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Abstract

The ectodermal dysplasias (EDs) are a large and complex nosological group of diseases, first described by Thurnam in 1848. In the last 10 years more than 170 different pathological clinical conditions have been recognised and defined as EDs, all sharing in common anomalies of the hair, teeth, nails, and sweat glands. Many are associated with anomalies in other organs and systems and, in some conditions, with mental retardation. The anomalies affecting the epidermis and epidermal appendages are extremely variable and clinical overlap is present among the majority of EDs. Most EDs are defined by particular clinical signs (for example, eyelid adhesion in AEC syndrome, ectrodactyly in EEC). To date, few causative genes have been identified for these diseases.

We recently reviewed genes known to be responsible for EDs in light of their molecular and biological function and proposed a new approach to EDs, integrating both molecular-genetic data and corresponding clinical findings. Based on our previous report, we now propose a clinical-genetic classification of EDs, expanding it to other entities in which no causative genes have been identified based on the phenotype, and speculate on possible candidate genes suggested by associated “non-ectodermal” features.
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More than 170 different pathological conditions have been reported as ectodermal dysplasias, which are often associated with anomalies of other organs and systems including mental retardation. The anomalies affecting the epidermis and epidermal appendages are very variable.

Proposed classification

In light of what is currently known about the molecular basis and biological functions in EDs, we propose a new classification which is an attempt to integrate both molecular-genetic data and corresponding clinical findings. We basically propose two different groups, each likely to result from mutations in genes with similar function and possibly involved in the same mechanisms of regulation of development and/or pathogenesis (table 1).

Materials and methods

We decided only to consider those pathological conditions cited in the last revision of Pinheiro and Freire-Maia and also listed as OMIM (Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man) entries. We reviewed these conditions using ENTREZ in OMIM and searching for respective OMIM numbers. We also included five new entries obtained from ENTREZ in OMIM using the search term "ectodermal dysplasia", which were not included in the classification of Pinheiro and Freire-Maia.

INCLUSION/EXCLUSION CRITERIA

We strictly limited inclusion to those conditions with primary defects in at least two of the following ectodermal derivatives: hair, teeth, nails, and sweat gland function. Disorders that were reported in a single case or by personal communication were excluded, as their validity cannot be assessed and inheritance could not be established. Similarly, we did not include syndromes that have traditionally been classified under other rubrics. For example, in cardiofaciocutaneous (CFC) syndrome, hair anomalies and ulartherma ophryogenes are...
Table 1  Classification scheme of EDs. Italic bold entries indicate identified causative gene; Mendelian inheritance is also reported

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 1 (Defects in developmental regulation/epithelial-mesenchymal interaction)</th>
<th>Major ectodermal derivative involvement</th>
<th>Look at regulators of gene expression if:</th>
<th>Group 2 (Cytoskeleton maintenance and cell stability)</th>
<th>Major skeletal involvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hypohidrotic ED, X-linked (MIM 305100)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Clouston disease (MIM 129500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hypohidrotic ED, MIM 129490</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>ED/skin fragility syndrome (MIM 604536)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dermo-odontodysplasia (MIM 125640)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Odonto-onycho-dysplasia (MIM 257980)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Hidrotic ED (Cloustonian Fourier type) (MIM 601375)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Leucocutaneous, infantilism, mental retardation, hypodontia, hypotrichosis (MIM 246500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Odonto-onycho-sungual-digo-palmar syndrome, Mendoza-Valiente type (MIM 601957)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Naegeli-Franceschetti-Jadassohn syndrome (MIM 161000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Amino-onycho-hypohidrotic syndrome (MIM 104570)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Amino-onycho-hypohidrotic syndrome (MIM 104570)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Book syndrome (canities prematura) (MIM 113200)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pili torti (twisted hair) with enamel defects (MIM 261900)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hypodontia-nail dysgenesis “tooth-nail syndrome” (MIM 189900)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hypodontia-nail dysgenesis “tooth-nail syndrome” (MIM 189900)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Trichodontia, absent teeth, and sparse hair (MIM 272980)</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Trichodontia, absent teeth, and sparse hair (MIM 272980)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Odonto-onycho-hypohidrotic dysplasia with midline scalp defects (MIM 129550)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Odonto-onycho-hypohidrotic dysplasia with midline scalp defects (MIM 129550)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Scalp/ear/nipple syndrome (MIM 129510)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Scalp/ear/nipple syndrome (MIM 129510)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ectodermal dysplasia with dental involvement, Turner syndrome type (MIM 601345)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ectodermal dysplasia, “pure” hair-nail type (MIM 602032)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Ectodermal dysplasia, X-linked (MIM 601760)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Ectodermal dysplasia, X-linked (MIM 601760)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FD, abnormal immune response, functional abnormalities of CNS

