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Objective: To investigate the frequency of neonatal and later childhood morbidity in children exposed to antiepileptic drugs in utero.

Design: Retrospective population based study.


Participants: Mothers taking antiepileptic drugs in pregnancy between 1976 and 2000 were ascertained from hospital obstetric records and 149 (58% of those eligible) took part. They had 293 children whose health and neurodevelopment were assessed.

Main outcome measures: Frequencies of neonatal withdrawal, congenital malformations, childhood onset medical problems, developmental delay, and behaviour disorders.

Results: Neonatal withdrawal was seen in 20% of those exposed to antiepileptic drugs. Congenital malformations occurred in 14% of exposed pregnancies, compared with 5% of non-exposed sibs, and developmental delay in 24% of exposed children, compared with 11% of non-exposed sibs. After excluding cases with a family history of developmental delay, 19% of exposed children and 3% of non-exposed sibs had developmental delay. 31% of exposed children had either major malformations or developmental delay, 52% of exposed children had facial dysmorphism compared with 25% of those not exposed, 31% of exposed children had childhood medical problems (13% of non-exposed sibs), and 20% had behaviour disorders (5% of non-exposed).

Conclusion: Prenatal antiepileptic drug exposure in the setting of maternal epilepsy is associated with developmental delay and later childhood morbidity in addition to congenital malformation.

METHODS

Aberdeen Maternity Hospital is the referral centre for all women with medical complications of pregnancy in the Grampian region of Scotland (population 525 300). We identified a cohort of women who took antiepileptic drugs in pregnancy and delivered at Aberdeen Maternity Hospital between 1976 and 1996 through review of hospital records. Mothers delivering since 1997 were also recruited from the antenatal clinic and postnatal wards. Those ascertained by records review were approached by letter, through their primary care physicians. A structured interview including pregnancy histories and a standardised assessment of all available offspring was carried out by a trained research nurse, using questionnaires and examination schedules validated in a previous study.

Clinical features in the offspring were classified into six categories, according to an assessment protocol described previously. These were (1) congenital malformations, (2) developmental delay, (3) behaviour disorders, (4) neonatal withdrawal, (5) childhood medical problems, and (6) faeces. Congenital malformations were divided into two subgroups, major if they required medical intervention (surgery or other therapy) in the first year of life, and minor if they did not (for example, cleft uvula, nail hypoplasia, digit hypoplasia, arachnodactyly, overlapping toes, sacrococcygeal sinus). Developmental delay was classified as speech delay when local developmental child health services had recorded a need for speech therapy, motor delay if the child was not sitting by 10 months or not walking by 18 months, and global delay if both speech...
RESULTS

Study population

Records were available for 411 mothers who delivered between 1976 and 2000 and who took an AED during pregnancy. Of these, eight had died before the study started and 102 had moved away. Contact was not appropriate in seven further cases because the child had been adopted or fostered and in one case because of neonatal death. The general practitioner refused permission to contact the mother in a further 35 cases, in one because of parental disability, and in one because of disability in the child. Of the remaining 258 mothers, 149 (58%) participated in this study.

Maternal and pregnancy histories

One hundred and forty-four mothers had epilepsy. The condition was idiopathic in 117 cases, but in 27 an underlying cause was identified. Twelve cases were attributed to head injury, four to brain tumours, three to perinatal factors, three to viral encephalitis, and five to miscellaneous individual causes. Three mothers took AEDs for bipolar affective disorder (two carbamazepine, one valproate), one was prescribed an AED for symptoms later diagnosed as migraine, and one was given phenobarbitone as a sedative.

Two hundred and sixty-one pregnancies were exposed to AEDs and continued beyond the first trimester. These resulted in 255 liveborn infants and six fetal losses. Three pregnancies were terminated because of major congenital malformation (two neural tube defects and one exomphalos) and three pregnancies ended in fetal death associated with obstetric complications. Two hundred and ten pregnancies were exposed to monotherapy with one of seven drugs (table 2) and 51 were exposed to polytherapy. This was most commonly phenytoin and phenobarbitone (18 cases), but also carbamazepine and valproate (7), carbamazepine and phenytoin (6), carbamazepine and phenobarbitone (6), valproate and phenobarbitone (3), and various combinations, including the newer drugs, lamotrigine, gabapentin, vigabatrin, and topiramate (11). The mothers also had 38 liveborn infants while not taking AEDs. The mean maternal age at delivery was 27 years (17-43 years). At the time of study, the children ranged in age from 2 days to 39 years (mean 9 years) (some sibs of index cases were born before 1976). The outcomes are summarised in table 1.

