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Abstract

Twenty-four cases of EEC syndrome were identified as part of a nationwide study. Ectodermal dysplasia, by study definition, was present in all cases and hair and teeth were universally affected. Nail dysplasia was present in 19 subjects (79%) and the skin was affected in 21 (87%). The presence of hypohidrosis was not noted as a predominant feature in the syndrome and its occurrence appeared to depend on the presence of all other features. Distal limb defects from simple syndactyly to tetramelic cleft hand and foot were identified, including preaxial anomalies. Orofacial clefting was identified in 14 cases (58%) and lacrimal duct anomaly in 21 (87%).

Significant clinical problems encountered were chiefly cosmetic or ophthalmological, but conductive deafness and genitourinary problems in some cases required surgical intervention. Altered self-image was also noted in some cases. Multidisciplinary management is necessary with the early involvement of the clinical geneticist.

Developmentally, the EEC syndrome and related disorders represent disorders of ectodermal/mesodermal interaction. Candidate regions include 7q2.1.3, the “ectrodactyly” locus; other candidates include developmental genes implicated in the ectodermal/mesodermal interactive process.

(J Med Genet 1995;32:716–723)

The EEC syndrome is a multiple congenital anomaly syndrome characterised by ectodermal dysplasia, distal limb anomaly, cleft lip and palate, and lacrimal duct anomalies. The acronym was first used by Rudiger et al 1 describing a girl with trimelic ectrodactyly, ectodermal features involving hair, teeth, and nails, and bilateral cleft lip and palate. Cockayne 2 had previously reported a case of dacrocystitis and orofacial clefting and both Walker and Clodius 3 and Rosselli and Gullinetti 4 described cases with anomalies similar to those described by Rudiger et al 1 with, in addition, lacrimal duct anomalies.

There exist numerous case reports of EEC and phenotypically related syndromes. The Rapp-Hodgkin ectodermal dysplasia 5 and the ankyloblepharon, ectodermal dysplasia, and clefting (AEC) syndrome 6 are believed, by many, to represent separate clinical entities. The overlap between these and the EEC syndrome has become more apparent as the recognised clinical spectrum of the EEC syndrome has continued to broaden. Kuster and Majewski 7 reported eight members in two families with the EEC syndrome with no limb defects. Majewski and Kuster 8 later coined the term “oligosymptomatic EEC syndrome” and suggested that ectrodactyly was not mandatory for syndrome diagnosis. Penchasazdeh and de Negrotti 9 had previously indicated that the lack of a major component in the syndrome should not preclude diagnosis and subsequently Wallis 10 reported a four generation family with EEC syndrome without orofacial clefting. Renal anomalies are also frequently reported in the syndrome. 11 Other reported anomalies include choanal atresia, 12 growth retardation, 13 and EEG abnormalities. 14 We undertook a clinical study of the EEC syndrome in the UK to delineate closely such aspects of the condition in a defined population, although recognising that complete ascertainment would not be possible.

Methods

Case ascertainment was through a letter circulated to over 1000 clinicians (paediatricians, clinical geneticists, orthodontists, and plastic surgeons) and the criteria for notification included cases with the following:

1. A definite or provisional diagnosis of EEC syndrome.
2. Ectodermal dysplasia with distal limb anomalies.
3. Ectodermal dysplasia with orofacial clefting, with or without distal limb anomalies.

Diagnostic criteria

For the purpose of the study ectodermal dysplasia was chosen as a mandatory feature in syndrome definition. Cases were also considered eligible for inclusion if at least one family member had ectodermal dysplasia and they also possessed: (1) a minimum of two additional major signs (table 1); (2) absence of a specific pointer towards one of the other syndrome diagnoses; (3) a clear reason not to categorise them among one of the overlap syndromes currently recognised as distinct. In

