Syndrome of the month

Edited by D Donnai and R Winter

Hereditary multiple exostoses

Raoul C M Hennekam

Hereditary multiple exostoses (HME) is a skeletal disorder which primarily affects enchondral bone during growth. It is characterised by multiple exostoses, usually arising in the juxtaepiphyseal region of the long bones.

Exostoses that affect "almost every bone in the body" were first mentioned by John Hunter in his *Lectures on the principles of surgery* in 1786.¹ The first family affected by HME was described by Boyer² in 1814. Virchow³ named the disorder multiple exostoses in 1876, and Keith⁴ suggested the name diaphyseal aclasis; this term is still popular in the UK. Several other names have been used in the past and are still used today, including 'osteogenic disease', 'chondral osteogenic dysplasia', 'chondral osteoma', 'dyschondroplasia', 'deforming chondrodysplasia', 'multiple hereditary osteochondromata', 'multiple cartilaginous exostoses', or simply 'exostosis' or 'exostotic dysplasia'.

Papers that were especially important in further delineating the disorder were those of Jaffe,⁵ Solomon,⁶⁻⁸ and Shapiro *et al.*⁹ HME may be found not only in man, but also in horse, cattle, dog, cat, lion, and lizard.¹⁰⁻¹¹ A large exostosis was even found on the scapula of a dinosaur.¹⁰

Incidence

The frequency of HME is still uncertain. Krooth *et al.*¹² found 21 affected persons among the relatively closed population of the Chamorros of the island of Guam, giving a prevalence of approximately 1 in 1000. Solomon⁸ estimated that three new patients were diagnosed each year at the Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital in London, which handles approximately 7000 new patients annually. Sugiura *et al.*¹³ found three to four new cases among the 7000

annual new patients at the Department of Orthopaedic Surgery in Nagoya. The population prevalence in the UK has been estimated to be 9 per 1 000 000.¹⁴ The present author has knowledge of 31 affected living subjects in the population of 2 300 000 inhabitants who are served by the Clinical Genetics Centre in Utrecht. This may indicate a minimal population prevalence of 13 to 14 per 1 000 000. HME is predominantly reported in Caucasians, and to a lesser extent in Orientals, but may be found in other ethnic groups as well.¹³⁻¹⁵

Clinical features

The diagnosis of HME may be established at birth, but this occurs usually because a specific search is made for it. In most patients the first symptoms arise in childhood: between 65%⁷ and 89%¹⁵ of patients are identified before their sixth year. The first lesion is most often found in the tibia or scapula, probably because they are the most conspicuous sites in the child.⁷ Radiography invariably shows exostoses at other sites as well. The locations of exostoses in HME are tabulated according to their frequency in table 1. Fig 1 shows a severely affected person. Exostoses are rarely found in tarsal and carpal bones and there has only been one patient reported with an exostosis in the skull base⁵; facial bones always remain free. The number of lesions varies considerably and there may be as many as 80 visible on x rays. The mean number of locations has been found to be 15¹⁶ to 18.¹⁵ There is no intrafamilial correlation in site or number of exostoses. The family reported by Solomon⁷ with exostoses mainly in the hands and a few in the long bones, and the family with exostoses in the heel only¹⁷ may well present distinct entities.

HME lesions usually continue to grow until closure of the growth plates at the end of puberty. No new lesions develop thereafter, although regrowth of an exostosis after surgical removal is possible. Spontaneous disappearance of exostoses in childhood or puberty has often been reported.¹⁵⁻¹⁸⁻¹⁹ Some of

Clinical Genetics Centre Utrecht, PO Box 18009, 3501 CA Utrecht, The Netherlands.
R C M Hennekam

Table 1 Locations of exostoses in HME according to frequency (n=274).^{7 9 13 16}