Congenital malformations

Those exposed to polytherapy (table 2) had a significantly higher frequency of major malformations than the non-exposed group (p=0.012), although overall the frequency of malformations in the exposed children was not significantly different from the non-exposed (table 1). The most frequent major congenital malformation was inguinal hernia, most commonly occurring following exposure to carbamazepine either alone or in combination (table 3). Carbamazepine was

---

Table 1  Summary of outcomes of pregnancies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical outcome</th>
<th>Exposed children</th>
<th>Non-exposed</th>
<th>Statistical significance</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Relative risk (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal withdrawal</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>p=0.01</td>
<td>χ²</td>
<td>7.45 (1.06-52.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial features present</td>
<td>119/228*</td>
<td>3/12*</td>
<td>p=0.086 (NS)</td>
<td>Cox’s proportional hazards</td>
<td>2.09 (0.78-5.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital malformation (major)</td>
<td>36/261</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>p=0.193 (NS)</td>
<td>Fisher’s exact (two tailed)</td>
<td>2.62 (0.66-10.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital malformation (minor)</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>p=0.001</td>
<td>χ²</td>
<td>3.16 (1.38-7.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood medical problems</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>p=0.001</td>
<td>Cox’s proportional hazards</td>
<td>2.35 (1.02-5.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental delay</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>p=0.01</td>
<td>Cox’s proportional hazards</td>
<td>2.31 (0.89-5.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behaviour disorders</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>p=0.056 (NS)</td>
<td>Cox’s proportional hazards</td>
<td>2.24 (0.56-8.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major malformation or developmental delay</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>p=0.003</td>
<td>Cox’s proportional hazards</td>
<td>2.38 (1.03-5.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liveborn children</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Only 242 facial photographs were available for review.
†Data for major malformations include all pregnancies continuing into the second trimester. All other outcome categories include liveborn infants only.
NS=not significant (p>0.05).
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Neurodevelopment

Developmental delay was significantly more common in those exposed to an AED than in children of epileptic mothers on no treatment (table 1). Analysis of the different drug exposure groups (table 2) showed that carbamazepine monotherapy (p<0.001), valproate monotherapy (p<0.001), phenytoin monotherapy (p<0.008), and polytherapy (p<0.001) were associated with significantly more developmental delay, whereas phenobarbitone monotherapy was not (p=0.55). Speech delay was common following exposure to valproate (29%) or carbamazepine (22%) monotherapy and to polytherapy (23%) (fig 1A). Most cases in the polytherapy group were exposed to combinations including either valproate or carbamazepine or both (8/11). Speech delay was much less common following phenobarbitone (6.7%) or phenytoin (12.5%) exposure. The frequency of motor delay alone was low in all exposure groups. The higher frequency of global delay in the polytherapy group results from children exposed to valproate or phenytoin in various combinations in 5/6 cases.

Family histories were reviewed to assess the influence of genetic factors on the occurrence of developmental delay. Thirteen families where an exposed child had developmental delay or learning difficulties reported a family history of developmental delay or learning difficulties. In four cases, a parent and sometimes other relatives were affected, suggesting a possible monogenic cause (karyotypes and fragile X mutation testing were normal). In nine families, more distant relatives were affected, suggesting a multifactorial aetiology. When the affected children with a family history of developmental delay were excluded, valproate was associated only with speech delay (fig 1B). The frequency of developmental delay in those exposed to phenobarbitone alone remained not significantly different from those exposed to no AEDs, while the frequencies for those exposed to carbamazepine (p=0.003), valproate (p<0.001), phenytoin (p=0.006), and polytherapy (p=0.005) were still significantly higher.

Behaviour

Autism and related disorders were observed in 11 cases. Two had a formal diagnosis of autism (one exposed to valproate and phenobarbitone and one to carbamazepine) and nine were said to fall in the autistic spectrum (three exposed to valproate alone, three to carbamazepine alone, two to valproate and carbamazepine, and one to carbamazepine, phenytoin, and lamotrigine). Five children with normal preschool developmental milestones had behaviour problems requiring medical or clinical psychology intervention or special schooling. Two of these were diagnosed as in the autistic spectrum while three had attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Overall, behaviour disorders were not significantly more common in the exposed children (table 1), but analysis of specific drug exposure groups shows significantly more behaviour problems in the carbamazepine monotherapy (p<0.001), valproate monotherapy (p<0.001), and polytherapy groups (p=0.006).

