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Abstract
Two sibs are described, the first of whom presented the classic Meckel syndrome triad of encephalocele, postaxial polydactyly, and characteristic renal cystic changes. The second sib had none of these abnormalities, but did show urethral atresia and preaxial polydactyly, two features previously described in some patients with Meckel syndrome. The two cases illustrate both the wide phenotypic spectrum of Meckel syndrome and the difficulty of attempting to define minimum diagnostic criteria for the disorder. The clinical implications arising from this problem are discussed.

(J Med Genet 1994;31:482-485)

The recessively inherited disorder known as Meckel syndrome is characterised typically by polydactyly, cystic kidneys, and a central nervous system malformation (usually occipital encephalocele). However, studies of families in which the proband had at least two of these three features showed that there is wide phenotypic variation within the disorder. Other associated abnormalities included cleft lip/palate, anophthalmia/microphthalmia, ductal plate malformation of the liver, and cardiac and genital anomalies, but some sibs only possessed one of the three classical abnormalities. This has prompted a number of authors to address the problem of what should be considered as minimum diagnostic criteria for Meckel syndrome.

The pattern of renal cystic change typically encountered is characteristic of the disorder and it has been proposed that a diagnosis of Meckel syndrome cannot be made in the absence of these changes. This paper describes two sibs, one with features of classical Meckel syndrome, the other with preaxial polydactyly and obstructive uropathy (secondary to urethral atresia) but without typical Meckel-type renal cystic change or other typical features of the disorder. The possible significance of these findings with respect to establishing diagnostic criteria for this syndrome is considered.

Case reports
The parents are white and unrelated and their first born was a female showing no congenital malformations. An ultrasound scan in the second pregnancy showed that the fetus (the proband) had multiple abnormalities, and the pregnancy was terminated at 19 weeks. The mother was aged 30 years at this time and the father 29 years. This fetus (fig 1) had microcephaly with a large occipital encephalocele, postaxial polydactyly of both hands, and gross distension of the abdomen owing to massive bilateral renal enlargement. Histologically the kidneys showed typical Meckel-type cystic changes, and there was a ductal plate malformation of the liver (fig 2). Chromosome analysis was normal.

Ultrasound scans during the third pregnancy showed oligohydramnios and a cystic mass within the abdomen of the fetus accompanied by bilateral hydrourephrosis. The fetus died in utero and labour was induced. On external examination there was early maceration, and body measurements were those of 22 to 23 weeks' gestation (in keeping with the date of the LMP). The mouth was wide with full lips, the nose was rather flat, and there was micrognathia (fig 3). The left ear was posteriorly rotated and low set. The cranium and head circumference were normal; in particular there was no evidence of encephalocele. The left foot showed preaxial polydactyly, with three extra digits arising from the medial aspect of the foot (fig 4); on x ray all had three phalanges but only the lateral two had metatarsal bones. The other three limbs were normal and there was no bowing of the long bones.

Figure 1 First sib, showing occipital encephalocele, postaxial polydactyly of upper limbs, and abdominal distension owing to massive renal enlargement.
Meckel syndrome: what are the minimum diagnostic criteria?

The urethra was atretic just distal to its origin from the bladder, which was massively dilated; there was associated bilateral hydro-ureter and hydronephrosis (fig 5). The renal parenchyma of both kidneys showed diffuse thinning and multiple subcapsular cysts up to 0.5 mm in diameter. Histologically there was general preservation of the renal architecture (fig 6). The subcapsular cysts were predominantly at the level of Bowman's capsule (glomerular cysts) and there was marked dilatation of the collecting ducts within both the medulla and cortex associated with focal increase in medullary connective tissue. However, cartilage, so called primitive ducts, and other features of renal dysplasia were not observed.

The brain was of normal weight (75 g) and on macroscopic and histological examination showed no evidence of congenital anomaly. Specifically there was no neural tube defect or ventriculomegaly. Except for pulmonary hypoplasia (lung:body weight ratio 1.25%) there were no other observable abnormalities at necropsy. The liver was histologically normal; there was no ductal plate malformation. Chromosome analysis of cardiac blood showed an apparently normal 46,XY karyotype.