Site	%
Femur, distal end	90
Tibia, proximal end	84
Fibula, proximal end	76
Humerus, proximal end	72
Femur, proximal end	66
Tibia, distal end	64
Ulna, distal end	61
Radius, distal end	60
Fibula, distal end	57
Foot	35
Scapula	34
Hand	33
Rib	31
Pelvis	28
Clavicles	22
Radius, proximal end	18
Ulna, proximal end	15
Tarsal bones	9
Carpal bones	7
Vertebrae	7
Humerus, distal end	5
Sternum	1

Figure 1 Severely affected adult patient. Note deformed forearms, broad scar formation, and remarkably straight thoracic spine (cf collagen disorders).

these patients may not suffer from HME, but from metachondromatosis,²⁰ which is similar to HME but differs in distribution and radiographic appearance, and in which spontaneous regression is the normal course. Another explanation may be ceasing of growth of the exostosis while the affected bone enlarges

normally, thus obscuring the once prominent exostotic projection.⁶ A proven disappearance of an exostosis has not been found in any of the patients known to the present author.

The characteristic radiographic appearance of exostoses may vary from broad based (sessile) (fig 2) to pedunculated. The cortex of exostoses is continuous with that of the underlying bone. They originate in the metaphysis in long bones and migrate to the diaphysis as growth continues in the epiphysis.⁶ The cartilagenous cap is usually radiolucent, but after puberty irregular zones of calcification may appear. Extensive calcification with irregularities of the cap should suggest the possibility of malignant change.²¹ The configuration of exostoses may be completely different, not only within different bones of a particular patient, but even within one affected bone. Exostoses prevent normal bone tubulation resulting in metaphyseal widening and growth retardation. Generally, the height of affected persons is somewhat shorter than usual (0.5 to 1.0 SD).^{6 9} This becomes more evident with age, especially during puberty. The sitting height averages 1.0 SD above height, indicating a mildly disproportionate short stature.⁹ Comparable findings are reported for arm span and symphyseal height.⁶ The lack of height is seldom so severe that patients fall below the 3rd centile. Sometimes individual bones are more severely

Figure 2 Sessile exostosis at upper end of humerus.

Figure 3 Severely affected forearm.

affected. The most striking deformity may be the shortened and bowed forearm (fig 3). This has been reported in 7%,¹³ 40%,^{5 9} 50%,⁷ and even 60%²² of all patients. These differences in incidence may be caused by differences in definitions and groups of patients studied. Exostoses should be removed in patients when they cause disability or for cosmetic reasons, but the mere presence of an exostosis is not a reason for prophylactic excision. A complete review of therapeutic measures in HME has recently been reported by Wood *et al.*²²

Complications

The main complications in HME are hindering of normal articular function, pressure on neighbouring tissues (spinal cord, large blood vessels, peripheral nerves), and malignant degeneration. Impaired movement occurs most often in the elbow (especially pronation) but can be found in nearly every joint.

Vertebral exostoses may cause medullary compression at the cervical or thoracic level. At least 26 such patients have been described,²³ aged generally between 10 and 40 years. Surgical treatment was of benefit in 21 of them. Compression of peripheral nerves occurs in 1 to 2%²⁴ and may cause pain or

paraesthesiae. Sometimes a large blood vessel may be involved: at least six persons with HME and a rupture of the popliteal artery have been described.²⁵ Occasional other complications are pelvic involvement, causing problems during pregnancy,¹⁵ and infections of bursae formed between the exostoses and overlying muscles. However, it should be remembered that in the majority of patients exostoses are asymptomatic and interfere little with general health.