Table 2: Adverse outcomes according to anti-epileptic drug exposure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Total offspring</th>
<th>Major congenital malformations</th>
<th>Developmental delay</th>
<th>Behaviour disorder (%)</th>
<th>Neonatal features</th>
<th>Fetal anomalies</th>
<th>Facial features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbamazepine</td>
<td>70 (69)</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>10 (15%)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>25 (36%)</td>
<td>16 (23%)</td>
<td>10 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valproate</td>
<td>47 (46)</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>1 (2.6%)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5 (13%)</td>
<td>5 (13%)</td>
<td>2 (5.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenytoin</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>8 (12.4%)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>23 (38%)</td>
<td>15 (24%)</td>
<td>4 (6.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polytherapy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>6 (9.8%)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>25 (40%)</td>
<td>15 (25%)</td>
<td>12 (20%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significantly different from non-exposed group by \( \chi^2 \) (p<0.05).
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**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Total offspring</th>
<th>Major congenital malformations</th>
<th>Developmental delay</th>
<th>Behaviour disorder (%)</th>
<th>Neonatal features</th>
<th>Fetal anomalies</th>
<th>Facial features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbamazepine</td>
<td>70 (69)</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>10 (15%)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>25 (36%)</td>
<td>16 (23%)</td>
<td>10 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valproate</td>
<td>47 (46)</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>1 (2.6%)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5 (13%)</td>
<td>5 (13%)</td>
<td>2 (5.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenytoin</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>8 (12.4%)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>23 (38%)</td>
<td>15 (24%)</td>
<td>4 (6.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polytherapy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>6 (9.8%)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>25 (40%)</td>
<td>15 (25%)</td>
<td>12 (20%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significantly different from non-exposed group by \( \chi^2 \) (p<0.05).
Neonatal withdrawal
Clinical features compatible with neonatal withdrawal were more common in the exposed children than in the non-exposed (table 1). One case in the non-exposed group was scored positive because of neonatal hypoglycaemia associated with low birth weight. Phenobarbitone monotherapy was least likely to be associated with neonatal withdrawal (13%) while polytherapy was most likely (30%) (table 2). Neonatal withdrawal was significantly more frequent in those exposed to valproate monotherapy (p=0.006), phenytoin monotherapy (p=0.018), and polytherapy (p=0.001) than in the non-exposed group (χ² analysis). Major malformations were significantly more frequent in those who suffered neonatal withdrawal than in those who did not (χ² test, p=0.033), but other clinical features were not significantly more frequent (by χ², minor malformations p=0.568, facial features p=0.115, and by Cox’s proportional hazards, childhood medical problems p=0.053, developmental delay p=0.794, or behaviour problems p=0.657).

Childhood medical problems
Table 4 shows the frequency of eye abnormalities, joint laxity, otitis media with effusion, and other miscellaneous conditions in this category. In the present cohort, diagnosed refractive errors and other visual problems were not more frequent in the exposed children than in the non-exposed. There was an overall trend for myopia to be more common, and hypermetropia less common. Joint laxity was more common in those exposed to carbamazepine monotherapy (p=0.002), valproate monotherapy (p<0.001), and polytherapy (p=0.013). Childhood medical problems as a whole were more common in those exposed to carbamazepine (p<0.001), valproate (p<0.001), or polytherapy (p<0.001) (table 2), but not in those exposed to phenobarbitone (p=0.323) or phenytoin (p=0.061).

Facial features
There was no statistically significant difference between the frequency of recognised fetal anticonvulsant syndrome facial features in the whole exposed group compared with the non-exposed children (table 1). Considering individual treatment groups, facial features were more commonly recognised following carbamazepine monotherapy (p=0.029), valproate monotherapy (p=0.005), and polytherapy (p=0.025) (table 2).

Influence of maternal drug dosage
In those exposed to monotherapy, there was no significant difference in maternal drug dosage between pregnancies with an adverse and a normal outcome for any clinical outcome category, with one exception. Children exposed to carbamazepine who had developmental delay were exposed to higher reported doses than children with normal development (mean daily dose 683 mg, compared with 462 mg, p=0.032). In addition, the difference in drug doses for those with and without facial features in the carbamazepine group almost reached statistical significance (p=0.055).

