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#### Abstract

Background Genomic imprinting is allelic restriction of gene expression potential depending on parent of origin, maintained by epigenetic mechanisms including parent of origin-specific DNA methylation. Among approximately 70 known imprinted genes are some causing disorders affecting growth, metabolism and cancer predisposition. Some imprinting disorder patients have hypomethylation of several imprinted loci (HIL) throughout the genome and may have atypically severe clinical features. Here we used array analysis in HIL patients to define patterns of aberrant methylation throughout the genome. Design We developed a novel informatic pipeline capable of small sample number analysis, and profiled 10 HIL patients with two clinical presentations (Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome and neonatal diabetes) using the Illumina Infinium Human Methylation450 BeadChip array to identify candidate imprinted regions. We used robust statistical criteria to quantify DNA methylation. Results We detected hypomethylation at known imprinted loci, and 25 further candidate imprinted regions (nine shared between patient groups) including one in the Down syndrome critical region (WRB) and another previously associated with bipolar disorder (PPIEL). Targeted analysis of three candidate regions (NHP2L1, WRB and PPIEL) showed allelic expression, methylation patterns consistent with allelic maternal methylation and frequent hypomethylation among an additional cohort of HIL patients, including six with Silver-Russell syndrome presentations and one with pseudohypoparathyroidism 1B. Conclusions This study identified novel candidate imprinted genes, revealed remarkable epigenetic convergence among clinically divergent patients, and highlights the potential of epigenomic profiling to expand our understanding of the normal methylome and its disruption in human disease.


## INTRODUCTION

Genomic imprinting is the epigenetic regulation of gene expression by parent of origin. DNA methylation at imprinting control regions (ICRs) is the most robust and widely studied epigenetic modification regulating imprinting. Genomic imprinting requires resetting of DNA methylation in the
germline and its subsequent resistance to erasure during the transition from germ cell to early embryonic development. ${ }^{1}{ }^{2}$ While methylation at ICRs is ubiquitous and permanent, the effects on DNA methylation and expression of surrounding genes are dependent on other factors such as tissue and developmental stage. ${ }^{3}$

Many imprinted loci were identified through the developmental disorders caused by their disruption, and particularly the discovery of uniparental disomy and other genetic errors in rare human disorders of imprinting. ${ }^{4}$ But the total number of imprinted genes is not known. Recent efforts to identify imprinted genes by murine transcriptome analysis yielded high numbers of transcripts with allelic bias. ${ }^{6}$ However, this observation has been disputed and may be attributable to various technical sources of skewed allelic representation in RNA-seq data ${ }^{7}$ and, more recently, genome-wide bisulfite sequencing has allowed direct assessment of allele-specific methylation; ${ }^{8}$ taken together, these observations suggest that our current catalogue of imprinted genes is approaching completion, with few novel germline imprints remaining to be discovered (http://igc.otago.ac.nz). ${ }^{9}$
Many known imprinted genes are regulators of growth and development, and their expression at critical developmental times is functionally hemizygous. Therefore, alteration of effective copy number can cause developmental disorders. ${ }^{10}$ To date, eight imprinting disorders (IDs) have been identified: Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (BWS; MIM \#130659), Silver-Russell syndrome (SRS; MIM \#180860), transient neonatal diabetes (TND) mellitus (MIM \#601410), Prader-Willi syndrome (MIM \#176270), Angelman syndrome (MIM \#105830), matUPD14-like (Temple syndrome) and patUPD14-like syndromes, and pseudohypoparathyroidism 1B (PHP-1B; MIM \#103580). Aetiological mechanisms of IDs include UPD, copy number variation, mutation of the expressed copy, or epimutation secondary to or independent of a predisposing genetic mutation. A subset of patients with IDs have epimutations affecting multiple imprinted loci across the genome (multi-locus methylation disorders or hypomethylation of imprinted loci $\left.(\mathrm{HIL})^{11}\right)$. The reported rate of HIL in BWS is $38 \%$ (with ICR2
hypomethylation), 57\% in TND (with PLAGL1 hypomethylation) and $10 \%$ in SRS (with ICR1 hypomethylation). ${ }^{12-14}$ There is no standard quantification for hypomethylation at the affected loci, though tissue mosaicism is thought to account for the variation observed between patients. In some of these disorders, a shared pattern of methylation derangement can be detected, and underlying genetic mutations have been identified; ${ }^{15-18}$ in other cases, the cause(s) remain unknown.

In order to identify novel imprinted regions, several groups have used genome-wide methylation analyses of patients with UPD and HIL, commonly using the Infinium Human Methylation27 BeadChip array. ${ }^{19-21}$ The potential limitations of this approach include the limited coverage of this array, and the lack of suitable bioinformatic pipelines to study large methylation changes in small study cohorts, as currently available pipelines are designed to assess modest DNA methylation changes in large study cohorts. ${ }^{22-24}$ To address these limitations, we used the Infinium Human Methylation 450 BeadChip array, and developed a new analysis pipeline capable of robust analysis of small study groups with large methylation changes.

Here, we analysed the methylomes of 10 HIL patients with two clinical presentations (five BWS and five neonatal diabetes), compared with normal controls, and identified hypomethylated regions, including three hitherto undescribed candidate imprinted regions.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population (ethics)
Peripheral blood leucocyte DNA of patients with IDs was assessed by methylation-specific PCR ( msPCR ) at 11 maternally methylated loci, as described (see online supplementary table S 1 ; the majority of these patients have been previously reported in Poole et al ${ }^{12}$ ). Those patients with hypomethylation at loci additional to the primary locus for their presenting disorder were classified as HIL, and subgrouped using the epigenetic profiles of these 11 maternal imprinted loci. It was apparent that five patients with TND and five with BWS showed an overlapping pattern of hypomethylation: TND-HIL samples showed hypomethylation at PLAGL1, DIRAS, IGF2R and IGF1R differentially methylated regions (DMRs), with some additional overlap of hypomethylation at MEST, KCNQ1OT1 and GRB10, and BWS-HIL patients shared hypomethylation of KCNQ1OT1, PLAGL1, IGF2R and MEST, with NESPAS and GNAS hypomethylation observed in $2 / 5$ patients. These patients were selected for further analysis to determine whether they had additional shared hypomethylation patterns.

All TND-HIL patients were negative for ZFP57 mutations and BWS-HIL patients negative for NLRP2 mutations. The ethical approval for the use of these samples was obtained through the study 'Imprinting Disorders Finding Out Why?', approved by Southampton and South West Hampshire Research Ethics committee $07 / \mathrm{H} 0502 / 85$ and 'Mapping clinical and molecular studies of 6 q 24 transient neonatal diabetes' approved by Wiltshire Research Ethics committee 08/H0104/15.

## Control population

Control group $1(\mathrm{~N}=221)$ and control group $2(\mathrm{~N}=245)$ anonymous batch-matched healthy samples from an unrelated study were used to generate control methylation profiles for the analysis of TND-HIL and BWS-HIL cases, respectively. Control group 1 samples were mixed gender and source material, with 198 peripheral blood leucocytes DNA samples derived from cohort members and their partners and 23 cord blood leucocytes DNA samples from their offspring whereas control group

2 contained 221 peripheral blood leucocyte DNA samples from female subjects at 18 years of age from an unselected population birth cohort. Ethical approval was obtained from the Isle of Wight Local Research Ethics Committee (now named the National Research Ethics Service, NRES Committee South Central-Southampton B) for the 18 years follow-up (06/ Q1701/34) and NRES Committee South Central-Hampshire B (09/H0504/129) for the third generation study.

## Validation samples

Methylation array findings were validated by targeted testing of DNA and RNA samples. DNA was derived from two hydatidiform mole cell lines, peripheral blood leucocytes of 92 anonymised controls, four anonymised normal trios and 34 anonymised individuals diagnosed with Down syndrome, and patients with IDs: five TND-HIL, six BWS-HIL, seven SRSHIL, one PHP-HIL, five ZFP57 mutation cases presenting with TND and nine patients with hypomethylation at only one locus (two TND with PLAGL1 hypomethylation, two BWS patients with KCNQ1OT1 hypomethylation, four SRS patients with ICR1 hypomethylation and one with UPD7mat). These samples were obtained under the same ethical approval as the study group and previously reported. ${ }^{12}$ Nucleic acids (DNA and RNA) from human embryonic and fetal tissues were obtained with informed consent and with permission from the Southampton and South West Hampshire joint Research Ethics Committee, staged according to the Carnegie classification or foot length.

## Array-based methylation analysis

1250 ng of Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer quantified DNA was bisulfitetreated using the EZ 96-DNA methylation kit (Zymo Research, California, USA), following the manufacturer's standard protocol. Genome-wide DNA methylation was assessed by The Oxford Genomics Centre using the Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450 BeadChip (Illumina, Inc., California, USA). Arrays were processed using the manufacturer's standard protocol with multiple identical control samples assigned to each bisulfite conversion batch to assess assay variability and samples randomly distributed on microarrays to control against batch effects. The BeadChips were scanned using a BeadStation, and the methylation level ( $\beta$ value) calculated for each queried CpG locus using the Methylation Module of BeadStudio software.

## Data preprocessing and quality control

A pipeline was developed using the Illumina methylation analysis (IMA) package within the R statistical analysis environment (http://www.r-project.org). ${ }^{22}$ Data from five TND-HIL and five BWS-HIL samples were grouped and run in this pipeline independently. Sites were removed that contain any missing values. All samples met minimal inclusion criteria for analysis, as each sample had $>75 \%$ sites with a detection $p$ value $<1 \times 10^{-5}$. In all, 216 sites were removed from TND-HIL study and 106 from BWS-HIL study, as these had detected p value $>0.05$ in at least $75 \%$ of the sample analysed. Among these removed sites, 68 are common between the two study groups. Initial QC-plots (see online supplementary figure S 1 ) for both of the studies showed that male and female samples clustered together via unsupervised clustering resulting from gender-specific biases in methylation level. ${ }^{23}{ }^{24}$ Therefore, probes on X and Y chromosomes were removed to discard any sex bias within the samples. The number of sites annotated by probe types that were removed by the initial quality control step is shown in online supplementary table S2. A total of $76.88 \%$ probes remained for the TND-HIL
analysis and $81.82 \%$ remained for the BWS-HIL analysis after the preprocessing.

The $\beta$-values were converted to M -values by logit transformation as M -value increases the cogency of statistical tests for differential methylation. ${ }^{25}$ Quantile normalisation was used to normalise signal intensities for each probe and reduce interarray variation. ${ }^{26}$

Illumina Human 450 K methylation array uses two different chemistries, Infinium I and II, to enhance the breadth of coverage. Infinium I uses two probes per CpG locus (both methylated and unmethylated query probes), whereas in Infinium II only one probe (either methylated or unmethylated) per CpG locus is required. To correct these differences in the results between these two chemistries, peak correction was applied. ${ }^{27}$ No batch correction was required as all the cases and controls for individual experiments had been processed in the same batch.

## Low sample number differential methylation analysis

Stringent criteria were set to select candidate imprinted sequences hypomethylated in patients, with p values adjusted using false discovery rate to ensure statistical robustness. ${ }^{28}$ Individual CpGs were selected when hypomethylated in patients compared with controls, with an adjusted $p$ value of $>1.33 \times 10^{-7}$, and an M -value between +1 and -1 (equivalent to $0.26 \geq \beta \geq 0.7$ ) in normal controls. Genes containing two CpGs meeting these criteria and within $<2000$ nucleotides were deemed to be candidate DMRs.

Initially paired $t$ test and one-sample $t$ test were used for statistical analysis; however, these methods did not reveal any probes meeting our stringent criteria, probably because of the low sample number. Therefore, we explored the linear model technique, used for analysis of microarray data, ${ }^{29}$ which models the significant part of the data and then allows the fitted coefficients to be compared in as many ways as possible. Crawford and Garthwaite proved that using a larger control group can produce significant statistical results even for a single case provided that appropriate statistical methods are applied. ${ }^{30}$ Therefore, for both of the case groups, we used larger numbers of controls ( $n>200$ ) against smaller numbers of cases ( $n=5$ ). The linear model achieved convincing statistical outcomes from our pipeline, with efficient identification of known and novel hypomethylated loci for both TND-HIL and BWS-HIL case groups. Using the same criteria, only one region of hypermethylation was found in TND-HIL and four in BWS-HIL; these were not further examined as they were not relevant to this study (data not shown).

## Targeted validation testing

msPCR analysis of the 11 maternally methylated loci used previously described primers and protocols. ${ }^{12}$ msPCR primers for candidate loci NHP2L1, PPIEL and WRB are listed in online supplementary table S3.

## Bisulfite sequencing

Bisulfite-specific primers were designed to amplify regions of 80-180 nt containing 7-12 CpG dinucleotides, using PyroMark software V.1.0 (Qiagen). Primer sequences are listed in online supplementary table S3. Amplicons were generated (Phusion DNA polymerase New England BioLabs) from two patients and two controls, ligated into pCR2.1 (Invitrogen); $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each ligation was transformed into chemically competent TOP10 cells (Invitrogen). Positive clones were selected on agar plates supplemented with $40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ X-gal and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin. Overall, 24 white colonies were selected from each plate and
suspended in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{dH} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ prior to denaturation $\left(94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for $5 \mathrm{~min})$. An amount of $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the denatured bacterial solution was used as a PCR template for M13 primer amplification (Phusion DNA polymerase New England BioLabs). These reactions were treated with ExoSAP to degrade remaining primers, prior to sequencing with M13 forward and reverse primers. Very similar results were obtained for the two controls and the two patients; results from only one patient and one control are presented in the figures.

