Childhood deaths in Down’s syndrome.
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SUMMARY Data have been obtained from a total population study of diagnosed Down’s syndrome cases born in Queensland between 1976 and 1985. Survival curves show a survival rate to one year of 87.4% and no significant differences in such patterns over the two five year periods of the study. Survival curves comparing maternal age at birth, sex of the infant, and locality of birth also indicate that early death is unrelated to any of these factors. Congenital heart disease and respiratory infection are the most common causes of infant death.

An accurate knowledge of survival in Down’s syndrome is required for genetic counselling, for clinical management decisions, and for those with the responsibility of providing health care and support services for infants born with this condition.

From the point of view of couples at risk, family planning decisions (for example, spacing of conceptions, and decisions whether or not to embark on a future pregnancy) also require accurate information about longevity should such an affected child be born.

Attempts to estimate life expectancy for Down’s syndrome have been undertaken in many studies. Penrose1 in 1949 reported that the average life expectancy for Down’s syndrome in 1929 was nine years and had risen to 12 to 15 years by 1947. Similar findings were published by Brothers and Jago in 1954.2 Subsequent studies have documented average life expectancy estimated at the time of birth to be 16-2 years,3 30-5 years,4 35 years,5 6 and 50 years.7 This wide disparity has resulted in part from several different methodological approaches. Some studies have included institutionalised cases only3 4; the degree and nature of selection of index cases is indeterminate in others5; and some studies6 have undertaken analysis using a combination of raw data from different countries8 9 with expected differences in survival trends. It is general experience1-7 also that increased life expectancy in this condition is subject to significant secular trends. The vigour with which neonatal surgical intervention for associated congenital malformations, especially congenital heart disease, has been performed obviously affects survival and has been subject to attitudinal and ethical changes.10-14

As recently as 1981, an editorial in the British Medical Journal noted “If the child is one of the one quarter of newborns with Down’s syndrome with congenital defects of the heart or other organs, then treatment may reasonably be withheld”.15 By 1984, even allowing for transatlantic differences, attitudes had changed perceptibly as noted in a major position statement published in the Journal of the American Medical Association: “Some infants with Down’s syndrome born with a defect correctable by a surgical procedure with acceptable risks are sometimes denied the benefit of the procedure . . . there is a growing consensus in our society that life, even with handicaps, is preferable to death . . . most persons with Down’s syndrome who survive infancy seem to lead reasonably happy lives, free of pain . . . for this reason the care and treatment and all other rights that belong to normal infants should be standard for the person with Down’s syndrome.”16

In parallel with these significant variations in total life expectancy, large differences in survival in the first years of life have also been reported. This
theme is particularly important in genetic counselling, where couples' decision making is influenced by such factors as survival rate in infancy and childhood,17 18 should a Down's syndrome child be born. Current best estimates have indicated that parents can expect survival to the first birthday to exceed 80%7 12 19-23 and two of these studies have shown that, even in those 20 to 30% of Down's syndrome cases born with a congenital heart defect, survival to the first birthday exceeds 75%.12 23

Diseases of the respiratory tract (pneumonia and bronchopneumonia) are the most commonly reported terminal causes of death in Down's syndrome, followed by deaths resulting from complications of congenital heart defects.19 24-28 Because of (1) these wide differences in reported survival, (2) current secular trends and the factors influencing them, (3) changes in ethical attitudes to life support in Down's syndrome, and (4) anticipated changes in birth rates owing to increasing awareness of prenatal diagnosis, further total population surveys of unselected cases are needed. We report in this paper such a study from Queensland, Australia. This population has certain advantages as inadvertent case selection is reduced to a minimum.29 30 Survival curves for the first decade of life are presented and analysed with regard to secular trends, maternal age, birth locality, and sex.

Material and methods

All cases of Down's syndrome livebirths notified to the Queensland Register of Chromosome Abnormalities31 between 1976 and 1985 and the State Congenital Abnormalities Register since 198132 have been included. Four hundred and twenty six cases have been identified, giving a population incidence of diagnosed cases of 1 in 880 livebirths (or 11.3 per 10 000 livebirths). This rate is comparable with those reported for populations with relatively high ascertainment and similar demographic patterns (that is, sophisticated Western societies with similar mean family size and parity patterns).33-36

In such a total population study as this, there are potential methodological difficulties relating to ascertainment.11 Although data are collected from several sources, some cases of Down's syndrome may not have been recognised as such before death. Secondly, in the absence of consecutive newborn studies with appropriate follow up, there can be no certainty that all cases of Down's syndrome born within a defined demographic area are included. Cross referencing between the two Registers31 32 has shown that 20 cases (out of a total series of 426 cases) were not confirmed cytogenetically. We believe that this latter group may include some neonates diagnosed clinically who died before chromosome studies were instigated, a source of potential bias we believe is present in all series.

Three hundred and sixty-six cases (86%) have been followed to the survey point (March 1988). Reasons for non-follow up of the remainder include adoptions (21 cases), those lost to follow up (19 cases), and cases notified only to the Congenital Malformations Register (20 cases).