Non-exposed cohort
Thirty-eight sibs of exposed cases were not exposed to AEDs in utero (table 2). In 16 cases, the mother had epilepsy but took no treatment (seizures occurred during four pregnancies) and in 22 the child was born before epilepsy developed. Thirty-three children were normal and two had malformations. One had undescended testes and a family history of undescended testes. The other had contractures and learning difficulties and a family history of learning difficulties, involving an exposed sib and non-exposed cousins. Three had developmental delay without malformation. In one case (aged 14.6 years) developmental delay was attributed to meningitis at 9 weeks of age. Her two exposed sibs had no malformation or developmental delay. The other two were sibs and had similarly affected cousins.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Malformation</th>
<th>No of children</th>
<th>Drug exposure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neural tube defect</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>V+C, C, Pb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omphalocele</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inguinal hernia</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>C, 3, Pt + Pb, Pt 1, Pb 1, V+1, C+Pt 1, C+Pt+L 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilical hernia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>C, Pb 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral hernia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pt + Pb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital dislocation of hip</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>C, Pt + Pb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocele</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undescended testes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>V+1, Pt + Pb 1, Pb 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypospadias</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambiguous genitalia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C+L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital heart disease</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>C, Pt 1, V+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyloric stenosis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C+2, V 1, Pb 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talipes equinovarus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>V+2, V+Pt 1, V+C 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessory navicular</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>V+Pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplex thumb</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>V+C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexion contractures</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal malformation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>C, V+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submucous cleft palate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>C, V+C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleft lip</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown syndrome</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presacral skin tags</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C = carbamazepine; Pt = phenytoin; Pb = phenobarbitone; V = valproate; L = lamotrigine.
Recurrence risk

Major malformation or developmental delay affected children in 68 of the 149 families. Counting the first affected child as the proband in each family, the probands had 65 sibs of whom 19 also had a major malformation or developmental delay. This gives a crude recurrence risk of 29%. Excluding those with a family history of developmental delay reduces the risk slightly to 14/54 or 26%. As many families had only one child, and therefore do not contribute to the crude recurrence risk estimate, we used Weinberg’s proband method to allow for multiple incomplete ascertainment. This gives a recurrence risk of 43%, falling to 39% after excluding cases with a positive family history.

DISCUSSION

Although there have been many previous studies of pregnancy outcome in epileptic mothers taking AEDs, these have mainly focused on malformation rates in the first few months of life. The available data on long term consequences of exposure are based on small studies and are controversial. Our study set out to assess the long term consequences of intrauterine drug exposure on a population basis, by evaluation of children identified through their mother’s maternity records.

We sought to ascertain all mothers who had taken antiepileptic drugs during pregnancy and delivered in Aberdeen since 1976. Because of the long interval since the earlier deliveries, some mothers could not be traced. In addition, some were not approached on the advice of their general practitioner, and some declined to take part, and these factors may have introduced bias. Nevertheless, the malformation rate in the exposed children in our study (13.8%) is comparable with that found in several prospective studies, suggesting that this bias may not be extreme.

Congenital malformation

Previous studies which involved review of birth records, assessment in the neonatal period, or assessment at 3 months found malformation rates overall of between 5% and 9.1%.

In a 1973 records linkage study, 13.8% of exposed infants had malformations requiring intervention in the first year of life, although only 7.8% had malformations recognised at birth. Congenital heart disease, hernias, and pyloric stenosis accounted for the majority of the additional cases. In our study group, the sole major malformation was hernia in 10 cases, pyloric stenosis in three, and one case had Brown syndrome, an ocularmotor malformation (table 3). One case with cleft soft palate was diagnosed at the age of 1 year 8 months. Excluding these cases would reduce the apparent malformation rate to 8%. Our relatively high malformation rate may therefore have resulted from the inclusion of more cases diagnosed after the neonatal period, rather than from a substantial effect of recruitment bias. The other malformations seen are similar to those documented in previous studies of fetal anti-convulsant syndromes. For example, there are three neural tube defects, one associated with carbamazepine alone, one with valproate and carbamazepine, and one with phenobarbitone alone. These findings are in keeping with previous reports suggesting that between 1% and 2% of pregnancies exposed to valproate or carbamazepine will have a neural tube defect. Some investigators have suggested that the increased malformation rate is a consequence of maternal epilepsy rather than drug exposure. Studies showing a correlation between malformation rate and drug dosage or polypharmacy, drug specific malformation rates, and the existence of animal models are more indicative of a teratogenic role for antiepileptic drugs. In keeping with this, polytherapy was associated with a significantly higher rate of malformation (p<0.012) in our study (table 2) while the various monotherapies were not. Although not statistically significant, our data suggest that phenytoin has a higher malformation risk than other monotherapies, and we found a significant association with AED exposure by Cox proportional hazards regression. This is likely to be because of the age dependent nature of the diagnosis of developmental delay, which is taken into account by Cox analysis, but not in the relative risk calculation. Nevertheless, the relative risks for this and other outcome measures are included in table 1, as they may be useful for counselling purposes. The rate of developmental delay following exposure to carbamazepine, valproate, or phenytoin alone and to polytherapy is significantly higher than in the non-exposed (table 2), while those exposed to phenobarbitone