## Restriction digest sequencing

To determine whether methylation was allele-specific or restricted by parent of origin, SNPs were analysed in proximity to DMRs in DNA from family trios. Heterozygous SNPs were identified and their inheritance determined by Sanger sequencing in DNA of offspring and parents. To determine methylation status, 200 ng of offspring DNA was digested before amplification with restriction enzymes BstU1 or Mcrbc (New England Biolabs) according to manufacturer's instructions, as described. ${ }^{31}$

## Expression analysis

Coding SNPs were identified within novel imprinting gene candidates WRB and NHP2L1 (rs13230 and rs8779, respectively). These were used to identify heterozygous samples collected following termination of pregnancy for a non-medical/social reason at gestational age 8-12 weeks with RNA-matched samples for a range of tissues (primers listed in online supplementary table S3). Allele-specific expression was then assessed in available heterozygous embryonic tissues.
cDNA was prepared with SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) from 500 ng embryonic RNA. RT-PCR primers were designed to detect different isoforms of the candidate genes (see online supplementary table S3) and were amplified using Phusion DNA polymerase (New England BioLabs).

## RESULTS

## Statistical analysis of 450 K methylation array data

We developed a new analysis pipeline to detect methylation changes, with stringent selection criteria, capable of robust analysis of our small epigenetically defined groups (see Materials and methods section). The pipeline employed the linear modelling commonly used for microarray analysis and compared small patient numbers against a large control group to produce significant statistical results. ${ }^{29}{ }^{30}$ Using stringent selection criteria, 34 hypomethylated regions were identified in the BWS-HIL cohort and 21 regions in TND-HIL (figure 1, see online supplementary tables S4 and S5).

The hypomethylated regions generated from both groups included several known imprinted genes (table 1 , see online supplementary tables S4 and S5), both within and outside the 11 loci previously assessed in targeted analysis. The p values observed for known loci were proportionate to the degree of hypomethylation predicted from msPCR analysis of the patients groups. This is most clearly demonstrated at the disease-specific loci, where the lowest adjusted p value for the TND locus PLAGL1 was more significant in TND-HIL than BWS-HIL $\left(4.84 \times 10^{-124}\right.$ vs $\left.4.39 \times 10^{-51}\right)$ (see online supplementary figure S2B, supplementary tables S4 and S5) and, conversely, the BWS locus KCNQ1OT1 had a lower p value in BWS-HIL than TND-HIL cohort $\left(4.27 \times 10^{-68}\right.$ vs $9.47 \times 10^{-10}$ ) (see online supplementary tables S4 and S5). These $p$ values were consistent with the degree of hypomethylation detected by targeted testing (see online supplementary table S 1 ).

To assess the effect of merging patient data on the ability of the pipeline to detect hypomethylation, we used SNRPN, the


Figure 1 Distribution of known and candidate differentially methylated CpG sites in (A) Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (BWS) and (B) transient neonatal diabetes (TND). In each case, the pie chart to the left shows CpG sites compared between cases and controls (in grey), including those meeting criteria for differential methylation; the pie chart to the right highlights hypomethylated CpG sites, including those in known clinically-relevant loci (red), loci reported to be imprinted (pink) and loci not currently reported to be imprinted, that is, candidate loci (blue).
(C) Chromosome ideogram showing the distribution across all autosomes of known and candidate differentially methylated loci. Black dots represent known imprinted genes that were shown to be hypomethylated in the TND patient group in this study; the green dots represent known imprinted genes shown to be hypomethylated in the BWS patient group in this study. Red and blue squares correspond to candidate imprinted loci in TND-HIL and BWS-HIL, respectively. The names of imprinted loci associated with imprinting disorders are displayed next to loci, in black, where they were detected as hypomethylated in patient samples.
only locus identified by msPCR in both patient groups with hypomethylation of a single patient (see online supplementary table S1). Using our criteria, hypomethylation of SNRPN was resolved in the TND-HIL, but not the BWS-HIL cohort where the hypomethylation was less severe (table 1 , see online supplementary table S6). Thus, the pipeline was proved to resolve moderate hypomethylation in a single individual, validating the analysis of these hyper-rare patients as a group, rather than attempting analysis of single patients, which presents significant statistical challenges.

In addition to the known imprinted regions, 23 and 11 novel candidate DMRs were detected in the BWS-HIL and TND-HIL cohorts, respectively. Nine of these candidate DMRs were shared between BWS-HIL and TND-HIL patient groups (table 1). It is
noteworthy that the coverage of probes was broadly higher in known imprinted genes than novel candidates (eg, 54 in PLAGL1, 267 in KCNQ1 and 73 in MEST, compared with 24 in ERLIN2, 28 in WRB, 23 in NHP2L1 and 13 in LOC728448), reducing the likelihood of finding such novel candidates by chance.

## Validation of differential methylation region candidates

Candidates were prioritised for follow-up based on prior evidence of allele-specific methylation in primary cell lines and hypomethylation in sperm (from Fang et al ${ }^{32}$ ) which would be consistent with maternal imprinting (this eliminated JAKMIP1 and GLP2R). Further inspection highlighted three candidates (NHP2L1, WRB and PPIEL) where hypomethylation affected sequence contexts characteristic of imprinted genes (figures 2

Table 1 Hypomethylated regions shared between TND-HIL and BWS-HIL patients

| Candidate | Chr | Gene name | CpG island | BWS |  |  | TND |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Probe region* | No. probest $\dagger$ | Lowest p value $\ddagger$ | Probe region* | No. probest | Lowest p value $\ddagger$ |
| Novel candidate DMRs | 1 | LOC728448/PPIEL | No | 40024 971-40 025411 | 3 | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 40024 971-40 025232 | 2 | $3.09 \mathrm{E}-22$ |
|  | 4 | JAKMIP1 | Yes | $6107021-6107339$ | 4 | $2.48 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $6107021-6107339$ | 4 | 5.83E-36 |
|  | 7 | SVOPL | Yes | $138348774-138349443$ | 3 | 6.30E-41 | 138348 774-138349443 | 3 | 7.21E-20 |
|  | 9 | FANCC | Yes | 98075 481-98 075492 | 2 | $8.29 \mathrm{E}-58$ | 98075 481-98 075492 | 2 | 7.28E-55 |
|  | 17 | GLP2R | No | 9729 250-9 729424 | 3 | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 9729 250-9 729422 | 4 | $1.81 \mathrm{E}-23$ |
|  | 21 | WRB | Yes | 40757 691-40 758208 | 2 | $2.51 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 40757 691-40 758208 | 4 | $6.71 \mathrm{E}-29$ |
|  | 8 | LOC728024/ERLIN2 | No | 37605 517-37 605783 | 4 | 3.87E-40 | 37605 359-37605978 | 6 | 2.69E-42 |
|  | 18 | LOC100130522/PARD6G-AS1 | Yes | 77905 355-77905947 | 3 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 77905 298-77 905947 | 9 | $4.38 \mathrm{E}-71$ |
|  | 22 | NHP2L1 | Yes | 42078 217-42 078723 | 6 | $4.08 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 42078 217-42 078723 | 6 | $4.25 \mathrm{E}-54$ |
| Imprinted-not associated with ID | 1 | DIRAS3 ${ }^{43}$ | Yes | 68512 539-68517273 | 21 | 6.69E-31 | 68512 539-68517273 | 20 | 5.45E-64 |
|  | 6 | FAM50B ${ }^{20} 44$ | Yes | 3849 235-3 849818 | 17 | $1.70 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 3849 272-3849818 | 17 | 1.64E-39 |
|  | 15 | IGF1R ${ }^{45}$ | No | 99408 636-99 409506 | 5 | $2.23 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 99408 636-99 409957 | 6 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-36$ |
|  | 19 | ZNF331 4647 | Yes | $54040774-54058085$ | 11 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-40$ | 54040 813-54 058085 | 10 | $9.13 \mathrm{E}-53$ |
|  | 20 | L3MBTL ${ }^{48}$ | Yes | 42142 417-42 143502 | 13 | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 42142 417-42 143489 | 18 | 7.60E-25 |
| Imprinted-associated with ID |  |  | Yes | 144328 421-144 329909 | 14 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-55$ | 144328 482-144 329909 | 15 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-129$ |
|  | 7 | MEST | Yes | $130130187-130133110$ | 42 | 6.12E-42 | $130130383-130133110$ | 42 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-45$ |
|  | 11 | KCNQ1 | Yes | 2715 837-2 722258 | 26 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-73$ | 2720 463-2 722119 | 9 | 4.86E-13 |

 with a $p$ value of <1.33E-7 were identified. This subset was further filtered by minimal
TND-HIL are listed in this table.
*Genome position of most proximal and distal probe fulfilling hypomethylation criteria.
†Number of probes within the locus fulfilling hypomethylation criteria.
$\ddagger$ Minimum $p$ value among probes fulfilling hypomethylation criteria.
BWS, Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome; DMR, differentially methylated region; HIL, hypomethylation of imprinted loci; ID, imprinting disorder; TND, transient neonatal diabetes.


Figure 2 DNA methylation and expression analysis of NHP2L1 in patients with Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (BWS) and transient neonatal diabetes (TND). (A) Screengrab from UCSC genome browser representing the NHP2L1 gene and imprinted locus. The subregion highlighted in (B) is marked by a red double-ended arrow. Small numbers under the screengrab denote the exon numbering as used for expression analysis in (E); red asterisk indicates the position of the SNP analysed in (E). Note that NHP2L1 is transcription from right to left with respect to genomic orientation. (B) Divergent DNA methylation between normal controls and patients, detected by methylation array. Solid lines denote M-values (left axis). Dashed lines represent $p$ values of methylation difference between patients and controls (right axis). Black line represents normal controls; blue lines represent averaged methylation of five BWS patients; red lines represent averaged methylation of five TND patients. (C) Illustrative electropherogram from methylation-specific PCR experiment showing difference in DNA methylation between a single patient and control. Amplicons derived from methylated and unmethylated DNA are marked by red and blue lines, respectively. (D) Summary of bisulfite cloning and sequencing experiment comparing a patient with a normal control. The circles represent CpG dinucleotides within a sequence amplified after bisulfite modification, with filled and empty circles representing methylated and unmethylated DNA sequences respectively. The number to the right indicates the number of times the sequence was detected in individual clones. In no case were methylated and unmethylated CpG dinucleotides detected within a single clone. (E) Allele-specific expression analysis of NHP2L1. Top electropherogram represents genomic sequencing across rs8779 showing heterozygous SNP. Lower electropherograms represent sequencing of RT-PCR products from pancreatic CDNA, amplified from exons 1-4 (biallelic expression) and 2-4 (monoallelic).


Figure 3 DNA methylation and expression analysis of WRB in patients with Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (BWS) and transient neonatal diabetes (TND). (A) Screengrab from UCSC genome browser, representing the WRB gene and imprinted locus. The subregion highlighted in (B) is marked by a red double-ended arrow. Small numbers under the screengrab denote the exon numbering as used for expression analysis in (E); red asterisk indicates the position of the SNP analysed in (E). (B) Divergent DNA methylation between normal controls and patients, detected by methylation array. Solid lines denote M-values (left axis). Dashed lines represent p values of methylation difference between patients and controls (right axis). Black line represents normal controls; blue lines represent averaged methylation of five BWS patients; red lines represent averaged methylation of five TND patients. (C) Illustrative electropherogram from methylation-specific PCR experiment, showing difference in DNA methylation between a single patient and control. Amplicons derived from methylated and unmethylated DNA are marked by red and blue lines, respectively. (D) Summary of bisulfite cloning and sequencing experiment comparing a patient with a normal control. The circles represent CpG dinucleotides within a sequence amplified after bisulfite modification, with filled and empty circles representing methylated and unmethylated DNA sequences, respectively. The number to the right indicates the number of times that sequence was detected in individual clones. In no case were methylated and unmethylated CpG dinucleotides detected within a single clone. (E) Allele-specific expression analysis of WRB. Top electropherogram represents genomic sequencing across rs1060180 showing heterozygous SNP. Lower electropherograms represent sequencing of RT-PCR amplicons in human fetal tissues as stated.
and 3 , see online supplementary figure S3A). msPCR on a panel of 96 anonymised normal control samples showed methylation levels at all three loci to be stable in the normal population (SD NHP2L1 $=0.18, W R B=0.23$ and $P P I E L=0.22$ : data not shown). Analysis of complete hydatidiform mole (no methylation at maternally imprinted loci) showed complete hypomethylation in all three loci (data not shown).

DNA methylation at the candidate loci was then confirmed by msPCR in four of the five test HIL patients in each cohort (figures 2C and 3C; see online supplementary figure S3C; online supplementary table S1). For the two other patients, insufficient DNA remained for further analysis). All showed hypomethylation of at least one candidate locus: $2 / 4$ TND-HIL patients were hypomethylated at all 3 loci, while $3 / 4$ BWS-HIL and $1 / 4$ TND-HIL patients showed hypomethylation at $2-3$ loci. We then explored the methylation of these loci in DNA from further ID patients, including those with and without HIL, and those with hypomethylation of maternal and paternal DNA. Four of five additional TND-HIL patients and five of six additional BWS-HIL patients had hypomethylation at one or more loci, thus validating these as regions frequently affected by hypomethylation in TND-HIL and BWS-HIL patients (see online supplementary table S1). Less expected was the observation that NHP2L1, WRB and PPIEL candidate DMRs also showed hypomethylation in SRS-HIL patients (6/7, 4/7 and 1/7, respectively) and WRB hypomethylation in $1 / 1$ PHP-HIL patient. No hypomethylation was observed at any of the loci in five patients with ZFP57 mutations nor in nine patients with an ID affecting only one locus. This suggested that hypomethylation at these loci was restricted to HIL patients, rather than being widespread among ID patients.

Additionally, WRB methylation was analysed in 34 anonymised DNA samples from individuals diagnosed with Down syndrome. In all, 31 samples showed partial hypermethylation in a ratio consistent with the presence of one additional methylated allele of WRB; two showed partial hypomethylation consistent with one additional unmethylated allele of $W R B$; and one showed methylation equivalent to normal controls (see online supplementary figure S4). We were unable to confirm the parental origin of the additional chromosome 21 for these patients. However, given that $95 \%$ of trisomy 21 is of maternal origin, ${ }^{33}$ we infer that this ratio of apparent hypermethylation and hypomethylation, at 31:2 Down syndrome patients (94\%:6\%), is consistent with DNA methylation being present on the maternal allele of $W R B$.

