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Abstract

Congenital external ophthalmoplegia (CFEOM) is an uncommon autosomal dominant condition that has previously been mapped to the pericentromeric region of chromosome 12 in seven families with no evidence of locus heterogeneity. We report three families with typical CFEOM. One family does not map to this region of chromosome 12 or to other chromosomal locations implicated in disorders of lid or ocular movement. Recombinants in two CFEOM families potentially help to reduce the size of the candidate region on chromosome 12.

(J Med Genet 1998;35:985-988)

Keywords: heterogeneity; chromosome 12; CFEOM

Congenital external ophthalmoplegia (CFEOM, MIM 135700) is an uncommon, highly penetrant autosomal dominant disorder characterised by bilateral, non-progressive ptosis and generalised external ophthalmoplegia. Laughlin\(^1\) characterised the syndrome as a congenital condition encompassing (1) extracocular muscle fibrosis, (2) adhesions between the muscles, Tenon's capsule (one of the anterior tissues of the eye), and the globe, (3) absence of elevation or depression of the eyes, (4) little or no horizontal movement, (5) fixation of the eyes 20-30° below the horizontal, and (6) blepharoptosis with chin elevation.\(^2\) Residual ocular movements are often abnormal\(^1\) and there may be associated amblyopia. There is occasionally evidence of additional neurological features, including mild facial weakness.\(^3\)

Genetic analysis among families with an apparently fully penetrant form of the disorder showed linkage to markers within a region of approximately 3 cM around the centromere of chromosome 12, with no evidence of locus heterogeneity.\(^4\) The closest flanking markers were D12S1584 on 12p and D12S1668 on 12q. As yet, no obvious candidate genes have been identified within this region. A second form of CFEOM, associated with globes frozen in extreme abduction, which is inherited in an autosomal recessive fashion, has recently been linked to chromosome 11q13.\(^4\) A potentially related locus, responsible for autosomal dominant ptosis with normal extraocular movements, has been identified on chromosome 1p32-p34.\(^1\) We have identified three families in which affected members have the classical phenotype of autosomal dominant CFEOM and tested them for linkage to the pericentromeric region of chromosome 12. In one family we have shown linkage exclusion for this region, as well as those for autosomal recessive CFEOM and autosomal dominant ptosis. This indicates that heterogeneity exists within dominant forms of the condition.

Materials and methods

FAMILIES

Three families were ascertained through probands with classical CFEOM (fig 1): congenital, bilateral ptosis associated with

![Figure 1](http://jmg.bmj.com/)

Clinical appearance of patients with CFEOM from family 1. (A) Patient I.2. Note failure of elevation, divergent squint, and bilateral, asymmetrical ptosis. (B) Patient III.1. Note "chin up" head posture with bilateral, asymmetrical ptosis and squint.
Table 1  Clinical manifestations of CFEOM in affected members of families 1–3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Non-progressive phenotype</th>
<th>Fixation below horizontal</th>
<th>Bilateral ptosis</th>
<th>Reduced vert ocular movements</th>
<th>Reduced horiz ocular movements</th>
<th>Chin elevation</th>
<th>Strabismus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family 1</td>
<td>I.2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Exotropia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II.1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Exotropia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II.2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Exotropia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II.5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Exotropia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II.7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Exotropia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III.1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Exotropia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III.2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Exotropia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Family 2

| Family 2  | I.2     | +                          | +                         | +               | +                           | +                          | +             | Exotropia  |
|           | II.4    | +                          | +                         | +               | +                           | +                          | +             | Exotropia  |
|           | III.4   | +                          | +                         | +               | +                           | +                          | +             | Exotropia  |

Family 3

| Family 3  | I.2     | +                          | -                         | +               | +                           | +                          | +             | +          |
|           | II.2    | +                          | +                         | +               | +                           | +                          | +             | +          |
|           | II.3    | +                          | +                         | +               | +                           | +                          | +             | +          |

NR = not recorded.

restricted ocular movement, infracted globes, and strabismic position. All subjects included in the molecular analyses were examined by one of the authors. Blood samples were obtained from family members and DNA extracted using conventional methods.

