, etc); however, it is possible to estimate the reduction in screening produced by identifying low risk subjects. We estimate that by discontinuing screening in the 25 low risk relatives we will avoid 365 person years of unnecessary screening. The cost of a single colonoscopy has been estimated as £107,²⁸ so for a screening programme based on three yearly colonoscopy (the most frequently used protocol in East Anglia), a saving of 365 person years translates into a future financial saving of approximately £13 000 at current costing.

We thank the many physicians and surgeons who referred their patients, Marie Ferguson-Smith, Lionel Willatt, Margaret Leversha, and Kay Cook for cytogenetic analysis, and the East Anglian Public Health and Operational Research Fund for financial support.

- 1 Murday V, Slack J. Inherited disorders associated with colorectal cancer. *Cancer Surv* 1989;8:139-57.
- 2 Jagelman D. The expanding spectrum of familial adenomatous polyposis. *Perspect Colon Rectal Surg* 1988;1:30-46.

- 3 Kinzler KW, Nilbert MC, Vogelstein B, *et al*. Identification of a gene located at chromosome 5q21 that is mutated in colorectal cancers. *Science* 1991;251:1366-70.
- 4 Nishisho I, Nakamura Y, Miyoshi Y, *et al*. Mutations of chromosome 5q21 genes in FAP and colorectal cancer patients. *Science* 1991;253:665-9.
- 5 Groden J, Thliveris A, Samowitz W, *et al*. Identification and characterization of the familial adenomatous polyposis coli gene. *Cell* 1991;66:589-600.
- 6 Vasen HF, Griffioen G, Offerhaus GJ, *et al*. The value of screening and central registration of families with familial adenomatous polyposis. A study of 82 families in The Netherlands. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1990;33:227-30.
- 7 Järvinen HJ. Epidemiology of familial adenomatous polyposis in Finland: impact of family screening on the colorectal cancer rate and survival. *Gut* 1992;33:357-60.
- 8 Burn J, Chapman P, Delhanty J, *et al*. The UK Northern region genetic register for familial adenomatous polyposis coli: use of age of onset, congenital hypertrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium, and DNA markers in risk calculations. *J Med Genet* 1991;28:289-96.
- 9 MacDonald F, Morton DG, Rindl PM, *et al*. Predictive diagnosis of familial adenomatous polyposis with linked DNA markers: population based study. *BMJ* 1992;304:869-72.
- 10 Heighway J, Hoban PR, Wyllie AH. Ssp1 polymorphism in sequence encoding 3' untranslated region of the APC gene. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1991;19:6966.
- 11 Kraus C, Ballhausen WG. Two intragenic polymorphisms of the APC-gene detected by PCR and enzymatic digestion. *Hum Genet* 1992;88:705-6.
- 12 Nakamura Y, Lathrop M, Leppert M, *et al*. Localization of the genetic defect in familial adenomatous polyposis within a small region of chromosome 5. *Am J Hum Genet* 1988;43:638-44.
- 13 Varesco L, Thomas HJW, Cottrill S, *et al*. CpG island clones from a deletion encompassing the gene for adenomatous polyposis coli. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1989;86:10118-22.
- 14 Maher ER, Bentley E, Yates JRW, *et al*. Mapping of the von Hippel-Lindau disease locus to a small region of chromosome 3p by genetic linkage analysis. *Genomics* 1991;10:957-60.
- 15 Dunlop MG, Wyllie AH, Steel CM, Piris J, Evans HJ. Linked DNA markers for presymptomatic diagnosis of familial adenomatous polyposis. *Lancet* 1991;337:313-6.
- 16 Bülow S, Holm NV, Hauge M. The incidence and prevalence of polyposis coli in Denmark. *Scand J Soc Med* 1986;14:67-74.
- 17 Bower C, Levitt S, Francis S. The Western Australian Familial Polyposis Registry. *Med J Aust* 1989;151:557-8.
- 18 Rustin RB, Jagelman DG, McGannon E, Fazio VW, Lavery IC, Weakley FL. Spontaneous mutation in familial adenomatous polyposis. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1990;33:52-5.
- 19 Herrera L, Carrel A, Rao U, Castillo N, Petrelli N. Familial adenomatous polyposis in association with thyroiditis. Report of two cases. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1989;32:893-6.
- 20 Hockey KA, Mulcahy MT, Montgomery P, Levitt S. Deletion of chromosome 5q and familial adenomatous polyposis. *J Med Genet* 1989;26:61-2.
- 21 Cross I, Delhanty J, Chapman P, *et al*. An intrachromosomal insertion causing 5q22 deletion and familial adenomatous polyposis coli in two generations. *J Med Genet* 1992;29:175-9.
- 22 Lindgren V, Bryke CR, Ozcelik T, Yang-Feng TL, Francke U. Phenotypic, cytogenetic, and molecular studies of three patients with constitutional deletions of chromosome 5 in the gene for familial adenomatous polyposis. *Am J Hum Genet* 1992;50:988-97.
- 23 Cachon-Gonzalez MB, Delhanty JD, Burn J, *et al*. Linkage analysis in adenomatous polyposis coli: the use of four closely linked DNA probes in 20 UK families. *J Med Genet* 1991;28:681-5.
- 24 Tops CM, Wijnen JT, Griffioen G, *et al*. Presymptomatic diagnosis of familial adenomatous polyposis by bridging DNA markers. *Lancet* 1989;ii:1361-3.
- 25 Nagase H, Miyoshi Y, Hirai A, *et al*. Screening for germ-line mutations in familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) patients: 61 new patients and a summary of 150 unrelated patients. *Mutat Res* (in press).
- 26 Fodde R, Luijt van der R, Wijnen J, *et al*. Eight novel inactivating germ line mutations at the APC gene identified by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. *Genomics* 1992;13:1162-8.
- 27 Cottrell S, Bicknell D, Kaklamanis L, Bodmer WF. Molecular analysis of APC mutations in familial adenomatous polyposis and sporadic colon carcinomas. *Lancet* 1992;340:626-30.
- 28 Walker A, Whynes DK, Chamberlain JO, Hardcastle JD. The hospital costs of diagnostic procedures for colorectal cancer. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1991;44:907-14.