Parent of origin-specific methylation were investigated at NHP2L1 and PPIEL candidate DMRs using methylation-specific restriction digest and sequencing. These results were consistent with maternal inheritance of the methylated allele at both candidate DMRs (see online supplementary figures S5 and S6). To further demonstrate that DNA methylation was discrete, that is, concentrated on one allele rather than homogeneously distributed, we performed bisulfite cloning and sequencing of NHP2L1, WRB and PPIEL DMRs. Amplicons from each candidate region were cloned and sequenced in two controls and two patients identified by msPCR as having hypomethylation. This confirmed the presence of fully-methylated and fully-unmethylated amplicons in controls, and relative hypomethylation in patient samples for all three candidate regions (figures 2D and 3D; see online supplementary figure S2D).

## Validation of allele-specific expression

To determine whether the hypomethylation observed at the three candidate DMRs correlated with allele-specific expression
of the associated genes, we analysed expression of transcripts in human foetal nucleic acids. We identified informative SNPs in NHP2L1 and WRB in the genomic DNA of 8-12 week embryos (we could not identify informative coding SNPs in PPIEL). Matched RNA from multiple tissues was reverse-transcribed and amplified by RT-PCR using isoform-specific primers.

For NHP2L1, monoallelic expression was observed for exon 2-4 specific transcripts and biallelic expression for exon 1-4 specific transcripts (figure 2 E ) in all tested tissues for four embryos (data not shown). Biallelic expression of WRB was observed in the majority of tissues tested with both exon 1-6 and 2-6 specific transcripts. However, sporadic monoallelic expression was observed with opposing allelic expression in the skeletal muscle and aorta of a single embryo (exon 1-6 specific primers: figure 3 E ), and monoallelic expression in $1 / 3$ adrenal tissues assayed (exon 2-6 specific primers; data not shown).

## DISCUSSION

The data presented here demonstrate the successful use of whole genome methylation array technology to explore the methylome in two rare epigenetically defined cohorts of patients with IDs characterised by HIL.

Our small cohort size necessitated the development of a new pipeline capable of robust analysis of small group sizes. While other statistical analyses could not significantly detect hypomethylated loci, the linear model we applied in the pipeline, with the stringent criteria, detected differential methylation robustly. These loci were validated by the evidence from the prior partial epigenetic profiling of our patient groups and low $p$ values. Moreover, these $p$ values were proportionate to the degree of hypomethylation predicted from the known patient epimutations. This allowed us to use the pipeline confidently to predict novel imprinted regions.

Consistent with the aim of this study, novel candidate DMRs were identified that share several attributes of imprinted genes. From the nine candidate DMRs identified, follow-up of three candidates did not validate hypomethylation in the patients analysed by 450 K methylation array. These loci showed hypomethylation in additional TND-HIL and BWS-HIL patients, but not in patients with hypomethylation restricted to one primary locus or in normal controls. Hypomethylation of all loci in individuals with SRS-HIL and WRB in a PHP-HIL patient expanded the range of patients observed to have hypomethylation at these regions. Additionally, allele-specific methylation and parentspecific methylation analysis was consistent with monoallelic methylation of maternal origin for all three candidate DMRs, with NHP2L1 and WRB showing evidence of allele-specific expression.

It is noteworthy that patterns of hypomethylation were shared between HIL patients with divergent clinical presentations. This is a surprising observation, but consistent with a shared cause of their syndromic presentation. It has become apparent in recent years that IDs with common phenotypes are associated with multiple imprinted genes (eg, H19 and KCNQ1OT1 in BWS, and H19 and chr7 in SRS: refs ${ }^{34}{ }^{35}$ ). It is also apparent that some patients with HIL have clinical features inconsistent with their epigenotype. ${ }^{14} 3637$ There may be several reasons for this phenotype-epigenotype divergence, but the most likely is somatic mosaicism, which is common among IDs and strongly modifies clinical presentation. It is therefore possible that common underlying causes, including environmental insults, primary epimutations and trans-acting mutations, may cause HIL disorders with highly variable phenotypic features. Comprehensive epigenetic profiling may be required to
stratify HIL patients with common epimutation patterns and seek subtle clinical overlaps. Such stratification may support exome analysis for common genetic causes, and moreover identify further epimutations that may account for some of their additional clinical features. It may also be informative to compare epigenotype patterns among patients of different genetic aetiologies. In this regard, it is interesting that an epigenetic analysis of a patient whose mother had an NLRP7 mutation showed very limited overlap of affected imprinted genes (FAM50B) alone with our patients, but some shared hypomethylation of non-imprinted genes which may inform differences in clinical presentation. ${ }^{38}$

Of the three candidate imprinted loci described here, none has a well-defined role in either normal physiology or a disease process. NHP2L1 is a nuclear protein which plays a role in pre-mRNA splicing as a component of the U4/U6-U5 tri-snRNP ${ }^{39}$ and shows evidence of allele-specific methylation. ${ }^{32}$ Little is known about the function of PPIEL (pseudogene of peptidylprolyl isomerase E) but aberrant DNA methylation at PPIEL has previously been associated with bipolar disorder with a reported strong inverse correlation between gene expression and DNA methylation levels of PPIEL. ${ }^{40}$ WRB encodes a basic nuclear protein of unknown function and maps to the region associated with congenital heart disease in Down syndrome. ${ }^{41} 42$ The clinical relevance of these loci, if any, is unknown. It is possible that these genes, or any of the others identified as hypomethylated in our study, could be associated additional clinical disorders beyond the eight IDs currently known in clinical genetics. Cardiac disorders have been reported in $9 \%$ of a TND cohort, ${ }^{13}$ and it is possible that analysis of further patients will reveal whether the involvement of this locus is of clinical significance.

There were several potential limitations to our study. First, whole genome methylation analysis by array is restrictive to the sequences captured on the array: many more candidate imprinted regions may have potentially been obtained from whole genome bisulfite sequencing; second, additional HIL cohorts with other IDs may have provided further candidates; third, the grouping of disease cases was necessary for statistical purposes, but may have masked the hypomethylation of less strongly-affected loci. For the candidate regions that have been identified there are further limitations to expression analysis in the form of low frequency SNPs and potentially imprinted transcript identification. DNA methylation is only one component of the cellular machinery of imprinting, and the methylation signature does not necessarily colocate with the gene(s) under its control, or as has been observed in the case of the candidate region PPIEL, not even residing within a CpG island.

Further work is required to exploit the findings of this study. The candidate imprinted loci identified here must be characterised to determine whether their epimutation has any bearing on clinical features in the context of HIL or in as-yet undescribed ID. These or similar patients may be more comprehensively analysed by whole genome bisulfite sequencing to increase capture of candidate genes. Greater resolution may also be obtained if a bioinformatic pipeline can be developed for statistically robust analysis of individuals, rather than groups of patients; indeed, such analysis might be the basis for a comprehensive clinical genetic diagnosis of HIL. Analysis of further patients may support accurate stratification of patient groups with common epigenetic signatures-with or without common phenotype. This in turn would support the search for candidate trans-acting gene mutations by exome analysis. Identification of common DNA motifs in hypomethylated loci may also indicate
association with common trans-acting factors (by analogy with ZFP57), and such motifs would be the focus for cis-acting mutations in IDs. Overall, the potential benefits are disproportionate to the rarity of the patients being analysed, and may include novel insight into the basic mechanisms of human epigenetics, as well as novel loci that may be implicated in many other disorders including Down Syndrome and bipolar disorder.

Correction notice This article has been corrected since it was published Online First. The Open Access licence should be CC-BY.
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Supplementary Figure 1: HIL Patient cluster analysis. Clustering of 5 TND-HIL samples with 221 control samples and 5 BWS-HIL with 245 samples respectively, while including and excluding probes from X and Y chromosomes. A ) and C ) show samples clustered with all chromosomes for TND-HIL and BWS-HIL respectively (cluster by gender) . B) and D) show clustering of TND-HIL and BWS-HIL patient groups after the removal of X and Y chromosome probes (samples no longer cluster by gender).

## Supplementary Figure 2: Detection of DNA methylation within PLAGL1 locus in patients with BWS and TND.

A) Modified screengrab from UCSC genome browser, representing the PLAGL1 gene. The sub-regions highlighted in the panels below are marked by red doubleended arrows. B) methylation of PLAGL1 imprinting control region measured using Illumina 450k array in patients versus controls. Solid lines denote M-values (left axis: methylation level expressed as a logarithmic ratio, with $+4,0$, and -4 equivalent to hypermethylation, hemimethylation and hypomethylation respectively). Dashed lines represent P -values of methylation difference between patients and controls (right axis). Black line represents 221 normal controls; blue lines represent averaged methylation of five BWS patients; red lines represent averaged methylation of five TND patients. C) DNA methylation of PLAGL1 non-imprinted CpG island. As above, solid lines represent $M$-values, and dashed lines represent $P$ values of methylation difference between patients and controls, with black, blue and red lines representing control, BWS and TND patients respectively.

## Supplementary Figure 3: DNA methylation analysis of PPIEL in patients with BWS and TND.

A: screengrab from UCSC genome browser, representing the PPIEL locus and imprinted locus. The sub-region highlighted in the panel below is marked by a red double-ended arrow. Note that PPIEL is transcription from right to left with respect to genomic orientation. B: divergent DNA methylation between normal controls and patients, detected by methylation array. Solid lines denote $M$-values (left axis). Dashed lines represent P -values of methylation difference between patients and controls (right axis). Black line represents normal controls; blue lines represent averaged methylation of five BWS patients; red lines represent averaged methylation of five TND patients. C: illustrative electropherogram from methylation-specific PCR experiment, showing difference in DNA methylation between a single patient and control. Amplicons derived from methylated and unmethylated DNA are marked by red and blue lines, respectively. D: summary of bisulphite cloning and sequencing experiment comparing patients and controls. The circles represent CpG dinucleotides within a sequence amplified after bisulphite modification, with filled and empty circles representing methylated and unmethylated DNA sequences respectively. The number to the right indicates the number of times that sequence
was detected in individual clones. In no case were methylated and unmethylated CpG dinucleotides detected within a single clone.

## Supplementary Figure 4: DNA methylation analysis of WRB in samples from individuals with trisomy 21.

The images in the left panels are illustrative electropherograms from one methylation-specific PCR experiment, showing the differences in DNA methylation between control DNA samples and groups of samples from individuals with trisomy 21 (T21). Amplicons derived from methylated and unmethylated DNA are marked by red and blue lines, respectively. A: normal controls, B and C, DNA of individuals diagnosed with T21, showing hypermethylation and hypomethylation respectively. The figures to the right represent normalised ratios of unmethylated to methylated peak heights. Individual DNA samples were tested in duplicate, the results averaged, and then normalised to the average methylation across seven normal controls. The ratio of the unmethylated and methylated amplicons reflects that of the source DNA, such that a twofold change of peak height ratio is equivalent to a twofold excess of its source DNA. Of 34 samples from individuals diagnosed with T21, 31 showed partial hypermethylation, 2 partial hypomethylation, and one showed methylation equivalent to controls (not shown).

## Supplementary Figure 5: Parent of origin methylation analysis of NHP2L1 differentially-methylated region

Panels $A$ and $B$ show sequencing electropherograms from the mother, father and child of a trio, with the child showing heterozygous inheritance of rs6519270 (A/G: MAF 0.38). For the offspring, the upper electropherogram illustrates (heterozygous) genomic sequence, and the lower electropherogram shows DNA amplified after restriction with BstUI, which digests methylated DNA. Panel A: maternally-inherited G allele unaffected by BstUI digestion, indicating maternal methylation; Panel B: maternally-inherited A allele unaffected by BstUI digestion, indicating maternal methylation; DNA methylation is associated with parent of origin, not snp allele.