Table 1 Major and minor anomalies in EEC syndrome (criteria for acceptance into the UK study)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minor</th>
<th>Minor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Renal anomalies</td>
<td>Deafness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental retardation</td>
<td>Choanal atresia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ectodermal dysplasia</td>
<td>Ectrodactyly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleft lip/palate</td>
<td>Lacrimal duct anomalies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2  A summary of clinical findings in 24 cases of the syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syndrome component</th>
<th>Case No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ectodermal dysplasia*</td>
<td>2, 3, 4, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three components</td>
<td>1, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four components</td>
<td>7, 20, 21 (all with hypohidrosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five components</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limb deficit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleft limb only (No of affected)</td>
<td>2(4), 4(4), 8(4), 9(4), 10(2), 11(4), 14(1), 16(1), 18(4), 19(1), 20(4), 21(4), 22(4), 23(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleft limb/syndactyly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syndactyly only</td>
<td>1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praxial</td>
<td>6 (absent thumb); 19 (small proximal thumbs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orofacial clefting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLP (unilateral)</td>
<td>1, 2, 4, 17, 19, 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLP (bilateral)</td>
<td>12, 18, 20, 22, 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleft lip</td>
<td>No cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacrimal duct anomaly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uni/bilateral</td>
<td>1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deafness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductive</td>
<td>2, 4, 6, 7, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensorineural</td>
<td>No cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG tract anomaly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypospadias</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflux</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
<td>13, 21, 22, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>23 (reduced bladder volume)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Familial cases: 3, 4, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 23, 24.
* Case 24 omitted owing to age at entry.

Figure 1  The infant with EEC syndrome. Case 12 shows evidence of three major features of the syndrome. He has additional bilateral lacrimal duct anomalies.

Figure 2  Dental x-ray of case 14 shows oligodontia (reduced number of teeth) and those present are taurodontic (have widened tooth pulp).

a particular case clinical features (for example, ankyloblepharon, hypospadias, skin pigmentation) suggested significant doubt, then this case was excluded from the study group despite satisfying our first criterion.

Result of ascertainment
Twenty-nine replies indicated possible EEC syndrome. Three cases (following examination) had Rapp-Hodgkin ectodermal dysplasia and two cases had ankyloblepharon, ectodermal dysplasia, and clefting syndrome. Three cases clearly did not fit into our study criteria and three cases, identified as cases of the EEC syndrome by clinical geneticists, were unwilling to participate. Eighteen cases met the inclusion criteria and they and their immediate families were visited at home leading to a total ascertainment of 24 cases (in 18 families).

Clinical findings (table 2)
The total group consisted of 16 males and eight females with ages ranging from 1 month to 52 years and with mean birth weight for males at term of 2770 g (below 10th centile) and females of 3010 g (25th centile). Two males (cases 19 and 22) and a female (case 24) were preterm, both with birth weights appropriate for gestational age. Subsequent postnatal growth in all cases was satisfactory. By definition all cases showed evidence of ectodermal involvement (however, no relatives of probands were excluded because of its absence). Distal limb deficit was present in 21/24 (87·5%) (fig 1), facial clefting in 14/24 (58%), and lacrimal duct anomaly in 21/24 (87·5%).

ECTODERMAL DYSPLASIA
Freire-Maia and Pinheiro15 deemed that at least two abnormal ectodermal components should be present. Over three quarters of the cases in our study had four or five ectodermal features clinically apparent.

TEETH
The teeth were universally affected although historically the primary dentition was usually complete though not always morphologically normal. The dentition of three cases under 1 year of age could not be adequately assessed. Abnormalities noted in secondary dentition included microdontia and oligodontia. The teeth were not as conical as in cases of XHED, being more often straight edged with gaps. Dental caries was universally present and usually severe. Where x rays had been visualised taurodontism (deepened pulp space) was a consistent finding (fig 2).

HAIR
Hair was affected in all cases, generally being light in colour (as were eyelashes) and coarse and dry in 18/23 (78%). In five cases it was poorly formed, sparse, and extremely slow growing (fig 3). Affected postpubertal males
SWEATING
Reduced sweating did not manifest as a major problem. Three cases (13%) (case 24 not assessed) had symptomatic hypohidrosis. All three, however, reported scalp sweating in particularly warm conditions. Those with symptomatic hypohidrosis possessed all major features of the syndrome.