The most severe possible complication is malignant degeneration. In some reports about 10% of all affected persons developed an osteosarcoma.^{5 16 26} These figures, however, were obtained at tertiary treatment centres and are, thus, severely biased towards patients with complications. More reliable estimates point to an occurrence of malignancies in HME of 0.5 to 2%.^{13-15 21} Ochsner²⁶ collected data on 59 patients with an osteosarcoma in HME. The mean age of onset of malignant degeneration is 31 years; it seldom occurs before the 10th or after the 50th year. The upper end of the femur and the pelvis are the main locations, but they may also be found in the shoulder girdle and the ribs. The first clinical signs are usually a sudden increase in size, pain, and sometimes neurological symptoms. The development is usually slow, and metastasis (lungs) is late.²¹ The therapy of choice is complete surgical removal. Because local recurrences are frequent, the resection has to be complete. Radiation therapy has no effect.⁷ It seems useful to obtain radiographs of the pelvic and shoulder girdle in every young affected adult for later comparison.²⁶

Figure 4 Unilateral shortened second toe; radiography showed exostoses on metacarpal and proximal phalangeal bone.

Differential diagnosis

The main differential diagnosis is with trichorhinophalangeal syndrome type II (Langer-Giedion syndrome).²⁷ However, the facial, ectodermal, and mental symptoms make differentiation easy. Metachondromatosis may be mistaken for HME.²⁰ The main features are exostoses, primarily in the hands and feet, and enchondromata in the ends of long bones and iliac crests. Most regress spontaneously. Dysplasia epiphysealis hemimelica is a non-hereditary developmental disorder of childhood, characterised by cartilaginous overgrowth of the epiphyses of the carpal or tarsal bones, mostly in a single extremity.²⁸ Microscopically the lesions are indistinguishable from HME, but otherwise the differences are obvious. There are numerous other entities in which exostoses may be found.²⁹⁻⁴⁵

Pathology and pathogenesis

Exostoses are covered by thickened periosteum that closely adheres to the cartilaginous cap. In children the cap is prominent and surmounts a thin cortex. Some areas of cartilage may have the appearance of an epiphyseal growth plate. Beneath this, spongy bone forms the bulk of the lesion. With aging, the cartilaginous cap ossifies and becomes thinned to a narrow lining or even disappears locally.^{5 7 13} The marrow cavity of exostoses is continuous with the cavity of the underlying bone. Microscopically, in young patients, the cartilage tissue consists of chondroid basal substance with chondrocytes lined up in columns.⁵ Later on, the organisation of the chondrocytes is less strict. The spongy bone often consists of an irregularly organised trabecular network.

Exostoses are found especially at the site of tendinous insertions of major muscles. In animal experiments it has been shown that functional inactivation of muscles by transection may prevent formation of exostoses.⁴⁶ This may indicate that muscle tension can be a secondary factor in the pathogenesis of HME. The primary factor remains uncertain, although numerous theories exist.²¹ Mutation(s) in a gene that controls proliferation and differentiation of chondroblasts, which may lead to malignant degeneration under the influence of a second 'hit', seems the most plausible explanation at present.

Genetics

HME follows an autosomal dominant mode of inheritance. About 60 to 70% of the patients have a positive family history.^{5 8 15 16} The mutation rate has been calculated to be 9.1×10^{-6} .⁴⁷ Sugiura *et al*¹³ estimated the penetrance to be 0.667. This reduced penetrance was calculated on the presence of four

families with more than one affected child born of apparently unaffected parents. However, in none of these families were both parents fully investigated, including radiography, which leaves this reduction in penetrance unproven. Other authors were unable to find non-penetrance if parents were fully investigated⁸ (personal observations).

There are several reports that mention a preponderance of affected males.^{5 16 48} Others have reported an equal sex distribution.^{8 15 21} One of the main explanations for this distorted sex ratio is probably the diminished expression in a minority of females.^{5 12 21} The equal expression found by Solomon⁷ and Sugiura *et al*¹³ may be at least partly caused by their source of patients, that is, tertiary orthopaedic clinics. Jaffe⁵ reported on the increase in affected offspring in the course of successive male transmissions. This may point to some influencing mechanism, for instance, imprinting.⁴⁹ Anticipation was not detected.^{8 13} To investigate this further, all published families were gathered in which the diagnosis was reliable, and for which full information on both affected and unaffected family members was given. These data were pooled together with our own data and analysed further (table 2). Affected fathers had more affected than unaffected offspring (99:67) while in affected mothers this ratio was about equal (79:61). This difference, however, was caused by only a few families in which a remarkable male preponderance was found^{15 50} (personal observations). It seems probable that in most families the sex distribution is equal, but that in a minority of families some additional influence is operating. This needs further clarification.