## Supplementary Figure 6: Parent of origin methylation analysis of PPIEL differentially-methylated region

Panels $A$ and $B$ show sequencing electropherograms from the mother, father and child of a trio, with the child showing heterozygous inheritance of rs138909742 (G/-: MAF 0.15). For the offspring, the upper electropherogram illustrates (heterozygous) genomic sequence, and the lower electropherogram shows DNA amplified after restriction with McrBc , which digests unmethylated DNA. Panel A: paternallyinherited (deleted) allele unaffected by McrBc digestion, indicating maternal methylation; Panel B: paternally-inherited G allele unaffected by McrBc digestion, indicating maternal methylation; DNA methylation is associated with parent of origin, not snp allele.
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## Supplementary Table 1. Targeted DNA methylation testing of patients with imprinting disorders. Numbers in parentheses, where present, denote the patient numbers of

 individuals previously described in Poole et al (reference 12)DNA methylation at differentially methylated loci was estimated by methylation-specfic PCR. A methylation ratio of 1 is equivalent to hemizygous methylation, as seen in norma controls; a ratio of 2 indicates twofold excess of unmethylated over methylated template; complete indicates no detectable methylated amplicon. Broadly the intensity of blue shading reflects the severity of hypomethylation. Green boxes indicate complete hypermethylation. A dash indicates no data, normally because insufficient DNA preventing completion of all testing. Column headers indicate the loci tested and their genomic locations. Rows denote individual patients, grouped by their presenting disorder. Asterisks denote those patients whose DNA - on the basis of this targeted analysis - was included in methylome array analysis.

|  | DIRAS | PLAGL1 | IGF2R | GRB10 | MEST | KCNQ10T1 | SNRPN | IGF1R | PEG3 | NESP-AS | GNAS | H19 | PPIEL | WRB | NHP2L1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | 1p31 | 6q24 | 6q27 | 7p12 | 7q32 | 11p15 | 15q11 | 15 | 19q13 | 20q13 | 20q13 | 11p15 | 1p34.3 | 21q22.2 | 22q13.2 |
| BWS-HIL 1* (292) | 1 | 9 | Complete | 1 | Complete | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| BWS-HIL 2* | Complete | 11 | Complete | 1 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 2 |
| BWS-HIL 3* (70) | - | 3 | 7 | 3 | 6 | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |
| BWS-HIL 4* (347) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| BWS-HIL 5* (11) | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| TND-HIL 1* (874) | Complete | Complete | Complete | 1 | Complete | 4 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| TND-HIL 2* | 15 | Complete | Complete | 5 | Complete | 21 | 1 | 9 | 1 | Complete | Complete | 1 | 7 | 8 | 5 |
| TND-HIL 3* | 7 | Complete | Complete | 1 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 11 | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | 7 | Complete |
| TND-HIL 4* | 3 | Complete | 3 | 1 | Complete | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| TND-HIL 5* | 9 | Complete | Complete | 4 | 1 | - | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| BWS-HIL 6 | 1 | 1 | 4 | Complete | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| BWS-HIL 7 (102) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Complete | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| BWS-HIL 8 (45) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| BWS-HIL 9 (8) | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| BWS-HIL 10 (237) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| BWS-HIL 11 (855) | 2 | 1 | 1 | Complete | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| BWS-HIL 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Complete |
| TND-HIL 6 (13) | Complete | Complete | Complete | 1 | 2 | 24 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 11 |
| TND-HIL 7 | 1 | Complete | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| TND-HIL 8 | Complete | Complete | Complete | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | Complete |
| TND-HIL 9 (42) | 2 | Complete | Complete | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| TND-HIL 10 | 1 | Complete | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| ZFP57 1 | 1 | Complete | 1 | Complete | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ZFP57 2 | 1 | Complete | Complete | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 8 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ZFP57 3 | 1 | Complete | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ZFP57 4 | 1 | Complete | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ZFP57 5 | 1 | Complete | - | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 5 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SRS-HIL 1 (168) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| SRS-HIL 2 (71) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 13 | 26 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 2 | Complete |
| SRS-HIL 3 (557) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | Complete |
| SRS-HIL 4 (526) | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 14 | Complete | 1 | - | 5 |
| SRS-HIL 5 (556) | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 10 |
| SRS-HIL 6 (771) | 1 | 1 | Complete | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | Complete | 10 | 3 | Complete |
| SRS-HIL 7 (92) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| PHP-HIL 1 (699) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| BWS 1 (274) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| BWS 2 (220) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Complete | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| TND 1 | 1 | Complete | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| TND 2 | 1 | Complete | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SRS 1 (91) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SRS 2 (223) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SRS 3 (337) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SRS 4 (299) | - | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SRS 5 (423) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Supplementary Table 2: Distribution of probes of Human methylation 450K Bead Chip before and after initial quality control (QC)

| Annotation | Before QC | TND-HIL after <br> QC | BWS-HIL after <br> QC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Island | 150254 | 118348 | 109725 |
| Shelf | 47144 | 38927 | 36431 |
| Shore | 112067 | 93470 | 89275 |
| Non-CpG | 176112 | 146528 | 1378890 |
| Total | 485577 | 397273 | 373321 |

Supplementary Table 3: primers for DNA methylation and expression analysis of novel loci included in this report. For bisulphite-specific primers, the genomic template location in hg19 is provided; further information, and assay conditions, will be supplied on request.


7 published loci with 80 probes,
4 clinical loci with 86 probes

| CHR | MAPINFO | span of probes (bp) | number of probes | UCSC gene name | Adjust Pval | M-value Difference | Mean BWS M- <br> vlaue | Mean control Relation to UCSC <br> M-vlaue $\quad$ CpG island | Probe ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 11986394 | 3 |  | 2 KIAA2013;KIAA2013 | $8.24 \mathrm{E}-09$ | -0.4870 | -0.4117 | 0.0753 Island | cg01061626 |
| 1 | 11986397 |  |  | KIAA2013;KIAA2013 | $6.32 \mathrm{E}-10$ | -0.4957 | -0.2895 | 0.2062 Island | cg11345438 |
| 1 | 38513489 | 152 |  | 2 POU3F1 | 2.07E-09 | -0.4669 | -0.6455 | -0.1786 Island | cg17791651 |
| 1 | 38513641 |  |  | POU3F1 | $2.24 \mathrm{E}-10$ | -0.4331 | -0.7260 | -0.2929 Island | cg12622182 |
| 1 | 40024971 | 440 |  | 3 LOC728448 | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-12$ | -0.9013 | -0.5047 | 0.3966 NA | cg10243676 |
| 1 | 40025232 |  |  | LOC728448 | $2.99 \mathrm{E}-15$ | -1.0440 | -0.4204 | 0.6236 NA | cg11704876 |
| 1 | 40025411 |  |  | LOC728448 | $1.95 \mathrm{E}-13$ | -0.9259 | 0.0675 | 0.9934 NA | cg22862450 |
| 2 | 233251770 | 3 |  | 2 ECEL1P2 | 6.92E-16 | -1.3397 | -0.3721 | 0.9676 Island | cg13138089 |
| 2 | 233251773 |  |  | ECEL1P2 | $1.94 \mathrm{E}-17$ | -1.3133 | -1.0124 | 0.3009 Island | cg02812891 |
| 4 | 6107021 | 318 |  | 4 JAKMIP1;JAKMIP1 | 7.46E-09 | -0.8846 | -0.6271 | 0.2575 N_Shore | cg18994250 |
| 4 | 6107280 |  |  | JAKMIP1;JAKMIP1 | $3.55 \mathrm{E}-13$ | -0.8418 | -1.1585 | -0.3167 Island | cg23166781 |
| 4 | 6107320 |  |  | JAKMIP1;JAKMIP1 | $1.80 \mathrm{E}-10$ | -0.5965 | -1.0934 | -0.4969 Island | cg06231140 |
| 4 | 6107339 |  |  | JAKMIP1;JAKMIP1 | $8.35 \mathrm{E}-12$ | -0.7988 | -0.8909 | -0.0921 Island | cg22098660 |
| 5 | 139040820 | 29 |  | 2 CXXC5 | $8.35 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.7455 | -1.3319 | -0.5864 Island | cg14871225 |
| 5 | 139040849 |  |  | CXXC5 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.8799 | -1.2452 | -0.3653 Island | cg20455854 |
| 7 | 138348774 | 669 |  | 3 SVOPL;SVOPL | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-36$ | -1.6818 | -1.9755 | -0.2937 N_Shore | cg05719902 |
| 7 | 138349158 |  |  | SVOPL;SVOPL | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-19$ | -1.7825 | -1.4393 | 0.3432 Island | cg10184328 |
| 7 | 138349443 |  |  | SVOPL;SVOPL | $8.71 \mathrm{E}-35$ | -2.8124 | -2.6469 | 0.1654 Island | cg23085143 |
| 8 | 21905599 | 157 |  | 2 FGF17 | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-13$ | -1.4795 | -1.6067 | -0.1272 Island | cg03025830 |
| 8 | 21905756 |  |  | FGF17 | $8.96 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.7500 | -1.6532 | -0.9031 Island | cg11707219 |
| 8 | 37605517 | 266 |  | 4 LOC728024;ERLIN2 | $3.59 \mathrm{E}-19$ | -1.0975 | -1.3129 | -0.2153 NA | cg13346869 |
| 8 | 37605552 |  |  | LOC728024;ERLIN2 | 5.78E-36 | -1.0425 | -1.5611 | -0.5186 NA | cg05020125 |
| 8 | 37605717 |  |  | LOC728024;ERLIN2 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-25$ | -1.3168 | -1.2730 | 0.0438 NA | cg21505509 |
| 8 | 37605783 |  |  | LOC728024;ERLIN2 | $1.83 \mathrm{E}-26$ | -1.1945 | -1.2196 | -0.0252 NA | cg08247852 |
| 8 | 61626646 | 186 |  | 2 CHD7 | $4.92 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.6506 | -0.4283 | 0.2223 Island | cg12844324 |
| 8 | 61626832 |  |  | CHD7 | $1.65 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.5702 | 0.3021 | 0.8722 Island | cg20648501 |
| 8 | 145025059 | 2889 |  | 3 PLEC1;PLEC1;PLEC1;PL | $2.47 \mathrm{E}-11$ | -1.0859 | -1.7324 | -0.6465 Island | cg15628518 |
| 8 | 145025064 |  |  | PLEC1;PLEC1;PLEC1;PL | $3.11 \mathrm{E}-11$ | -0.7351 | -1.0492 | -0.3141 Island | cg19893585 |
| 8 | 145027948 |  |  | PLEC1;PLEC1;PLEC1;PL | $5.33 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.7824 | -1.6012 | -0.8188 Island | cg24507266 |
| 9 | 84302344 | 1014 |  | 2 TLE1 | $1.96 \mathrm{E}-21$ | -0.7488 | -1.5317 | -0.7830 Island | cg06358300 |
| 9 | 84303358 |  |  | TLE1;TLE1 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-37$ | -1.2795 | -1.5884 | -0.3089 Island | cg20926353 |
| 9 | 98075481 | 11 |  | 2 FANCC | $8.29 \mathrm{E}-58$ | -2.1983 | -2.0879 | 0.1104 Island | cg14127626 |
| 9 | 98075492 |  |  | FANCC | 5.50E-47 | -1.7595 | -2.1661 | -0.4067 Island | cg21891967 |
| 10 | 27702774 | 603 |  | 4 PTCHD3 | $3.47 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.8878 | -0.5343 | 0.3536 Island | cg02402587 |
| 10 | 27703247 |  |  | PTCHD3;PTCHD3 | $3.32 \mathrm{E}-10$ | -0.9880 | -1.0256 | -0.0375 Island | cg27363617 |
| 10 | 27703336 |  |  | PTCHD3 | $1.28 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -0.8418 | 0.0886 | 0.9304 Island | cg00632657 |
| 10 | 27703377 |  |  | PTCHD3 | $2.89 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.7900 | -0.0224 | 0.7675 Island | cg15283904 |
| 17 | 7832909 | 328 |  | 3 KCNAB3 | $6.61 \mathrm{E}-15$ | -1.3244 | -0.9855 | 0.3389 Island | cg23365801 |
| 17 | 7833163 |  |  | KCNAB3 | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-13$ | -1.5717 | -0.5974 | 0.9742 Island | cg27162435 |
| 17 | 7833237 |  |  | KCNAB3 | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-12$ | -1.6540 | -1.0194 | 0.6346 N_Shore | cg14918082 |
| 17 | 9729250 | 174 |  | 3 GLP2R | $4.20 \mathrm{E}-11$ | -0.6233 | -0.2592 | 0.3641 NA | cg07669517 |
| 17 | 9729422 |  |  | GLP2R | $4.68 \mathrm{E}-13$ | -0.8275 | -0.3941 | 0.4334 NA | cg14783904 |
| 17 | 9729424 |  |  | GLP2R | $3.19 \mathrm{E}-12$ | -0.7427 | 0.0571 | 0.7998 NA | cg20261915 |
| 17 | 26708366 | 407 |  | 2 SARM1 | 7.85E-10 | -0.5969 | -1.5201 | -0.9232 Island | cg14854355 |
| 17 | 26708773 |  |  | SARM1 | $6.02 \mathrm{E}-16$ | -0.8757 | -0.8363 | 0.0393 Island | cg13895343 |
| 17 | 46685292 | 61 |  | 3 HOXB7 | $4.68 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -1.0272 | -0.6723 | 0.3549 Island | cg18773260 |
| 17 | 46685327 |  |  | Нохв7 | $2.53 \mathrm{E}-13$ | -1.3283 | -0.5454 | 0.7830 Island | cg11041817 |
| 17 | 46685353 |  |  | H0XB7 | $3.26 \mathrm{E}-09$ | -1.6486 | -0.8443 | 0.8043 Island | cg23669081 |
| 17 | 79881468 | 75 |  | 3 MAFG;MAFG | $5.36 \mathrm{E}-15$ | -0.9834 | -1.8562 | -0.8728 S_Shore | cg10909080 |
| 17 | 79881523 |  |  | MAFG;MAFG | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-15$ | -1.5152 | -1.9696 | -0.4543 S_Shore | cg22193912 |
| 17 | 79881543 |  |  | MAFG;MAFG | $6.41 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.9052 | -1.1028 | -0.1975 S_Shore | cg01543184 |
| 18 | 77905355 | 592 |  | 3 LOC100130522;LOC10 | $6.05 \mathrm{E}-15$ | -1.2277 | -1.7406 | -0.5129 Island | cg16737533 |
| 18 | 77905408 |  |  | LOC100130522;LOC10 | $4.69 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -1.2622 | -1.9366 | -0.6744 Island | cg19774868 |
| 18 | 77905947 |  |  | LOC100130522;LOC10 | $2.44 \mathrm{E}-16$ | -0.8223 | -1.5240 | -0.7017 S_Shore | cg18687533 |
| 19 | 54485321 | 6 |  | 2 CACNG8;MIR935 | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-12$ | -0.7095 | -0.2585 | 0.4510 Island | cg07785717 |
| 19 | 54485327 |  |  | CACNG8;MIR935 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-11$ | -0.7600 | -0.2274 | 0.5326 Island | cg18055623 |
| 21 | 40757899 | 309 |  | 2 WRB | 3.97E-16 | -0.7353 | -0.6770 | 0.0583 Island | cg26710963 |
| 21 | 40758208 |  |  | WRB;WRB | 6.45E-17 | -0.5600 | -1.3801 | -0.8202 S_Shore | cg09916765 |
| 22 | 42078217 | 506 |  | 6 NHP2L1;NHP2L1 | $8.11 \mathrm{E}-11$ | -0.7199 | -0.9742 | -0.2543 Island | cg18152773 |
| 22 | 42078330 |  |  | NHP2L1;NHP2L1 | $1.42 \mathrm{E}-09$ | -0.8472 | -0.8413 | 0.0058 Island | cg05871614 |
| 22 | 42078365 |  |  | NHP2L1;NHP2L1;NHP ${ }_{\text {- }}$ | $4.97 \mathrm{E}-10$ | -0.8749 | -1.1455 | -0.2706 Island | cg22083753 |
| 22 | 42078567 |  |  | NHP2L1;NHP2L1 | $4.54 \mathrm{E}-12$ | -1.2019 | -1.4647 | -0.2628 S_Shore | cg08686092 |
| 22 | 42078707 |  |  | NHP2L1;NHP2L1 | 9.87E-08 | -1.1120 | -1.8133 | -0.7014 S_Shore | cg11677105 |
| 22 | 42078723 |  |  | NHP2L1;NHP2L1 | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-09$ | -0.6675 | -1.0542 | -0.3867 S_Shore | cg11536612 |
| Novel | 64 probes | 23 loci |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 1 | 68512539 | 4734 | 21 DIRAS3 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}-12$ | -0.6872 | -1.4480 | -0.7608 N_Shore | cg03641225 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 68512650 | DIRAS3 | $2.54 \mathrm{E}-12$ | -1.0290 | -0.0707 | 0.9583 Island | cg24871743 |  |
| 1 | 68512777 | DIRAS3 | $1.45 \mathrm{E}-16$ | -0.9303 | -0.6661 | 0.2642 Island | cg22901840 |  |
| 1 | 68512807 | DIRAS3 | $3.37 \mathrm{E}-13$ | -0.9635 | -0.2877 | 0.6758 Island | cg20149168 |  |
| 1 | 68512845 | DIRAS3 | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-09$ | -0.5282 | -0.4799 | 0.0483 Island | cg13697378 |  |