Table 4 Pattern of childhood medical problems by drug exposure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AED</th>
<th>Myopia</th>
<th>Astigmatism</th>
<th>Strabismus</th>
<th>Hypermetropia</th>
<th>Any eye disorder</th>
<th>Joint laxity</th>
<th>Otitis media with effusion</th>
<th>Other (No of cases, nature of problem)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbamazepine</td>
<td>3 (4.3%)</td>
<td>2 (2.9%)</td>
<td>2 (2.9%)</td>
<td>6 (8.7%)</td>
<td>12 (17%)*</td>
<td>2 (2.9%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>vesicoureteric reflux, plagioccephaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenobarbionate</td>
<td>6 (9.8%)</td>
<td>1 (1.6%)</td>
<td>1 (1.6%)</td>
<td>1 (1.6%)</td>
<td>9 (15%)</td>
<td>7 (12%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>dental caries, lumbar skin striae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valproate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2.2%)</td>
<td>1 (2.2%)</td>
<td>3 (6.5%)</td>
<td>12 (26%)*</td>
<td>5 (10.8%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>inguinal hernias (preschool)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenytoin</td>
<td>3 (12.5%)</td>
<td>1 (4.2%)</td>
<td>4 (17%)</td>
<td>3 (13%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 premature thelarche</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethosuximide</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabapentin</td>
<td>13 (26%)</td>
<td>3 (6%)</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
<td>3 (6%)</td>
<td>17 (34%)</td>
<td>10 (20%)*</td>
<td>4 (8%)</td>
<td>5, scoliosis, pectus carinatum, blocked tear ducts, joint dislocation, gastro-oesophageal reflux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polytherapy</td>
<td>46 (82%)</td>
<td>8 (15%)</td>
<td>12 (22%)</td>
<td>20 (39%)</td>
<td>26 (49%)*</td>
<td>11 (21%)</td>
<td>13 (25%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total None</td>
<td>26 (10%)</td>
<td>5 (2%)</td>
<td>8 (3.1%)</td>
<td>7 (2.7%)</td>
<td>46 (18%)</td>
<td>44 (17%)</td>
<td>11 (4.3%)</td>
<td>13 (5.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly different from non-exposed group by Cox’s proportional hazards regression (p<0.05).
alone are not significantly different from the non-exposed sibs. In the carbamazepine exposed group, but not in the others, developmentally delayed children were exposed to significantly higher doses of AED during fetal life. A dose response effect on development in man has not been previously documented. No such effect was detected in the valproate and phenytoin groups, possibly because these drugs have a lower threshold for adverse developmental effects, or possibly because there were fewer children in these groups.

Three small prospective studies of between 25 and 41 children evaluating the frequency of developmental delay following fetal carbamazepine exposure previously found rates of between 8% and 20%. In a similar study of fetal phenytoin exposure, 20% had a global IQ score >1 SD below the mean. Recently, a large postal survey of epileptic women showed that 16% of 224 children who had been exposed to AEDs prenatally had additional educational needs compared with 11% of 176 exposed to no drugs (odds ratio 1.49, 95% CI 0.83-2.67). In that study, 30% of those exposed to valproate and 20% of those exposed to polytherapy including valproate had additional educational needs. An earlier study of school age children in Finland exposed to phenytoin, carbamazepine, barbiturates, or polytherapy found no increased risk of developmental delay, although subtle cognitive impairment including the development of auditory phonemic skills was detected.

