Familial occurrence of congenital incomplete prepyloric mucosal diaphragm
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Abstract

Incomplete prepyloric mucosal diaphragm (IPMD) is an uncommon congenital anomaly that leads to gastric outlet obstruction in infancy and childhood. This report describes the occurrence of IPMD in six children in a closely knit tribal family from a geographically isolated desert town with a small population in the Sahara. Their records showed similarities of clinical, radiological, operative, and histopathological features. These features, as well as its occurrence in brothers, sisters, and cousins, suggest that this unusual anomaly is transmitted as an autosomal recessive trait. (J Med Genet 1998;35:1040–1042)
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Prepyloric mucosal diaphragm is now a well established clinical entity and is situated 1–2 cm proximal to the pylorus. It is an uncommon cause of gastric outlet obstruction in infancy and childhood. During the last few decades case reports have been published, but few series.2–4 Despite reports of a fairly large number of patients with this anomaly, its occurrence in sibs or cousins has not been recorded and the cause is not known. Genetic influences have been detected in patients who have a complete atretic lesion at this site,1 but this has not been documented or suspected in patients with an incomplete septum. This report of its multiple occurrence in a close family unit supports the hypothesis that this anomaly is genetically inherited.

Case reports

In September 1996, a 13 month old female infant, born to a non-consanguineous couple, was admitted because of intermittent non-bilious vomiting since the age of 6 months. The mother also noticed distension and rolling movements over the upper abdomen. There was no history of failure to thrive and the infant was born normally at term. The mother had polyhydramnios during the pregnancy. Clinical examination showed visible gastric peristalsis. Contrast studies showed a dilated stomach and slow passage of barium into the duodenum. There was a thin filling defect in the prepyloric region (fig 1). Barium was retained in the stomach for more than four hours. At laparotomy, a vertical groove over the prepyloric region was clearly noticeable. A longitudinal incision was made, a thin membrane separated the two layers of the stomach. A thin web between the two layers, separated by a thin septum, was dissected. The web was divided with a linear stapling device and the mucosa of the two layers was apposed with interrupted absorbable sutures. The stomach was closed with a linear stapling device. A small area of the mucosa was excised from the greater curvature of the stomach and sent for histopathological examination. A barium study was performed after 6 months. A thin filling defect was noted in the prepyloric region, consistent with a web. The patient was discharged from the hospital on the third postoperative day with no problems of distress. He was followed up for 13 months, during which time he was asymptomatic and his growth and development were normal.

Figure 1  Barium study showing thin filling defect in the prepyloric region and a dilated stomach.
insect bite. Another male child (III.6) and a female neonate (III.1) died of unknown causes. The other children were healthy.

In branch B, all the sibs were healthy. Two children of the oldest girl (III.8), a girl (IV.2) and a boy (IV.3), were operated on for IPMD in our unit in early infancy.

In the proband's branch (C), the parents have two other healthy children (III.13 and III.14) but there were three deaths. One male (III.11) died after premature birth at 7 months. Another male (III.12) died on the 25th day as a consequence of jaundice and vomiting. The third male (III.15) died after repeated vomiting on the 36th day. In both the cause of death was unknown.

All the sibs in branch D were healthy except the youngest boy (III.19) who had surgery for IPMD.

In branch E, there was one death (III.21) of unknown cause and two healthy children. In branch F, all sibs were healthy. There was one neonatal death (III.26) in branch H. This was a large baby who had abdominal distension. Thus, six children from a large extended family of common ancestry were treated for IPMD, with occurrence in sibs and cousins. The histopathological appearances of the excised membranes were also strikingly similar. All the patients have done well. A notable feature in the families was the absence of consumption of drugs by the mothers of these affected children in pregnancy. Karyotyping of the proband's parents was normal.

### Discussion

All six patients including two pairs of sibs were members of a close family unit from a geographically isolated town. The clinical, radiological, and operative findings and the histopathological appearances of the excised diaphragms were similar. Clinically, there were no symptoms during early infancy in any of them as fluid could pass easily through the aperture in the diaphragm. However, later, when thickened feeds and solids were started, this became increasingly difficult leading to symptoms of gastric outlet obstruction. The occurrence of this lesion in infancy, the lack of signs of inflammation such as inflammatory cells and fibrosis, and the uniformity of the histological appearance undoubtedly points to the fact that this is a congenital lesion. The lack of muscular elements in the wall of the septum is indicative of the fact that this lesion is derived from the endodermal layer.

In previous reports, a search was made to detect additional congenital malformations in patients with IPMD. Apart from one report, others have documented various anomalies in their patients. Our records did not show any gross associated anomalies in our patients but no detailed investigations were done to detect any asymptomatic major or minor malformations in other systems. There were seven infant deaths among the sibs and cousins of the patients presented here. Although the causes of death remain speculative, they could have been the result of congenital malformations or consequences thereof.

The cause of IPMD has not been fully elucidated. Numerous proposals have been made to explain the occurrence of this rare anomaly. The generally agreed belief that intrauterine vascular accidents cause ischaemic necrosis

### Table 1: Clinical data of the patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Age at presentation</th>
<th>Year of presentation</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Clinical presentation</th>
<th>Operative findings</th>
<th>Age and condition as follow up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>III.2</td>
<td>1 y</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Non-bilious vomiting and visible peristalsis</td>
<td>Incomplete prepyloric mucosal diaphragm</td>
<td>15 y, alive and well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.12</td>
<td>2 y</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Non-bilious vomiting and visible peristalsis</td>
<td>Incomplete prepyloric mucosal diaphragm</td>
<td>14 y, alive and well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.13</td>
<td>2 y</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Non-bilious vomiting and visible peristalsis</td>
<td>Incomplete prepyloric mucosal diaphragm</td>
<td>6 y, alive and well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.5</td>
<td>2 y</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Non-bilious vomiting and visible peristalsis</td>
<td>Incomplete prepyloric mucosal diaphragm</td>
<td>4 y, alive and well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.19</td>
<td>7 mth</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Non-bilious vomiting and visible peristalsis</td>
<td>Incomplete prepyloric mucosal diaphragm</td>
<td>1.5 y, alive and well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.16</td>
<td>13 mth</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Non-bilious vomiting and visible peristalsis</td>
<td>Incomplete prepyloric mucosal diaphragm</td>
<td>16 mth, alive and well</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III.2 and III.5 are sisters, IV.12 and IV.13 are sibs.
and subsequent atretic changes in the bowel segment is unlikely at this location as the blood supply is abundant. It is also difficult to envisage the possibility of failure of recanalisation (Tandler) of fetal bowel occlusion at this site as there is no firm evidence that such occlusion ever occurs in the stomach and in fact starts below it. Gray and Skandalakis have postulated that redundancy and slipping of epithelial lining may initiate the formation of an incomplete diaphragm. Although this appears to be a possible and attractive explanation, it still remains speculative and definite confirmatory evidence is not available to date. The similarities of the clinicopathological features in all the patients and its occurrence in sibs and cousins point, in the absence of teratogenic factors, to a genetic aetiology.

The pedigree of these sibships from an inbred population shows maternal as well as paternal transmission in two successive generations. Its occurrence in both sexes, sibs, and cousins indicates that autosomal recessive inheritance is the most probable mode of transmission, with other mechanisms such as multifactorial inheritance being possible but less likely.
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