| 6 | 144329780 |  | HYMAI;PLAGL1;PLAGL | 5.14E-25 | -0.9826 | -0.8828 | 0.0998 Island | cg08263357 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 144329789 |  | HYMAI;PLAGL1;PLAGL | 2.69E-28 | -1.1100 | -1.0010 | 0.1090 Island | cg11532302 |
| 6 | 144329829 |  | HYMAI;PLAGLi;PLAGL | $2.82 \mathrm{E}-45$ | -1.0069 | -1.1050 | -0.0981 Island | cg17895149 |
| 6 | 144329887 |  | PLAGL1;PLAGL1;PLAGI | $2.73 \mathrm{E}-09$ | -0.5565 | -0.2143 | 0.3423 S_Shore | cg23460430 |
| 6 | 144329909 |  | PLAGL1;PLAGL1;PLAGI | 2.26E-27 | -1.0120 | -0.9570 | 0.0550 S_Shore | cg14161241 |
| 7 | 130130187 | 2923 | 42 MESTIT1;MEST;MEST | 3.51E-08 | -0.7487 | -0.3504 | 0.3983 N_Shore | cg10767216 |
| 7 | 130130478 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MEST; | $3.76 \mathrm{E}-15$ | -0.5349 | -0.6048 | -0.0699 N_Shore | cg20826277 |
| 7 | 130130481 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MEST; | 7.73E-10 | -0.5668 | -0.5970 | -0.0302 N_Shore | cg14584935 |
| 7 | 130130596 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MEST; | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-35$ | -0.7714 | -0.9762 | -0.2048 N_Shore | cg07427065 |
| 7 | 130130740 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MEST; | 3.30E-33 | -1.2085 | -0.8069 | 0.4015 Island | cg02501418 |
| 7 | 130130747 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MEST; | 8.76E-23 | -1.2623 | -0.7234 | 0.5389 Island | cg08229366 |
| 7 | 130130753 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MEST; | 1.30E-32 | -1.3988 | -1.1333 | 0.2656 Island | cg07224147 |
| 7 | 130130995 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MEST; | 3.76E-32 | -1.1227 | -1.6285 | -0.5058 Island | cg09003373 |
| 7 | 130131085 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MEST; | 1.37E-29 | -0.8619 | -1.3430 | -0.4811 Island | cg14088957 |
| 7 | 130131136 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MEST; | 4.91E-30 | -0.8354 | -1.1183 | -0.2829 Island | cg06100421 |
| 7 | 130131138 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MEST; | 3.28E-28 | -0.8753 | -1.2413 | -0.3660 Island | cg20297423 |
| 7 | 130131258 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | 6.69E-27 | -0.8421 | -0.8178 | 0.0243 Island | cg13917504 |
| 7 | 130131359 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | 1.13E-37 | -1.2648 | -1.4583 | -0.1935 Island | cg21667116 |
| 7 | 130131367 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | 1.34E-31 | -1.0647 | -1.4326 | -0.3679 Island | cg23156962 |
| 7 | 130131403 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | 2.61E-30 | -1.1991 | -1.0643 | 0.1348 Island | cg04678950 |
| 7 | 130131480 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | 4.97E-22 | -0.8389 | -0.3809 | 0.4580 Island | cg17079325 |
| 7 | 130131484 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | 8.19E-23 | -0.8933 | -0.2929 | 0.6004 Island | cg04344875 |
| 7 | 130131566 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | $1.17 \mathrm{E}-25$ | -1.3091 | -1.3167 | -0.0077 Island | cg18934293 |
| 7 | 130131633 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | 1.28E-30 | -1.1773 | -0.7680 | 0.4093 Island | cg00286878 |
| 7 | 130131676 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | 8.84E-24 | -1.4184 | -1.4038 | 0.0146 Island | cg12347392 |
| 7 | 130131691 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | 3.41E-27 | -1.4706 | -1.1878 | 0.2828 Island | cg04786207 |
| 7 | 130131730 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | 1.96E-30 | -1.0879 | -1.0560 | 0.0319 Island | cg26708559 |
| 7 | 130131797 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | 3.26E-32 | -1.3124 | -1.0915 | 0.2209 Island | cg22705386 |
| 7 | 130131826 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | 3.50E-30 | -1.2659 | -0.9336 | 0.3323 Island | cg06212135 |
| 7 | 130131829 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-29$ | -1.3996 | -0.8868 | 0.5128 Island | cg10249538 |
| 7 | 130131869 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | 2.38E-27 | -1.3603 | -0.8628 | 0.4974 Island | cg16823958 |
| 7 | 130131885 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | 5.21E-22 | -0.9547 | -0.5967 | 0.3580 Island | cg27338480 |
| 7 | 130131887 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | 5.27E-24 | -1.0501 | -0.4869 | 0.5632 Island | cg09080913 |
| 7 | 130131905 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | $1.85 \mathrm{E}-23$ | -1.2545 | -0.7456 | 0.5088 Island | cg13104298 |
| 7 | 130131923 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | 2.92E-17 | -0.7451 | -0.7545 | -0.0094 Island | cg20050761 |
| 7 | 130131931 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-16$ | -0.7031 | -0.5838 | 0.1192 Island | cg07870293 |
| 7 | 130132161 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;ME | 3.78E-19 | -0.8627 | -0.7039 | 0.1588 Island | cg05556276 |
| 7 | 130132199 |  | MEST;MEST;MESTIT1; | $7.19 \mathrm{E}-26$ | -1.3466 | -1.1672 | 0.1793 Island | cg17580798 |
| 7 | 130132298 |  | MEST;MEST;MESTIT1; | 1.30E-19 | -0.8383 | -0.6928 | 0.1455 Island | cg19344806 |
| 7 | 130132319 |  | MEST;MEST;MESTIT1; | 2.38E-23 | -0.8826 | -0.5043 | 0.3783 Island | cg21200654 |
| 7 | 130132360 |  | MEST;MEST;MESTIT1; | $9.73 \mathrm{E}-22$ | -0.9483 | -0.4838 | 0.4645 Island | cg25519926 |
| 7 | 130132413 |  | MEST;MEST;MESTIT1; | 5.31E-09 | -0.8138 | -1.8046 | -0.9908 Island | cg09462536 |
| 7 | 130132419 |  | MEST;MEST;MESTIT1; | 4.17E-14 | -0.8055 | -1.4632 | -0.6576 Island | cg22592140 |
| 7 | 130132422 |  | MEST;MEST;MESTIT1; | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-30$ | -0.9796 | -0.6197 | 0.3599 Island | cg03588221 |
| 7 | 130132727 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST | $3.24 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.6052 | -0.6429 | -0.0376 Island | cg23312013 |
| 7 | 130132790 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST | $4.51 \mathrm{E}-14$ | -0.8427 | -0.0871 | 0.7556 Island | cg14952237 |
| 7 | 130133110 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-22$ | -0.8194 | -0.1748 | 0.6445 Island | cg05260959 |
| 11 | 2715837 | 6421 | 26 KCNQ1;KCNQ1OT1;KC | $2.55 \mathrm{E}-10$ | -0.5258 | 0.1081 | 0.6339 NA | cg25204743 |
| 11 | 2720229 |  | KCNQ1;KCNQ10T1;KC | 4.10E-54 | -1.8594 | -1.5273 | 0.3321 N_Shore | cg27119222 |
| 11 | 2720463 |  | KCNQ1;KCNQ1OT1;KC | 1.57E-54 | -1.4367 | -1.3310 | 0.1058 Island | cg00000924 |
| 11 | 2721207 |  | KCNQ1;KCNQ1OT1;KC | $3.23 \mathrm{E}-47$ | -1.5365 | -1.7026 | -0.1661 Island | cg14392746 |
| 11 | 2721243 |  | KCNQ10T1;KCNQ1;KC | 9.16E-24 | -0.7618 | -0.6621 | 0.0997 Island | cg12077660 |
| 11 | 2721248 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1;KC | $4.65 \mathrm{E}-21$ | -0.9674 | -0.7672 | 0.2003 Island | cg03401726 |
| 11 | 2721351 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1;KC | 3.03E-21 | -0.7902 | -0.7151 | 0.0751 Island | cg08359167 |
| 11 | 2721383 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1;KC | 1.04E-41 | -1.6836 | -1.4615 | 0.2221 Island | cg26104781 |
| 11 | 2721409 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1;KC | 5.21E-16 | -1.3144 | -1.3324 | -0.0180 Island | cg02219360 |
| 11 | 2721428 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1;KC | $1.86 \mathrm{E}-35$ | -1.3699 | -1.3133 | 0.0566 Island | cg20699737 |
| 11 | 2721437 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1;KC | 6.56E-31 | -1.0121 | -1.0307 | -0.0186 Island | cg26547719 |
| 11 | 2721480 |  | KCNQ10T1;KCNQ1;KC | 7.59E-40 | -1.2828 | -1.7440 | -0.4612 Island | cg07595203 |
| 11 | 2721610 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1;KC | 5.86E-35 | -0.8783 | -1.2689 | -0.3906 Island | cg14958441 |
| 11 | 2721619 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1;KC | $9.88 \mathrm{E}-25$ | -0.7871 | -0.7178 | 0.0693 Island | cg27323091 |
| 11 | 2721632 |  | KCNQ10T1;KCNQ1;KC | 2.26E-32 | -1.2544 | -0.6877 | 0.5667 Island | cg01873334 |
| 11 | 2721817 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1;KC | 2.92E-47 | -1.3920 | -1.0159 | 0.3761 Island | cg14243741 |
| 11 | 2721866 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1;KC | 5.56E-52 | -1.3594 | -1.1906 | 0.1688 Island | cg05740879 |
| 11 | 2721952 |  | KCNQ10T1;KCNQ1;KC | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-48$ | -1.1888 | -1.5586 | -0.3698 Island | cg01893176 |
| 11 | 2721961 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1;KC | $9.34 \mathrm{E}-33$ | -0.9953 | -0.7287 | 0.2666 Island | cg11993252 |
| 11 | 2722073 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1;KC | 3.44E-47 | -1.3526 | -1.6149 | -0.2623 Island | cg26094482 |
| 11 | 2722076 |  | KCNQ10T1;KCNQ1;KC | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-52$ | -1.7535 | -1.9951 | -0.2416 Island | cg26908876 |
| 11 | 2722082 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1;KC | 5.15E-57 | -1.7308 | -1.5275 | 0.2033 Island | cg03422070 |
| 11 | 2722084 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1;KC | $2.75 \mathrm{E}-55$ | -1.6435 | -1.7278 | -0.0843 Island | cg15651941 |
| 11 | 2722119 |  | KCNQ10T1;KCNQ1;KC | 4.27E-68 | -1.5133 | -1.6154 | -0.1021 S_Shore | cg06288089 |
| 11 | 2722195 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1;KC | $1.33 \mathrm{E}-47$ | -1.1939 | -1.2913 | -0.0975 S_Shore | cg02798157 |
| 11 | 2722258 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1;KC | 6.15E-50 | -1.3412 | -0.9271 | 0.4141 S_Shore | cg27604721 |
| 20 | 57426835 | 721 | 4 GNAS;GNAS;GNAS;GN | $2.59 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.3700 | -0.3691 | 0.0009 Island | cg04457481 |
| 20 | 57427210 |  | GNAS;GNAS;GNAS;GN | $3.15 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.4364 | -0.4146 | 0.0218 N_Shore | cg26496204 |
| 20 | 57427472 |  | GNAS;GNAS;GNAS | $9.65 \mathrm{E}-09$ | -0.9384 | -1.5071 | -0.5687 N_Shore | cg07105596 |
| 20 | 57427556 |  | GNAS;GNAS;GNAS | $1.89 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.7591 | -0.9167 | -0.1575 N_Shore | cg14564778 |

Supplementary Table 5: TND-HIL candidate hypomethylated loci- $p$-value of $>1.33 \times 10-7$ ( 0.05 divided by the number of statistical tests), M value between +1 and -1 (equivalent to a beta value of $0.3-0.7$ ) filters were applied. CpG spacing less than 12 nt per two CpGs (ie probably in-cis) and $\mathbf{> 2 0 0 0}$ between adjacent CpGs on average (ie probably coincidental) were eliminated.