PCR GENOTYPING

The chromosome 12 microsatellite markers D12S1631 (Afma288wd5), D12S345 (AFM296yg5), D12S331 (AMF092wd11), D12S1048 (C-ATA29H01), D12S1584 (AFM136xf6), and D12S1668 (AFMb320 wd9) were used for haplotype analysis of the region. Analysis of 11p13 (CFEOM2) was performed using the markers D11S4076 (AFMa356yg5), D11S4139 (AFMb038yb9), and D11S916 (AFM185ya1) and of chromosome 1p32-34.1 (hereditary autosomal dominant ptosis) with the markers D1S447 (AFM024xd2), D1S2677 (AFM244yf5), and D1S197. PCR was performed using the primers previously described with 50 ng DNA, 1 ml PCR buffer (166 mmol/l (NH4)2SO4, 670 mmol/l Tris-HCl, pH 8, 67 mmol/l MgCl2, 1.7 mg/ml BSA), 200 mmol/l each dNTP, 1 pmol of each primer, and 0.5 U of *Taq* polymerase. An initial denaturation step (94°C for three minutes) was followed by 30 cycles of denaturation (94°C for 50 seconds), annealing (57°C for 50 seconds), and extension (72°C for 50 seconds), and a final three minutes at 72°C. Products were run on 8% polyacrylamide gels and silver stained according to standard methods.

![Microsatellite analysis of families 1-3. Haplotypes indicated from top to bottom, markers D12S1631, D12S345, D12S331, D12S1048, and D12S1668.](http://jmg.bmj.com/)
Table 2  Two point lod scores for CFEOM in family I.  
(A) Chromosome 12 markers spanning AD CFEOM critical region.  (B) Chromosome 11 markers from AR CFEOM region.  (C) Chromosome 1 markers spanning AD ptosis critical region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>CFEOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GENETIC ANALYSIS

The results of microsatellite analysis of the three families are shown in fig 2. In family 1 each marker from chromosome 12 gives lod scores <−2 (table 2) and LINKMAP analysis excludes the complete candidate region (fig 3). Microsatellite analysis of the candidate regions of chromosome 11q13 (CFEOM2) and 1p32-p34.1 (hereditary congenital ptosis) also excluded these regions (table 2).

Haplotype analysis was performed in families 2 and 3 using chromosome 12 markers. In family 2 the affected subject III.3 showed a recombination between D12S345 and D12S331; CFEOM segregates with the 12q markers D12S331, D12S1048, and D12S1668. In family 3 the unaffected subject II.4 inherited a chromosome with a recombination between D12S331 and D12S1048; the normal allele of CFEOM segregates with D12S331 and the 12p markers (assuming full penetrance of CFEOM).

Discussion

Both for counselling and for gene cloning, it is important to know whether the condition under study is genetically and clinically homogeneous. Family 1 provides clear evidence of locus heterogeneity in autosomal dominant CFEOM. In this family, which is of Anglo-Saxon origin, the disease does not map to the previously defined candidate region around the centromere of chromosome 12, nor to regions implicated in the aetiology of the related disorders autosomal recessive CFEOM (CFEOM2) and autosomal dominant congenital ptosis.

The families studied by Engle et al.5 were limited to those with "classical CFEOM". However, other varying clinical manifestations, including isolated horizontal or inferior rectus muscle fibrosis associated with blepharoptosis,6 are described and raise the question of heterogeneity. The affected subjects in family 1 fit the classical phenotype of CFEOM although it is interesting to note, as with subjects with CFEOM2, all had marked exotropia. In addition one had ectopic pupils and cortical cataracts at the age of 36. In 1976, McPherson et al.9 described a mother and two sons who had ptosis, extraocular muscle abnormalities, and ectopic pupils. From the clinical description, the ocular motility defect in that family has parallels with CFEOM; it is possible that this represents a phenotypic distinction of a condition similar to classical CFEOM but with oculomotor manifestations.

In preparation for positional cloning, YAC contigs have been assembled for the regions immediately flanking the chromosome 12 pericentromeric satellite regions.7 Although genetically the candidate region is only 2-3 cM, physical mapping suggests it covers around 6.5 Mb, the discrepancy presumably because of suppression of recombination around the centromere. Clearly it will be important to find recombinants that reduce the size of the candidate region. If one were confident of locus homogeneity and full penetrance, the recombinants in families 3 and 4 could be used to narrow down the candidate region to

![Figure 3 Multipoint analysis of family CFEOM1: exclusion data from pericentromeric region of chromosome 12.](http://jmg.bmj.com/Downloaded from hjmg.bmj.com on June 21, 2017 - Published by group.bmj.com)
D12S345-D12S1048. In practice, they underline the problems of relying on key recombinants in families too small for independent confirmation of linkage, a situation that is likely to arise with a rare condition like CFEOM. Therefore, we suggest that results of linkage analysis in small families with CFEOM be treated with caution. In counselling, discussion with families should raise the possibilities of heterogeneity. Finally, a detailed ophthalmic assessment may facilitate the identification of a subgroup of patients with non-classical CFEOM, which is not linked to the pericentromeric region of chromosome 12.
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