Look at connexins, desmosomal plaques, proteins if: Hypohidrotic keratoderm

Look at connexins, desmosomal plaques, proteins if: Hypohidrotic keratoderm

Look at connexins, desmosomal plaques, proteins if: Hypohidrotic keratoderm

Look at NAP system proteins if: CLPED

Look at PDZ proteins if: Retinal degeneration

see, but the ectodermal alterations are minor features. We did not include those pathological conditions with secondary involvement of epidermal derivatives probably resulting from a different primary defect and have classified them as “secondary EDs” (for example, Rothmund-Thomson syndrome, trichothiodystrophies, and dyskeratosis congenita).

GROUPING CRITERIA

We decided to consider first the underlying functional defect and then try to integrate data from the clinical presentation of the related diseases. This approach is a first attempt at integration of both systems of classification and, in some ways, it may be criticised, especially when simply basing it on clinical presentation of diseases, focusing on “non-ectodermal features”, and trying to hypothesise about a possible causative gene, we arbitrarily decided to include one specific ED in one group rather than another. Nonetheless, our goal is to give both clinicians and researchers a “key” to better understanding the wide clinical variability in presentation of EDs and in dissecting “complicated” phenotypes characterised by overlap between different kinds of EDs. In the same way, we believe that this approach may help to find new candidate genes.
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seen, but the ectodermal alterations are minor features. We did not include those pathological conditions with secondary involvement of epidermal derivatives probably resulting from a different primary defect and have classified them as “secondary EDs” (for example, Rothmund-Thomson syndrome, trichothiodystrophies, and dyskeratosis congenita).

GROUPING CRITERIA

We decided to consider first the underlying functional defect and then try to integrate data from the clinical presentation of the related diseases. This approach is a first attempt at integration of both systems of classification and, in some ways, it may be criticised, especially when simply basing it on clinical presentation of diseases, focusing on “non-ectodermal features”, and trying to hypothesise about a possible causative gene, we arbitrarily decided to include one specific ED in one group rather than another. Nonetheless, our goal is to give both clinicians and researchers a “key” to better understanding the wide clinical variability in presentation of EDs and in dissecting “complicated” phenotypes characterised by overlap between different kinds of EDs. In the same way, we believe that this approach may help to find new candidate genes.

GROUP 1

The first group includes disorders in which a defect in developmental regulation and in epithelial-mesenchymal interaction can be recognised or hypothesised on the basis of an identified causative gene, its putative or proven function, and pattern of expression. There is considerable heterogeneity in clinical presentation.

The EDs included may be characterised by major ectodermal derivatives involvement. X linked anhidrotic ectodermal dysplasia (X-EDA) is the most common type of ED and clinically similar EDA may be inherited as autosomal dominant or autosomal recessive conditions. These are much rarer than the X linked form. All these forms are clinically indistinguishable. An altered immune response or immunodeficiency can be observed as a
major clinical sign. Incontinentia pigmenti (IP) (MIM 303810), hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia with immunodeficiency (EDA-ID) (MIM 300291), and a newly recognised syndrome of EDA-ID with osteopetrosis and lymphoedema (OL-EDA-ID) are three conditions in this group for which a common causative gene has been identified.\textsuperscript{30–32} We included in this group other EDs with no causative gene identified in which major ectodermal derivative involvement, immunodeficiency, or functional abnormalities of the central nervous system are present. We believe that a specific functional pattern is likely to be altered in the pathogenesis of these kinds of disease.