LIMB ANOMALY
This was identified in 21 cases (87%) of whom 12 (57%) had tetramelic involvement (10 possessing tetramelic cleft limb). Six cases had a central ray deficit in the upper limbs combined with syndactyly of the toes. Eight cases (38%) had asymmetry of the limb defect with the same number possessing at least one limb with isolated syndactyly. Two cases (9%) had pre-axial anomalies, one with a unilateral absent thumb (case 6) and one with two small proximally placed thumbs (case 19). The digits most commonly involved in syndactyly were digits three and four, in both upper and lower limbs.17

Functional impairment was usually not a significant problem provided opposition could be attained. Some cases had had surgery closing wide cleft hands, or to separate a syndactyly. Obtaining suitably wide fitting shoes was a frequently encountered problem. The 16 parents of eight isolated cases were examined and no subtle manifestations were found in the limbs.

NAILS
Nineteen cases (79%) had nail dystrophy. Nails were slow growing, transversely ridged and pitted, and showed varying degrees of concavity. Such findings could be readily visualised with magnification using a hand lens.

SKIN
Clinical problems were often minor but were noted in 21 cases (87%). One case was severely affected (case 10). Dry, scaled skin on the extremities was the most common manifestation, occasionally also present around the neck. Notably other flexures were spared. Painful fissuring in interdigital webs and finger pulps was reported in a number of cases; this occurred on the feet of case 23. Case 12 had major skin problems at birth (fig 4) though as a clinical problem this was diminishing. His grandfather (case 10) had ichthyosiform erythroderma affecting his neck with Candidal superinfection infection. Case 2 showed evidence of solar keratosis in the third decade as well as recurring Candidal paronychia. He was also noted to have recurring precancerous scrotal skin lesions. In most cases a pale complexion was noted with two cases (cases 7 and 20) having an almost albinoid appearance.
OROFACIAL CLEFTING
Thirteen cases had orofacial clefting. Five (38%) had bilateral cleft lip and palate and six possessed unilateral cleft lip and palate. Two cases had a central cleft palate, submucous in one (case 7). All cases with cleft palate had nasal quality of speech indicating velopharyngeal incompetence.

LACRIMAL DUCT INVOLVEMENT
Twenty-one cases (87%) had had lacrimal duct problems, usually bilateral, causing most difficulty in infancy. Epiphora and recent recurrent infections were commonly reported reflecting varying degrees of nasolacrimal duct obstruction, Meibomian gland dysfunction, and reduced tear production. Four cases (cases 5, 10, 20, and 22) had severe visual impairment from chronic corneal scarring (cases 6 and 20 were registered partially sighted). Infections reduced in number with age and following operative procedures. Case 5 required corneal grafting (which to date has been successful) and case 11 required urgent surgical intervention and corneal grafting following an anterior chamber haemorrhage.

HEARING
Ten of 23 cases (44%) reported a degree of hearing loss. In the seven cases where audiograms were examined a conductive deficit was observed. In no case was the hearing loss sensorineural in origin. Many cases reported impacted cerumen as a transient cause of hearing deficit, but those with persistent deficit consistently reported episodes of recurrent otitis media in childhood and had a repaired orofacial cleft. Case 2 had required surgery for cholesteatoma.

GENITOURINARY ANOMALIES
Fourteen cases (58%) had had a renal ultrasound scan performed before our survey and six (42%) had a problem. Anomalies included glandular hypospadias (case 7), ureteric reflux (case 19), and hydronephrosis (cases 13 and 24) which led to unilateral nephrectomy in case 13 and ureterostomy in case 24. Cases 7 and 9 had recurrent urinary tract infections and subsequent ultrasound scans were normal (although more detailed radiological investigations had not been performed). Case 23 reported long term dysuria and frequency and was shown to have a thick walled, very small volume bladder. Similar symptoms were reported at 2 years by the parents of case 17.