> This gives: 11 new loci with 44 probes,
> 5 published loci with 71 probes,
> 5 clinical loci with 74 probes

| CHR |  | MAPINFO | extent of locus | Probe number | UCSC gene name | Adjust Pval | M- <br> Difference | Mean HIL M-vlaue | Mean control Mvlaue | Relation to UCSC CpG island | Probe ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 40024971 | 261 |  | 2 LOC728448 | $9.52 \mathrm{E}-19$ | -1.2411 | -0.6974 | 0.5437 | NA | cg10243676 |
|  | 1 | 40025232 |  |  | LOC728448 | $5.23 \mathrm{E}-10$ | -0.9625 | -0.5190 | 0.4435 | NA | cg11704876 |
|  | 4 | 6107021 | 318 |  | 4 JAKMIP1;JAKMIP1 | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-12$ | -1.0901 | -0.4752 | 0.6149 | N_Shore | cg18994250 |
|  | 4 | 6107280 |  |  | JAKMIP1;JAKMIP1 | $3.46 \mathrm{E}-32$ | -1.4522 | -1.2868 | 0.1654 | Island | cg23166781 |
|  | 4 | 6107320 |  |  | JAKMIP1;JAKMIP1 | $1.83 \mathrm{E}-16$ | -0.8417 | -0.8993 | -0.0575 | Island | cg06231140 |
|  | 4 | 6107339 |  |  | JAKMIP1;JAKMIP1 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-19$ | -1.1452 | -0.7875 | 0.3577 | Island | cg22098660 |
|  | 6 | 170055155 | 177 |  | 2 WDR27 | $3.34 \mathrm{E}-22$ | -3.1348 | -2.2049 | 0.9299 | NA | cg11938672 |
|  | 6 | 170055332 |  |  | WDR27 | $3.56 \mathrm{E}-09$ | -2.5930 | -2.0510 | 0.5421 | N_Shelf | cg18322025 |
|  | 7 | 23529999 | 690 |  | 2 RPS2P32 | $9.81 \mathrm{E}-28$ | -2.4651 | -2.9165 | -0.4514 | N_Shore | cg12829142 |
|  | 7 | 23530689 |  |  | RPS2P32 | 2.03E-32 | -0.8504 | -0.7994 | 0.0510 | Island | cg26074723 |
|  | 7 | 138348774 | 669 |  | 3 SVOPL;SVOPL | $2.34 \mathrm{E}-14$ | -1.3107 | -1.1937 | 0.1170 | N_Shore | cg05719902 |
|  | 7 | 138349158 |  |  | SVOPL;SVOPL | 5.73E-13 | -1.8612 | -1.0985 | 0.7628 | Island | cg10184328 |
|  | 7 | 138349443 |  |  | SVOPL;SVOPL | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-16$ | -2.3510 | -1.8767 | 0.4742 | Island | cg23085143 |
|  | 8 | 37605359 | 619 |  | 6 LOC728024;ERLIN2 | 3.04E-17 | -0.9308 | -0.3969 | 0.5338 | NA | cg11496432 |
|  | 8 | 37605517 |  |  | LOC728024;ERLIN2 | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-18$ | -1.6678 | -1.9442 | -0.2764 | NA | cg13346869 |
|  | 8 | 37605552 |  |  | LOC728024;ERLIN2 | $3.31 \mathrm{E}-24$ | -1.5660 | -1.8350 | -0.2690 | NA | cg05020125 |
|  | 8 | 37605717 |  |  | LOC728024;ERLIN2 | 2.27E-32 | -2.0140 | -1.6997 | 0.3142 | NA | cg21505509 |
|  | 8 | 37605783 |  |  | LOC728024;ERLIN2 | $2.67 \mathrm{E}-38$ | -2.3179 | -2.0549 | 0.2630 | NA | cg08247852 |
|  | 8 | 37605978 |  |  | LOC728024;ERLIN2 | $4.85 \mathrm{E}-15$ | -1.1002 | -0.4515 | 0.6487 | NA | cg00450319 |
|  | 9 | 98075481 | 11 |  | 2 FANCC | $3.82 \mathrm{E}-41$ | -1.9796 | -1.8573 | 0.1223 | Island | cg14127626 |
|  | 9 | 98075492 |  |  | FANCC | $1.26 \mathrm{E}-50$ | -1.9978 | -1.9070 | 0.0907 | Island | cg21891967 |
|  | 17 | 9729250 | 172 |  | 4 GLP2R | 8.69E-13 | -0.6680 | -0.2895 | 0.3786 | NA | cg07669517 |
|  | 17 | 9729253 |  |  | GLP2R | $6.27 \mathrm{E}-20$ | -0.6057 | 0.3736 | 0.9793 | NA | cg25656836 |
|  | 17 | 9729337 |  |  | GLP2R | $2.36 \mathrm{E}-16$ | -0.6613 | -0.1958 | 0.4655 | NA | cg22885891 |
|  | 17 | 9729422 |  |  | GLP2R | $3.25 \mathrm{E}-10$ | -0.8390 | -0.2061 | 0.6329 | NA | cg14783904 |
|  | 18 | 77905298 | 649 |  | 9 LOC100130522;LOC: | $3.28 \mathrm{E}-18$ | -2.7370 | -3.1539 | -0.4169 | Island | cg13704680 |
|  | 18 | 77905355 |  |  | LOC100130522;LOC: | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-55$ | -2.8727 | -3.3706 | -0.4978 | Island | cg16737533 |
|  | 18 | 77905408 |  |  | LOC100130522;LOC: | $5.05 \mathrm{E}-27$ | -2.6499 | -3.4337 | -0.7838 | Island | cg19774868 |
|  | 18 | 77905565 |  |  | LOC100130522;LOC: | $2.48 \mathrm{E}-42$ | -2.3277 | -2.7460 | -0.4183 | Island | cg16244155 |
|  | 18 | 77905663 |  |  | LOC100130522;LOC: | $5.58 \mathrm{E}-28$ | -3.0321 | -3.7025 | -0.6704 | S_Shore | cg20191338 |
|  | 18 | 77905699 |  |  | LOC100130522;LOC: | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-18$ | -2.7773 | -3.6720 | -0.8947 | S_Shore | cg06092953 |
|  | 18 | 77905747 |  |  | LOC100130522;LOC: | . $\quad 2.79 \mathrm{E}-17$ | -2.1445 | -2.7239 | -0.5794 | S_Shore | cg12061113 |
|  | 18 | 77905751 |  |  | LOC100130522;LOC: | $9.51 \mathrm{E}-25$ | -2.7643 | -2.8662 | -0.1020 | S_Shore | cg13287964 |
|  | 18 | 77905947 |  |  | LOC100130522;LOC: | . $1.24 \mathrm{E}-66$ | -2.5147 | -2.8991 | -0.3844 | S_Shore | cg18687533 |
|  | 21 | 40757691 | 517 |  | 4 WRB | 8.73E-14 | -0.9402 | -0.3569 | 0.5833 | Island | cg00606841 |
|  | 21 | 40757750 |  |  | WRB | $4.48 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.8722 | -0.5303 | 0.3420 | Island | cg22858667 |
|  | 21 | 40757899 |  |  | WRB | $2.75 \mathrm{E}-25$ | -1.1913 | -0.8116 | 0.3797 | Island | cg26710963 |
|  | 21 | 40758208 |  |  | WRB;WRB | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-22$ | -0.9087 | -1.3811 | -0.4724 | S_Shore | cg09916765 |
|  | 22 | 42078217 | 506 |  | 6 NHP2L1;NHP2L1 | 7.03E-50 | -2.2973 | -2.3214 | -0.0241 | Island | cg18152773 |
|  | 22 | 42078330 |  |  | NHP2L1;NHP2L1 | 9.89E-47 | -2.1277 | -1.9036 | 0.2241 | Island | cg05871614 |
|  | 22 | 42078365 |  |  | NHP2L1;NHP2L1;NH | $3.36 \mathrm{E}-48$ | -2.3207 | -2.2536 | 0.0671 | Island | cg22083753 |
|  | 22 | 42078388 |  |  | NHP2L1;NHP2L1;NH | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-44$ | -1.7714 | -1.7219 | 0.0494 | Island | cg15284719 |
|  | 22 | 42078567 |  |  | NHP2L1;NHP2L1 | 7.89E-48 | -2.6382 | -2.7232 | -0.0850 | S_Shore | cg08686092 |
|  | 22 | 42078723 |  |  | NHP2L1;NHP2L1 | $4.37 \mathrm{E}-23$ | -1.3300 | -1.3374 | -0.0073 | S_Shore | cg11536612 |

Novel
44 probes 11 loci

| 68512539 | 4734 | 20 DIRAS3 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-20$ | -1.1183 | -1.6316 | -0.5132 N_Shore | cg03641225 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68512650 |  | DIRAS3 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-11$ | -1.1729 | -0.1989 | 0.9741 Island | cg24871743 |
| 68512777 |  | DIRAS3 | $1.12 \mathrm{E}-26$ | -1.4570 | -1.1713 | 0.2857 Island | cg22901840 |
| 68512807 |  | DIRAS3 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-17$ | -1.2738 | -0.4842 | 0.7896 Island | cg20149168 |
| 68512971 |  | DIRAS3 | $5.30 \mathrm{E}-18$ | -1.2788 | -0.9535 | 0.3253 Island | cg09118625 |
| 68513063 |  | DIRAS3 | $1.95 \mathrm{E}-29$ | -1.0837 | -1.0761 | 0.0077 S_Shore | cg21808053 |
| 68515788 |  | DIRAS3 | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-36$ | -1.0294 | -0.0891 | 0.9403 N_Shore | cg02317907 |
| 68515977 |  | DIRAS3 | $1.93 \mathrm{E}-26$ | -0.8299 | -0.2684 | 0.5615 N_Shore | cg19114595 |
| 68516080 |  | DIRAS3 | 8.60E-57 | -1.4230 | -1.3754 | 0.0475 N_Shore | cg12070746 |
| 68516138 |  | DIRAS3 | $1.93 \mathrm{E}-49$ | -1.6927 | -1.6846 | 0.0081 N_Shore | cg25755905 |
| 68516272 |  | DIRAS3;DIRAS3 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-59$ | -1.5850 | -1.1513 | 0.4336 Island | cg22500004 |
| 68516374 |  | DIRAS3;DIRAS3 | $4.35 \mathrm{E}-28$ | -1.3423 | -1.3942 | -0.0519 Island | cg19694923 |
| 68516453 |  | DIRAS3;DIRAS3 | $3.39 \mathrm{E}-57$ | -1.5734 | -1.3064 | 0.2670 Island | cg05392265 |
| 68516463 |  | DIRAS3 | $4.23 \mathrm{E}-56$ | -1.7130 | -1.3052 | 0.4078 Island | cg06191076 |
| 68516465 |  | DIRAS3 | 5.42E-59 | -2.0618 | -1.2930 | 0.7688 Island | cg16148270 |
| 68516518 |  | DIRAS3 | 8.36E-50 | -1.6703 | -1.5116 | 0.1586 N_Shore | cg13208159 |
| 68517177 |  | DIRAS3 | $3.36 \mathrm{E}-24$ | -1.1609 | -1.0860 | 0.0749 N_Shore | cg27545611 |
| 68517205 |  | DIRAS3 | $2.98 \mathrm{E}-37$ | -1.5705 | -1.6182 | -0.0477 N_Shore | cg16148134 |
| 68517255 |  | DIRAS3 | 4.05E-54 | -1.4129 | -1.2177 | 0.1952 Island | cg13605615 |
| 68517273 |  | DIRAS3 | $1.28 \mathrm{E}-41$ | -1.6666 | -1.3981 | 0.2685 Island | cg10038185 |