EDs characterised by major skeletal involvement are included in this category as well. Ectrodactyly-ectodermal dysplasia-cleft lip/palate (EEC) (MIM 604292), ankyloblepharon-ectodermal dysplasia-cleft lip/palate (AEC) (MIM 106260), acro-dermato-ungual-lacrimal-tooth (ADULT) (MIM 103285), tricho-dento-osseous (TDO) (MIM 190320), and Ellis-van Creveld (EVC) (MIM 225500) syndromes are included and their causative genes have been identified.\textsuperscript{33–35} Finally, we included EDs with endocrine defects. Hypothyroidism is frequently reported in association with EDs, for example, CLOPED/Pfriem-Sokerman type (MIM 225040) and ANOTHER syndrome (MIM 225050).\textsuperscript{27,28} In these cases, we think that the defect in epithelial-mesenchymal interaction is likely to be caused through a different functional pattern of signalling and that candidate genes are to be searched for among nuclear proteins such as transcription factors or among regulators of gene expression.

**BIOLOGICAL-FUNCTIONAL FEATURES AND IDENTIFIED CAUSATIVE GENES**
An altered epithelial-mesenchymal interaction seems to be one of the most important mechanisms in the pathogenesis of EDs. During the development of skin appendages, epithelium and mesenchyme are inducers and targets of each other.\textsuperscript{3} Secreted signal molecules transmit sequential and reciprocal inductive interactions between these two structures. The interaction between ectoderm and mesoderm is sustained by the expression of specific proteins which act through different morphogenetic signalling pathways.\textsuperscript{3} Basically, two functional patterns of regulation of this interaction have been identified and recognised as possible pathogenetic mechanisms in EDs so far.

The epithelial-mesenchymal interaction may be altered if the nuclear factor kappa beta (NF-kB) regulation pattern, acting through different signalling pathways, is involved. The ectodysplasin gene isoform A1 (EDA-A1) is mutated in X linked EDA.\textsuperscript{29} The DL gene is mutated in autosomal dominant EDA and in some patients with an autosomal recessive form of EDA.\textsuperscript{30} The same clinical expression is caused by different mutations in two genes whose products physically interact with each other in a receptor ligand system.\textsuperscript{30,31} In other families with autosomal recessive EDA, linkage to the DL locus has been excluded.\textsuperscript{32} Other causative genes probably involve the same mechanism of signal transduction beyond the EDA-A1/DL binding complex, resulting in an EDA phenotype. EDA-A1 and DL are two members of the tumour necrosis factor-like (TNF-like) ligand family and the tumour necrosis factor receptor (TNFR) superfamily genes, respectively.\textsuperscript{32,33} In the mouse, the Tabby (Ta) gene is homologous with human EDA.\textsuperscript{34} Its protein product is ectodysplasin. The expression of Ta in several epithelial cell lines did not result in prominent changes in cell morphology and did not promote apoptosis, as expected of TNF-like proteins, which are critically involved in cell survival and apoptosis. On the other hand, this protein promotes cell adhesion, a function consistent with its postulated role in the epithelial-mesenchymal interface, in cell-matrix interaction, and in regulation of the development of ectodermal appendages.\textsuperscript{34} The EDA-A1/DL binding complex seems to regulate NF-kb action by enhancing the latter's activity through a specific novel signalling pathway.\textsuperscript{35} Recent findings have shown that DL triggers NF-kb through NEMO protein.\textsuperscript{22} The NEMO gene has been found to be deleted in many cases of familial IP.\textsuperscript{35} It encodes a protein whose activity is to modulate expression of NF-kb factor.\textsuperscript{36} This action eventually regulates the expression of genes controlling apoptosis. Cells from IP patients have been found to have extreme susceptibility to apoptosis, while, clinically, IP patients may present an abnormal immune response. NEMO exon 10 mutations have been found to cause another X linked EDA with immunodeficiency (EDA-ID).\textsuperscript{21} Similarly, NEMO is mutated in patients affected with OL-EDA-ID.\textsuperscript{37} IP, EDA-ID, and OL-EDA-ID are allelic diseases with a clear genotype-phenotype correlation, loss of function mutations (large deletions) determining X linked dominant IP, and hypomorphic mutations (stop codon mutation) causing X linked recessive OL-EDA-ID.\textsuperscript{22} Patients affected with EDA-ID carry mutations in the NEMO coding region, further indicating a genotype-phenotype correlation among patients with NEMO mutations. These mutations probably exert a different, milder effect than the stop codon mutation, and this would explain why EDA-ID patients have a milder clinical phenotype than OL-EDA-ID patients.\textsuperscript{22} In EDA-ID patients a residual activity of EDA-A1/DL complex is likely to exist, and this could explain the milder EDA phenotype with regard to EDA-A1/DL patients. Finally, the NEMO gene is also mutated in a peculiar hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia with immunodeficiency characterised by hyper IgM.\textsuperscript{37} On the basis of these results, NEMO would be a reasonable candidate gene for other EDs with immunodeficiency such as onycho-tricho dysplasia with neutropenia (MIM 258360).