Among those over 21 months of age in our study group exposed to single drug therapy, the rate of developmental delay following valproate exposure was particularly high at 37% (table 5). Early reports of fetal valproate syndrome suggested a high frequency of developmental disorder associated with this drug and recent reports have tended to confirm this. In our cohort, exposure to valproate alone or carbamazepine alone was frequently associated with speech and language delay (fig 1). This is in keeping with previous reports of autism and communication disorder in fetal valproate syndrome. Although communication disorders may be a more general effect of AED exposure in pregnancy, in the light of the findings in our carbamazepine exposed group and in the Finnish study. In addition, although our phenobarbitone exposed cases had the lowest rate of developmental delay, a previous study of 114 adult men found a modest reduction (equivalent to 0.5 SD) in verbal IQ compared with controls. It is likely that our study would not have detected such a subtle effect, as we did not undertake formal IQ testing. Eleven cases in our exposed group had autism or autistic spectrum disorders, mostly associated with valproate or carbamazepine. A high proportion of those exposed to phenytoin (33%) and to polytherapy (38%) also have a developmental disorder, although two of the eight affected children in the phenytoin group had only schooling difficulties with other normal developmental milestones.

AED exposure is not the only possible cause for the developmental disorder. To evaluate a possible genetic aetiology, we undertaken a family history review. When cases with a family history of developmental disorder were excluded, the frequency of developmental disorder only fell from 26% to 19% (table 5). Maternal epilepsy could be a risk factor for developmental disorder in the child through being a pleiotropic manifestation of a hereditary disorder of brain development, but if this is so, some of these cases should have been excluded by the family history review. It seems unlikely that seizures in themselves are usually the cause, as mothers had no seizures during 35/61 pregnancies in which the child subsequently had developmental delay, and only absence seizures in a further two. Maternal epilepsy or its treatment may affect the mother’s ability to care for her child and stimulate his or her development, but this could not be assessed directly in isolation from other factors in this study. However, those families where parental learning disability may have influenced the child’s development in a non-genetic fashion would also have been excluded by the family history review. When the familial cases are excluded, 20% of those exposed to valproate monotherapy are delayed and all have speech and language disorder. This may be seen in the context of a general population frequency of language impairment in 3-5 year olds of between 3% and 7.4%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal drug therapy</th>
<th>Children aged over 21 months with developmental delay</th>
<th>Children aged over 21 months with a family history of developmental delay: total (possibly monogenic)</th>
<th>Children with developmental delay aged over 21 months with no family history</th>
<th>Children of any age with major malformation or developmental delay, FH cases excluded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbamazepine</td>
<td>14/58 (24%)</td>
<td>3 [2]</td>
<td>11/58 (19%)*</td>
<td>14 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenytoin</td>
<td>6/60 (10%)</td>
<td>1 [0]</td>
<td>5/60 (8.3%)*</td>
<td>11 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valproate</td>
<td>13/35 (37%)</td>
<td>6 [3]</td>
<td>7/35 (20%)*</td>
<td>10 (22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenobarbitone</td>
<td>8/24 (33%)</td>
<td>1 [0]</td>
<td>7/24 (29%)*</td>
<td>10 (42%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primidone</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethosuximide</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1 (25%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbamazepine</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polytherapy</td>
<td>16/43 (38%)</td>
<td>4 [1]</td>
<td>12/43 (28%)*</td>
<td>15 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>58/222 (26%)</td>
<td>15 [6]</td>
<td>43/224 (19%)*</td>
<td>61 (24%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly different from non-exposed group by Cox’s proportional hazards regression [p <0.05].

Neonatal withdrawal

Infants exposed to antiepileptic drugs prenatally often present in the neonatal period with jitteriness, seizures, apnoeic episodes, feeding difficulties, and hypoglycaemia which may be attributable to drug withdrawal. Neonatal hypoglycaemia is common following valproate exposure and may be asymptomatic. Although it can cause cerebral damage and adverse neurodevelopmental outcome in other circumstances, it is not known whether this is important in other situations.
the context of fetal antiepileptic drug exposure. In our cohort, neonatal withdrawal was documented at a similar frequency with each antiepileptic treatment regimen, and there was no relationship with reported drug dosage; maternal serum drugs levels were not routinely measured. We found no evidence of any effect of neonatal withdrawal on the subsequent frequency of developmental delay. This could reflect the active management of withdrawal, or may indicate that the developmental delay is not the result of perinatal factors. The statistical association of major malformation with neonatal withdrawal in our cohort may reflect the association of malformation with polytherapy. The frequency of neonatal withdrawal was highest in the polytherapy group, although this finding itself did not reach statistical significance.