DEVELOPMENT
No affected subject had mental retardation or evidence of developmental delay. Language milestones were normal despite some having moderate hearing impairment. All affected people attended normal schools. A formal intellectual and behavioural assessment was not undertaken. Occupations of the affected adults were varied, from postman to clerk/typist to professional artist.

OTHER REPORTED PROBLEMS
Two cases (cases 7 and 22) reported difficulties with eating dry food, probably related to altered salivary gland function and frequent fluids were required while eating. Case 7 (with associated hypohidrosis) refused warm drinks in infancy. Postpubertal females reported normal breast development. Case 15 had poorly defined areolae and nipples; her father (case 13) also had this. Cases 11 and 23 elected not to breast feed because of hypoplastic nipples. An additional reason for case 11 was the facial cleft in her affected child.

In those families where prenatal diagnosis was considered, all parents were aware of a 50% risk. The fetus of case 23 was noted to have marked renal dilatation but normal face and limbs on high resolution scanning. Confirmation of disease status was, however, possible within several weeks of delivery. Fetal limb anomalies were detected at 22 weeks' gestation in case 10.

Many cases experienced frustration at apparent late recognition of the syndrome. For example case 22 (with all four major manifestations) was not offered appropriate counselling until he was 20 years of age. Psychologically most cases appeared to cope with their condition well. Most were self-confident and had developed good interpersonal communication skills. Affected adults did express unhappiness with their body image, wished for public acceptability, and recalled particularly unhappy adolescent years. In addition the persistent hiding of hands was a common behavioural trait.

FAMILY HISTORIES
The four families in this study, although small, clearly show the autosomal dominant nature of this condition. Variability in expression was observed both within and between families. The family with cases 3 and 4 manifested the gene purely as ectodermal dysplasia (case 3). This was also evident in a second family (case 13). Only one three generational family was ascertained. Case 24 had no orofacial or limb aspects of the syndrome, unlike her mother. A sib of case 18 had isolated ulnar hypoplasia but no other signs. This was deemed to be interesting but a possibly unrelated occurrence. In nine isolated cases both parents were examined and were normal, and a total of three normal children were born to isolated cases. Karyotype analysis of one affected member from each family was normal as was analysis of seven isolated cases.

Discussion
The variability of the EEC phenotype has become increasingly apparent over the last decade. The condition can appear as isolated ectodermal dysplasia in one family member and then in a more fully developed state in a subsequent child.
INHERITANCE

Although dominant inheritance is confirmed in the familial cases (fig 5), the small size of the families is difficult to explain. Families with isolated ectodactyly may be extensive. There is also no physiological reason to expect reduced reproductive fitness in EEC families. No cases of recessive inheritance or parental consanguinity were recorded. Fries et al referred to possible autosomal recessive inheritance when describing two affected sibs of apparently clinically normal parents and De Silva et al reported similar findings. Both reports, however, contain sparse data on parents and germinal mosaicism or altered gene penetrance cannot be excluded. Clear parental consanguinity was recorded in an isolated case by Rogmanoli and Zunin.

ECTODERMAL FEATURES

Ectodermal features were, by definition, present in all cases. Secondary dentition was always affected. The frequently noted normal number of deciduous teeth has been recorded by Psaume et al previously. Hair, although usually lightly pigmented, dry, and coarse, may sometimes be sparse. Salinas and Marcos Montes suggested that there were specific potentially diagnostic characteristics of hair in the Rapp-Hodgkin syndrome using polarising light. Studies on hair morphology in EEC syndrome are currently being undertaken. Hypohidrosis is not a common or severe problem as in XHED, where the erroneous diagnosis of infection and subsequent placement of the neonate in an incubator may endanger life. Abnormalities of eccrine glands may take a number of forms and studies of these are necessary in the EEC syndrome. Those cases with altered hidrosis fulfilled all four major criteria of the syndrome. Although this finding may result from ascertainment bias it could also suggest that hypohidrosis in the EEC syndrome is dependent on the presence of all major features.