|  | 63849272 | 546 | 17 FAM50B | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-11$ | -0.6122 | -0.5868 | 0.0254 Island | cg01570885 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 63849277 |  | FAM50B | 8.75--09 | -0.4044 | -0.3121 | 0.0923 Island | cg09821214 |
|  | 63849327 |  | FAM50B | $5.28 \mathrm{E}-09$ | -0.7997 | -0.3678 | 0.4319 Island | cg25195497 |
|  | 63849331 |  | fam50b | $3.24 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $-0.8576$ | $-0.5002$ | 0.3574 Island | cg21740964 |
|  | 63849381 |  | fam50b | 1.43E-13 | -0.7578 | -0.8212 | -0.0634 Island | cg12840312 |
|  | 63849391 |  | FAM50B | $5.03 \mathrm{E}-11$ | -0.8045 | -1.0637 | -0.2592 Island | cg01905633 |
|  | 63849411 |  | FAM50B | $9.76 \mathrm{E}-10$ | -0.7851 | -1.2130 | -0.4279 Island | cg21177626 |
|  | 63849434 |  | FAM50B | 4.01E-18 | -0.8746 | -0.3854 | 0.4893 Island | cg03954573 |
|  | 63849458 |  | FAM50B | 1.35E-18 | -1.0194 | -0.9167 | 0.1027 Island | cg18197332 |
|  | 63849475 |  | FAM50B | 1.07E-23 | -1.1455 | -1.1062 | 0.0392 Island | cg04447621 |
|  | 63849536 |  | fam50b | 9.54E-32 | -1.3015 | -0.9342 | 0.3673 Island | cg23835083 |
|  | 63849542 |  | FAM50B | 2.31E-31 | -1.4204 | -0.9688 | 0.4516 Island | cg18487516 |
|  | 63849577 |  | FAM50B | 1.33E-35 | -1.6247 | -1.2336 | 0.3911 Island | cg12497786 |
|  | 63849690 |  | FAM50B;FAM50B | 5.79E-11 | -0.8169 | -0.8202 | -0.0033 Island | cg21153160 |
|  | 63849702 |  | FAM50B;FAM50B | 4.40E-24 | -0.7593 | -0.6873 | 0.0720 Island | cg25073793 |
|  | 63849801 |  | FAM50B | 6.56E-10 | -0.4698 | -0.0789 | 0.3909 Island | cg27445347 |
|  | 63849818 |  | FAM50B | $2.23 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.4983 | -0.2410 | 0.2573 Island | cg13101072 |
| 15 | 599408636 | 1321 | 6 IGF1R | 1.09E-31 | -1.7231 | -0.7473 | 0.9758 NA | cg19322380 |
| 15 | 599408804 |  | IGF1R | 1.78E-19 | -1.1573 | -1.1205 | 0.0368 NA | cg21746425 |
| 15 | 599409194 |  | IGF1R | 1.34E-18 | -1.1053 | -1.3848 | -0.2796 NA | cg07615383 |
| 15 | 599409360 |  | IGF1R | 2.08E-20 | -1.4138 | -1.4788 | -0.0651 NA | cg00098799 |
| 15 | 599409506 |  | IGF1R | 7.22E-33 | -1.4966 | -1.2694 | 0.2273 NA | cg03380198 |
| 15 | 599409957 |  | IGF1R | 4.39E-13 | -0.7410 | -0.0528 | 0.6881 NA | cg11544420 |
| 19 | 954040813 | 17272 | 10 ZNF331;ZNF331 | 1.34E-48 | -1.9680 | -1.6224 | 0.3456 Island | cg06855497 |
| 19 | 954041163 |  | ZNF331;ZNF331 | $8.48 \mathrm{E}-29$ | -1.9414 | -2.6707 | -0.7292 Island | cg22475353 |
| 19 | 95041251 |  | ZNF331;ZNF331 | 2.07E-28 | -1.7684 | -2.3009 | -0.5325 Island | cg27296330 |
| 19 | 95041329 |  | ZNF331;ZNF331 | 1.19E-14 | -1.8432 | -2.0353 | -0.1921 Island | cg04522821 |
| 19 | 954041856 |  | ZNF331;ZNF331 | 8.20E-34 | -2.0526 | -2.0620 | -0.0093 Island | cg03499639 |
| 19 | 954041999 |  | ZNF331;ZNF331 | 1.32E-07 | -0.4954 | -0.4601 | 0.0353 S_Shore | cg25409185 |
| 19 | 954057208 |  | ZNF331;2NF331;2NF | 4.52E-40 | -1.7364 | -1.4420 | 0.2945 N_Shore | cg06860848 |
| 19 | 54057415 |  | ZNF331;2NF331;2NF | $1.28 \mathrm{E}-37$ | -2.0271 | -1.3890 | 0.6380 Island | cg03113572 |
| 19 | 954057705 |  | ZNF331;7NF331;ZNF | 5.33E-22 | -1.6699 | -2.0806 | -0.4107 Island | cg19696891 |
| 19 | 954058085 |  | ZNF331;2NF331;2NF | 3.82E-24 | -1.7000 | -1.4042 | 0.2958 Island | cg20071427 |
| 20 | 2042142417 | 1072 | 18 L3MBTL; L3MBTL | 1.76E-12 | -0.5068 | -0.3185 | 0.1883 N_Shore | cg22457903 |
| 20 | 20 42142451 |  | LзMbTL;LЗmbtL | 2.72E-21 | -0.8238 | -0.3132 | 0.5106 N_Shore | cg20091959 |
| 20 | 20142484 |  | L3MBTL;L3MBTL | 2.49E-13 | -0.5947 | -0.2569 | 0.3378 N_Shore | cg17091610 |
| 20 | 20 42142494 |  | L3MBTL; L3MBTL | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-18$ | -0.6703 | -0.3311 | 0.3392 N_Shore | cg23626798 |
| 20 | 042142559 |  | L3MBTL;L3MBTL | 2.89E-19 | -0.9518 | -0.4697 | 0.4821 N_Shore | cg15388309 |
| 20 | O 42142596 |  | L3MBTL;L3MBTL | 7.67E-09 | -0.7536 | -0.6161 | 0.1375 N_Shore | cg16862791 |
| 20 | - 42142671 |  | LзMbTL;LЗMBTL | 8.01E-17 | -0.9994 | -0.6103 | 0.3891 N_Shore | cg06446163 |
| 20 | 042142673 |  |  | $2.86 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.8229 | -0.6119 | 0.2110 N_Shore | cg04984575 |
| 20 | 042142751 |  | L3MBTL;L3MBTL | $2.40 \mathrm{E}-16$ | -1.0002 | -0.4821 | 0.5181 N_Shore | cg20529070 |
| 20 | O 42142766 |  | L3MBTL;L3MBTL | 6.20E-10 | -0.7242 | -0.6366 | 0.0876 N_Shore | cg10360552 |
| 20 | 20142847 |  | L3MBTL;L3MBTL | 4.22E-14 | -1.2682 | -1.1909 | 0.0772 N_Shore | cg01877937 |
| 20 | 20 42142852 |  | L3MBTL;LבMBTL | $1.98 \mathrm{E}-09$ | -0.9780 | $-0.7737$ | 0.2043 N_Shore | cg08633313 |
| 20 | 20 42142897 |  | L3MBTL;LZMBTL | 2.24E-10 | -0.9061 | -0.8109 | 0.0951 N_Shore | cg12699433 |
| 20 | 20 42142947 |  | L3MBTL;L3MBTL | 7.87E-08 | -0.8141 | -0.6338 | 0.1803 N_Shore | cg11319028 |
| 20 | O 42143174 |  | L3MBTL;L3MBTL;L3P | 9.62E-14 | -1.1475 | -0.6252 | 0.5223 N_Shore | cg15330298 |
| 20 | 042143211 |  | L3MBTL;L3MBTL | 1.45E-09 | -0.6936 | -0.4937 | 0.1998 Island | cg20252111 |
| 20 | 20 42143399 |  | L3MBTL;L3MBTL | $5.23 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $-0.7687$ | -0.1637 | 0.6050 Island | cg15302378 |
| 20 | O 42143489 |  | L3MBTL;L3MBTL | $5.54 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $-0.9348$ | $-0.3812$ | 0.5537 Island | cg09541000 |
| non-ID imprinted | 71 probes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6144328482 | 1427 | 15 HYMAI;PLAGL1;PLAC | 4.84E-124 | -2.9854 | -2.3038 | 0.6817 N_Shore | cg17865602 |
|  | 6144328917 |  | HYMAI;PLAGL1;plac | 7.26E-105 | -3.5554 | $-3.4813$ | 0.0741 Island | cg25350411 |
|  | 6144329052 |  | HYMA1;PLAGL1;PLAC | 4.95E-111 | -4.0695 | -3.7324 | 0.3371 Island | cg07077459 |
|  | 6144329172 |  | HYMAI;PLAGL1;PLAC | 1.23E-106 | -3.9540 | -3.6328 | 0.3212 Island | cg22378065 |
|  | 6144329331 |  | PLAGL1;HYMAl;PLAC | 2.90E-88 | -3.3349 | -3.2891 | 0.0459 Island | cg10007452 |
|  | 6144329473 |  | PLAGL1; HYMAI;PLAC | 5.39E-103 | -3.8291 | -3.2703 | 0.5587 Island | cg00702231 |
|  | 6144329485 |  | PLAGL1;HYMAI;PLAC | 3.39E-97 | -3.2522 | -3.2307 | 0.0215 Island | cg12757684 |
|  | 6144329732 |  | HYMAI;PLAGL1;PLAC | 1.88E-19 | -1.7927 | -1.5657 | 0.2270 Island | cg21952820 |
|  | 6144329766 |  | HYMAI;PLAGL1;PLAC | 2.95E-94 | -3.1572 | -3.0922 | 0.0650 Island | cg05326984 |
|  | 6144329780 |  | HYMA1;PLAGL1;PLAC | 5.70E-106 | -3.6264 | -3.0149 | 0.6115 Island | cg08263357 |
|  | 6144329789 |  | HYMA1;PLAGL1;PLAC | 2.77E-79 | -2.7299 | -2.5953 | 0.1345 Island | cg11532302 |
|  | 6144329802 |  | HYMAI;PLAGL1;PLAC | 4.74E-70 | -2.0691 | -2.1695 | -0.1005 Island | cg27216384 |
|  | 6144329829 |  | HYMAI;PLAGL1;PLAC | 1.82E-114 | -3.0906 | -2.7493 | 0.3413 Island | cg17895149 |
|  | 6144329887 |  | PLAGL1;PLAGL1;PLA | 2.05E-24 | -0.9802 | -0.2299 | 0.7503 S_Shore | cg23460430 |
|  | 6144329909 |  | PLAGL1;PLAGL1;PLA | 2.25-58 | -1.7004 | -1.3800 | 0.3204 S_Shore | cg14161241 |
|  | 794286208 | 461 | 6 SGCE;PEG10;SGCE;P | 2.61E-09 | -0.5134 | -0.0137 | 0.4996 Island | cg16492735 |
|  | 794286219 |  | SGCE;PEG10;SGCE; | 4.84E-08 | -0.4458 | -0.1058 | 0.3400 Island | cg09512080 |
|  | 794286243 |  | SGCE;PEG10;SGCE; | 6.45E-10 | -0.3908 | 0.0715 | 0.4623 Island | cg26503018 |
|  | 794286263 |  | SGCE;PEG10;SGCE; | 1.42E-08 | -0.3326 | 0.0751 | 0.4078 Island | cg21771834 |
|  | 794286343 |  | SGCE;PEG10;SGCE;P | 4.19E-08 | -0.4294 | 0.0191 | 0.4486 Island | cg03384175 |
|  | 794286669 |  | SGCE;PEG10;SGCE; ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | $1.28 \mathrm{E}-09$ | -0.5122 | -0.1387 | 0.3735 S_Shore | cg20041873 |
|  | 7130130383 | 2727 | 42 MESTIT1;MEST;MES | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-19$ | -1.1900 | -0.6866 | 0.5035 N_Shore | cg26275543 |
|  | 7130130478 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MES | 2.08E-09 | -0.3814 | -0.1981 | 0.1832 N_Shore | cg20826277 |
|  | 7130130596 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MES | 4.14E-27 | -0.9546 | -0.8015 | 0.1532 N_Shore | cg07427065 |
|  | 7130130740 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MES | 8.38E-35 | -1.8889 | -1.2642 | 0.6247 Island | cg02501418 |
|  | 7130130747 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MES | 2.27E-29 | $-1.7699$ | -1.0116 | 0.7583 Island | cg08229366 |