Age at death, maternal age at birth, locality of birth, and cause of death have been supplied by the Queensland Registrar of Births, Deaths, and
Marriages. Kaplan-Meier curves were calculated for all cases classified according to year of birth, maternal age, sex, and place of birth. Survival curves were tested for heterogeneity using the logrank test.37

Results

The deaths of 69 cases occurred within the survey period. Of these, 47 died before their first birthday giving an estimated probability of survival to one year of 0.87 (95% confidence limits 0.84, 0.91).

Cardiac anomalies were cited as a cause of death in 41 of the 69 deaths. Respiratory infection was documented as a contributing factor in 27 cases. There were two deaths from leukaemia and no reports of any death through accident, drowning, violence, or the sudden infant death syndrome.

The overall survival curve is presented in fig 1. All but seven deaths occurred before three years of age and no deaths were observed after seven years. As the cohort consisted of children born between 1976 and 1985, the length of follow up varied from 12 years down to three years for the youngest children.

Survival curves were plotted for cases classified by year of birth, maternal age, sex, and place of birth and are presented in figs 2 to 5. Superimposed on the graph in fig 2 are the numbers in each birth cohort at risk at one, two, four, six, and eight years.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Observed</th>
<th>Expected</th>
<th>Observed/expected</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976–80</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32.64</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981–85</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36.36</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;35 y</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>48.13</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35+ y</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20.87</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of birth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brisbane</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23.27</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Brisbane</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>45.73</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>37.47</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>31.53</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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This is done to emphasise the relatively shorter period of follow up for the cohort born in 1981 to 1985.

The results of tests for heterogeneity from each of the four classifications of cases are summarised in the table. No significant differences were found for a type I error of 0·05.

Discussion

Survival rates to one year for Down's syndrome have been calculated for several populations and show significant increase over the last 40 years; published rates in the last two decades vary between 83·0% and 94·0%. The survival rate to one year of 87·4% in the present study is consistent with these earlier estimates. In practice, if parents are planning a future pregnancy in the face of a risk of Down's syndrome (as in the case of older mothers), one is able to say that if such a child is born, there is a nine out of ten chance that the infant will survive. Studies of risk taking behaviour in the context of other genetic diseases have shown that parents are more willing to accept a genetic gamble if they know a child will not survive infancy. This study has not provided objective evidence for any increased survival, which might have been anticipated if ethical influences had protected Down's infants who would otherwise have died.

Although no significant differences were found for any classification of cases it must be noted that, as there were only relatively few deaths, the test for heterogeneity had power only to detect reasonably large differences in survival. For example, for two groups of equal size, the test had only a 80% chance of detecting a 2:1 difference in hazard rates. Nevertheless, the survival curves indicate little apparent difference between groups for each classification, especially as the vertical scale chosen tends to exaggerate any differences in survival history.

There was no evidence of any large difference in survival within the 10 year period and the data did not suggest any apparent difference. Two recently published studies which analysed their own data for secular trends showed differing results. Baird and Sadovnick could not find any difference in survival between each 10 year period of their 30 year study, either for the group as a whole or for those with or without congenital heart defects. Malone, however, when comparing data from the West Australian population from 1966 to 1976 and then between 1976 and 1984 found a significant improvement in Down's syndrome survival rates, particularly for those infants with congenital heart defects.

Elwood and Darragh, in a study of Down's syndrome in Northern Ireland, reported mortality among cases born to older mothers to be twice that for younger mothers. From our data, no significant difference could be found for survival related to maternal age at birth.

In a state the size of Queensland, with a population distribution such that many births occur some distance from a major medical centre, it seemed pertinent to ascertain whether survival of a neonate with Down's syndrome was at all dependent on locality of birth. Our results indicate that affected infants born outside the Brisbane metropolitan area are not apparently disadvantaged medically.

Some previous studies have indicated a higher mortality rate in females with Down's syndrome while others have shown no difference between male and female survival. Although our data showed a higher number of female deaths than would have been expected, these results were not significant. Of interest here are two studies which examine sex differences with respect to the presence (or absence) of congenital heart defects. Both report a significantly higher proportion of female Down's syndrome cases with congenital heart defects, which may be a reason for the trend towards lower survival rates for females in some reports.

Survival of Down's syndrome infants with congenital heart disease, compared to those without it, has been shown in several studies to be significantly decreased. This is confirmed in our study with congenital heart disease documented as the cause of death in 59·4% of all deaths. A recent Australian study, however, showed that, for their population, the presence of congenital heart disease is no longer a major determinant of poor outcome.

These studies clearly show that, in current practice, a Down's syndrome infant has a very high chance of surviving infancy. This fact, combined with the relatively small effect prenatal diagnosis has in reducing the incidence, means that the prevalence of Down's syndrome in our population will increase. This has implications for those charged with the responsibility for providing life time care for such persons in the areas of health, welfare, and education.
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