Skin and hair hypopigmentation have been described previously and were frequently noted in our group. Severe skin problems were uncommon however. Although dryness of the skin was noted by many, it posed a clinical problem in just two cases. Reference has been made to premalignancies arising in cases of the EEC syndrome. These reports are of significance in view of the premalignant biopsy reports of ulcerated scrotal skin in case 2.

OPHTHALMIC FEATURES

Freid indicated that visual difficulties were potentially the most serious clinical feature of the syndrome. Progressive visual loss and spontaneous corneal perforation have been documented as serious ocular complications of the EEC syndrome. Corneal scarring may result from Meibomian gland dysfunction and also from recurrent blepharitis which is more common in infancy and ameliorated by operations to improve lacrimal drainage. Case 11 suffered spontaneous corneal perforation with severe visual impairment and both cases 5 and 11 had corneal grafts functioning reasonably well during the early months.

LIMB ANOMALIES

While the central ray defect is the hallmark of the EEC syndrome its absence clearly does not exclude the diagnosis. In some cases isolated soft tissue syndactyly or thumb anomalies may occur. The coexistence of preaxial and central ray anomaly within the syndrome is an aspect which hitherto has not been much appreciated.
although preaxial digital anomalies have featured in the descriptions of trigger thumb and duplication. Preaxial anomalies also occur in the LADD syndrome.  

OROFACIAL CLEFTING AND HEARING
Typically both lip and palate were cleft although a single case of submucous cleft palate was noted. Successful repair was achieved in all but one case (case 7). Historically both conductive and sensorineural hearing impairment have been described in the EEC syndrome. In one case ear ossicles were absent on tympanotomy. All affected cases had a history of middle ear infection confirmed by review of seven audiograms. Eight of the nine cases with hearing impairment interestingly had orofacial clefts. Impacted cerumen was a common cause of transient hearing loss generally (cf XHED). Despite several reported cases of sensorineural hearing loss in EEC syndrome, no case was noted in our group. Structural pinnal abnormalities have been previously reported (usually down folding) but are unusual, only one case showing an anomaly of the external ear (case 5) which in this case was of the tragus and associated with minor posterior rotation.

RENNAL TRACT
Rollnick and Hoo suggested that renal tract involvement occurred in up to 50% of cases. This aspect of the EEC syndrome is not widely appreciated by UK physicians. Fewer than half of the cases ascertained had had a renal ultrasound scan. Occasionally UG tract anomalies may be life threatening with two of our cases requiring emergency surgery in the first few weeks of life. Painful micturition with an associated small volume bladder in case 23 was noted throughout childhood. Case 17 has recently noted similar symptoms. Several members of a Dutch family have identical symptomatology (R C M Hennekam, personal communication).

GROWTH AND MENTAL DEVELOPMENT
EEC syndrome has been described with severe mental retardation and comparison with the syndrome described by Bowen and Armstrong has been made. The estimate of Richiera-Costa et al that 15% of cases have mental retardation (a review of 41 cases) is almost certainly an overestimate. Because the present study is cross sectional rather than longitudinal, our study of growth in the EEC syndrome has not been definitive. Low birth weight has only recently been suggested as a consistent feature of the syndrome, but this is not confirmed in our group. Adenohypophyseal dysfunction has been described in EEC syndrome but this was not suggested by our data.

SALIVARY GLANDS AND CHOANAL ATRESIA
Dental examination of case 7 showed absence of Stensens duct. This resulted in difficulty with mastication and severe dental caries owing to reduced oral pH. Pries et al reported reduced parotid secretions in a number of cases. No other cases had this problem. There were also no cases with nasal problems indicating total or partial nasal obstruction.