Chromosome studies, both in horses and man,^{10 51 52} and DNA polymorphism analysis in horses,⁵³ have been inconclusive until now. Buhler and Malik⁵⁴ have suggested that the mutation of HME may be sited in the region 8q23-8q24.1, closely linked to the locus for trichorhinophalangeal syndrome (TRP) type I. They stated that TRP types I and II are possibly not separate entities, but that in TRP type II the deletion is larger and also involves the locus for HME. This theory was recently supported by the description of a patient with TRP type I and 8q deletion.⁵⁵ It is of interest to note that in TRP type II the deletion of 8q was found to be of maternal origin.⁵⁶ Restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis in man is at

Table 2 Affected and unaffected offspring of 80 nuclear families with HME.

	Affected fathers	Affected mothers
Affected sons	57	46
Unaffected sons	27	18
Affected daughters	42	33
Unaffected daughters	40	43

present being performed in Salt Lake City (Dr K Ward) and Essen (Dr B Horsthemke). They have identified 14 polymorphic probes for the region 8q23-8q24.1 (Horsthemke, personal communication). Linkage analysis has not yet been conclusive, but hopefully may allow firm conclusions in the not too distant future.

I would like to thank Dr B Horsthemke (Essen) for information about the linkage analysis and Dr F A Beemer (Utrecht) for allowing the use of some of his patient data.