**Childhood medical problems**

Later childhood medical problems were common in exposed children. Refractive errors and other ocular disorders were not as common as in our previous study of fetal valproate syndrome cases, although 34% of the polytherapy group were affected. The children exposed to valproate alone were on average younger than children in the other groups; 25% are less than 18 months old, compared with 14% in the polytherapy group. This may have reduced the likelihood of detecting a refractive error, although the lack of formal ophthalmic evaluation in this study may also be a factor. Interestingly, Cox regression analysis suggests that myopia is associated with carbamazepine monotherapy (p=0.009) and polytherapy (p=0.001), although no other specific ocular findings show statistical significance. Determination of the true frequency of eye abnormalities in this patient group awaits future research. In contrast, this study did confirm the high frequency of joint laxity and other connective tissue weakness in children exposed to valproate, or to polytherapy, and to a lesser extent to carbamazepine. This is reflected in the high frequency of hernias documented elsewhere. Otitis media with effusion was also common in the valproate group, but not particularly so following exposure to other drugs. Valproate does seem to be associated with a particular physical phenotype in preschool and school age children, including joint laxity, hernias, otitis media with effusion, and minor malformations particularly of the digits. This may aid recognition of fetal valproate syndrome in older children with a history of exposure and speech and language difficulties. Similarly, carbamazepine is associated with joint laxity, and autistic spectrum or attention deficit behaviour disorders, as is polytherapy. The association with polytherapy may reflect the fact that 19/50 of these children were exposed to combination therapy including valproate or carbamazepine or both.

**Facies**

Although we did not score the frequency of specific facial characteristics in our cohort, we used a check list of previously described facial features when reviewing the photographs. Facial features of fetal valproate syndrome include epicanthic folds, infraorbital groove, medial deficiency of the eyebrows, shallow nasal bridge, a short nose with anteverted naris and flattened tip, trigonocephaly, and a high forehead, shallow nasal bridge, a short nose with anteverted nares and hypertelorism and a broad nasal bridge are part of fetal anticonvulsant syndrome facies. In infancy, a shallow philtrum, thin upper lip, and short nose with anteverted naris are common to these three fetal anticonvulsant syndromes. A typical face associated with prenatal exposure to phenobarbitone has not previously been described. In our study 3/12 photographed cases with no AED exposure were thought to have some of the facial characteristics of a fetal anticonvulsant syndrome. Although numbers are small, this finding is compatible with a previous study in which some facial features such as high forehead, frontal bossing, malar hypoplasia, epicanthic folds, and micrognathia were associated with maternal epilepsy, rather than with fetal AED exposure. A similar proportion of those exposed to phenobarbitone (21%) were thought to have facial features, suggesting that phenobarbitone may not have effects additional to those associated with maternal epilepsy, or that the features are not as recognisable as those described for other AEDs. Fetal anticonvulsant syndrome facies were seen in 52-70% of those exposed to carbamazepine, valproate, phenytoin, or polytherapy (the finding for phenytoin (p=0.12) was not statistically significant, probably owing to small numbers). This suggests that these drug regimens have much more easily recognised effects on facial development, and that these effects are more common than more clinically serious outcomes such as major malformation and developmental delay (32% of those exposed have at least one of these).