MANAGEMENT OF CASES OF EEC SYNDROME
The management of cases requires multidisciplinary action. Early syndrome diagnosis will allow parents to gain access to accurate counselling and in particular the reassuring information regarding the low risk of mental handicap. When faced with a case of EEC syndrome the paediatrician should coordinate a multidisciplinary management team including plastic surgeon, ophthalmologist, and renal specialist, etc. Children ideally should be placed on prophylactic antibiotics until renal investigation has taken place. Early audiological assessment is necessary before distraction testing becomes possible. The geneticist should be ideally involved at an early stage. Genetic counselling of families with oligosymptomatic EEC syndrome may prove very difficult as the clinician is often making a diagnosis without the complete clinical picture. Recurrence risks can usually be given to such families, but as clinical manifestation may be broad, problems with labelling are frequently encountered.

The decision as to whether or not ectodermal involvement is present may be critical to diagnosis and counselling. However, establishing the presence of ectodermal involvement may not be easy; a history of slow growing hair or nails may be helpful. Magnification of nails with a hand lens in the infant with EEC syndrome looking for definite transverse ridging, nail concavity, and pitting may provide useful clues as to the presence of nail dystrophy. Interpretation of dental x rays in the infant is possible but also not easy. Cases may sometimes present as apparent lacrimal duct anomalies with orofacial clefting or with limb anomalies. The presence of recurrent ophthalmic infection or epiphora in infants with clefting or limb reduction syndactyly indicates that subtle manifestations of ectodermal dysplasia should be sought.

Managing cases is difficult from both practical and psychological viewpoints. Severe ectodermal manifestations can be helped considerably by the use of wigs and cosmetics. Expert dental advice may preserve primary teeth (encouraging mandibular growth). Simple emollients may be satisfactory for dry skin but the two cases with painful and severe fissuring of the skin were both helped by cocoa butter based, unperfumed creams. Visual complications are particularly difficult to manage. Anticipation of recurrent infection in the early years is necessary and artificial tears may also be protective if reduced lacrimal secretions are found. Surgery for duct blockage is usually necessary. Little experience, even nationally, exists of corneal grafting (the specific corneal vascularisation in this syndrome is thought to make long term successful grafting unlikely).

Prenatal diagnosis has been achieved but cases where a risk of fetal involvement exists must be cautioned with regards to the potential
Figure 6. The phenotypic Venn: a schematic representation of the EEC syndrome in relation to other phenotypically related ectodermal dysplasias. OECC = oligosymptomatic EEC syndrome; RHS = Rapp-Hodgkin syndrome; AEC = ankyloblepharon, ectodermal dysplasia, andcdfing syndrome; EECm = ectodermal dysplasia, cleft palate; and mesodermal dysplasia, and clefting syndrome; LADD = lacrimoauricular-dento-digital syndrome; ECP = ectodactyly-clfet palate syndrome.

MOLECULAR CONSIDERATIONS

Embryologically, the cluster of features seen in the EEC syndrome may be explained by anomalies of ectodermal/mesodermal interaction. The skin, nails, teeth, palate, limbs, salivary glands, and lacrimal duct have similar developmental profiles, developing either as intrusions of embryonic ectoderm into underlying mesenchyme or showing crucial reliance of ectoderm on underlying mesodermal signalling. A rational setiological classification of the autosomal dominant ectodermal dysplasias with distal limb defect orofacial clefting awaits the outcome of collaborative studies which may elucidate their molecular basis. Candidate chromosomal regions include 7q21.3 (identified as a prime locus for ectodactyly41), 7q11, and 9p21 (identified as breakpoints in family with a translocation and EEC syndrome).12 Other possible candidate genes for such a syndrome could include transforming growth factors alpha and beta (possibly involved in palatogenesis42-44) and also homeobox genes (particularly MSX1 and MSX2, implicated in ectodermal mesodermal interaction in developing limbs,44-45 teeth,46 and palate47).

Contiguous genes may be involved in producing the EEC phenotype. Allelic heterogeneity at a single locus could also explain the considerable overlap between the EEC and other syndromes. Until we have a clearer understanding of the molecular basis of the EEC syndromes and similar disorders, difficulty will remain in their classification and hence in the practicalities of making a firm diagnosis.
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