- 1 Hunter J. In: Palmer JF, ed. *The works of John Hunter, F.R.S.* Vol 1. London: Longman, Rees, Orne, Brown, Green, and Longman, 1835.
- 2 Boyer A. *Traité des maladies chirurgicales*. Vol 3. Paris: Ve Migneret, 1814.
- 3 Virchow R. Ueber die Entstehung des Enchondroms und seine Beziehungen zur Enchondrosis und Exostosis cartilaginea. *Monatsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften* 1876;760.
- 4 Keith A. Studies on the anatomical changes which accompany certain growth-disorders of the human body. *J Anat* 1920;54: 101-15.
- 5 Jaffe HL. Hereditary multiple exostosis. *Arch Pathol* 1943;36: 335-57.
- 6 Solomon L. Bone growth in diaphyseal aclasis. *J Bone Joint Surg (Br)* 1961;43:700-16.
- 7 Solomon L. Hereditary multiple exostosis. *J Bone Joint Surg (Br)* 1963;45:292-304.
- 8 Solomon L. Hereditary multiple exostosis. *Am J Hum Genet* 1964;16:351-63.
- 9 Shapiro F, Simon S, Glimcher MJ. Hereditary multiple exostoses. *J Bone Joint Surg (Am)* 1979;61:815-24.
- 10 Shupe JL, Leone NC, Olson AE, Gardner EJ. Hereditary multiple exostosis: clinicopathologic features of a comparative study in horses and man. *Am J Vet Res* 1979;40:751-7.
- 11 Li JKK, Moloney BK, Shupe JL, Gardner EJ, Leone NC, Elsner Y. DNA polymorphism analysis of hereditary multiple exostoses in horses. *Am J Vet Res* 1989;50:978-83.
- 12 Krooth RS, Macklin MT, Hilbish TF. Diaphyseal aclasis (multiple exostoses) on Guam. *Am J Hum Genet* 1961;13:340-7.
- 13 Sugiura Y, Sugiura I, Iwata H. Hereditary multiple exostosis: diaphyseal aclasis. *Jpn J Hum Genet* 1976;21:149-67.
- 14 Voutsinas S, Wynne-Davies R. The infrequency of malignant disease in diaphyseal aclasis and neurofibromatosis. *J Med Genet* 1983;20:345-9.
- 15 Leone NC, Shupe JL, Gardner EJ, Millar EA, Olson AE, Phillips EC. Hereditary multiple exostosis. A comparative human-equine-epidemiologic study. *J Hered* 1987;78:171-7.
- 16 Canella P, Gardini F, Boriani S. Exostosis: development, evolution and relationship to malignant degeneration. *Ital J Orthop Traumatol* 1981;7:293-8.
- 17 Gould EA. Three generations of exostoses of the heel. Inherited from father to son. *J Hered* 1942;33:228.
- 18 Callan JE, Wood VE. Spontaneous resolution of an osteochondroma. *J Bone Joint Surg (Am)* 1975;57:723.
- 19 Copeland RL, Meehan PL, Morrissy RT. Spontaneous regression of osteochondromas. *J Bone Joint Surg (Am)* 1985;67:971-3.
- 20 Kennedy LA. Metachondromatosis. *Radiology* 1983;148:117-8.
- 21 Peterson HA. Multiple hereditary osteochondromata. *Clin Orthop* 1989;239:222-30.
- 22 Wood VE, Sausser D, Mudge D. The treatment of hereditary multiple exostosis of the upper extremity. *J Hand Surg* 1985;10:505-13.
- 23 Buur T, Morch MM. Hereditary multiple exostoses with spinal cord compression. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1983;40:96-8.
- 24 Chiurco AA. Multiple exostoses of bone with fatal spinal cord compression. Report of a case and brief review of the literature. *Neurology* 1970;20:275-8.
- 25 Hershey SL, Lansden FT. Osteochondromas as a cause of false popliteal aneurysms. *J Bone Joint Surg (Am)* 1972;54:1765-8.
- 26 Ochsner PE. Zum Problem der neoplastischen Entartung bei multiplen kartilaginären Exostosen. *Z Orthop* 1978;116:369-78.
- 27 Langer LO, Krassikoff N, Laxova R, et al. The tricho-rhino-phalangeal syndrome with exostoses (or Langer-Giedion syndrome): four additional patients without mental retardation and review of the literature. *Am J Med Genet* 1984;19:81-111.
- 28 Kettelkamp DB, Campbell CJ, Bonfiglio M. Dysplasia epiphysealis hemimelica. A report of fifteen cases and a review of the literature. *J Bone Joint Surg (Am)* 1966;48:746-6.
- 29 Beighton P, Lecomth ID. Namaqualand hip dysplasia: an autosomal dominant entity. *Am J Med Genet* 1984;19:161-9.
- 30 Beighton P, Winship I. Diaphyseal aclasis and achondroplasia: an autonomous entity? *Proc Greenwood Genet Center* 1990;9: 128-30.