**Teratogenic mechanisms**

It has been suggested that some of the facial effects of phenytoin may be caused by relative vitamin K deficiency, resulting in maxillofacial hypoplasia. If this were the major mechanism, similar facial features might be expected following phenobarbitone exposure, but not following valproate exposure, whereas our study and other published reports suggest that the opposite is true. This is not to undervalue the essential role of prophylactic vitamin K therapy in preventing neonatal haemorrhage in infants who may be vitamin K deficient following exposure to phenobarbitone, phenytoin, carbamazepine, ethosuximide, primidone, or diazepam in utero. A number of mechanisms have been proposed for the wider teratogenic effects of antiepileptic drugs. Phenobarbitone, phenytoin, and carbamazepine reduce maternal folate levels, possibly through altered hepatic metabolism (all induce hepatic cytochrome P450). Valproate also alters folate acid metabolism in animal models. Abnormalities of maternal folate acid metabolism are associated with fetal malformations, particularly neural tube defects and possibly cleft palate in the non-epileptic population. Maternal folate acid status may also influence the risk of some forms of congenital heart disease. The possible role of folate metabolism in fetal teratogenesis is supported by our previous finding of an association between maternal methylene tetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) genotype and fetal anticonvulsant syndromes. Periconceptional folic acid supplementation has therefore been recommended for mothers with epilepsy, although no evidence of protection by low dose (0.4 mg) folic acid supplementation was found in one recent small case-control study. Alternatively, some AEDs or their metabolites may have a direct toxic effect on fetal tissues. In mouse models, valproate has been shown to accumulate in the neuroepithelium and to alter intracellular pH in the limb bud, findings which might support such a direct effect. Reduced activity of epoxide hydrolase, an enzyme involved in the elimination of phenytoin metabolites, increases the risk of fetal phenytoin syndrome, suggesting that metabolites may be important in some cases. Recent studies have shown changes in gene expression in animal models following phenytoin or valproate exposure, indicating that these drugs may interfere with developmental pathways directly. Disentanglement of this complex area awaits further research.

**Recurrent risk**

In our previous study of a cohort of children with fetal anticonvulsant syndromes, we found a sib recurrence risk of 53%. In this study, we have not classified children as having specific syndromes with no AED exposure to some fetal anticonvulsant syndromes, we found a sib recurrence risk of 53%. In this study, we have not classified children as having specific syndromes with no AED exposure to some fetal anticonvulsant syndromes, we found a sib recurrence risk of 53%.
with a family history of developmental delay are excluded. This supports the concept of an underlying genetic susceptibility to adverse fetal outcome in pregnancies of epileptic mothers which is independent of other family history. Although some of the genetic susceptibility factors may have already been identified, much work remains to be done in characterising these factors, as they may allow better targeting of maternal antiepileptic therapy to minimise the risk to the fetus in the future.

CONCLUSION

This study highlights the high frequency of later childhood complications associated with maternal epilepsy and its treatment, and emphasises the need for appropriate counselling and support of epileptic mothers. Joint laxity and behaviour disorders are associated with valproate and carbamazepine exposure. For a mother considering pregnancy, AED therapy with the drug regimens observed in this study is associated with a two to three fold increased risk of major malformation or developmental delay (relative risk 2.38, 95% CI 1.03-5.51). The developmental disorder is likely to have a multifactorial aetiology, but single drug therapy with valproate, phenytoin, or carbamazepine and polytherapy are all associated with a substantial risk of developmental delay, even when possible genetic factors are excluded, and a dose response effect for carbamazepine and developmental delay has been shown. The influence of an impaired mother-child interaction in the early years because of maternal epilepsy or its treatment requires further study. As discontinuation of epilepsy treatment in pregnancy because of the teratogenic risk is not usually an option, the importance of further research into susceptibility factors, the development of safer drugs, and the appropriate counselling and management of epileptic women cannot be over emphasised.
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Gene carriers face other risks

Carrying the aspartylglucosaminuria (AGU) mutation predisposes to chronic arthritis, researchers in Finland have found. There the mutation and the autosomal recessive disorder are more common. The carrier frequency of the AGUFin major gene is 1 in 50-85 (about 70 000 people), and 240 cases of AGU are known. Chronic arthritis is a common feature of AGU. Looking for a potential link between the two, Arvio et al screened two groups of patients: 173 unrelated patients with rheumatoid factor (RF) positive arthritis, and no relatives with AGU for the AGUFin major gene, and 131 carriers of AGUFin whose child had AGU for RF. Among patients with rheumatoid arthritis 3.5% (six) were AGUFin carriers compared with 1.6% for the Finnish population; relative risk of being a carrier 2.2 (95% confidence interval 0.9 to 5.0, p=0.054). Among parents of AGU patients 2.3% (three) had chronic arthritis: one a woman of 72 with RF positive arthritis for 50 years, two HLA B27 men with ankylosing spondylitis; 17 (13%) were RF positive, eight with joint symptoms for over three months, though chronic arthritis was unconfirmed clinically. Carrier status for autosomal recessive disease may predispose to other conditions. Comparing the carrier rate of AGUFin in patients with chronic arthritis in their series with the estimate for the Finnish population (1.28 versus 0.15%), Arvio et al conclude that arthritis and AGU carrier state are at least weakly linked.
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