Discussion

Faced with the phenotypic diversity presented by patients with Meckel syndrome, previous authors have attempted to set down minimum criteria for the diagnosis of the disorder. Hsia et al. and Mecke and Passarge suggested that at least two of the classic triad of occipital encephalocele, polydactyly, and cystic kidneys should be present. However, Fitch and Pinsky"
accompanied by excess portal tract connective tissue (ductal plate malformation). In all 41 of Salonen’s cases where tissue was available for examination, the liver showed portal fibrosis with “ductal proliferation and dilatation” (presumably ductal plate malformation, although the hepatic changes are not illustrated), and she considered that minimum diagnostic criteria should be cystic renal dysplasia, hepatic portal fibrosis, and occipital encephalocele or other CNS malformation. Such strict criteria are, however, at variance with the observation made by Fraser and Lytwyn that there was no brain abnormality in 18% of the sibs they considered to have Meckel syndrome, and some authors have expressed disagreement with the idea of an obligatory abnormality.

The first of the sibs described here shows the classic triad of anomalies, and also fulfils Salonen’s criteria. However, it is likely that without knowledge of this sib, the possibility of Meckel syndrome would not have been entertained in the second case. There were certainly renal cystic changes, but these were confined to the subcapsular parts of the kidneys which were otherwise architecturally normal, and were consistent with the effects of urinary obstruction owing to the urethral atresia (Potter type IV cysts). The kidneys did not show the features characteristically associated with Meckel syndrome, that is, numerous, rather rounded cysts of varying size (some of the level of glomeruli), with no discernible corticomedullary junction. The second sib did not show any CNS or hepatic abnormalities, and although there was polydactyly, this was preaxial and confined to one lower limb, while polydactyly in Meckel syndrome is typically postaxial and bilateral.

However, closer study of reported cases of Meckel syndrome suggests that this phenotype is not inconsistent with the diagnosis, and tends to support the contention of Lowry et al that there is no such thing as an obligatory feature in this disorder: Moerman et al described an otherwise apparently typical case of Meckel syndrome which did not show cystic dysplasia (case 5); it is not in fact clear from previous reports whether the changes described as cystic renal dysplasia always correspond to the features now recognised to be characteristic of Meckel syndrome, or are in fact other types of renal cystic change such as present in the second sib above. For example, four of the cases of Salonen were reported to have urethral atresia, and it is conceivable that the cysts in these cases may have been of postobstructive type. Although all assessable cases examined by Salonen had hepatic portal tract abnormalities, one case (case 5) documented by Moerman et al had occipital encephalocele, polydactyly, and renal cystic changes, but the liver was macroscopically and histologically normal. Finally, Majewski et al described patients with features of Meckel syndrome who showed pre- (rather than post-) axial polydactyly.

The second of the sibs reported here shows features which overlap with the entity reported
as distal obstructive uropathy with polydactyly: Halali described two unrelated stillborn infants with hydronephrosis, hydroureter, and bladder dilation secondary to urethral obstruction (posterior urethral valves in one case, uncertain urethral pathology in the second), together with postaxial polydactyly. It is possible that the pattern of malformations shown by the second sib of the family reported here represents a disorder other than Meckel syndrome, either as a simple coincidence or, just possibly, as the differing unbalanced phenotypes of an unrecognised translocation. It seems on balance more likely, however, that they represent extremes of the spectrum of abnormalities occurring in Meckel syndrome. The breadth of the phenotypic spectrum creates problems in genetic counselling and prenatal diagnosis. The possibility of this recessive disorder should be borne in mind when considering a proband with any of the key features, even in apparent isolation. The efficacy of second trimester ultrasonoscopy in subsequent pregnancies is reduced since the absence of an encephalocoele, postaxial polydactyly, and renal dysplasia is not completely reassuring. It is important that tissue for molecular genetic analysis is stored from all such families as a resource to allow the development of a more reliable diagnostic test.

Meckel syndrome: what are the minimum diagnostic criteria?

C Wright, R Healicon, C English and J Burn

doi: 10.1136/jmg.31.6.482

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://jmg.bmj.com/content/31/6/482

These include:

**Email alerting service**
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/