A defect in epithelial-mesenchymal interaction is also observed when some regulators of transcription and/or expression of genes with functions in signalling between ectoderm and mesenchyme are mutated. p63 and DLX3 proteins are two transcription factors mutated in some cases of EEC and AEC syndrome.
The plakophilin 1 (PKP1) protein is altered in patients with ED/skin fragility syndrome.\(^\text{48}\) It is a major accessory desmosomal plaque protein. PKP1 is a member of the plakoglobin/β-catenin/armadillo family,\(^\text{49}\) has a fundamental role in cell to cell adhesion, and may act as a linker protein between the adherens junction, desmosomes, and cornified envelope in the apico-lateral plasma membrane of epithelial cells.\(^\text{50, 51}\) The biological importance of PKP1 in maintaining the integrity/stability of the cell membrane/cytoskeleton compartment is proven by the demonstration, in cells from affected patients, of defective cell to cell interaction and abnormal distribution of keratin filaments, which are disorganised and do not participate in cytoskeleton formation.\(^\text{52}\)

The poliovirus receptor gene (PVRL) is mutated in some forms of ED associated with cleft lip/palate (CLPED1).\(^\text{53}\) It encodes an immunoglobulin-like membrane receptor (nectin 1), which binds a scaffold protein with PDZ domains (afadin),\(^\text{54}\) and ponsin (nectin/afadin/ponsin complex, NAP system)\(^\text{55}\) and is located in the apico-lateral membrane domain near the adherens junction compartment.\(^\text{56}\) NAP system has a central importance in maintenance of cell membrane stability and integrity through binding of afadin to actin and the cortical cytoskeleton and through binding of ponsin to vinculin and possible linkage of either these two and nectin to the cadherin-catenin system.\(^\text{57, 58}\) It also has a specific role in coordinating an array of signalling and cytoskeletal proteins. Owing to the presence of PDZ domains, afadin is likely to form heteromultimers among different structures and to be closely anchored to other plasma membrane associated proteins.\(^\text{59, 60}\) Nectin 1 has a primary role in triggering an intracellular signalling cascade devoted to a correct integration of cell-cell contacts, cell morphology, and the disposition and organisation of plasma membrane domains.

We decided to include in this group EDs with retinal degeneration as a major clinical sign. Some forms of syndromic retinitis pigmentosa/retinal degeneration associated with deafness (see Usher type 1G syndrome) are the result of mutations in PDZ proteins like harmonin.\(^\text{61}\) PDZ proteins play a central role in the organisation of protein complexes in plasma membrane domains and in cell junction formation. As discussed earlier, afadin is also a PDZ protein and is involved in the formation of the NAP system and coordination and transduction of the nectin 1 signal inside the intracellular compartment.\(^\text{59, 60}\) We consider afadin and other PDZ proteins good candidate genes for EDs included in group 2 and in particular for those associated with retinal degeneration.

**Conclusion and future perspectives**

This classification allows a different approach to the patient affected with any form of ED by using major clinical features to guide the physician to a grouping by underlying mechanisms. We believe that this approach to EDs may also help researchers to find new candidate genes using “key” clinical signs to guide molecular investigation. This kind of approach may also be applicable to some other pathological conditions we decided not to include in our classification at this first attempt, because they did not fulfil the stringent inclusion criteria,
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Figure 1 Intracellular pathway interactions in EDs. Group 1 molecular pathways converging on NF-kB. EDs causative genes are boxed. Some candidate genes for EDs are shown. but are characterised by very similar “key signs” considered here, such as hyperkeratosis, keratoderma, or deafness. Our effort is a “work in progress” and some features discussed here may be not confirmed, in the future, by experimental findings. Nonetheless, we think that this is a useful tool in the recognition of pathological mechanisms in a confusing group of disorders.