- 31 Cohen MM, Ruvalcaba RHA, Graham CB. Case report 16. *Syndr Ident* 1974;2:14-17.
- 32 Fuchs GA. Multiple cartilaginous exostoses with polyposis of the stomach and colon: a new, hereditary combination different from Gardner's syndrome. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 1975;100: 2316-9.
- 33 Goodman RM, Lewithal I, Solomon A, Klein D. Upper limb involvement in the Klein-Waardenburg syndrome. *Am J Med Genet* 1982;11:425-33.
- 34 Gorlin RJ, Winter RB. Frontometaphyseal dysplasia—evidence for X-linked inheritance. *Am J Med Genet* 1980;5:81-4.
- 35 Kozlowski KS, Celermajer JM, Tink AR. Humero-spinal dysostosis with congenital heart disease. *Am J Dis Child* 1974;127: 407-10.
- 36 Loomer RL. Shoulder girdle dysplasia associated with nail-patella syndrome. *Clin Orthop* 1989;238:112-6.
- 37 Mollica F, Li Volti S, Guarneri B. New syndrome: exostoses, anetoderma, brachydactyly. *Am J Med Genet* 1984;19:665-7.
- 38 Pascual-Castroviejo I, Santolaya JM. Cerebro-facio-thoracic dysplasia: report of three cases. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 1975;17:343-51.
- 39 Pasma A, Wildervanck LS. Hereditary occurrence of congenital rigidity of the elbows and knees (congenital multiple 'pseudarthrogryposis'). *Arch Chir Neerl* 1956;8:43-56.
- 40 Proschek R, Labelle H, Bard C, Marton D. Osteomesopyknosis. *J Bone Joint Surg (Am)* 1985;67:652-3.
- 41 Reimao R, Diamant A. Periodic hypersomnia, congenital ectodermal disorders and multiple exostosis. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr* 1989;47:76-9.
- 42 Schiel W, Stengel-Rutkowski S, Schimaneck P, Zorn-Bopp E, Bock K. Nonchromosomal dysmorphic syndromes. 2. Cranio-facial and skeletal anomalies in two similarly affected mentally retarded sibs. *Dysmorphol Clin Genet* 1987;1:109-21.
- 43 Schweitzer G, Jones B, Timme A. Upington disease: a familial dyschondroplasia. *S A Med J* 1971;45:994-1000.
- 44 Stoll CG, Collin D, Dreyfus J. Osteomesopyknosis: an autosomal dominant osteoclerosis. *Am J Med Genet* 1981;8:349-53.
- 45 Thanos C, Stewart RE, Zonana J. Craniosynostosis, bony exostoses, epibulbar dermoids, epidermal nevus and slow development. *Syndr Ident* 1977;5:19-21.
- 46 Hamre CJ, Yeager VL. Influence of denervated muscles on exostoses of rats fed a sweet pea diet. *Arch Pathol* 1958;65: 215-27.
- 47 Murken JD. Zur Mutationsrate des Gens für multiple cartilaginäre Exostosen, ein Beitrag zur Spontanmutationsrate menschlicher Gene. *Naturwissenschaften* 1963;50:104.
- 48 Harris H. A sex-limiting gene in diaphyseal aclasis (multiple exostoses). *Ann Eugen* 1948;14:165-70.
- 49 Hall JG. Genomic imprinting: review and relevance to human diseases. *Am J Hum Genet* 1990;46:857-73.
- 50 Bennett GE, Berkheimer GA. Malignant degeneration in a case of multiple benign exostoses. *Surgery* 1941;10:781-92.
- 51 Gardner EJ, Shupe JL, Leone NC, Olson AE. Hereditary multiple exostosis. A comparative genetic evaluation in man and horses. *J Hered* 1975;66:318-22.
- 52 Hall JG, Wilson RD, Kalousek D, Beauchamp R. Familial multiple exostoses—no chromosome 8 deletion observed. *Am J Med Genet* 1985;22:639-40.
- 53 Li JKK, Moloney BK, Shupe JL, Gardner EJ, Leone NC, Elsner Y. DNA polymorphism analysis of hereditary multiple exostoses in horses. *Am J Vet Res* 1989;50:978-83.
- 54 Buhler EM, Malik NJ. The trichorhino-phalangeal syndrome(s): chromosome 8 long arm deletion: is there a shortest region of overlap between reported cases? TRP I and TRP II syndromes: are they separate entities? *Am J Med Genet* 1984;19:113-9.
- 55 Hamers A, Jongbloet P, Peeters G, Frys JP, Geraedts J. Severe mental retardation in a patient with tricho-rhino-phalangeal syndrome type I and 8q deletion. *Eur J Pediatr* 1990;179: 618-20.
- 56 Ludecke HJ, Burdick R, Senger G, Claussen U, Passarge E, Horsthemke B. Maternal origin of a de novo chromosome 8 deletion in a patient with Langer-Giedion syndrome. *Hum Genet* 1989;82:327-9.