|  | 7 | 130130918 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MES | 2.37E-36 | -2.3317 | -1.7539 | 0.5778 Island | cg27417677 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 130130995 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MES | 8.00E-31 | -1.7813 | -2.0994 | -0.3181 Island | cg09003373 |
|  | 7 | 130131085 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MES | 7.26E-24 | -1.2306 | -1.5467 | -0.3161 Island | cg14088957 |
|  | 7 | 130131136 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MES | $1.67 \mathrm{E}-28$ | -1.4721 | -1.4461 | 0.0260 Island | cg06100421 |
|  | 7 | 130131138 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MES | $2.28 \mathrm{E}-26$ | -1.4594 | -1.4946 | -0.0352 Island | cg20297423 |
|  | 7 | 130131146 |  | MESTIT1;MEST;MES | $1.57 \mathrm{E}-25$ | -1.7840 | -1.9463 | -0.1623 Island | cg05369791 |
|  | 7 | 130131258 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;N | $1.96 \mathrm{E}-38$ | -1.6033 | -1.2068 | 0.3964 Island | cg13917504 |
|  | 7 | 130131268 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST; ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | 5.54E-38 | -1.9035 | -1.4650 | 0.4385 Island | cg25407198 |
|  | 7 | 130131359 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST; ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | $8.44 \mathrm{E}-33$ | -2.0692 | -2.0975 | -0.0283 Island | cg21667116 |
|  | 7 | 130131367 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST; ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | $3.31 \mathrm{E}-34$ | -1.7991 | -2.0256 | -0.2264 Island | cg23156962 |
|  | 7 | 130131403 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST; ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | 5.38E-35 | -2.3005 | -1.9313 | 0.3692 Island | cg04678950 |
|  | 7 | 130131480 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST; ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | 2.69E-40 | -2.3025 | -1.4946 | 0.8080 Island | cg17079325 |
|  | 7 | 130131633 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST; ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | 3.77E-38 | -2.1088 | -1.4459 | 0.6628 Island | cg00286878 |
|  | 7 | 130131676 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST; ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | $9.20 \mathrm{E}-29$ | -2.1620 | -1.8605 | 0.3015 Island | cg12347392 |
|  | 7 | 130131691 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;N | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-29$ | -2.1769 | -1.5815 | 0.5954 Island | cg04786207 |
|  | 7 | 130131730 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST; ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | $2.61 \mathrm{E}-37$ | -2.1744 | -1.7182 | 0.4561 Island | cg26708559 |
|  | 7 | 130131797 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST; ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | $8.44 \mathrm{E}-33$ | -2.3238 | -1.8190 | 0.5049 Island | cg22705386 |
|  | 7 | 130131826 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;N | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-31$ | -2.0754 | -1.3725 | 0.7028 Island | cg06212135 |
|  | 7 | 130131829 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST; ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-25$ | -1.9267 | -1.4901 | 0.4366 Island | cg10249538 |
|  | 7 | 130131869 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;N | $1.54 \mathrm{E}-32$ | -2.5171 | -1.9711 | 0.5460 Island | cg16823958 |
|  | 7 | 130131885 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST; ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-32$ | -2.1542 | -1.7240 | 0.4302 Island | cg27338480 |
|  | 7 | 130131887 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST; ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | 3.11E-32 | -1.9845 | -1.3402 | 0.6443 Island | cg09080913 |
|  | 7 | 130131905 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST; ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | 5.61E-34 | -2.4316 | -1.8341 | 0.5975 Island | cg13104298 |
|  | 7 | 130131916 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST; ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | 1.27E-13 | -1.9989 | -1.7912 | 0.2077 Island | cg07315018 |
|  | 7 | 130131921 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST; ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | $2.61 \mathrm{E}-19$ | -1.9082 | -2.0744 | -0.1663 Island | cg21629528 |
|  | 7 | 130131923 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST; ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | $1.42 \mathrm{E}-33$ | -2.3148 | -2.2089 | 0.1059 Island | cg20050761 |
|  | 7 | 130131931 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST; ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | 2.69E-32 | -1.9811 | -1.7874 | 0.1937 Island | cg07870293 |
|  | 7 | 130132161 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST;N | 4.04E-31 | -1.8439 | -1.5778 | 0.2661 Island | cg05556276 |
|  | 7 | 130132199 |  | MEST;MEST;MESTIT | $2.32 \mathrm{E}-33$ | -2.2688 | -1.9891 | 0.2797 Island | cg17580798 |
|  | 7 | 130132265 |  | MEST;MEST;MESTIT | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-29$ | -2.1985 | -2.2797 | -0.0811 Island | cg01784351 |
|  | 7 | 130132319 |  | MEST;MEST;MESTIT | $2.34 \mathrm{E}-40$ | -1.7264 | -0.9787 | 0.7477 Island | cg21200654 |
|  | 7 | 130132360 |  | MEST;MEST;MESTIT | 8.87E-30 | -1.5860 | -1.1854 | 0.4006 Island | cg25519926 |
|  | 7 | 130132413 |  | MEST;MEST;MESTIT | $1.83 \mathrm{E}-30$ | -1.6883 | -2.2849 | -0.5965 Island | cg09462536 |
|  | 7 | 130132419 |  | MEST;MEST;MESTIT | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-31$ | -1.8283 | -1.9517 | -0.1234 Island | cg22592140 |
|  | 7 | 130132422 |  | MEST;MEST;MESTIT | 2.02E-41 | -1.9416 | -1.3567 | 0.5849 Island | cg03588221 |
|  | 7 | 130132727 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST | 1.14E-30 | -1.2002 | -0.9373 | 0.2629 Island | cg23312013 |
|  | 7 | 130133110 |  | MEST;MEST;MEST | 2.69E-32 | -1.2818 | -0.6701 | 0.6117 Island | cg05260959 |
|  | 11 | 2720463 | 1656 | 9 KCNQ1;KCNQ1OT1; | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.6924 | -0.4016 | 0.2908 Island | cg00000924 |
|  | 11 | 2721610 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1; | $1.26 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -0.5269 | -0.4296 | 0.0973 Island | cg14958441 |
|  | 11 | 2721799 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1; | $4.17 \mathrm{E}-09$ | -0.7227 | -0.2090 | 0.5138 Island | cg05816130 |
|  | 11 | 2721817 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1; | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -0.7204 | 0.0283 | 0.7487 Island | cg14243741 |
|  | 11 | 2721857 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1; | 9.47E-10 | -0.7921 | -0.2013 | 0.5908 Island | cg21137515 |
|  | 11 | 2721952 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1; | 3.03E-09 | -0.6767 | -0.5174 | 0.1593 Island | cg01893176 |
|  | 11 | 2722082 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1; | $2.06 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.7978 | -0.1692 | 0.6287 Island | cg03422070 |
|  | 11 | 2722086 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1; | 3.55E-08 | -0.7901 | -0.3582 | 0.4319 Island | cg25306939 |
|  | 11 | 2722119 |  | KCNQ1OT1;KCNQ1; | $1.91 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.6791 | -0.4582 | 0.2208 S_Shore | cg06288089 |
|  | 15 | 25068738 | 31 | 2 SNRPN;SNRPN;SNRP | 1.20E-11 | -0.5727 | -0.1451 | 0.4276 NA | cg11265941 |
|  | 15 | 25068769 |  | SNRPN;SNRPN;SNRP | $4.56 \mathrm{E}-10$ | -0.4591 | 0.1647 | 0.6238 NA | cg27304225 |
| ID imprinted |  | 74 probes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Supplementary Table 6: Resolution of DNA methylation at the SNRPN locus in patients with TND-HIL and BWS-HIL. Methylation data are presented for all CpGs passing QC within the SNRPN locus. Statistical criteria for hypomethylation are: control $M$-value between -1 and +1 , beta-difference $<0$; P -value and adjusted P -value $<1 \mathrm{e}-7$. Only at two probes in TNDHIL patients are these inclusion criteria met (highlighted in red). nd: no data (probe failed initial QC).

|  |  |  |  | BWS-HIL |  |  |  |  | TND-HIL |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHR | MAPINFO | ILMNID | UCSC REFGENE NAME | P-Value | Adjusted Pvalue | Beta- Difference | Mean BWS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mean } \\ \text { control } \end{array}$ | P-Value | Adjusted Pvalue | BetaDifference | Mean TND- <br> HIL | Mean control |
| 15 | 25068564 | cg22491305 | SNRPN | 0.06 | 0.26 | -0.22 | 1.54 | 1.75 | 0.07 | 0.39 | -0.17 | 1.81 | 1.98 |
| 15 | 25068738 | cg11265941 | SNRPN | 0.06 | 0.27 | -0.13 | -0.04 | 0.09 | 5.6E-15 | 1.2E-11 | -0.57 | -0.15 | 0.43 |
| 15 | 25068754 | cg11826663 | SNRPN | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 3.6E-06 | -0.38 | -0.05 | 0.33 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.06 | -0.22 | 0.15 | 0.38 |
| 15 | 25068757 | cg 24785225 | SNRPN | nd |  |  |  |  | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.02 | -0.30 | 0.17 | 0.46 |
| 15 | 25068763 | cg10271763 | SNRPN | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-06$ | -0.41 | -0.09 | 0.31 | nd |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 25068769 | cg27304225 | SNRPN | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.01 | -0.20 | 0.07 | 0.27 | 2.3E-13 | 4.6E-10 | -0.46 | 0.16 | 0.62 |
| 15 | 25068790 | cg19803984 | SNRPN | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.04 | -0.19 | -0.36 | -0.18 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 8.5E-05 | -0.38 | -0.21 | 0.16 |
| 15 | 25068850 | cg16321029 | SNRPN | nd |  |  |  |  | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-06$ | -0.63 | -0.59 | 0.04 |
| 15 | 25069376 | cg26033681 | SNRPN | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.37 | 0.15 | 0.35 | 0.73 | 0.09 | 0.66 | 0.57 |
| 15 | 25092069 | cg25030262 | SNRPN | 0.01 | 0.06 | -0.36 | -0.15 | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.16 | -0.31 | 0.24 | 0.54 |
| 15 | 25093244 | cg25978208 | SNRPN | 0.16 | 0.45 | -0.12 | -0.32 | -0.21 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.06 | -0.30 | -0.02 | 0.28 |
| 15 | 25093366 | cg02171545 | SNRPN | 0.51 | 0.78 | 0.12 | -0.57 | -0.69 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 0.01 | -0.49 | -0.50 |
| 15 | 25093456 | cg02305723 | SNRPN | 0.01 | 0.06 | -0.36 | -0.05 | 0.31 | 0.11 | 0.47 | -0.18 | -0.40 | -0.22 |
| 15 | 25093521 | cg22678136 | SNRPN | nd |  |  |  |  | 0.97 | 0.99 | 0.00 | -0.17 | -0.17 |
| 15 | 25094139 | cg13887424 | SNRPN | 0.94 | 0.98 | 0.01 | 2.33 | 2.32 | 0.34 | 0.72 | -0.07 | 2.31 | 2.38 |
| 15 | 25096573 | cg19964320 | SNRPN | nd |  |  |  |  | 0.88 | 0.97 | -0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 15 | 25101627 | cg01240229 | SNRPN | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | -0.32 | 0.61 | 0.92 | 7.2E-07 | 3.2E-04 | -0.30 | 0.76 | 1.06 |
| 15 | 25101646 | cg27233338 | SNRPN | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.02 | -0.29 | 1.20 | 1.49 | 0.49 | 0.82 | -0.05 | 1.53 | 1.58 |
| 15 | 25101680 | cg10428394 | SNRPN | 0.45 | 0.74 | -0.08 | 0.16 | 0.24 | nd |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 25108042 | cg26939721 | SNRPN | 0.82 | 0.94 | -0.02 | -0.84 | -0.82 | 0.01 | 0.19 | -0.18 | -0.68 | -0.50 |
| 15 | 25119502 | cg 22259765 | SNRPN | 0.65 | 0.86 | 0.05 | -0.61 | -0.66 | 0.46 | 0.80 | 0.09 | -0.10 | -0.19 |
| 15 | 25123287 | cg27644292 | SNRPN | 0.02 | 0.12 | -0.37 | -0.12 | 0.25 | 0.42 | 0.78 | -0.14 | 0.45 | 0.59 |
| 15 | 25123381 | cg08560373 | SNRPN | nd |  |  |  |  | 0.57 | 0.86 | 0.10 | -0.65 | -0.75 |
| 15 | 25123491 | cg25657700 | SNRPN | 0.01 | 0.11 | -0.24 | 0.10 | 0.34 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.03 | -0.34 | 0.30 | 0.64 |
| 15 | 25123549 | cg24993443 | SNRPN | 0.42 | 0.72 | -0.14 | -0.40 | -0.27 | 0.63 | 0.88 | -0.08 | -0.03 | 0.05 |
| 15 | 25123731 | cg21870668 | SNRPN | 0.45 | 0.74 | -0.09 | -0.37 | -0.28 | 0.22 | 0.62 | -0.15 | -0.04 | 0.12 |
| 15 | 25124213 | cg21061553 | SNRPN | 0.86 | 0.95 | -0.03 | 1.86 | 1.90 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 2.19 | 2.19 |
| 15 | 25145254 | cg23075611 | SNRPN | 0.89 | 0.96 | -0.01 | -0.40 | -0.39 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.05 | -0.24 | -0.26 | -0.03 |
| 15 | 25165585 | cg09206878 | SNRPN | 0.62 | 0.84 | 0.06 | 1.52 | 1.45 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.06 | 0.37 | 2.04 | 1.67 |
| 15 | 25196084 | cg11152012 | SNRPN | 0.55 | 0.81 | -0.05 | 0.51 | 0.56 | 0.35 | 0.73 | -0.08 | 0.60 | 0.67 |
| 15 | 25198793 | cg 21746532 | SNRPN;SNURF | 0.06 | 0.27 | 0.40 | 2.45 | 2.04 | 0.59 | 0.86 | 0.05 | 2.29 | 2.24 |
| 15 | 25199028 | cg01432432 | SNRPN;SNURF | 0.27 | 0.59 | -0.14 | 2.71 | 2.85 | 0.65 | 0.89 | 0.05 | 2.90 | 2.85 |
| 15 | 25199057 | cg08372135 | SNRPN;SNURF | nd |  |  |  |  | 0.96 | 0.99 | 0.00 | 3.03 | 3.03 |
| 15 | 25199164 | cg03858387 | SNRPN;SNURF | 0.21 | 0.52 | 0.12 | 1.57 | 1.45 | 0.21 | 0.60 | 0.12 | 1.65 | 1.53 |
| 15 | 25199270 | cg02152271 | SNRPN;SNURF | nd |  |  |  |  | 0.26 | 0.66 | -0.10 | 2.24 | 2.34 |
| 15 | 25200253 | cg18506672 | SNRPN;SNURF | 0.01 | 0.06 | -0.17 | -0.30 | -0.13 | 5.0E-05 | 0.01 | -0.41 | -0.37 | 0.04 |
| 15 | 25200406 | cg02125271 | SNRPN;SNURF | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | -0.31 | 0.52 | 0.83 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | -0.42 | 0.45 | 0.87 |
| 15 | 25200490 | cg26875073 | SNRPN;SNURF | nd |  |  |  |  | 0.02 | 0.23 | -0.27 | -0.28 | 0.00 |
| 15 | 25201020 | cg22159025 | SNRPN;SNURF | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.03 | -0.29 | -0.76 | -0.47 | 0.03 | 0.28 | -0.26 | -0.50 | -0.24 |
| 15 | 25201224 | cg22555495 | SNRPN;SNURF | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.01 | -0.19 | -0.37 | -0.18 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 7.9E-05 | -0.39 | -0.06 | 0.34 |
| 15 | 25201429 | cg01614564 | SNRPN;SNURF | nd |  |  |  |  | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | -0.30 | 0.31 | 0.61 |
| 15 | 25201732 | cg13073261 | SNRPN;SNURF | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 8.3E-04 | -0.32 | -0.32 | 0.00 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.05 | -0.27 | -0.27 | 0.01 |
| 15 | 25203270 | cg20775837 | SNRPN;SNURF | nd |  |  |  |  | 0.16 | 0.55 | 0.15 | 2.29 | 2.15 |
| 15 | 25215375 | cg14851390 | SNRPN;SNURF | nd |  |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 2.49 | 2.29 |
| 15 | 25221513 | cg25705379 | SNRPN;SNURF | 0.32 | 0.64 | 0.09 | 1.93 | 1.83 | 0.76 | 0.93 | 0.03 | 2.06 | 2.03 |
| 15 | 25223574 | cg04195863 | SNRPN;SNURF | 0.31 | 0.62 | 0.12 | 1.84 | 1.72 | 0.10 | 0.46 | 0.18 | 2.12 | 1.94 |
| 15 | 25223633 | cg15477139 | SNRPN;SNURF | 0.07 | 0.29 | -0.29 | 0.18 | 0.47 | nd |  |  |  |  |