In the EDs, different signalling pathways often converge on the same intracellular factors, or interact among them through common pathways of action, as exemplified by the role of NF-kB as a “key” molecule on which both EDA-A1/DL system and NEMO act (fig 1). Considering the augmented sensitivity to apoptosis in cells from subjects with IP, it would be interesting to test if the same phenomenon is present in both “tabby” and “dl” mice models. Recently, a novel orphan TNFR superfamily gene (TROY) has been identified, which shows high homology with DL in both coding sequence and specific pathways of expression. It is hypothesised that TROY is a good candidate gene for other EDs classified in group 1. As explained in our previous report, we think that MSX2 is a good candidate for those conditions with craniosynostosis or parietal foramina as “key signs”, such as Sensenbrenner disease (MIM 218330) and tricho-odonto-onychial dysplasia with frontal bone deficiency (MIM 275452).

Some transcription factors can be considered good candidate genes for other EDs classified in group 1. Some genetic and functional interactions among proteins mutated in the EDs included in groups 1 and 2 and sharing as a common clinical finding cleft lip/palate may be hypothesised. CLPED1 syndromes are caused by mutations in the PVRL1 gene, which encodes a transmembrane receptor that is part of the NAP system and binds cytoskeleton and adherens junction domains. Some cases of EEC and AEC syndromes are caused by mutations in p63. The latter is likely to control transcription and expression of many genes, such as MSX1 and SHH. Interestingly, mutations in these genes have been proven to cause different types of CLP associated, in the case of MSX1, with defects in epidermal derivatives. Some patients affected with tricho-dento-osseous syndrome, mutated in patients affected with tricho-dento-osseous syndrome.

DLX3 is a potent transactivator of some cytoskeleton protein coding genes, such as profilaggrin. It would be interesting to test p63 or other transcription factors’ activities in enhancing or regulating the expression of ectodermal structural proteins. Some other EDs show overlapping phenotypes between group 1 and group 2, such as EEM syndrome, in which both ectodactyly and macular degeneration are present. Again, it is intriguing to hypothesise that this complex phenotype could result from somewhat altered regulation on PDZ/cytoskeleton protein transcription and expression by p63, or directly or mediated by other factors. Finally, we decided to include Rapp-Hodgkin disease in group 1 and preliminarily to consider it as the result of a defect of epithelial-mesenchymal interaction by referring to the description of an EEC child born to a mother with clinical findings characteristic of
Rapp-Hodgekin disease. It would be possible to consider EEC/AEC and Rapp-Hodgekin disease as variable clinical expression of the same pathological entity. Although there is no evidence of p63 mutations in patients affected with Rapp-Hodgekin disease, other genes could be involved in the pathogenesis of the EEC/AEC/Rapp-Hodgekin disease clinical spectrum (genetic heterogeneity). Another genetic locus in 7q, named EEC1, has been suggested by linkage analysis studies. Two members of the DLX family, named DLX5 and DLX6, are mapped in this region. Again, complex physical or functional interactions among different proteins (in the specific case of p63 and members of the DLX family) could be hypothesised in the pathogenesis of the EEC phenotype.

Summary
The proposed classification is a great advance in understanding the biological mechanisms of EDs and their genesis. The critical revision of the Diagnosis and Treatment of DLX-related progeria using the stringent criteria described here led us to exclude single case reports and some very well known described phenotypes which do not strictly fulfil the classical definition of ED.

This first attempt at genotype-phenotype correlation in EDs has taught us that both clinical and molecular data can help in defining each single patient. Molecular interactions and pathological mechanisms can explain the many clinical signs, variability in severity, associated malformations, and overlap seen in some ED patients. Conversely, the accurate definition of "key signs" can aid researchers in an attempt to find new candidate ED genes.
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